



US009671395B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Dryga et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,671,395 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Jun. 6, 2017**

(54) **ANALYZING BACTERIA WITHOUT CULTURING**

(75) Inventors: **Sergey A. Dryga**, Rio Rancho, NM (US); **Lisa-Jo Ann Clarizia**, Albuquerque, NM (US); **Eddie W. Adams**, Albuquerque, NM (US); **Victor C. Esch**, Albuquerque, NM (US)

(73) Assignee: **DNAE Group Holdings Limited**, London (GB)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 196 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/091,548**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 21, 2011**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2011/0262927 A1 Oct. 27, 2011

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 61/326,588, filed on Apr. 21, 2010.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

C12Q 1/00 (2006.01)
C12Q 1/68 (2006.01)
G01N 33/53 (2006.01)
G01N 33/554 (2006.01)
G01N 33/543 (2006.01)
G01N 33/569 (2006.01)
C07K 1/22 (2006.01)
C07K 16/12 (2006.01)
C12N 13/00 (2006.01)
G01N 24/08 (2006.01)
G01R 33/465 (2006.01)
A61B 5/055 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **G01N 33/54326** (2013.01); **C07K 1/22** (2013.01); **C07K 16/1267** (2013.01); **C12N 13/00** (2013.01); **C12Q 1/6806** (2013.01); **G01N 33/54333** (2013.01); **G01N 33/56911** (2013.01); **A61B 5/055** (2013.01); **C12Q 2565/633** (2013.01); **G01N 24/08** (2013.01); **G01N 2446/20** (2013.01); **G01R 33/465** (2013.01); **Y10T 428/2982** (2015.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC G01N 1/40; G01N 33/53; G01N 33/0098; G01N 33/563; G01N 33/566; G01N 33/569; G01N 33/56911; G01N 33/54313; G01N 33/54326; G01N 33/54346; C12Q 1/00; C12Q 1/02; C12Q 1/04; C12Q 1/24; C12Q 1/625; C12Q 1/6888; C12Q 1/689; C12Q 2527/155; C12Q 2563/155; C12Q 2536/136; C12Q 2536/137; C12Q 2563/143; C12Q 2563/149

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,970,518 A 7/1976 Giaever
4,018,886 A 4/1977 Giaever
4,180,563 A 12/1979 Fauve
4,230,685 A 10/1980 Senyei et al.
4,267,234 A 5/1981 Rembaum
4,434,237 A 2/1984 Dinarello
4,452,773 A 6/1984 Molday
4,551,435 A 11/1985 Liberti et al.
4,554,088 A 11/1985 Whitehead et al.
4,659,678 A 4/1987 Forrest et al.
4,677,055 A 6/1987 Dodin et al.
4,683,195 A 7/1987 Mullis et al.
4,683,202 A 7/1987 Mullis
4,695,393 A 9/1987 Chagnon et al.
4,795,698 A 1/1989 Owen et al.
4,901,018 A 2/1990 Lew
4,925,788 A 5/1990 Liberti
4,942,124 A 7/1990 Church
4,988,617 A 1/1991 Landegren et al.
5,047,321 A 9/1991 Loken et al.
5,057,413 A 10/1991 Terstappen et al.
5,089,386 A 2/1992 Stackebrandt et al.
5,108,933 A 4/1992 Liberti et al.
5,136,095 A 8/1992 Tarnowski et al.
5,149,625 A 9/1992 Church et al.
5,164,297 A 11/1992 Josephson et al.
5,186,827 A 2/1993 Liberti et al.
5,200,084 A 4/1993 Liberti et al.
5,229,724 A 7/1993 Zeiger
5,234,816 A 8/1993 Terstappen
5,242,794 A 9/1993 Whiteley et al.
5,254,460 A 10/1993 Josephson et al.
5,338,687 A 8/1994 Lee et al.
5,342,790 A 8/1994 Levine et al.
5,460,979 A 10/1995 Levine et al.
5,466,574 A 11/1995 Liberti et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA 2 342 047 A1 9/2001
EP 1 304 581 A2 4/2003

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Chapman et al., (Applied and Environmental Microbiology. Jul. 1997, vol. 63(7):. 2549-2553).*

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Ja'Na Hines

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Brown Rudnick LLP; Thomas C. Meyers

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The invention generally relates to conducting an assay on a sample that isolates a pathogen from the sample and allows for analysis of the pathogen with minimal (i.e., at most 24 hrs of culturing) or no culturing of the pathogen. In certain embodiments, the invention provides methods for identifying a pathogen from a sample that involve obtaining a sample including a pathogen, conducting an assay that isolates the pathogen from the sample, culturing the isolated pathogen for at most about 24 hrs, and analyzing the pathogen.

20 Claims, No Drawings

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,494,810	A	2/1996	Barany et al.	6,514,415	B2	2/2003	Hatch et al.
5,512,332	A	4/1996	Liberti et al.	6,551,843	B1	4/2003	Rao et al.
5,541,072	A	7/1996	Wang et al.	6,555,324	B1	4/2003	Olweus et al.
5,583,024	A	12/1996	McElroy et al.	6,582,938	B1	6/2003	Su et al.
5,583,033	A	12/1996	Terstappen et al.	6,587,706	B1	7/2003	Viswanathan
5,597,531	A	1/1997	Liberti et al.	6,594,517	B1	7/2003	Nevo
5,604,097	A	2/1997	Brenner	6,620,627	B1	9/2003	Liberti et al.
5,605,805	A	2/1997	Verwer et al.	6,623,982	B1	9/2003	Liberti et al.
5,622,831	A	4/1997	Liberti et al.	6,623,983	B1	9/2003	Terstappen et al.
5,622,853	A	4/1997	Terstappen et al.	6,645,731	B2	11/2003	Terstappen et al.
5,636,400	A	6/1997	Young	6,660,159	B1	12/2003	Terstappen et al.
5,646,001	A	7/1997	Terstappen et al.	6,696,838	B2	2/2004	Rafferty et al.
5,654,636	A	8/1997	Sweedler et al.	6,700,379	B2	3/2004	Peck et al.
5,660,990	A	8/1997	Rao et al.	6,788,061	B1	9/2004	Sweedler et al.
5,674,713	A	10/1997	McElroy et al.	6,790,366	B2	9/2004	Terstappen et al.
5,677,133	A	10/1997	Oberhardt	6,818,395	B1	11/2004	Quake et al.
5,681,478	A	10/1997	Lea et al.	6,822,454	B2	11/2004	Peck et al.
5,684,401	A	11/1997	Peck et al.	6,845,262	B2	1/2005	Albert et al.
5,695,934	A	12/1997	Brenner	6,858,384	B2	2/2005	Terstappen et al.
5,695,946	A	12/1997	Benjamin et al.	6,867,021	B2	3/2005	Maes et al.
5,698,271	A	12/1997	Liberti et al.	6,876,200	B2	4/2005	Anderson et al.
5,700,673	A	12/1997	McElroy et al.	6,890,426	B2	5/2005	Terstappen et al.
5,741,714	A	4/1998	Liberti	6,898,430	B1	5/2005	Liberti et al.
5,768,089	A	6/1998	Finnigan	6,914,538	B2	7/2005	Baird et al.
5,770,461	A	6/1998	Sakazume et al.	6,958,609	B2	10/2005	Rafferty et al.
5,773,307	A	6/1998	Colin et al.	7,011,794	B2	3/2006	Kagan et al.
5,776,710	A	7/1998	Levine et al.	7,056,657	B2	6/2006	Terstappen et al.
5,795,470	A	8/1998	Wang et al.	7,078,224	B1	7/2006	Bitner et al.
5,821,066	A	10/1998	Pyle et al. 435/7.2	7,096,057	B2	8/2006	Hockett et al.
5,834,217	A	11/1998	Levine et al.	7,141,978	B2	11/2006	Peck et al.
5,840,580	A	11/1998	Terstappen et al.	7,169,560	B2	1/2007	Lapidus et al.
5,846,719	A	12/1998	Brenner et al.	7,200,430	B2	4/2007	Thomas et al.
5,863,722	A	1/1999	Brenner	7,202,667	B2	4/2007	Barbic
5,866,099	A	2/1999	Owen et al.	RE39,793	E	8/2007	Brenner
5,869,252	A	2/1999	Bouma et al.	7,271,592	B1	9/2007	Gerald, II et al.
5,876,593	A	3/1999	Liberti et al.	7,274,191	B2	9/2007	Park et al.
5,925,573	A	7/1999	Colin et al.	7,282,180	B2	10/2007	Tibbe et al.
5,935,825	A	8/1999	Nishimura et al.	7,282,337	B1	10/2007	Harris
5,948,412	A	9/1999	Murphy	7,282,350	B2	10/2007	Rao et al.
5,955,583	A	9/1999	Beavo et al.	7,304,478	B2	12/2007	Tsuda et al.
5,985,153	A	11/1999	Dolan et al.	7,332,288	B2	2/2008	Terstappen et al.
5,993,665	A	11/1999	Terstappen et al.	7,345,479	B2	3/2008	Park et al.
6,013,188	A	1/2000	Terstappen et al.	7,393,665	B2	7/2008	Brenner
6,013,532	A	1/2000	Liberti et al.	7,403,008	B2	7/2008	Blank et al.
6,060,882	A	5/2000	Doty	7,405,567	B2	7/2008	McDowell
6,097,188	A	8/2000	Sweedler et al.	7,523,385	B2	4/2009	Nguyen et al.
6,100,099	A	8/2000	Gordon et al.	7,537,897	B2	5/2009	Brenner et al.
6,120,856	A	9/2000	Liberti et al.	7,544,473	B2	6/2009	Brenner
6,136,182	A	10/2000	Dolan et al.	7,564,245	B2	7/2009	Lee
6,138,077	A	10/2000	Brenner	7,666,308	B2	2/2010	Scholtens et al.
6,146,838	A	11/2000	Williams et al.	7,688,777	B2	3/2010	Liberti, Jr. et al.
6,150,516	A	11/2000	Brenner et al.	7,764,821	B2	7/2010	Coumans et al.
6,172,214	B1	1/2001	Brenner	7,815,863	B2	10/2010	Kagan et al.
6,172,218	B1	1/2001	Brenner	7,828,968	B2	11/2010	Tibbe et al.
6,194,900	B1	2/2001	Freeman et al.	7,863,012	B2	1/2011	Rao et al.
6,228,624	B1	5/2001	Terstappen	7,901,950	B2	3/2011	Connelly et al.
6,235,475	B1	5/2001	Brenner et al.	7,943,397	B2	5/2011	Tibbe et al.
6,236,205	B1	5/2001	Ludeke et al.	8,067,938	B2	11/2011	McDowell
6,242,915	B1	6/2001	Hurd	8,102,176	B2	1/2012	Lee
6,265,150	B1	7/2001	Terstappen et al.	8,110,101	B2	2/2012	Tibbe et al.
6,287,791	B1	9/2001	Terstappen et al.	8,111,669	B2	2/2012	Liberti, Jr. et al.
6,307,372	B1	10/2001	Sugarman et al.	8,128,890	B2	3/2012	Droog et al.
6,326,787	B1	12/2001	Cowgill	8,841,104	B2	9/2014	Dryga et al.
6,348,318	B1	2/2002	Valkirs	8,889,368	B2	11/2014	Barbreaux et al.
6,352,828	B1	3/2002	Brenner	2001/0018192	A1	8/2001	Terstappen et al.
6,361,749	B1	3/2002	Terstappen et al.	2002/0009759	A1	1/2002	Terstappen et al.
6,361,944	B1	3/2002	Mirkin et al.	2002/0012669	A1	1/2002	Presnell et al.
6,365,362	B1	4/2002	Terstappen et al.	2002/0098531	A1	7/2002	Thacker
6,397,094	B1	5/2002	Ludeke et al.	2002/0130661	A1	9/2002	Rafferty et al.
6,404,193	B1	6/2002	Dourdeville	2002/0132228	A1	9/2002	Terstappen et al.
6,456,072	B1	9/2002	Webb et al.	2002/0141913	A1	10/2002	Terstappen et al.
6,469,636	B1	10/2002	Baird et al.	2002/0164629	A1	11/2002	Quake et al.
6,487,437	B1	11/2002	Viswanathan et al.	2002/0164659	A1	11/2002	Rao et al.
6,495,357	B1	12/2002	Fuglsang et al.	2002/0172987	A1	11/2002	Terstappen et al.
6,512,941	B1	1/2003	Weiss et al.	2003/0003441	A1	1/2003	Colston et al.
				2003/0022231	A1	1/2003	Wangh et al.
				2003/0054376	A1	3/2003	Mullis et al.
				2003/0088181	A1	5/2003	Gleich
				2003/0092029	A1	5/2003	Josephson et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2010/0072994 A1 3/2010 Lee et al.
 2010/0129785 A1 5/2010 Pris et al.
 2010/0137143 A1 6/2010 Rothberg et al.
 2010/0144005 A1 6/2010 Bin Kingombe et al.
 2010/0188073 A1 7/2010 Rothberg et al.
 2010/0197507 A1 8/2010 Rothberg et al.
 2010/0219824 A1 9/2010 Sillerud et al.
 2010/0225315 A1 9/2010 McDowell
 2010/0282617 A1 11/2010 Rothberg et al.
 2010/0282788 A1 11/2010 Liberti
 2010/0300559 A1 12/2010 Schultz et al.
 2010/0300895 A1 12/2010 Nobile et al.
 2010/0301398 A1 12/2010 Rothberg et al.
 2010/0304982 A1 12/2010 Hinz et al.
 2010/0326587 A1 12/2010 Kagan et al.
 2011/0014686 A1 1/2011 Tibbe et al.
 2011/0018538 A1 1/2011 Lee
 2011/0044527 A1 2/2011 Tibbe et al.
 2011/0046475 A1 2/2011 Assif et al.
 2011/0052037 A1 3/2011 Coumans et al.
 2011/0059444 A1 3/2011 Stromberg et al.
 2011/0070586 A1 3/2011 Slezak et al.
 2011/0086338 A1 4/2011 Hwang et al.
 2011/0091987 A1 4/2011 Weissleder et al.
 2011/0098623 A1 4/2011 Zhang et al.
 2011/0104718 A1 5/2011 Rao et al.
 2011/0183398 A1 7/2011 Dasaratha et al.
 2011/0262893 A1 10/2011 Dryga et al.
 2011/0262925 A1 10/2011 Dryga et al.
 2011/0262926 A1 10/2011 Esch et al.
 2011/0262927 A1 10/2011 Dryga et al.
 2011/0262932 A1 10/2011 Esch et al.
 2011/0262933 A1 10/2011 Dryga et al.
 2011/0262989 A1 10/2011 Clarizia et al.
 2011/0263833 A1 10/2011 Dryga et al.
 2011/0300551 A1 12/2011 Rao et al.
 2011/0301042 A1 12/2011 Steinmann et al.
 2012/0045828 A1 2/2012 Davis et al.
 2012/0094275 A1 4/2012 Rao et al.
 2012/0100546 A1 4/2012 Lowery, Jr. et al.
 2012/0112744 A1 5/2012 McDowell et al.
 2013/0109590 A1 5/2013 Clarizia et al.
 2013/0196341 A1 8/2013 Neely et al.
 2013/0203634 A1 8/2013 Jovanovich et al.
 2013/0316355 A1 11/2013 Dryga et al.
 2014/0100136 A1 4/2014 Clarizia et al.
 2014/0170021 A1 6/2014 Dryga
 2014/0170639 A1 6/2014 Norvell
 2014/0170640 A1 6/2014 Dykes
 2014/0170641 A1 6/2014 Macemon
 2014/0170652 A1 6/2014 Sitdikov et al.
 2014/0170667 A1 6/2014 Dykes et al.
 2014/0170669 A1 6/2014 Vandervest
 2014/0170727 A1 6/2014 Dryga et al.
 2014/0171340 A1 6/2014 Dykes et al.
 2015/0212079 A1 7/2015 Dryga et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO 89/06699 A1 7/1989
 WO 90/08841 A1 8/1990
 WO 91/02811 A1 3/1991
 WO 92/08805 A1 5/1992
 WO 92/15883 A1 9/1992
 WO 95/31418 A1 11/1995
 WO 98/20148 A1 5/1998
 WO 99/53320 A1 10/1999
 WO 01/73460 A1 10/2001
 WO 02/98364 A2 12/2002
 WO 2005/026762 A1 3/2005
 WO 2005/106480 A1 11/2005
 WO 2007/018601 A1 2/2007
 WO 2007/123345 A1 11/2007
 WO 2007/135099 A1 11/2007
 WO 2007/123342 A1 11/2007
 WO WO 2007/123345 * 11/2007 G01N 33/49
 WO 2008/119054 A1 10/2008
 WO 2008/139419 A1 11/2008
 WO 2009/048673 A2 4/2009

(56) References Cited

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO	2009/055587	A1	4/2009
WO	2009/122216	A1	10/2009
WO	2011/019874	A1	2/2011
WO	2011/133630	A1	10/2011
WO	2011/133632	A1	10/2011
WO	2011/133759	A1	10/2011
WO	2011/133760	A1	10/2011

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Li et al., (J. of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology. 2010. vol. 10:696-701).*
- Sista et al., (Lab. Chip. 2008. vol. 8(12): 2188-2196).*
- Abagram, Principles of Nuclear Magnetism, Carendon Press, Oxford, 1961, pp. 71-83.
- Armenean, et al., NMR Radiofrequency Microcoil Design: Electromagnetic Simulation Usefulness, Comptes Rendus Biologies, 325(4):457-463 (2002).
- Armenean, et al., Solenoidal and Planar Microcoils for NMR Spectroscopy, Proc. of the 25th Annual Int. Conf. of the IEEE Eng. in Med. and Bio. Soc., Cancun, Mexico, Sep. 17, 2003, pp. 3045-3048.
- Behnia and Webb, Limited-Sample NMR Using Solenoidal Microcoils, Perfluorocarbon Plugs, and Capillary Spinning, Anal. Chem., 70:5326-5331 (1998).
- Byrne, et al., Antibody-Based Sensors: Principles, Problems and Potential for Detection of Pathogens and Associated Toxins, Sensors, 9:4407-4445 (2009).
- Chapman, et al., Use of commercial enzyme immunoassays and immunomagnetic separation systems for detecting *Escherichia coli* O157 in bovine fecal samples, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 63(7):2549-2553 (1997).
- Ciobanu and Pennington, 3D Micron-scale MRI of Single Biological Cells, Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson., 25:138-141 (2004).
- Cross, et al., Choice of Bacteria in Animal Models of Sepsis, Infect. Immun. 61(7):2741-2747 (1983).
- Djukovic, et al., Signal Enhancement in HPLC/Microcoil NMR Using Automated Column Trapping, Anal. Chem., 78:7154-7160 (2006).
- Drancourt, et al., Diagnosis of Mediterranean Spotted Fever by Indirect Immunofluorescence of *Rickettsia conorii* in Circulating Endothelial Cells Isolated with Monoclonal Antibody-Coated Immunomagnetic Beads, J. Infectious Diseases, 166(3):660-663, 1992.
- Fan, et al., Self-assembly of ordered, robust, three-dimensional gold nanocrystal/silica arrays, Science, 304:567 (2004).
- Fu, et al., Rapid Detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 by Immunomagnetic Separation and Real-time PCR, Int. J. Food Microbiology, 99(1):47-57, (2005).
- Fukushima et al., Experimental Pulse NMR: A Nuts and Bolts Approach, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1981.
- Goding, J.W., Conjugation of antibodies with fluorochromes: modifications to the standard methods, J. Immunol. Meth., 13:215 (1976).
- Goloshevsky, et al., Development of Low Field Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Microcoils, Rev. Sci. Inst., 76:024101-1 to 024101-6 (2005).
- Goloshevsky, et al., Integration of Biaxial Planar Gradient Coils and an RF Microcoil for NMR Flow Imaging, Meas. Sci. Technol., 16:505-512 (2005).
- Grant, et al., Analysis of Multilayer Radio Frequency Microcoils for Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy, IEEE Trans. Magn., 37:2989-2998 (2001).
- Grant, et al., NMR Spectroscopy of Single Neurons, Magn. Reson. Med., 44:19-22 (2000).
- Halbach, Design of Permanent Multipole Magnets with Oriented Rare Earth Cobalt Material, Nuclear Instrum Methods, 169:1-10 (1980).
- Harada, et al., Monoclonal antibody G6K12 specific for membrane-associated differentiation marker of human stratified squamous epithelia and squamous cell carcinoma, J. Oral. Pathol. Med., 22(4):1145-152 (1993).
- Harlow, et al., 1988, 'Antibodies', Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, pp. 93-117.
- Hijmans, et al., An immunofluorescence procedure for the detection of intracellular immunoglobulins, Clin. Exp. Immunol., 4:457 (1969).
- Hirsch, et al., Easily reversible desthiobiotin binding to streptavidin, avidin, and other biotin-binding proteins: uses for protein labeling, detection, and isolation, Anal. Biochem., 208(2):343-57 (2002).
- Hoult and Richards, The Signal-to-Noise Ratio of the Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Experiment, J. Magn. Reson., 24:71-85 (1976).
- Hunter, et al., Immunoassays for Clinical Chemistry, pp. 147-162, Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh (1983).
- Inai, et al., Immunohistochemical detection of an enamel protein-related epitope in rat bone at an early stage of osteogenesis, Histochemistry, 99(5):335-362 (1993).
- Engvall, Enzyme immunoassay ELISA and EMIT, Meth. in Enzymol., 70:419-439 (1980).
- ISR and Written Opinion in PCT/US2008/058518, mailed Jul. 7, 2008, 21 pages.
- ISR and Written Opinion in PCT/US2008/062473, mailed Oct. 29, 2008, 20 pages.
- ISR and Written Opinion in PCT/US2008/080983, mailed Mar. 3, 2009, 14 pages.
- ISR and Written Opinion in PCT/US2009/067577, mailed Feb. 5, 2010, 13 pages.
- International Search Report in PCT/US2011/33184, mailed Jul. 25, 2011, 2 pages.
- International Search Report in PCT/US2011/33186, mailed Jun. 22, 2011, 1 page.
- ISR and Written Opinion in PCT/US2011/48447, mailed Dec. 22, 2011, 7 pages.
- ISR and Written Opinion in PCT/US2011/48452, mailed Dec. 22, 2011, 7 pages.
- International Search Report in PCT/US2011/33411, mailed Jun. 22, 2011, 1 page.
- International Search Report in PCT/US2011/33410, mailed Jul. 19, 2011, 2 pages.
- Johns, et al., *Staphylococcus aureus* exopolysaccharide in vivo demonstrated by immunomagnetic separation and electron microscopy, J. Clin. Microbiol. 27:1631-1635 (1989).
- Johnson, Thermal Agitation of Electricity in Conductors, Phys. Rev., 32:97-109 (1928).
- Kaittanis, et al., One-step nanoparticle mediated bacterial detection with magnetic relaxation, Nano Lett., 7(2):381-383 (2007).
- Lee, et al., Chip-NRM Biosensor for detection and molecular analysis of cells, Nature Medicine, 14(8):869-874 (2008).
- Lund, et al., Immunomagnetic separation and DNA hybridization for detection of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* in a piglet model, J. Clin. Microbiol., 29:2259-2262 (1991).
- Magin, et al., Miniature Magnetic Resonance Machines, IEEE Spectrum 34(10):51-61 (1997).
- Malba, et al., Laser-lathe Lithography—A Novel Method for Manufacturing Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Microcoils, Biomed. Microdev., 5:21-27 (2003).
- Massin, et al., Planar Microcoil-based magnetic resonance imaging of cells, Transducers '03, The 12th Int. Conf. on Solid State Sensors, Actuators, and Microsystems, Boston, Jun. 8-12, pp. 967-970 (2003).
- Massin, et al., Planar Microcoil-based Microfluidic NMR Probes, J. Magn. Reson., 164:242-255 (2003).
- McDowell, et al., Low-Field Micro-Coil Probe Development for Portable NMR, 8th ICMRM, The Heidelberg Conference, Mibu, Japan, Aug. 22-26, 2005, Conference Program Abstract, 1 page.
- McDowell, et al., Operating Nanoliter Scale NMR Microcoils in a Tesla Field, J. Mag. Reson., 188(1):74-82 (2007).
- Minard, et al., Solenoidal Microcoil Design, Part I: Optimizing RF Homogeneity and coil dimensions, Concepts in Magn. Reson., 13(2):128-142 (2001).

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Moresi and Magin, Miniature Permanent Magnet for Table-top NMR, *Concept. Magn. Res.*, 19B:35-43 (2003).
- Mulder, et al., Characterization of two human monoclonal antibodies reactive with HLA-B12 and HLA-B60, respectively, raised by in vitro secondary immunization of peripheral blood lymphocytes, *Hum. Immunol.*, 36(3):186-192 (1993).
- Nyquist, Thermal Agitation of Electrical Charge in Conductors, *Phys. Rev.*, 32:110-113 (1928).
- Margin, et al., High resolution microcoil 1H-NMR for mass-limited, nanoliter-volume samples, *Science*, 270:1967 (1995).
- Olson, et al., High-resolution microcoil NMR for analysis of mass-limited, nanoliter samples, *Anal. Chem.*, 70:645-650 (1998).
- Pappas, et al., Cellular Separations: A Review of New Challenges in Analytical Chemistry, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 601(1):26-35 (2007).
- Peck, et al., Design and Analysis of Microcoils for NMR Microscopy, *J. Magn. Reson. B* 108:114-124 (1995).
- Peck, et al., RF Microcoils patterned using microlithographic techniques for use as microsensors in NMR, *Proc. 15th Ann. Int. Conf. of the IEEE*, Oct 28-31, pp. 174-175 (1993).
- Perez, et al., Viral-induced self-assembly of magnetic nanoparticle allows detection of viral particles in biological media, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 125:10192-10193 (2003).
- Qiu, et al., Immunomagnetic separation and rapid detection of bacteria using bioluminescence and microfluidics, *Talanta*, 79:787-795 (2009).
- Rogers, et al., Using microcontact printing to fabricate microcoils on capillaries for high resolution proton nuclear magnetic resonance on nanoliter volumes, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 70:2464-2466 (1997).
- Seeber, et al., Design and Testing of high sensitivity Microreceiver Coil Apparatus for Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Imaging, *Rev. Sci. Inst.*, 72:2171-2179 (2001).
- Seeber, et al., Triaxial Magnetic Field Gradient System for Microcoil Magnetic Resonance Imaging, *Rev. Sci. Inst.*, 71:4263-4272 (2000).
- Sillerud, et al., 1H NMR Detection of Superparamagnetic Nanoparticles at 1 T using a Microcoil and Novel Tuning Circuit, *J. Magn. Reson.* 181:181-190 (2006).
- Skjerve, et al., Detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in foods by immunomagnetic separation, *Appl. Env. Microbiol.*, 56:3478 (1990).
- Sorli, et al., Micro-spectrometer for NMR: analysis of small quantities in vitro, *Meas. Sci. Technol.*, 15:877-880 (2004).
- Stanley, *Essentials in Immunology and Serology*, Delmar, pp. 153-153 (2002).
- Stauber, et al., Rapid generation of monoclonal antibody-secreting hybridomas against African horse sickness virus by in vitro immunization and the fusion/cloning technique, *J. Immunol. Methods*, 161(2):157-168 (1993).
- Stocker, et al., Nanoliter volume, high-resolution NMR Microspectroscopy using a 60 um planer microcoil, *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, 44:1122-1127 (1997).
- Subramanian, et al., RF Microcoil Design for Practical NMR of Mass-Limited Samples, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 133:227-231 (1998).
- Taktak, et al., Multiparameter Magnetic Relaxation Switch Assays, *Analytical Chemistry*, 79(23):8863-8869 (2007).
- Torensama, et al., Monoclonal Antibodies Specific for the Phase-Variant O-Acetylated Ki Capsule of *Escherichia coli*, *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, 29(7):1356-1358 (1991).
- Trumbull, et al., Integrating microfabricated fluidic systems and NMR spectroscopy, *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, 47(1):3-7 (2000).
- Van Bentum, et al., Towards Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (MU)-Spectroscopy and (MU)-Imaging, *Analyst*, Royal Society of Chemistry, London, 129(9):793-803 (2004).
- Venkateswaran, et al., Production of Anti-Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor Monoclonal Antibodies by In Vitro Immunization, *Hybridoma*, 11(6):729-739 (1992).
- Vermunt, et al., Isolation of *salmonelas* by immunomagnetic separation, *J. Appl. Bact.*, 72:112-118 (1992).
- Wang and Irudayaraj, Multifunctional Magnetic-Optical Nanoparticle Probes for Simultaneous Detection, Separation, and Thermal Ablation of Multiple Pathogens, *Small*, 6(2):283-289 (2010).
- Webb and Grant, Signal-to-Noise and Magnetic Susceptibility Trade-offs in Solenoidal Microcoils for NMR, *J. Magn. Reson. B*, 113:83-87 (1996).
- Wensink, et al., High Signal to Noise Ratio in Low-field NMR on a Chip: Simulations and Experimental Results, 17th IEEE MEMS, 407-410 (2004).
- Williams and Wang, Microfabrication of an electromagnetic power micro-relay using SU-8 based UV-LIGA technology, *Microsystem Technologies*, 10(10):699-705 (2004).
- Wu, et al., 1H-NMR Spectroscopy on the Nanoliter Scale for Static and On-Line Measurements, *Anal. Chem.*, 66:3849 (1994).
- Zhao, et al., A rapid bioassay for single bacterial cell quantitation using bioconjugated nanoparticles, *PNAS*, 101(42):15027-15032 (2004).
- Zordan, et al., Detection of Pathogenic *E. coli* O157:H7 by a Hybrid Microfluidic SPR and Molecular Imaging—Cytometry Device, *Cytometry A*, 75A:155-162 (2009).
- Li et al., 2010, Chemiluminescent Detect of *E. coli* O157:H7 Using Immunological Method Based on Magnetic Nanoparticles, *J. of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology* 10:696-701.
- Sista et al., 2008, Heterogeneous Immunoassays Using Magnetic beads on a Digital Microfluidic Platform, *Lab Chip* 8(2):2188-2196. Life Technologies, "Dynabeads® for Immunoassay IVD", retrieved from <http://www.invitrogen.com/site/us/en/home/Productsand-Services/Applications/Diagnostics-Clinical-Research/Bead-based-IVD-Assays/Bead-based-Immunoassay-IVD.html> on May 29, 2013, four pages.
- Yu et al. "Development of a Magnetic Microplate Chemifluorimunoassay for Rapid Detection of Bacteria and Toxin in Blood", *Analytical Biochemistry* 261 (1998), pp. 1-7.
- The United States Naval Research Laboratory (NRL), "The FABS Device: Magnetic Particles", retrieved from <http://www.nrl.navy.mil/chemistry/6170/6177/beads.php> on Jan. 8, 2013, two pages.
- Burtis et al. (Burtis, C.A. (Ed.), *Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry*, 3rd Edition (1999), W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, PA, pp. 1793-1794).
- Campuzano, et al., Bacterial Isolation by Lectin Modified Microengines, *Nano Lett.* Jan. 11, 2012; 12(1): 396-401.
- Extended European Search Report, issued on Oct. 15, 2013 for EP application No. 11772606.7.
- International Search Report issued in PCT/US2013/076649, mailed on Feb. 27, 2014.
- Chungang Wang et al. "Multifunctional Magnetic-Optical Nanoparticle Probes for Simultaneous Detection, Separation, and Thermal Ablation of Multiple Pathogens", *Small*, vol. 6, No. 2 Jan. 18, 2010, pp. 283-289.
- Madonna A J, et al. "Detection of Bacteria from Biological Mixtures Using Immunomagnetic Separation Combined with Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-of-flight Mass Spectrometry", *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, John Wiley & Sons, GB, vol. 15, No. 13, Jan. 1, 2001, pp. 1068-1074.
- Extended European Search Report issued in EP 11864030.9, mailed on Aug. 20, 2014.
- Fung, M-C., et al. PCR amplification of mRNA directly from a crude cell lysate prepared by thermophilic protease digestion, *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 19 (15), p. 4300, 1991.
- Dynabeads® for Immunoassay IVD, retrieved from <http://www.invitrogen.com/site/i3s/en/home/Products-and-Services/Applications/DiagnosticsClinical-Research/Bead-based-IVD-Assays/Bead-based-Immunoassay-IVD.html> on May 29, 2013, four pages.
- Cooper et al., 2011, A micromagnetic flux concentrator device for isolation and visualization of pathogen. 15th International Conference on Miniaturized Systems for Chemistry and Life Sciences. Oct. 2-6, 2011, Seattle, Washington, USA.
- Griffiths et al., 1994, Isolation of high affinity human antibodies directly from large synthetic repertoires. *EMBO J.* 13(14):3245-3260.
- Moreira et al., 2008, Detection of *Salmonella typhimurium* in Raw Meats using In-House Prepared Monoclonal Antibody Coated Mag-

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- netic Beads and PCR Assay of the *fimA* Gene. *Journal of Immunoassay & Immunochemistry* 29:58-69.
- Yeung et al., 2002, Quantitative Screening of Yeast Surface-Displayed Polypeptide Libraries by Magnetic Bead Capture. *Biotechnol.* 18:212-220.
- Dover, Jason E., et al. "Recent advances in peptide probe-based biosensors for detection of infectious agents." *Journal of microbiological methods* 78.1 (2009): 10-19.
- International Search Report for PCT/US2013/076649 with an International filing date of Dec. 19, 2013, 2 pages.
- ISR and Written Opinion in PCT/US2008/058518, date of issuance Sep. 29, 2009, 15 pages.
- Gu et al., 2003, Using Biofunctional Magnetic Nanoparticles to Capture Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci and Other Gram-Positive Bacteria at Ultralow Concentration, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 125:15702-15703.
- Gu et al., 2006, Biofunctional magnetic nanoparticles for protein separation and pathogen detection, *Chem. Commun.*:941-949.
- Heijnen et al., 2009, Method for rapid detection of viable *Escherichia coli* in water using real-time NASBA, *Water Research*, 43:3124-3132.
- Butter et al., 2002, Synthesis and properties of iron ferrofluids, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 252:1-3.
- Lu et al., 2007, Magnetic Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Protection, Functionalization, and Application, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 46:1222-1244.
- Matar et al., 1990, Magnetic particles derived from iron nitride, *IEEE Transactions on magnetics* 26(1):60-62.
- Cold Spring Harbor Protocols, Recipe for Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (Dulbecco's PBS, 2009, retrieved from http://cshprotocols.cshlp.org/content/2009/3/pdb.rec11725.full?text_only=true on Mar. 9, 2015, one page.
- Cheng et al, 2012, Concentration and detection of bacteria in virtual environmental samples based on non-immunomagnetic separation and quantum dots by using a laboratory-made system, *Proc. of SPIE*:82310Y-1-82310Y-18.
- Ohno et al, 2011, Effects of Blood Group Antigen-Binding Adhesin Expression during *Helicobacter pylori* Infection of Mongolian Gerbils, *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 203:726-735.
- Barany F. (1991) *PNAS* 88:189-193.
- Narang et al., *Methods Enzymol.*, 68:90 (1979).
- Brown et al., *Methods Enzymol.*, 68:109 (1979).
- DNA Replication 2nd edition, Komberg and Baker, W.H. Freeman, New York, NY (1991).
- Barany et al., *Gene*, 108:1 (1991).
- Hinnisdales et al., *Biotechniques Res.*, 19:4193 (1996).
- Myers and Gelfand, *Biochemistry* 30:7661 (1991).
- Stenish and McGowan, *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 475:32 (1977).
- Levin, *Cell* 88:5-8 (1997).
- Kleinstruer, "Microfluidics and Nanofluidics: Theory and Selected Applications," John Wiley & Sons, 2013.
- Maniatis et al., *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 1982, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, pp. 280-281.
- Sambrook and Russell, *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual* 3Ed, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2001.
- Barany, F., *Genome research*, 1:5-16 (1991).
- Margulies et al., *Nature*, 437: 376-380 (2005).
- Olsvik et al. *Magnetic Separation Techniques in Diagnostic Microbiology Clinical Microbiol Rev* 1994 7 43 54.
- Chandler et al., Automated immunomagnetic separation and microarray detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 from poultry carcass rinse, *Int. J. Food Micro.*, 70 (2001) 143-154.
- Bruno et al., "Development of an Immunomagnetic Assay System for Rapid Detection of Bacteria and Leukocytes in Body Fluids," *J Mol Recog.* 9 (1996) 474-479.
- Andreassen, Jack, "One micron magnetic beads optimised for automated immunoassays" as Published in *CLI Apr.* 2005, retrieved from http://www.cli-online.com/uploads/tx_ttproducts/datasheet/one-micron-magnetic-beads-optimised-for-automated-immunoassays.pdf on Dec. 28, 2015, four pages.
- Safarik et al., "The application of magnetic separations in applied Microbiology" *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* 1995, 78, 575-585.
- Dam et al. "Garlic (*Allium sativum*) Lectins Bind to High Mannose Oligosaccharide Chains", *Journal of Biological chemistry* vol. 273, No. 10, Issue of Mar. 6, pp. 5528-5535, 1998.
- Fenwick et al., 1986, Mechanisms Involved in Protection Provided by Immunization against Core Lipopolysaccharides of *Escherichia coli* J5 from Lethal *Haemophilus pleuropneumoniae* Infections in Swine, *Infection and Immunity* 53(2):298-304.
- Agrawal et al., 1990, *Tetrahedron Letters* 31:1543-46.
- Harkins and Harrigan, "Labeling of Bacterial Pathogens for Flow Cytometric Detection and Enumeration" *Curr Prot Cytom* (2004) 11.17.1-11.17.20.
- Takagi et al., *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63:4504 (1997).
- Cariello et al., *Nucl Acids Res.* 19:4193 (1991).
- Lecomte et al. *Nucl Acids Res.* 11:7505 (1983).
- Cann et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 95:14250 (1998).
- Braslaysky et al., *PNAS*, 100:3690-3694 (2003).
- Moudrianakis et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 53:564-71 (1965).
- Vandeventer, *J. Clin. Microbiol.* Jul. 2011, 49(7):2533-39.
- Carroll, N. M., E. E. Jaeger, et al. (2000). "Detection of and discrimination between gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria in intraocular samples by using nested PCR." *J Clin Microbiol* 38(5): 1753-1757.
- Klaschik, S., L. E. Lehmann, et al. (2002). "Real-time PCR for detection and differentiation of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria." *J Clin Microbiol* 40(11): 4304-4307.
- Chien et al., *J. Bacteriol.* 127:1550 (1976).
- Nordstrom et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 256:3112 (1981).
- Elnifro, Elfath M., et al. "Multiplex PCR: optimization and application in diagnostic virology." *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 13.4 (2000): 559-570.
- Soni et al., *Clin Chem* 53:1996-2001 (2007).
- Diaz et al., *Braz J. Med. Res.*, 31:1239 (1998).
- Verma, *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 473:1-38 (1977).
- Harris et al., *Science* 320:106-109 (2008).
- Payne, M.J. et al., "The Use of Immobilized Lectins in the Separation of *Staphylococcus Aureus*, *Escherichia Coli*, *Listeria* and *Salmonella* spp. from Pure Cultures and Foods", *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 1992, No. 73, pp. 41-52 (12 Pages).

* cited by examiner

ANALYZING BACTERIA WITHOUT CULTURING

RELATED APPLICATION

The present application claims the benefit of and priority to U.S. provisional patent application Ser. No. 61/326,588, filed Apr. 21, 2010, the content of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention generally relates to conducting an assay on a sample that isolates a pathogen from the sample and allows for analysis of the pathogen with minimal (i.e., at most 24 hrs of culturing) or no culturing of the pathogen.

BACKGROUND

Blood-borne pathogens are a significant healthcare problem. A delayed or improper diagnosis of a bacterial infection can result in sepsis—a serious, and often deadly, inflammatory response to the infection. Sepsis is the 10th leading cause of death in the United States. Early detection of bacterial infections in blood is the key to preventing the onset of sepsis. Traditional methods of detection and identification of blood-borne infection include blood culture and antibiotic susceptibility assays. Those methods typically require culturing cells, which can be expensive and can take as long as 72 hours. Often, septic shock will occur before cell culture results can be obtained.

Alternative methods for detection of pathogens, particularly bacteria, have been described by others. Those methods include molecular detection methods, antigen detection methods, and metabolite detection methods. Molecular detection methods, whether involving hybrid capture or polymerase chain reaction (PCR), require high concentrations of purified DNA for detection. Both antigen detection and metabolite detection methods also require a relatively large amount of bacteria and have high limit of detection (usually >10⁴ CFU/mL), thus requiring an enrichment step prior to detection. This incubation/enrichment period is intended to allow for the growth of bacteria and an increase in bacterial cell numbers to more readily aid in identification. In many cases, a series of two or three separate incubations is needed to isolate the target bacteria. However, such enrichment steps require a significant amount of time (e.g., at least a few days to a week) and can potentially compromise test sensitivity by killing some of the cells sought to be measured.

There is a need for methods for isolating target analytes, such as bacteria, from a sample, such as a blood sample, without an additional enrichment step. There is also a need for methods of isolating target analytes that are fast and sensitive in order to provide data for patient treatment decisions in a clinically relevant time frame.

SUMMARY

The present invention provides methods and devices for isolating pathogens in a biological sample. The invention allows the rapid detection of pathogen at very low levels in the sample; thus enabling early and accurate detection and identification of the pathogen. Since methods of the invention are able to isolate pathogens at very low levels, methods of the invention reduce or eliminate the culturing step that is typically associated with pathogen analysis and allow for

more rapid analysis of the isolated pathogen. In this manner, methods of the invention provide data for patient treatment decisions in a clinically relevant time frame.

In certain aspects, methods of the invention involve obtaining a sample including a pathogen, conducting an assay that isolates the pathogen from the sample, culturing the isolated pathogen for at most about 24 hrs, and analyzing the pathogen. The invention may be carried out with magnetic particles having a target-specific binding moiety. Methods of the invention may involve introducing magnetic particles including a target-specific binding moiety to a body fluid sample in order to create a mixture, incubating the mixture to allow the particles to bind to a target, applying a magnetic field to capture target/magnetic particle complexes on a surface, and washing with a wash solution that reduces particle aggregation, thereby isolating target/magnetic particle complexes. A particular advantage of methods of the invention is for capture and isolation of bacteria and fungi directly from blood samples at low concentrations that are present in many clinical samples (as low as 1 CFU/ml of bacteria in a blood sample).

The target-specific binding moiety will depend on the target to be captured. The moiety may be any capture moiety known in the art, such as an antibody, an aptamer, a nucleic acid, a protein, a receptor, a phage or a ligand. In particular embodiments, the target-specific binding moiety is an antibody. In certain embodiments, the antibody is specific for bacteria. In other embodiments, the antibody is specific for fungi.

The target analyte refers to the target that will be captured and isolated by methods of the invention. The target may be bacteria, fungi, protein, a cell, a virus, a nucleic acid, a receptor, a ligand, or any molecule known in the art. In certain embodiments, the target is a pathogenic bacteria. In other embodiments, the target is a gram positive or gram negative bacteria. Exemplary bacterial species that may be captured and isolated by methods of the invention include *E. coli*, *Listeria*, *Clostridium*, *Mycobacterium*, *Shigella*, *Borrelia*, *Campylobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Salmonella*, *Staphylococcus*, *Enterococcus*, *Pneumococcus*, *Streptococcus*, and a combination thereof.

Methods of the invention may be performed with any type of magnetic particle. Magnetic particles generally fall into two broad categories. The first category includes particles that are permanently magnetizable, or ferromagnetic; and the second category includes particles that demonstrate bulk magnetic behavior only when subjected to a magnetic field. The latter are referred to as magnetically responsive particles. Materials displaying magnetically responsive behavior are sometimes described as superparamagnetic. However, materials exhibiting bulk ferromagnetic properties, e.g., magnetic iron oxide, may be characterized as superparamagnetic when provided in crystals of about 30 nm or less in diameter. Larger crystals of ferromagnetic materials, by contrast, retain permanent magnet characteristics after exposure to a magnetic field and tend to aggregate thereafter due to strong particle-particle interaction. In certain embodiments, the particles are superparamagnetic beads. In other embodiments, the magnetic particles include at least 70% superparamagnetic beads by weight. In certain embodiments, the superparamagnetic beads are from about 100 nm to about 250 nm in diameter. In certain embodiments, the magnetic particle is an iron-containing magnetic particle. In other embodiments, the magnetic particle includes iron oxide or iron platinum.

In certain embodiments, the incubating step includes incubating the mixture in a buffer that inhibits cell lysis. In

certain embodiments, the buffer includes Tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane hydrochloride at a concentration of between about 50 mM and about 100 mM, preferably about 75 mM. In other embodiments, methods of the invention further include retaining the magnetic particles in a magnetic field during the washing step. Methods of the invention may be used with any body fluid. Exemplary body fluids include blood, sputum, serum, plasma, urine, saliva, sweat, and cerebral spinal fluid.

Another aspect of the invention provides methods for identifying a pathogen from a sample that involve obtaining a sample comprising a pathogen, conducting an assay that isolates the pathogen from the sample, and analyzing the pathogen without first culturing the pathogen.

Another aspect of the invention provides methods for identifying a pathogen from a sample that involve introducing magnetic particles comprising a target-specific binding moiety to a sample in order to create a mixture, incubating the mixture to allow the particles to bind to a pathogen in the sample, applying a magnetic field to isolate pathogen/magnetic particle complexes from the sample, culturing the isolated pathogen for at most about 24 hrs, and analyzing the pathogen.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The invention generally relates to conducting an assay on a sample that isolates a pathogen from the sample and allows for analysis of the pathogen with minimal (i.e., at most 24 hrs of culturing) or no culturing of the pathogen. In certain embodiments, methods of the invention involve obtaining a sample including a pathogen, conducting an assay that isolates the pathogen from the sample, culturing the isolated pathogen for at most about 24 hrs, and analyzing the pathogen. In other embodiments, culturing is for at most about 23 hrs, for at most about 22 hrs, for at most about 21 hrs, for at most about 20 hrs, for at most about 15 hrs, for at most about 10 hrs, for at most about 9 hrs, for at most about 8 hrs, for at most about 7 hrs, for at most about 6 hrs, for at most about 5 hrs, for at most about 4 hrs, for at most about 3 hrs, for at most about 2 hrs, or for at most about 1 hr. In particular embodiments, culturing is for less than 1 hr, for example, about 45 minutes, about 30 minutes, about 15 minutes, about 10 minutes, or for less than 10 minutes. In particular embodiments, culturing is completely eliminated and the isolated bacteria is analyzed directly without any culturing, i.e., culturing is eliminated.

In certain aspects, methods of the invention involve introducing magnetic particles including a target-specific binding moiety to a body fluid sample in order to create a mixture, incubating the mixture to allow the particles to bind to a target, applying a magnetic field to capture target/magnetic particle complexes on a surface, thereby isolating target/magnetic particle complexes. Methods of the invention may further involve washing the mixture in a wash solution that reduces particle aggregation. Certain fundamental technologies and principles are associated with binding magnetic materials to target entities and subsequently separating by use of magnet fields and gradients. Such fundamental technologies and principles are known in the art and have been previously described, such as those described in Janeway (Immunobiology, 6th edition, Garland Science Publishing), the content of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

Methods of the invention involve collecting a body fluid having a target analyte in a container, such as a blood collection tube (e.g., VACUTAINER, test tube specifically

designed for venipuncture, commercially available from Becton, Dickinson and company). In certain embodiments, a solution is added that prevents or reduces aggregation of endogenous aggregating factors, such as heparin in the case of blood.

A body fluid refers to a liquid material derived from, for example, a human or other mammal. Such body fluids include, but are not limited to, mucus, blood, plasma, serum, serum derivatives, bile, phlegm, saliva, sweat, amniotic fluid, mammary fluid, urine, sputum, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), such as lumbar or ventricular CSF. A body fluid may also be a fine needle aspirate. A body fluid also may be media containing cells or biological material. In particular embodiments, the fluid is blood.

Methods of the invention may be used to detect any target analyte. The target analyte refers to the substance in the sample that will be captured and isolated by methods of the invention. The target may be bacteria, fungi, a protein, a cell (such as a cancer cell, a white blood cell, a virally infected cell, or a fetal cell circulating in maternal circulation), a virus, a nucleic acid (e.g., DNA or RNA), a receptor, a ligand, a hormone, a drug, a chemical substance, or any molecule known in the art. In certain embodiments, the target is a pathogenic bacteria. In other embodiments, the target is a gram positive or gram negative bacteria. Exemplary bacterial species that may be captured and isolated by methods of the invention include *E. coli*, *Listeria*, *Clostridium*, *Mycobacterium*, *Shigella*, *Borrelia*, *Campylobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Salmonella*, *Staphylococcus*, *Enterococcus*, *Pneumococcus*, *Streptococcus*, and a combination thereof. A particular advantage of methods of the invention is for capture and isolation of bacteria and fungi directly from blood samples at low concentrations that are present in many clinical samples (as low as 1 CFU/ml of bacteria in a blood sample).

The sample is then mixed with magnetic particles having a particular magnetic moment and also including a target-specific binding moiety to generate a mixture that is allowed to incubate such that the particles bind to a target in the sample, such as a bacterium in a blood sample. The mixture is allowed to incubate for a sufficient time to allow for the particles to bind to the target analyte. The process of binding the magnetic particles to the target analytes associates a magnetic moment with the target analytes, and thus allows the target analytes to be manipulated through forces generated by magnetic fields upon the attached magnetic moment.

In general, incubation time will depend on the desired degree of binding between the target analyte and the magnetic beads (e.g., the amount of moment that would be desirably attached to the target), the amount of moment per target, the amount of time of mixing, the type of mixing, the reagents present to promote the binding and the binding chemistry system that is being employed. Incubation time can be anywhere from about 5 seconds to a few days. Exemplary incubation times range from about 10 seconds to about 2 hours. Binding occurs over a wide range of temperatures, generally between 15° C. and 40° C.

Methods of the invention may use any magnetic particulates. In certain embodiments, methods of the invention are performed with magnetic particle having a magnetic moment that allows for isolation of as low as 1 CFU/ml of bacteria in the sample. Production of magnetic particles is shown for example in Giaever (U.S. Pat. No. 3,970,518), Senyi et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,230,685), Dodin et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,677,055), Whitehead et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,695,393), Benjamin et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,695,946), Giaever (U.S. Pat. No. 4,018,886), Rembaum (U.S. Pat. No. 4,267,234), Mol-

day (U.S. Pat. No. 4,452,773), Whitehead et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,554,088), Forrest (U.S. Pat. No. 4,659,678), Liberti et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,186,827), Own et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,795,698), and Liberti et al. (WO 91/02811), the content of each of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

Magnetic particles generally fall into two broad categories. The first category includes particles that are permanently magnetizable, or ferromagnetic; and the second category includes particles that demonstrate bulk magnetic behavior only when subjected to a magnetic field. The latter are referred to as magnetically responsive particles. Materials displaying magnetically responsive behavior are sometimes described as superparamagnetic. However, materials exhibiting bulk ferromagnetic properties, e.g., magnetic iron oxide, may be characterized as superparamagnetic when provided in crystals of about 30 nm or less in diameter. Larger crystals of ferromagnetic materials, by contrast, retain permanent magnet characteristics after exposure to a magnetic field and tend to aggregate thereafter due to strong particle-particle interaction. In certain embodiments, the particles are superparamagnetic beads. In certain embodiments, the magnetic particle is an iron containing magnetic particle. In other embodiments, the magnetic particle includes iron oxide or iron platinum.

In certain embodiments, the magnetic particles include at least about 10% superparamagnetic beads by weight, at least about 20% superparamagnetic beads by weight, at least about 30% superparamagnetic beads by weight, at least about 40% superparamagnetic beads by weight, at least about 50% superparamagnetic beads by weight, at least about 60% superparamagnetic beads by weight, at least about 70% superparamagnetic beads by weight, at least about 80% superparamagnetic beads by weight, at least about 90% superparamagnetic beads by weight, at least about 95% superparamagnetic beads by weight, or at least about 99% superparamagnetic beads by weight. In a particular embodiment, the magnetic particles include at least about 70% superparamagnetic beads by weight.

In certain embodiments, the superparamagnetic beads are less than 100 nm in diameter. In other embodiments, the superparamagnetic beads are about 150 nm in diameter, are about 200 nm in diameter, are about 250 nm in diameter, are about 300 nm in diameter, are about 350 nm in diameter, are about 400 nm in diameter, are about 500 nm in diameter, or are about 1000 nm in diameter. In a particular embodiment, the superparamagnetic beads are from about 100 nm to about 250 nm in diameter.

In certain embodiments, the particles are beads (e.g., nanoparticles) that incorporate magnetic materials, or magnetic materials that have been functionalized, or other configurations as are known in the art. In certain embodiments, nanoparticles may be used that include a polymer material that incorporates magnetic material(s), such as nanometal material(s). When those nanometal material(s) or crystal(s), such as Fe_3O_4 , are superparamagnetic, they may provide advantageous properties, such as being capable of being magnetized by an external magnetic field, and demagnetized when the external magnetic field has been removed. This may be advantageous for facilitating sample transport into and away from an area where the sample is being processed without undue bead aggregation.

One or more or many different nanometal(s) may be employed, such as Fe_3O_4 , FePt, or Fe, in a core-shell configuration to provide stability, and/or various others as may be known in the art. In many applications, it may be advantageous to have a nanometal having as high a saturated

moment per volume as possible, as this may maximize gradient related forces, and/or may enhance a signal associated with the presence of the beads. It may also be advantageous to have the volumetric loading in a bead be as high as possible, for the same or similar reason(s). In order to maximize the moment provided by a magnetizable nanometal, a certain saturation field may be provided. For example, for Fe_3O_4 superparamagnetic particles, this field may be on the order of about 0.3 T.

The size of the nanometal containing bead may be optimized for a particular application, for example, maximizing moment loaded upon a target, maximizing the number of beads on a target with an acceptable detectability, maximizing desired force-induced motion, and/or maximizing the difference in attached moment between the labeled target and non-specifically bound targets or bead aggregates or individual beads. While maximizing is referenced by example above, other optimizations or alterations are contemplated, such as minimizing or otherwise desirably affecting conditions.

In an exemplary embodiment, a polymer bead containing 80 wt % Fe_3O_4 superparamagnetic particles, or for example, 90 wt % or higher superparamagnetic particles, is produced by encapsulating superparamagnetic particles with a polymer coating to produce a bead having a diameter of about 250 nm.

Magnetic particles for use with methods of the invention have a target-specific binding moiety that allows for the particles to specifically bind the target of interest in the sample. The target-specific moiety may be any molecule known in the art and will depend on the target to be captured and isolated. Exemplary target-specific binding moieties include nucleic acids, proteins, ligands, antibodies, aptamers, and receptors.

In particular embodiments, the target-specific binding moiety is an antibody, such as an antibody that binds a particular bacterium. General methodologies for antibody production, including criteria to be considered when choosing an animal for the production of antisera, are described in Harlow et al. (Antibodies, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, pp. 93-117, 1988). For example, an animal of suitable size such as goats, dogs, sheep, mice, or camels are immunized by administration of an amount of immunogen, such the target bacteria, effective to produce an immune response. An exemplary protocol is as follows. The animal is injected with 100 milligrams of antigen resuspended in adjuvant, for example Freund's complete adjuvant, dependent on the size of the animal, followed three weeks later with a subcutaneous injection of 100 micrograms to 100 milligrams of immunogen with adjuvant dependent on the size of the animal, for example Freund's incomplete adjuvant. Additional subcutaneous or intraperitoneal injections every two weeks with adjuvant, for example Freund's incomplete adjuvant, are administered until a suitable titer of antibody in the animal's blood is achieved. Exemplary titers include a titer of at least about 1:5000 or a titer of 1:100,000 or more, i.e., the dilution having a detectable activity. The antibodies are purified, for example, by affinity purification on columns containing protein G resin or target-specific affinity resin.

The technique of in vitro immunization of human lymphocytes is used to generate monoclonal antibodies. Techniques for in vitro immunization of human lymphocytes are well known to those skilled in the art. See, e.g., Inai, et al., Histochemistry, 99(5):335-362, May 1993; Mulder, et al., Hum. Immunol., 36(3):186-192, 1993; Harada, et al., J. Oral Pathol. Med., 22(4):145-152, 1993; Stauber, et al., J. Immunol. Methods, 161(2):157-168, 1993; and Venkateswaran, et

al., *Hybridoma*, 11(6) 729-739, 1992. These techniques can be used to produce antigen-reactive monoclonal antibodies, including antigen-specific IgG, and IgM monoclonal antibodies.

Any antibody or fragment thereof having affinity and specific for the bacteria of interest is within the scope of the invention provided herein. Immunomagnetic beads against *Salmonella* are provided in Vermunt et al. (*J. Appl. Bact.* 72:112, 1992). Immunomagnetic beads against *Staphylococcus aureus* are provided in John et al. (*J. Clin. Microbiol.* 27:1631, 1989). Immunomagnetic beads against *Listeria* are provided in Skjerve et al. (*Appl. Env. Microbiol.* 56:3478, 1990). Immunomagnetic beads against *Escherichia coli* are provided in Lund et al. (*J. Clin. Microbiol.* 29:2259, 1991).

Methods for attaching the target-specific binding moiety to the magnetic particle are known in the art. Coating magnetic particles with antibodies is well known in the art, see for example Harlow et al. (*Antibodies*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1988), Hunter et al. (*Immunoassays for Clinical Chemistry*, pp. 147-162, eds., Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh, 1983), and Stanley (*Essentials in Immunology and Serology*, Delmar, pp. 152-153, 2002). Such methodology can easily be modified by one of skill in the art to bind other types of target-specific binding moieties to the magnetic particles. Certain types of magnetic particles coated with a functional moiety are commercially available from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Mo.).

In certain embodiments, a buffer solution is added to the sample along with the magnetic beads. An exemplary buffer includes Tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane hydrochloride at a concentration of about 75 mM. It has been found that the buffer composition, mixing parameters (speed, type of mixing, such as rotation, shaking etc., and temperature) influence binding. It is important to maintain osmolality of the final solution (e.g., blood+buffer) to maintain high label efficiency. In certain embodiments, buffers used in methods of the invention are designed to prevent lysis of blood cells, facilitate efficient binding of targets with magnetic beads and to reduce formation of bead aggregates. It has been found that the buffer solution containing 300 mM NaCl, 75 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 0.1% Tween 20 meets these design goals.

Without being limited by any particular theory or mechanism of action, it is believed that sodium chloride is mainly responsible for maintaining osmolality of the solution and for the reduction of non-specific binding of magnetic bead through ionic interaction. Tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane hydrochloride is a well established buffer compound frequently used in biology to maintain pH of a solution. It has been found that 75 mM concentration is beneficial and sufficient for high binding efficiency. Likewise, Tween 20 is widely used as a mild detergent to decrease nonspecific attachment due to hydrophobic interactions. Various assays use Tween 20 at concentrations ranging from 0.01% to 1%. The 0.1% concentration appears to be optimal for the efficient labeling of bacteria, while maintaining blood cells intact.

An alternative approach to achieve high binding efficiency while reducing time required for the binding step is to use static mixer, or other mixing devices that provide efficient mixing of viscous samples at high flow rates, such as at or around 5 mL/min. In one embodiment, the sample is mixed with binding buffer in ratio of, or about, 1:1, using a mixing interface connector. The diluted sample then flows through a mixing interface connector where it is mixed with target-specific nanoparticles. Additional mixing interface connectors providing mixing of sample and antigen-specific

nanoparticles can be attached downstream to improve binding efficiency. The combined flow rate of the labeled sample is selected such that it is compatible with downstream processing.

After binding of the magnetic particles to the target analyte in the mixture to form target/magnetic particle complexes, a magnetic field is applied to the mixture to capture the complexes on a surface. Components of the mixture that are not bound to magnetic particles will not be affected by the magnetic field and will remain free in the mixture. Methods and apparatuses for separating target/magnetic particle complexes from other components of a mixture are known in the art. For example, a steel mesh may be coupled to a magnet, a linear channel or channels may be configured with adjacent magnets, or quadrupole magnets with annular flow may be used. Other methods and apparatuses for separating target/magnetic particle complexes from other components of a mixture are shown in Rao et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,551,843), Liberti et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,622,831), Hatch et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,514,415), Benjamin et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,695,946), Liberti et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,186,827), Wang et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,541,072), Liberti et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,466,574), and Terstappen et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,623,983), the content of each of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

In certain embodiments, the magnetic capture is achieved at high efficiency by utilizing a flow-through capture cell with a number of strong rare earth bar magnets placed perpendicular to the flow of the sample. When using a flow chamber with flow path cross-section 0.5 mm×20 mm (h×w) and 7 bar NdFeB magnets, the flow rate could be as high as 5 mL/min or more, while achieving capture efficiency close to 100%.

The above described type of magnetic separation produces efficient capture of a target analyte and the removal of a majority of the remaining components of a sample mixture. However, such a process may produce a sample that contains a percent of magnetic particles that are not bound to target analytes, as well as non-specific target entities. Non-specific target entities may for example be bound at a much lower efficiency, for example 1% of the surface area, while a target of interest might be loaded at 50% or nearly 100% of the available surface area or available antigenic sites. However, even 1% loading may be sufficient to impart force necessary for trapping in a magnetic gradient flow cell or sample chamber.

The presence of magnetic particles that are not bound to target analytes and non-specific target entities on the surface that includes the target/magnetic particle complexes may interfere with the ability to successfully detect the target of interest. The magnetic capture of the resulting mix, and close contact of magnetic particles with each other and bound targets, result in the formation of aggregate that is hard to dispense and which might be resistant or inadequate for subsequent processing or analysis steps. In order to remove magnetic particles that are not bound to target analytes and non-specific target entities, methods of the invention may further involve washing the surface with a wash solution that reduces particle aggregation, thereby isolating target/magnetic particle complexes from the magnetic particles that are not bound to target analytes and non-specific target entities. The wash solution minimizes the formation of the aggregates.

Methods of the invention may use any wash solution that imparts a net negative charge to the magnetic particle that is not sufficient to disrupt interaction between the target-specific moiety of the magnetic particle and the target

analyte. Without being limited by any particular theory or mechanism of action, it is believed that attachment of the negatively charged molecules in the wash solution to magnetic particles provides net negative charge to the particles and facilitates dispersal of non-specifically aggregated particles. At the same time, the net negative charge is not sufficient to disrupt strong interaction between the target-specific moiety of the magnetic particle and the target analyte (e.g., an antibody-antigen interaction). Exemplary solutions include heparin, Tris-HCl, Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE), Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE), Tris-cacodylate, HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulphonic acid), PBS (phosphate buffered saline), PIPES (piperazine-N,N'-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid), MES (2-N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid), Tricine (N-(Tri(hydroxymethyl)methyl)glycine), and similar buffering agents. In certain embodiments, only a single wash cycle is performed. In other embodiments, more than one wash cycle is performed.

In particular embodiments, the wash solution includes heparin. For embodiments in which the body fluid sample is blood, the heparin also reduces probability of clotting of blood components after magnetic capture. The bound targets are washed with heparin-containing buffer 1-3 times to remove blood components and to reduce formation of aggregates.

Since methods of the invention are able to isolate pathogens at very low levels, methods of the invention reduce or eliminate the culturing step that is typically associated with pathogen analysis and allow for more rapid analysis of the isolated pathogen. Reduced culturing refers to culturing for at most about 24 hrs, for example, for at most about 23 hrs, for at most about 22 hrs, for at most about 21 hrs, for at most about 20 hrs, for at most about 15 hrs, for at most about 10 hrs, for at most about 9 hrs, for at most about 8 hrs, for at most about 7 hrs, for at most about 6 hrs, for at most about 5 hrs, for at most about 4 hrs, for at most about 3 hrs, for at most about 2 hrs, or for at most about 1 hr. In particular embodiments, culturing is for less than 1 hr, for example, about 45 minutes, about 30 minutes, about 15 minutes, about 10 minutes, or for less than 10 minutes. In particular embodiments, culturing is completely eliminated and the isolated bacteria is analyzed directly without any culturing, i.e., culturing is eliminated.

The target may be analyzed by a multitude of existing technologies, such as miniature NMR, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), mass spectrometry, fluorescent labeling and visualization using microscopic observation, fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH), growth-based antibiotic sensitivity tests, and variety of other methods that may be conducted with purified target without significant contamination from other sample components. In one embodiment, isolated bacteria are lysed with a chaotropic solution, and DNA is bound to DNA extraction resin. After washing of the resin, the bacterial DNA is eluted and used in quantitative RT-PCR to detect the presence of a specific species, and/or subclasses of bacteria.

In another embodiment, captured bacteria is removed from the magnetic particles to which they are bound and the processed sample is mixed with fluorescent labeled antibodies specific to the bacteria or fluorescent Gram stain. After incubation, the reaction mixture is filtered through 0.2 μm to 1.0 μm filter to capture labeled bacteria while allowing majority of free beads and fluorescent labels to pass through the filter. Bacteria is visualized on the filter using microscopic techniques, e.g. direct microscopic observation, laser scanning or other automated methods of image capture. The presence of bacteria is detected through image analysis.

After the positive detection by visual techniques, the bacteria can be further characterized using PCR or genomic methods.

Detection of bacteria of interest can be performed by use of nucleic acid probes following procedures which are known in the art. Suitable procedures for detection of bacteria using nucleic acid probes are described, for example, in Stackebrandt et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,089,386), King et al. (WO 90/08841), Foster et al. (WO 92/15883), and Cossart et al. (WO 89/06699), each of which is hereby incorporated by reference.

A suitable nucleic acid probe assay generally includes sample treatment and lysis, hybridization with selected probe(s), hybrid capture, and detection. Lysis of the bacteria is necessary to release the nucleic acid for the probes. The nucleic acid target molecules are released by treatment with any of a number of lysis agents, including alkali (such as NaOH), guanidine salts (such as guanidine thiocyanate), enzymes (such as lysozyme, mutanolysin and proteinase K), and detergents. Lysis of the bacteria, therefore, releases both DNA and RNA, particularly ribosomal RNA and chromosomal DNA both of which can be utilized as the target molecules with appropriate selection of a suitable probe. Use of rRNA as the target molecule(s), may be advantageous because rRNAs constitute a significant component of cellular mass, thereby providing an abundance of target molecules. The use of rRNA probes also enhances specificity for the bacteria of interest, that is, positive detection without undesirable cross-reactivity which can lead to false positives or false detection.

Hybridization includes addition of the specific nucleic acid probes. In general, hybridization is the procedure by which two partially or completely complementary nucleic acids are combined, under defined reaction conditions, in an anti-parallel fashion to form specific and stable hydrogen bonds. The selection or stringency of the hybridization/reaction conditions is defined by the length and base composition of the probe/target duplex, as well as by the level and geometry of mis-pairing between the two nucleic acid strands. Stringency is also governed by such reaction parameters as temperature, types and concentrations of denaturing agents present and the type and concentration of ionic species present in the hybridization solution.

The hybridization phase of the nucleic acid probe assay is performed with a single selected probe or with a combination of two, three or more probes. Probes are selected having sequences which are homologous to unique nucleic acid sequences of the target organism. In general, a first capture probe is utilized to capture formed hybrid molecules. The hybrid molecule is then detected by use of antibody reaction or by use of a second detector probe which may be labeled with a radioisotope (such as phosphorus-32) or a fluorescent label (such as fluorescein) or chemiluminescent label.

Detection of bacteria of interest can also be performed by use of PCR techniques. A suitable PCR technique is described, for example, in Verhoef et al. (WO 92/08805). Such protocols may be applied directly to the bacteria captured on the magnetic beads. The bacteria is combined with a lysis buffer and collected nucleic acid target molecules are then utilized as the template for the PCR reaction.

For detection of the selected bacteria by use of antibodies, isolated bacteria are contacted with antibodies specific to the bacteria of interest. As noted above, either polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies can be utilized, but in either case have affinity for the particular bacteria to be detected. These antibodies, will adhere/bind to material from the specific target bacteria. With respect to labeling of the antibodies,

these are labeled either directly or indirectly with labels used in other known immunoassays. Direct labels may include fluorescent, chemiluminescent, bioluminescent, radioactive, metallic, biotin or enzymatic molecules. Methods of combining these labels to antibodies or other macromolecules are well known to those in the art. Examples include the methods of Hijmans, W. et al. (1969), *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* 4, 457-, for fluorescein isothiocyanate, the method of Goding, J. W. (1976), *J. Immunol. Meth.* 13, 215-, for tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate, and the method of Ingrassia, E. (1980), *Meth. in Enzymol.* 70, 419-439 for enzymes.

These detector antibodies may also be labeled indirectly. In this case the actual detection molecule is attached to a secondary antibody or other molecule with binding affinity for the anti-bacteria cell surface antibody. If a secondary antibody is used it is preferably a general antibody to a class of antibody (IgG and IgM) from the animal species used to raise the anti-bacteria cell surface antibodies. For example, the second antibody may be conjugated to an enzyme, either alkaline phosphatase or to peroxidase. To detect the label, after the bacteria of interest is contacted with the second antibody and washed, the isolated component of the sample is immersed in a solution containing a chromogenic substrate for either alkaline phosphatase or peroxidase. A chromogenic substrate is a compound that can be cleaved by an enzyme to result in the production of some type of detectable signal which only appears when the substrate is cleaved from the base molecule. The chromogenic substrate is colorless, until it reacts with the enzyme, at which time an intensely colored product is made. Thus, material from the bacteria colonies adhered to the membrane sheet will become an intense blue/purple/black color, or brown/red while material from other colonies will remain colorless. Examples of detection molecules include fluorescent substances, such as 4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate, and chromogenic substances, such as 4-nitrophenylphosphate, 3,3', 5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine and 2,2'-azino-di-[3-ethylbenzothiazolane sulfonate (6)]. In addition to alkaline phosphatase and peroxidase, other useful enzymes include β -galactosidase, β -glucuronidase, α -glucosidase, β -glucosidase, α -mannosidase, galactose oxidase, glucose oxidase and hexokinase.

Detection of bacteria of interest using NMR may be accomplished as follows. In the use of NMR as a detection methodology, in which a sample is delivered to a detector coil centered in a magnet, the target of interest, such as a magnetically labeled bacterium, may be delivered by a fluid medium, such as a fluid substantially composed of water. In such a case, the magnetically labeled target may go from a region of very low magnetic field to a region of high magnetic field, for example, a field produced by an about 1 to about 2 Tesla magnet. In this manner, the sample may traverse a magnetic gradient, on the way into the magnet and on the way out of the magnet. As may be seen via equations 1 and 2 below, the target may experience a force pulling into the magnet in the direction of sample flow on the way into the magnet, and a force into the magnet in the opposite direction of flow on the way out of the magnet. The target may experience a retaining force trapping the target in the magnet if flow is not sufficient to overcome the gradient force.

$$m \cdot \frac{dB}{dx} = F \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

$$v_i = -F / (6 \cdot \pi \cdot \eta \cdot r) \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

where η is the viscosity, r is the bead diameter, F is the vector force, B is the vector field, and m is the vector moment of the bead.

Magnetic fields on a path into a magnet may be non-uniform in the transverse direction with respect to the flow into the magnet. As such, there may be a transverse force that pulls targets to the side of a container or a conduit that provides the sample flow into the magnet. Generally, the time it takes a target to reach the wall of a conduit is associated with the terminal velocity and is lower with increasing viscosity. The terminal velocity is associated with the drag force, which may be indicative of creep flow in certain cases. In general, it may be advantageous to have a high viscosity to provide a higher drag force such that a target will tend to be carried with the fluid flow through the magnet without being trapped in the magnet or against the conduit walls.

Newtonian fluids have a flow characteristic in a conduit, such as a round pipe, for example, that is parabolic, such that the flow velocity is zero at the wall, and maximal at the center, and having a parabolic characteristic with radius. The velocity decreases in a direction toward the walls, and it is easier to magnetically trap targets near the walls, either with transverse gradients force on the target toward the conduit wall, or in longitudinal gradients sufficient to prevent target flow in the pipe at any position. In order to provide favorable fluid drag force to keep the samples from being trapped in the conduit, it may be advantageous to have a plug flow condition, wherein the fluid velocity is substantially uniform as a function of radial position in the conduit.

When NMR detection is employed in connection with a flowing sample, the detection may be based on a perturbation of the NMR water signal caused by a magnetically labeled target (Sillerud et al., *JMR (Journal of Magnetic Resonance)*, vol. 181, 2006). In such a case, the sample may be excited at time 0, and after some delay, such as about 50 ms or about 100 ms, an acceptable measurement (based on a detected NMR signal) may be produced. Alternatively, such a measurement may be produced immediately after excitation, with the detection continuing for some duration, such as about 50 ms or about 100 ms. It may be advantageous to detect the NMR signal for substantially longer time durations after the excitation.

By way of example, the detection of the NMR signal may continue for a period of about 2 seconds in order to record spectral information at high-resolution. In the case of parabolic or Newtonian flow, the perturbation excited at time 0 is typically smeared because the water around the perturbation source travels at different velocity, depending on radial position in the conduit. In addition, spectral information may be lost due to the smearing or mixing effects of the differential motion of the sample fluid during signal detection. When carrying out an NMR detection application involving a flowing fluid sample, it may be advantageous to provide plug-like sample flow to facilitate desirable NMR contrast and/or desirable NMR signal detection.

Differential motion within a flowing Newtonian fluid may have deleterious effects in certain situations, such as a situation in which spatially localized NMR detection is desired, as in magnetic resonance imaging. In one example, a magnetic object, such as a magnetically labeled bacterium, is flowed through the NMR detector and its presence and location are detected using MRI techniques. The detection may be possible due to the magnetic field of the magnetic object, since this field perturbs the magnetic field of the fluid in the vicinity of the magnetic object. The detection of the magnetic object is improved if the fluid near the object

remains near the object. Under these conditions, the magnetic perturbation may be allowed to act longer on any given volume element of the fluid, and the volume elements of the fluid so affected will remain in close spatial proximity. Such a stronger, more localized magnetic perturbation will be more readily detected using NMR or MRI techniques.

If a Newtonian fluid is used to carry the magnetic objects through the detector, the velocity of the fluid volume elements will depend on radial position in the fluid conduit. In such a case, the fluid near a magnetic object will not remain near the magnetic object as the object flows through the detector. The effect of the magnetic perturbation of the object on the surrounding fluid may be smeared out in space, and the strength of the perturbation on any one fluid volume element may be reduced because that element does not stay within range of the perturbation. The weaker, less-well-localized perturbation in the sample fluid may be undetectable using NMR or MRI techniques.

Certain liquids, or mixtures of liquids, exhibit non-parabolic flow profiles in circular conduits. Such fluids may exhibit non-Newtonian flow profiles in other conduit shapes. The use of such a fluid may prove advantageous as the detection fluid in an application employing an NMR-based detection device. Any such advantageous effect may be attributable to high viscosity of the fluid, a plug-like flow profile associated with the fluid, and/or other characteristic(s) attributed to the fluid that facilitate detection. As an example, a shear-thinning fluid of high viscosity may exhibit a flow velocity profile that is substantially uniform across the central regions of the conduit cross-section. The velocity profile of such a fluid may transition to a zero or very low value near or at the walls of the conduit, and this transition region may be confined to a very thin layer near the wall.

Not all fluids, or all fluid mixtures, are compatible with the NMR detection methodology. In one example, a mixture of glycerol and water can provide high viscosity, but the NMR measurement is degraded because separate NMR signals are detected from the water and glycerol molecules making up the mixture. This can undermine the sensitivity of the NMR detector. In another example, the non-water component of the fluid mixture can be chosen to have no NMR signal, which may be achieved by using a perdeuterated fluid component, for example, or using a perfluorinated fluid component. This approach may suffer from the loss of signal intensity since a portion of the fluid in the detection coil does not produce a signal.

Another approach may be to use a secondary fluid component that constitutes only a small fraction of the total fluid mixture. Such a low-concentration secondary fluid component can produce an NMR signal that is of negligible intensity when compared to the signal from the main component of the fluid, which may be water. It may be advantageous to use a low-concentration secondary fluid component that does not produce an NMR signal in the detector. For example, a perfluorinated or perdeuterated secondary fluid component may be used. The fluid mixture used in the NMR detector may include one, two, or more than two secondary components in addition to the main fluid component. The fluid components employed may act in concert to produce the desired fluid flow characteristics, such as high-viscosity and/or plug flow. The fluid components may be useful for providing fluid characteristics that are advantageous for the performance of the NMR detector, for example by providing NMR relaxation times that allow faster operation or higher signal intensities.

A non-Newtonian fluid may provide additional advantages for the detection of objects by NMR or MRI tech-

niques. As one example, the objects being detected may all have substantially the same velocity as they go through the detection coil. This characteristic velocity may allow simpler or more robust algorithms for the analysis of the detection data. As another example, the objects being detected may have fixed, known, and uniform velocity. This may prove advantageous in devices where the position of the detected object at later times is needed, such as in a device that has a sequestration chamber or secondary detection chamber down-stream from the NMR or MRI detection coil, for example.

In an exemplary embodiment, sample delivery into and out of a 1.7 T cylindrical magnet using a fluid delivery medium containing 0.1% to 0.5% Xanthan gum in water was successfully achieved. Such delivery is suitable to provide substantially plug-like flow, high viscosity, such as from about 10 cP to about 3000 cP, and good NMR contrast in relation to water. Xanthan gum acts as a non-Newtonian fluid, having characteristics of a non-Newtonian fluid that are well known in the art, and does not compromise NMR signal characteristics desirable for good detection in a desirable mode of operation.

In certain embodiments, methods of the invention are useful for direct detection of bacteria from blood. Such a process is described here. Sample is collected in sodium heparin tube by venipuncture, acceptable sample volume is about 1 mL to 10 mL. Sample is diluted with binding buffer and superparamagnetic particles having target-specific binding moieties are added to the sample, followed by incubation on a shaking incubator at 37° C. for about 30 min to 120 min. Alternative mixing methods can also be used. In a particular embodiment, sample is pumped through a static mixer, such that reaction buffer and magnetic beads are added to the sample as the sample is pumped through the mixer. This process allows for efficient integration of all components into a single fluidic part, avoids moving parts and separate incubation vessels and reduces incubation time.

Capture of the labeled targets allows for the removal of blood components and reduction of sample volume from 30 mL to 5 mL. The capture is performed in a variety of magnet/flow configurations. In certain embodiments, methods include capture in a sample tube on a shaking platform or capture in a flow-through device at flow rate of 5 mL/min, resulting in total capture time of 6 min.

After capture, the sample is washed with wash buffer including heparin to remove blood components and free beads. The composition of the wash buffer is optimized to reduce aggregation of free beads, while maintaining the integrity of the bead/target complexes.

The detection method is based on a miniature NMR detector tuned to the magnetic resonance of water. When the sample is magnetically homogenous (no bound targets), the NMR signal from water is clearly detectable and strong. The presence of magnetic material in the detector coil disturbs the magnetic field, resulting in reduction in water signal. One of the primary benefits of this detection method is that there is no magnetic background in biological samples which significantly reduces the requirements for stringency of sample processing. In addition, since the detected signal is generated by water, there is a built-in signal amplification which allows for the detection of a single labeled bacterium.

This method provides for isolation and detection of as low as or even lower than 1 CFU/ml of bacteria in a blood sample.

Incorporation by Reference

References and citations to other documents, such as patents, patent applications, patent publications, journals,

books, papers, web contents, have been made throughout this disclosure. All such documents are hereby incorporated herein by reference in their entirety for all purposes.

Equivalents

Various modifications of the invention and many further embodiments thereof, in addition to those shown and described herein, will become apparent to those skilled in the art from the full contents of this document, including references to the scientific and patent literature cited herein. The subject matter herein contains important information, exemplification and guidance that can be adapted to the practice of this invention in its various embodiments and equivalents thereof.

EXAMPLES

Example 1

Sample

Blood samples from healthy volunteers were spiked with clinically relevant concentrations of bacteria (1-10 CFU/mL) including both laboratory strains and clinical isolates of the bacterial species most frequently found in bloodstream infections.

Example 2

Antibody Preparation

In order to generate polyclonal, pan-Gram-positive bacteria-specific IgG, a goat was immunized by first administering bacterial antigens suspended in complete Freund's adjuvant intra lymph node, followed by subcutaneous injection of bacterial antigens in incomplete Freund's adjuvant in 2 week intervals. The antigens were prepared for antibody production by growing bacteria to exponential phase ($OD_{600}=0.4-0.8$). Following harvest of the bacteria by centrifugation, the bacteria was inactivated using formalin fixation in 4% formaldehyde for 4 hr at 37° C. After 3 washes of bacteria with PBS (15 min wash, centrifugation for 20 min at 4000 rpm) the antigen concentration was measured using BCA assay and the antigen was used at 1 mg/mL for immunization. In order to generate Gram-positive bacteria-specific IgG, several bacterial species were used for inoculation: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Enterococcus faecium* and *Enterococcus faecalis*.

The immune serum was purified using affinity chromatography on a protein G sepharose column (GE Healthcare), and reactivity was determined using ELISA. Antibodies cross-reacting with Gram-negative bacteria and fungi were removed by absorption of purified IgG with formalin-fixed Gram-negative bacteria and fungi. The formalin-fixed organisms were prepared similar to as described above and mixed with IgG. After incubation for 2 hrs at room temperature, the preparation was centrifuged to remove bacteria. Final antibody preparation was clarified by centrifugation and used for the preparation of antigen-specific magnetic beads.

Example 3

Preparation of Antigen-Specific Magnetic Beads

Superparamagnetic beads were synthesized by encapsulating iron oxide nanoparticles (5-15 nm diameter) in a latex

core and labeling with goat IgG. Ferrofluid containing nanoparticles in organic solvent was precipitated with ethanol, nanoparticles were resuspended in aqueous solution of styrene and surfactant Hitenol BC-10, and emulsified using sonication. The mixture was allowed to equilibrate overnight with stirring and filtered through 1.2 and 0.45 μm filters to achieve uniform micelle size. Styrene, acrylic acid and divinylbenzene were added in carbonate buffer at pH 9.6. The polymerization was initiated in a mixture at 70° C. with the addition of $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ and the reaction was allowed to complete overnight. The synthesized particles were washed 3 times with 0.1% SDS using magnetic capture, filtered through 1.2, 0.8, and 0.45 μm filters and used for antibody conjugation.

The production of beads resulted in a distribution of sizes that may be characterized by an average size and a standard deviation. In the case of labeling and extracting of bacteria from blood, the average size for optimal performance was found to be between 100 and 350 nm, for example between 200 nm to 250 nm.

The purified IgG were conjugated to prepared beads using standard chemistry. After conjugation, the beads were resuspended in 0.1% BSA which is used to block non-specific binding sites on the bead and to increase the stability of bead preparation.

Example 4

Labeling of Rare Cells Using Excess of Magnetic Nanoparticles

Bacteria, present in blood during blood-stream infection, were magnetically labeled using the superparamagnetic beads prepared in Example 3 above. The spiked samples as described in Example 1 were diluted 3-fold with a Tris-based binding buffer and target-specific beads, followed by incubation on a shaking platform at 37° C. for up to 2 hr. After incubation, the labeled targets were magnetically separated followed by a wash step designed to remove blood products. See example 5 below.

Example 5

Magnetic Capture of Bound Bacteria

Blood including the magnetically labeled target bacteria and excess free beads were injected into a flow-through capture cell with a number of strong rare earth bar magnets placed perpendicular to the flow of the sample. With using a flow chamber with flow path cross-section 0.5 mm \times 20 mm (h \times w) and 7 bar NdFeB magnets, a flow rate as high as 5 mL/min was achieved. After flowing the mixture through the channel in the presence of the magnet, a wash solution including heparin was flowed through the channel. The bound targets were washed with heparin-containing buffer one time to remove blood components and to reduce formation of magnetic particle aggregates. In order to effectively wash bound targets, the magnet was removed and captured magnetic material was resuspended in wash buffer, followed by re-application of the magnetic field and capture of the magnetic material in the same flow-through capture cell.

Removal of the captured labeled targets was possible after moving magnets away from the capture chamber and eluting with flow of buffer solution.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for analyzing a bacterium from a sample, the method comprising:

providing a vessel that contains a body fluid sample comprising a bacterium;

introducing to the sample, magnetic particles comprising a bacterium-specific binding moiety and a buffer that promotes binding of the particles to the bacterium in order to create a mixture;

incubating the mixture in the vessel to allow the particles to bind to the bacterium in the sample;

flowing the mixture from the vessel through a channel in a fluidic device and toward a magnet;

applying a magnetic field to isolate bacterium/magnetic particle complexes from the sample;

culturing the isolated bacterium for at most about 24 hrs; and

analyzing the bacterium.

2. The method according to claim 1, further comprising washing with a wash solution that reduces particle aggregation.

3. The method according to claim 1, wherein the particles are superparamagnetic particles.

4. The method according to claim 3, wherein the particles have a diameter from about 100 nm to about 250 nm.

5. The method according to claim 4, wherein the particles are at least about 65% magnetic material by weight.

6. The method according to claim 1, wherein the bacterium-specific binding moiety is an antibody.

7. The method according to claim 6, wherein the antibody comprises a detectable label.

8. The method according to claim 1, wherein the body fluid is blood.

9. The method according to claim 8, wherein the bacterium is a blood borne bacterium.

10. The method according to claim 1, wherein identifying step comprises sequencing nucleic acid derived from the bacterium or amplifying nucleic acid derived from the bacterium.

11. The method according to claim 1, wherein culturing is for at most about 12 hrs.

12. The method according to claim 1, wherein culturing is for at most about 6 hrs.

13. The method according to claim 1, wherein culturing is for at most about 3 hrs.

14. The method according to claim 1, wherein culturing is for at most about 1 hr.

15. The method of claim 1, wherein said buffer comprises sodium chloride.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein said buffer further comprises tri(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane-hydrochloric acid (Tris-HCl) and polysorbate 20.

17. The method of claim 16, wherein said Tris-HCl is concentration of about 75 mM.

18. The method of claim 1, wherein said buffer is present in said mixture at a ratio of about 1:1 with said sample.

19. A method for detecting a bacterium from a sample, the method comprising:

providing a vessel that contains a body fluid sample comprising a bacterium;

introducing to the sample, magnetic particles comprising a bacterium-specific binding moiety and a buffer that promotes binding of the particles to the bacterium in order to create a mixture;

incubating the mixture in the vessel to allow the particles to bind to the bacterium in the sample;

flowing the mixture from the vessel through a channel and toward a magnet;

applying a magnetic field to isolate bacterium/magnetic particle complexes from the sample;

culturing the isolated bacterium for at most about 24 hrs; and

detecting the bacterium.

20. The method of claim 1, wherein the fluidic device is a microfluidic device.

* * * * *

专利名称(译)	无需培养即可分析细菌		
公开(公告)号	US9671395	公开(公告)日	2017-06-06
申请号	US13/091548	申请日	2011-04-21
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	NANOMR		
申请(专利权)人(译)	NANOMR INC.		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	DNAE集团控股有限公司		
[标]发明人	DRYGA SERGEY A CLARIZIA LISA JO ANN ADAMS EDDIE W ESCH VICTOR C		
发明人	DRYGA, SERGEY A. CLARIZIA, LISA-JO ANN ADAMS, EDDIE W. ESCH, VICTOR C.		
IPC分类号	C12Q1/00 C07K16/12 C12N13/00 C07K1/22 G01N33/569 G01N33/543 G01N33/554 G01N33/53 C12Q1/68 G01N24/08 G01R33/465 A61B5/055		
CPC分类号	C12Q1/6806 G01N33/54326 C07K1/22 C07K16/1267 C12N13/00 G01N33/54333 G01N33/56911 G01N24/08 G01N2446/20 G01R33/465 Y10T428/2982 C12Q2565/629 C12Q2563/143 A61B5/055 C12Q2565/633 B01L3/502761 B01L2200/0647 B01L2400/043 C12N15/1013 C12Q1/689 G01N2333 /195		
代理人(译)	MEYERS , THOMAS C.		
优先权	61/326588 2010-04-21 US		
其他公开文献	US20110262927A1		
外部链接	Espacenet USPTO		

摘要(译)

本发明一般涉及对样品进行测定，所述样品从样品中分离病原体并允许以最小（即，最多24小时的培养）或不培养病原体来分析病原体。在某些实施方案中，本发明提供了鉴定来自样品的病原体的方法，所述病原体涉及获得包括病原体的样品，进行从样品中分离病原体的测定，培养分离的病原体至多约24小时，并分析病原体。。

hrs of culturing) or no culturing of the pathogen. In certain embodiments, the invention provides methods for identifying a pathogen from a sample that involve obtaining a sample including a pathogen, conducting an assay that