



US 20080118924A1

(19) **United States**

(12) **Patent Application Publication**  
**Buechler**

(10) **Pub. No.: US 2008/0118924 A1**

(43) **Pub. Date: May 22, 2008**

(54) **USE OF NATRIURETIC PEPTIDES AS  
DIAGNOSTIC AND PROGNOSTIC  
INDICATORS IN VASCULAR DISEASES**

(76) Inventor: **Kenneth F. Buechler**, Rancho  
Santa Fe, CA (US)

Correspondence Address:  
**FOLEY & LARDNER LLP**  
**P.O. BOX 80278**  
**SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278**

(21) Appl. No.: **11/752,135**

(22) Filed: **May 22, 2007**

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(60) Provisional application No. 60/808,655, filed on May  
26, 2006.

**Publication Classification**

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**G01N 33/53** (2006.01)  
**C12Q 1/68** (2006.01)  
**G01N 33/566** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl. .... 435/6; 435/7.94; 435/7.92; 436/501**

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The present invention relates to methods for the diagnosis and evaluation of subclinical atherosclerosis. In particular, patient test samples are analyzed for the presence and amount of one or more natriuretic peptides. A variety of additional markers are disclosed for assembling a panel of markers for such diagnosis and evaluation.

## USE OF NATRIURETIC PEPTIDES AS DIAGNOSTIC AND PROGNOSTIC INDICATORS IN VASCULAR DISEASES

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

**[0001]** The present invention relates to the identification and use of diagnostic and prognostic markers for vascular diseases, particularly subclinical atherosclerosis.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

**[0002]** The following discussion of the background of the invention is merely provided to aid the reader in understanding the invention and is not admitted to describe or constitute prior art to the present invention.

**[0003]** The term "acute coronary syndromes" ("ACS") has been applied to a group of vascular diseases that result from ischemic insult to the heart. ACS is a manifestation of vascular injury to the heart, also referred to as myocardial injury or myocardial damage, that is commonly secondary to atherosclerosis or hypertension, and is the leading cause of death in the United States. ACS is commonly caused by occlusion associated with coronary artery disease cause by atherosclerotic plaque formation and progression to either further occlusion or fissure. ACS can be manifested as stable angina, unstable angina, or myocardial infarction.

**[0004]** Patients with ACS form a heterogeneous group, with differences in pathophysiology, clinical presentation, and risk for adverse events. Such patients present to the physician with conditions that span a continuum that includes unstable angina, non-ST-elevation non-Q wave myocardial infarction ("NST"-MI), ST-elevation non-Q wave MI, and transmural (Q-wave) MI. ACS is believed to result largely from thrombus deposition and growth within one or more coronary arteries, resulting in a partial or complete occlusion of the artery, and frequently involves rupture of the plaque, resulting in an ischemic injury. ACS may also be precipitated by a coronary vasospasm or increased myocardial demand. For review, see, e.g., Davies, *Clin. Cardiol.* 20 (Supp. 1): I2-I7 (1997).

**[0005]** Stable angina is characterized by constricting chest pain that occurs upon exertion or stress, and is relieved by rest or sublingual nitroglycerin. Unstable angina is characterized by constricting chest pain at rest that is relieved by sublingual nitroglycerin. Anginal chest pain is usually relieved by sublingual nitroglycerin, and the pain usually subsides within 30 minutes. Myocardial infarction is characterized by constricting chest pain lasting longer than 30 minutes that can be accompanied by diagnostic electrocardiography (ECG) Q waves. Unstable angina is thought to represent the clinical state between stable angina and myocardial infarction, and is commonly associated with atherosclerotic plaque rupture and thrombus formation. In this regard, atherosclerotic plaque rupture is the most common cause of myocardial infarction.

**[0006]** Current diagnostic methods for ACS commonly include clinical symptoms, electrocardiography (ECG), and the measurement of cardiac markers in the peripheral circulation. Angiography is also used in cases of severe chest pain usually associated with unstable angina and acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Patients with ACS frequently have constricting chest pain that often radiates to the neck, jaw, shoulders, or down the inside of the left or both arms and can have accompanying symptoms of dyspnea, diaphoresis, palpitations, light-headedness, and nausea. Myocardial ischemia

can produce diagnostic ECG changes including Q waves and ST segment changes. Elevations of the plasma concentration of cardiac enzymes may reflect the degree of cardiac tissue necrosis associated with severe unstable angina and myocardial infarction.

**[0007]** Early diagnosis and management of ACS, like most diseases, is advantageous. Typically, subjects are considered at risk for development of ACS based on the presence of certain "risk factors," such as age, the presence of diabetes, the presence of metabolic syndrome, high blood pressure, high LDL cholesterol, smoking status, family history, etc. Beyond risk factors, tests that can detect underlying atherosclerosis in asymptomatic subjects could provide for early diagnosis and intervention. Asymptomatic subjects (those lacking overt physical symptoms of ACS) who nonetheless suffer from underlying atherosclerotic disease are referred to as having "subclinical atherosclerosis."

**[0008]** The tests available for identification of such subjects generally require expensive and time-consuming imaging studies. For example, because arterial wall calcification is associated with development of atherosclerosis, coronary artery calcium has been used as a marker of the presence of ACS. For example, workers have recently noted that coronary artery calcium (CAC) or thoracic aortic calcium ("TAC") scores  $\geq 100$  (measured for example by electron beam tomography (EBT) or multislice computed tomography (MSCT)), particularly in conjunction with the presence of one or more risk factors, are predictive of ACS events in subjects lacking overt physical symptoms of ACS. See, e.g., Wong et al., *Diabetes Care* 28: 1445-50, 2005. Recently, the protein MCP-1 was described as a circulating marker useful for diagnosing subclinical atherosclerosis in a subject, or assigning a prognostic risk of one or more future clinical outcomes to a subject suffering from subclinical atherosclerosis. See, e.g., U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0203083 entitled "Use of thrombus precursor protein and monocyte chemoattractant protein as diagnostic and prognostic indicators in vascular diseases," which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

**[0009]** The present invention relates to the identification and use of diagnostic and/or prognostic markers for one or more vascular diseases. Natriuretic peptides, their precursors, and fragments thereof, can be used in methods and devices for diagnosing subclinical atherosclerosis in a subject, or assigning a prognostic risk of one or more future clinical outcomes to a subject suffering from subclinical atherosclerosis. The time horizon over which such risk stratification may be applied (that is, the period for which prognostic risk may be predicted) may be from 1 day to 5 years, more preferably from 1 week to 2 years, and most preferably from 1 month to 1 year.

**[0010]** In a first aspect of the present invention, methods for diagnosing subclinical atherosclerosis are described. Such methods comprise performing one or more assays on a test sample obtained from the subject, such assay(s) being configured to detect the presence or amount of one or more natriuretic peptides or markers related thereto, and using results of the assays performed to assign the presence or absence of subclinical atherosclerosis to the subject. The assay(s) detecting one or more natriuretic peptides or markers related thereto may be used together with other biochemical markers and/or physical characteristics of the subject in a diagnostic "panel." Such panels may comprise 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,

8, 9, 10, 15, 20, or more or individual assays, at least one of which being an assay configured to detect the presence or amount of one or more natriuretic peptides or markers related thereto. Such panels may, then, include values determined from characteristics such as ACS risk factors, CAC scores, and/or one or more additional assays configured to detect one or more additional biochemical markers independently selected from the group consisting of specific markers of cardiac injury, specific markers of neural tissue injury, markers related to blood pressure regulation, markers related to inflammation, markers related to coagulation and hemostasis, and markers related to apoptosis.

**[0011]** In a related aspect, the invention features methods of predicting a risk of one or more clinical outcomes for a subject suffering from subclinical atherosclerosis by performing one or more assays on a test sample obtained from the subject, such assay(s) being configured to detect the presence or amount of one or more natriuretic peptides or markers related thereto, and using results of the assay(s) performed to associate a risk of one or more clinical outcomes to the subject. As in the case of diagnosis, the analysis of natriuretic peptides or markers related thereto may be used together with other biochemical markers and/or physical characteristics of the subject in a prognostic "panel."

**[0012]** In various embodiments, preferred assays detect one or more natriuretic peptides selected from the group consisting of atrial natriuretic peptide ("ANP"), pro-ANP, NT-proANP, B-type natriuretic peptide ("BNP"), NT-proBNP, pro-BNP, and C-type natriuretic peptide. Particularly preferred assays detect one or more natriuretic peptides selected from the group consisting of BNP, NT-pro BNP, and pro-BNP. As is described in detail hereinafter, an assay is "configured to detect" a particular marker of interest if that assay generates a detectable signal indicative of the presence or amount of a physiologically relevant concentration of that marker. Such an assay may, but need not, specifically detect a particular natriuretic peptide (e.g., detect BNP but not proBNP). Because an antibody epitope is on the order of 8 amino acids, an immunoassay will detect other polypeptides (e.g., related markers) so long as the other polypeptides contain the epitope(s) necessary to bind to the antibody used in the assay. Thus, an assay that detects BNP may also detect proBNP, together with one or more fragments of BNP or proBNP that may exist in the sample, to the extent that such molecules contain the necessary epitopes to be detected in the assay.

**[0013]** In addition to the natriuretic peptides described above, preferred marker(s) related to blood pressure regulation for use in the methods described herein comprise, for example, one or more marker(s) selected from the group consisting of urotensin II, arginine vasopressin, aldosterone, angiotensin I, angiotensin II, angiotensin III, bradykinin, calcitonin, procalcitonin, calcitonin gene related peptide, adrenomedullin, calcyphosine, endothelin-2, endothelin-3, renin, and urodilatin, or markers related thereto.

**[0014]** Preferred marker(s) markers related to inflammation for use in the methods described herein comprise, for example, one or more marker(s) selected from the group consisting of acute phase reactants, cell adhesion molecules such as vascular cell adhesion molecule ("VCAM"), intercellular adhesion molecule-1 ("ICAM-1"), intercellular adhesion molecule-2 ("ICAM-2"), and intercellular adhesion molecule-3 ("ICAM-3"), C-reactive protein, interleukins such as IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, and IL-8, interleukin-1 receptor agonist,

caspase-3, lipocalin-type prostaglandin D synthase, mast cell tryptase, eosinophil cationic protein, KL-6, haptoglobin, tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ , tumor necrosis factor  $\beta$ , Fas ligand, soluble Fas (Apo-1), TRAIL, TWEAK, fibronectin, macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF), and vascular endothelial growth factor ("VEGF"), or markers related thereto. The term "acute phase reactants" as used herein refers to proteins whose concentrations are elevated in response to stressful or inflammatory states that occur during various insults that include infection, injury, surgery, trauma, tissue necrosis, and the like. Acute phase reactant expression and serum concentration elevations are not specific for the type of insult, but rather as a part of the homeostatic response to the insult.

**[0015]** In addition to those acute phase reactants listed above as "markers related to inflammation," one or more markers related to inflammation may also be selected from the group of acute phase reactants consisting of hepcidin, HSP-60, HSP-65, HSP-70, asymmetric dimethylarginine (an endogenous inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase), matrix metalloproteins 11, 3, and 9, defensin HBD 1, defensin HBD 2, serum amyloid A, oxidized LDL, insulin like growth factor, transforming growth factor  $\beta$ , e-selectin, glutathione-S-transferase, hypoxia-inducible factor-1 $\alpha$ , inducible nitric oxide synthase ("i-NOS"), intracellular adhesion molecule, lactate dehydrogenase, monocyte chemoattractant peptide-1 ("MCP-1"), n-acetyl aspartate, prostaglandin E2, receptor activator of nuclear factor ("RANK") ligand, TNF receptor superfamily member 1A, lipopolysaccharide binding protein ("LBP"), and cystatin C, or markers related thereto.

**[0016]** Preferred marker(s) related to coagulation and hemostasis for use in the methods described herein comprise, for example, one or more marker(s) selected from the group consisting of plasmin, fibrinogen, D-dimer,  $\beta$ -thromboglobulin, platelet factor 4, fibrinopeptide A, platelet-derived growth factor, prothrombin fragment 1+2, plasmin- $\alpha$ 2-antiplasmin complex, thrombin-antithrombin III complex, P-selectin, thrombin, and von Willebrand factor, tissue factor, or markers related thereto.

**[0017]** Preferred marker(s) related to apoptosis for use in the methods described herein comprise, for example, one or more marker(s) selected from the group consisting of spectrin, cathepsin D, caspase 3, s-acetyl glutathione, and ubiquitin fusion degradation protein 1 homolog.

**[0018]** The results of an assay may be analyzed in a number of fashions well known to those of skill in the art. For example, each assay result obtained may be compared to a "normal" value, or a value indicating a particular disease or outcome. A particular diagnosis/prognosis may depend upon the comparison of each assay result to such a value, which may be referred to as a diagnostic or prognostic "threshold." In certain embodiments, assays for one or more diagnostic or prognostic indicators are correlated to a condition or disease by merely the presence or absence of the indicator(s) in the assay. For example, an assay can be designed so that a positive signal only occurs above a particular threshold concentration of interest, and below which concentration the assay provides no signal above background.

**[0019]** The sensitivity and specificity of a diagnostic and/or prognostic test depends on more than just the analytical "quality" of the test—they also depend on the definition of what constitutes an abnormal result. In practice, Receiver Operating Characteristic curves, or "ROC" curves, are typically calculated by plotting the value of a variable versus its

relative frequency in “normal” and “disease” populations. For any particular marker, a distribution of marker levels for subjects with and without a disease will likely overlap. Under such conditions, a test does not absolutely distinguish normal from disease with 100% accuracy, and the area of overlap indicates where the test cannot distinguish normal from disease. A threshold is selected, above which (or below which, depending on how a marker changes with the disease) the test is considered to be abnormal and below which the test is considered to be normal. The area under the ROC curve is a measure of the probability that the perceived measurement will allow correct identification of a condition. ROC curves can be used even when test results don't necessarily give an accurate number. As long as one can rank results, one can create an ROC curve. For example, results of a test on “disease” samples might be ranked according to degree (say 1=low, 2=normal, and 3=high). This ranking can be correlated to results in the “normal” population, and a ROC curve created. These methods are well known in the art. See, e.g., Hanley et al., *Radiology* 143: 29-36 (1982). Preferably, a threshold is selected to provide a ROC curve area of greater than about 0.5, more preferably greater than about 0.7, still more preferably greater than about 0.8, even more preferably greater than about 0.85, and most preferably greater than about 0.9. The term “about” in this context refers to +/-5% of a given measurement.

**[0020]** In certain embodiments, particular thresholds for one or more markers in a panel are not relied upon to determine if a profile of marker levels obtained from a subject are indicative of a particular diagnosis/prognosis. Rather, the present invention may utilize an evaluation of a marker panel “profile” as a unitary whole. A particular “fingerprint” pattern of changes in such a panel of markers may, in effect, act as a specific diagnostic or prognostic indicator. As discussed herein, that pattern of changes may be obtained from a single sample, or from temporal changes in one or more members of the panel (or a panel response value).

**[0021]** As described hereinafter, a panel response value is preferably determined by plotting ROC curves for the sensitivity of a particular panel of markers versus 1-(specificity) for the panel at various cutoffs. In these methods, a profile of marker measurements from a subject is considered together to provide a global probability (expressed either as a numeric score or as a percentage risk) of a diagnosis or prognosis. In such embodiments, an increase in a certain subset of markers may be sufficient to indicate a particular diagnosis/prognosis in one patient, while an increase in a different subset of markers may be sufficient to indicate the same or a different diagnosis/prognosis in another patient. Weighting factors may also be applied to one or more markers in a panel, for example, when a marker is of particularly high utility in identifying a particular diagnosis/prognosis, it may be weighted so that at a given level it alone is sufficient to signal a positive result. Likewise, a weighting factor may provide that no given level of a particular marker is sufficient to signal a positive result, but only signals a result when another marker also contributes to the analysis.

**[0022]** In certain embodiments, markers and/or marker panels are selected to exhibit at least about 70% sensitivity, more preferably at least about 80% sensitivity, even more preferably at least about 85% sensitivity, still more preferably at least about 90% sensitivity, and most preferably at least about 95% sensitivity, combined with at least about 70% specificity, more preferably at least about 80% specificity,

even more preferably at least about 85% specificity, still more preferably at least about 90% specificity, and most preferably at least about 95% specificity. In particularly preferred embodiments, both the sensitivity and specificity are at least about 75%, more preferably at least about 80%, even more preferably at least about 85%, still more preferably at least about 90%, and most preferably at least about 95%. The term “about” in this context refers to +/-5% of a given measurement.

**[0023]** In other embodiments, a positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, odds ratio, or hazard ratio is used as a measure of a test's ability to predict risk or diagnose a disease. In the case of a positive likelihood ratio, a value of 1 indicates that a positive result is equally likely among subjects in both the “diseased” and “control” groups; a value greater than 1 indicates that a positive result is more likely in the diseased group; and a value less than 1 indicates that a positive result is more likely in the control group. In the case of a negative likelihood ratio, a value of 1 indicates that a negative result is equally likely among subjects in both the “diseased” and “control” groups; a value greater than 1 indicates that a negative result is more likely in the test group; and a value less than 1 indicates that a negative result is more likely in the control group. In certain preferred embodiments, markers and/or marker panels are preferably selected to exhibit a positive or negative likelihood ratio of at least about 1.5 or more or about 0.67 or less, more preferably at least about 2 or more or about 0.5 or less, still more preferably at least about 5 or more or about 0.2 or less, even more preferably at least about 10 or more or about 0.1 or less, and most preferably at least about 20 or more or about 0.05 or less. The term “about” in this context refers to +/-5% of a given measurement.

**[0024]** In the case of an odds ratio, a value of 1 indicates that a positive result is equally likely among subjects in both the “diseased” and “control” groups; a value greater than 1 indicates that a positive result is more likely in the diseased group; and a value less than 1 indicates that a positive result is more likely in the control group. In certain preferred embodiments, markers and/or marker panels are preferably selected to exhibit an odds ratio of at least about 2 or more or about 0.5 or less, more preferably at least about 3 or more or about 0.33 or less, still more preferably at least about 4 or more or about 0.25 or less, even more preferably at least about 5 or more or about 0.2 or less, and most preferably at least about 10 or more or about 0.1 or less. The term “about” in this context refers to +/-5% of a given measurement.

**[0025]** In the case of a hazard ratio, a value of 1 indicates that the relative risk of an endpoint (e.g., death) is equal in both the “diseased” and “control” groups; a value greater than 1 indicates that the risk is greater in the diseased group; and a value less than 1 indicates that the risk is greater in the control group. In certain preferred embodiments, markers and/or marker panels are preferably selected to exhibit a hazard ratio of at least about 1.1 or more or about 0.91 or less, more preferably at least about 1.25 or more or about 0.8 or less, still more preferably at least about 1.5 or more or about 0.67 or less, even more preferably at least about 2 or more or about 0.5 or less, and most preferably at least about 2.5 or more or about 0.4 or less. The term “about” in this context refers to +/-5% of a given measurement.

**[0026]** The skilled artisan will understand that associating a diagnostic or prognostic indicator, with a diagnosis or with a prognostic risk of a future clinical outcome is a statistical

analysis. For example, a marker level of greater than X may signal that a patient is more likely to suffer from an adverse outcome than patients with a level less than or equal to X, as determined by a level of statistical significance. Additionally, a change in marker concentration from baseline levels may be reflective of patient prognosis, and the degree of change in marker level may be related to the severity of adverse events. Statistical significance is often determined by comparing two or more populations, and determining a confidence interval and/or a p value. See, e.g., Dowdy and Wearden, *Statistics for Research*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1983. Preferred confidence intervals of the invention are 90%, 95%, 97.5%, 98%, 99%, 99.5%, 99.9% and 99.99%, while preferred p values are 0.1, 0.05, 0.025, 0.02, 0.01, 0.005, 0.001, and 0.0001.

**[0027]** In yet other embodiments, multiple determinations of diagnostic or prognostic markers can be made, and a temporal change in the marker can be used to determine a diagnosis or prognosis. For example, a marker concentration in a subject sample may be determined at an initial time, and again at a second time from a second subject sample. In such embodiments, an increase in the marker from the initial time to the second time may be indicative of a particular diagnosis, or a particular prognosis. Likewise, a decrease in the marker from the initial time to the second time may be indicative of a particular diagnosis, or a particular prognosis.

**[0028]** In another aspect, the invention relates to methods for selecting a treatment regimen for use in a subject. The methods preferably comprise performing a diagnostic or prognostic method as described herein, and selecting one or more treatment regimens that improve the patient's prognosis by reducing the increased disposition for an adverse outcome associated with the diagnosis. Such methods may also be used to screen pharmacological compounds for agents capable of improving the patient's prognosis as above.

**[0029]** In a related aspect, the invention relates to methods for monitoring a treatment regimen for use in a subject. In such methods, a diagnostic or prognostic method as described herein can be performed at desired intervals, and a change in the result, in the form of a change in diagnosis and/or prognosis, can be used to monitor the efficacy of appropriate therapies. In such an embodiment for example, one might expect to see a decrease in measured natriuretic peptide level (s) over time during the course of effective therapy.

**[0030]** In a further aspect, the invention relates to kits and devices for determining the diagnosis of subclinical atherosclerosis and/or assigning a prognosis to a subject suffering from subclinical atherosclerosis. Kits preferably comprise devices and reagents for performing the assays described herein, and instructions for performing the assays. Optionally, the kits may contain one or more means for converting marker level(s) to a diagnosis or prognosis, such as a sug-

gested threshold measurement to be used to indicate a particular diagnosis and/or prognosis. Such kits preferably contain sufficient reagents to perform one or more such determinations, and/or Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved labeling.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

**[0031]** In accordance with the present invention, there are provided methods and compositions for the identification and use of markers that are associated with the diagnosis, prognosis, or differentiation of subclinical atherosclerosis in a subject. Natriuretic peptide measurement, alone or combined with other biochemical markers and clinical indicia, can be used in diagnosing and treating a patient and/or to monitor the course of a treatment regimen; and for screening compounds and pharmaceutical compositions that might provide a benefit in treating or preventing such conditions.

#### **[0032]** Natriuretic Peptides

**[0033]** Natriuretic peptides are a group of naturally occurring substances that act in the body to oppose the activity of the renin-angiotensin system. There are three major natriuretic peptides: atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP), B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), and C-type natriuretic peptide (CNP).

**[0034]** Mature human A-type natriuretic peptide (ANP) (also referred to as atrial natriuretic peptide) is a 28 amino acid peptide that is synthesized, stored, and released by atrial myocytes in response to atrial distension, angiotensin II stimulation, endothelin, and sympathetic stimulation (beta-adrenoceptor mediated). Mature ANP is synthesized as a precursor molecule (pro-ANP) that is converted to an active form by proteolytic cleavage. In addition to atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP<sub>99-126</sub>) itself, NT-proANP and linear peptide fragments from the N-terminal prohormone segment have also been reported to have biological activity.

**[0035]** Mature human B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) (also called brain-type natriuretic peptide) is a 32 amino acid, 4 kDa peptide that is involved in the natriuresis system to regulate blood pressure and fluid balance. Bonow, R. O., *Circulation* 93:1946-1950, 1996. The precursor to BNP is synthesized as a 108-amino acid molecule, referred to as "pro-BNP" that is proteolytically processed into a 76-amino acid N-terminal peptide (amino acids 1-76), referred to as "NT-proBNP" and the 32-amino acid mature hormone, referred to as BNP or BNP 32 (amino acids 77-108). It has been suggested that each of these species—NT pro-BNP, BNP-32, and the pre-pro-BNP—together with various fragments thereof, can circulate in human plasma. See, e.g., Tateyama et al., *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 185:760-7, 1992; Hunt et al., *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 214: 1175-83, 1995); WO04/094459; and WO04094460, each of which is hereby incorporated in its entirety.

**[0036]** The sequence of the human 108 amino acid BNP precursor pro-BNP (BNP<sub>1-108</sub>) is as follows, with mature BNP (BNP<sub>77-108</sub>) underlined:

(SEQ ID NO: 1)  
HPLGSPGSAS DLETSGLQEQ RNHLQGLKLE LQVEQTSLEP LQESPRPTGV 50  
WKSREVATEG IRGHRKMWLY TLRAPRSPKM VQSGGCFGRK MDRISSSSGL 100  
GCKVLRRH . 108

**[0037]** BNP<sub>1-108</sub> is synthesized as a larger precursor pre-pro-BNP having the following sequence (with the “pre” sequence shown in bold):

	(SEQ ID NO: 2)
<b>MDPQTAPSRA LLLLLFLHLA FLGGRSHPLG</b> SPGSASDLET SGLQEQRNHL	50
QGKLSSELQVE QTSLEPLQES PRPTGVWKS EVATEGIRGH RKMVLYTLEA	100
PRSPKMVQGS GCFGRKMDRI SSSSGLGCKV LRRH.	134

**[0038]** Mature human C-type natriuretic peptide (CNP) a 22-amino acid peptide that is the primary active natriuretic peptide in the human brain; CNP is also considered to be an endothelium-derived relaxant factor, which acts in the same way as nitric oxide (NO). Davidson et al., *Circulation* 93:1155-9, 1996. CNP is structurally related to A-type natriuretic peptide (ANP) and B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP); however, while ANP and BNP are synthesized predominantly in the myocardium, CNP is synthesized in the vascular endothelium as a precursor (pro-CNP) (Prickett et al., *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 286:513-7, 2001). CNP is thought to possess vasodilator effects on both arteries and veins and has been reported to act mainly on the vein by increasing the intracellular cGMP concentration in vascular smooth muscle cells.

**[0039]** ANP and BNP are released in response to atrial and ventricular stretch, respectively, and will cause vasorelaxation, inhibition of aldosterone secretion in the adrenal cortex, and inhibition of renin secretion in the kidney. Both ANP and BNP will cause natriuresis and a reduction in intravascular volume, effects amplified by the antagonism of antidiuretic hormone (ADH). The physiologic effects of CNP differ from those of ANP and BNP; CNP has a hypotensive effect, but no significant diuretic or natriuretic actions. Increased blood levels of natriuretic peptides have been found in certain disease states, suggesting a role in the pathophysiology of those diseases, including stroke, congestive heart failure (CHF), cardiac ischemia, systemic hypertension, and acute myocardial infarction. See, e.g., WO 02/089657; WO 02/083913; and WO 03/016910, each of which is hereby incorporated in its entirety, including all tables, figures, and claims.

**[0040]** While mature BNP itself may be used as a preferred marker in the present invention, the prepro-BNP, proBNP (BNP<sub>1-108</sub>) and NT-proBNP (BNP<sub>1-76</sub>) molecules represent BNP-related markers that may be measured either as surrogates for mature BNP or as markers in and of themselves. In addition, one or more fragments of these molecules, including BNP-related polypeptides selected from the group consisting of BNP<sub>77-106</sub>, BNP<sub>79-106</sub>, BNP<sub>76-107</sub>, BNP<sub>69-108</sub>, BNP<sub>79-108</sub>, BNP<sub>80-108</sub>, BNP<sub>81-108</sub>, BNP<sub>83-108</sub>, BNP<sub>39-86</sub>, BNP<sub>53-85</sub>, BNP<sub>66-98</sub>, BNP<sub>30-103</sub>, BNP<sub>11-107</sub>, BNP<sub>9-106</sub>, and BNP<sub>3-108</sub> may also be present in circulation. Natriuretic peptide fragments, including BNP fragments, may also comprise one or more oxidizable methionines, the oxidation of which to methionine sulfoxide or methionine sulfone produces additional BNP-related markers. See, e.g., U.S. patent Ser. No. 10/419,059, filed Apr. 17, 2003, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety including all tables, figures and claims.

**[0041]** The Acute Coronary Syndrome

**[0042]** Myocardial ischemia is caused by an imbalance of myocardial oxygen supply and demand. Specifically, demand exceeds supply due to inadequate blood supply. The heart accounts for a small percentage of total body weight, but is

responsible for 7% of body oxygen consumption. Cardiac tissue metabolism is highly aerobic and has very little reserve to compensate for inadequate blood supply. When the blood

supply is reduced to levels that are inadequate for myocardial demand, the tissue rapidly becomes hypoxic and toxic cellular metabolites can not be removed. Myocardial cells rapidly use oxygen supplies remaining in the local microvasculature, and the length of time that aerobic metabolism continues is indirectly proportional to the degree of arterial occlusion. Once the oxygen supply has been exhausted, oxidative phosphorylation can not continue because oxygen is no longer available as an electron acceptor, pyruvate can not be converted to acetyl coenzyme A and enter the citric acid cycle. Myocardial metabolism switches to anaerobic metabolism using glycogen and glucose stores, and pyruvate is fermented to lactate. Lactate accumulation is the primary cause of chest pain in individuals with ACS. As ischemia continues, cardiac tissue becomes more acidic as lactate and other acidic intermediates accumulate, ATP levels decrease, and available energy sources are depleted. Cardiac tissue can recover if it is reperfused 15-20 minutes after an ischemic event. After the cellular glycogen stores have been depleted, the cell gradually displays features of necrosis, including mitochondrial swelling and loss of cell membrane integrity. Upon reperfusion, these damaged cells die, possibly as a result of the cell's inability to maintain ionic equilibrium. A loss of membrane integrity causes the cell's cytosolic contents to be released into the circulation.

**[0043]** Stable angina, unstable angina, and myocardial infarction all share one common feature that is indicative of clinical ACS: constricting chest pain associated with myocardial ischemia. Angina is classified as stable or unstable through a physician's interpretation of clinical symptoms, with or without diagnostic ECG changes. The classification of angina as “stable” or “unstable” does not refer to the stability of the plaque itself, but rather, the degree of exertion that is required to elicit chest pain. Most notably, the classification of chest pain as stable or unstable angina (or even mild myocardial infarction) in cases other than definitive myocardial infarction is completely subjective. The diagnosis, and in this case the distinction, is made not by angiography, which may quantify the degree of arterial occlusion, but rather by a physician's interpretation of clinical symptoms.

**[0044]** Stable angina is characterized by constricting chest pain that occurs upon exertion or stress, and is relieved by rest or sublingual nitroglycerin. Coronary angiography of patients with stable angina usually reveals 50-70% obstruction of at least one coronary artery. Stable angina is usually diagnosed by the evaluation of clinical symptoms and ECG changes. Patients with stable angina may have transient ST segment abnormalities, but the sensitivity and specificity of these changes associated with stable angina are low.

**[0045]** Unstable angina is characterized by constricting chest pain at rest that is relieved by sublingual nitroglycerin. Anginal chest pain is usually relieved by sublingual nitro-

glycerin, and the pain usually subsides within 30 minutes. There are three classes of unstable angina severity: class I, characterized as new onset, severe, or accelerated angina; class II, subacute angina at rest characterized by increasing severity, duration, or requirement for nitroglycerin; and class III, characterized as acute angina at rest. Unstable angina represents the clinical state between stable angina and AMI and is thought to be primarily due to the progression in the severity and extent of atherosclerosis, coronary artery spasm, or hemorrhage into non-occluding plaques with subsequent thrombotic occlusion. Coronary angiography of patients with unstable angina usually reveals 90% or greater obstruction of at least one coronary artery, resulting in an inability of oxygen supply to meet even baseline myocardial oxygen demand. Slow growth of stable atherosclerotic plaques or rupture of unstable atherosclerotic plaques with subsequent thrombus formation can cause unstable angina. Both of these causes result in critical narrowing of the coronary artery. Unstable angina is usually associated with atherosclerotic plaque rupture, platelet activation, and thrombus formation. Unstable angina is usually diagnosed by clinical symptoms, ECG changes, and changes in cardiac markers (if any). Treatments for patients with unstable angina include nitrates, aspirin, GPIIb/IIIa inhibitors, heparin, and beta-blockers. Thrombolytic therapy has not been demonstrated to be beneficial for unstable angina patients, and calcium channel blockers may have no effect. Patients may also receive angioplasty and stents. Finally, patients with unstable angina are at risk for developing AMI.

**[0046]** Myocardial infarction is characterized by constricting chest pain lasting longer than 30 minutes that can be accompanied by diagnostic ECG Q waves. Most patients with AMI have coronary artery disease, and as many as 25% of AMI cases are "silent" or asymptomatic infarctions, and individuals with diabetes tend to be more susceptible to silent infarctions. Population studies suggest that 20-60% of non-fatal myocardial infarctions are silent infarctions that are not recognized by the patient. Atypical clinical presentations of AMI can include congestive heart failure, angina pectoris without a severe or prolonged attack, atypical location of pain, central nervous system manifestations resembling stroke, apprehension and nervousness, sudden mania or psychosis, syncope, weakness, acute indigestion, and peripheral embolization. AMI is usually diagnosed by clinical symptoms, ECG changes, and elevations of cardiac proteins, most notably cardiac troponin, creatine kinase-MB and myoglobin. Treatments of AMI have improved over the past decade, resulting in improved patient outcome and a 30% decrease in the death rate associated with AMI. Treatment of AMI patients is accomplished by administering agents that limit infarct size and improve outcome by removing occlusive material, increasing the oxygen supply to cardiac tissue, or decreasing the oxygen demand of cardiac tissue. Treatments can include the following: supplemental oxygen, aspirin, GPIIb/IIIa inhibitors, heparin, thrombolytics (tPA), nitrates (nitroglycerin), magnesium, calcium channel antagonists,  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptor blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angioplasty (PTCA), and intraluminal coronary artery stents.

**[0047]** The 30 minute time point from chest pain onset is thought to represent the window of reversible myocardial damage caused by ischemia. Stable angina and unstable angina are characterized angiographically as 50-70% and 90% or greater arterial occlusion, respectively, and myocar-

dial infarction is characterized by complete or nearly complete occlusion. A common misconception is that stable angina and unstable angina refer to plaque stability, or that they, along with myocardial infarction, are separate diseases. Because stable angina often progresses to unstable angina, and unstable angina often progresses to myocardial infarction, stable angina, unstable angina, and myocardial infarction can all be characterized as coronary artery disease of varying severity. Recently, the following physiological model of coronary artery disease progression has been proposed: Inflammation  $\rightarrow$  Plaque Rupture  $\rightarrow$  Platelet Activation  $\rightarrow$  Early Thrombosis  $\rightarrow$  Early Necrosis. This model is designed to fit the theory that inflammation occurs during stable angina, and that markers of plaque rupture, platelet activation, and early thrombosis can be used to identify and monitor the progressing severity of unstable angina. The myocardial damage caused during an anginal attack is, by definition, reversible, while damage caused during a myocardial infarction is irreversible. Therefore, there are two proposed break points in this model for the discrimination of stable angina, unstable angina, and AMI. The first occurs between inflammation and plaque rupture, with the theory that plaque rupture does not occur in stable angina. The second occurs between early thrombosis and early necrosis, with the theory that myocardial damage incurred during unstable angina is reversible. It is important to realize that these events, with the exception of early myocardial necrosis, can be associated with all forms of coronary artery disease, and that progression along this diagnostic pathway does not necessarily indicate disease progression. The progression of coronary artery disease from mild unstable angina to severe unstable angina and myocardial infarction is related to plaque instability and the degree of arterial occlusion. This progression can occur slowly, as stable plaques enlarge and become more occlusive, or it can occur rapidly, as unstable plaques rupture, causing platelet activation and occlusive thrombus formation. Because myocardial infarction most frequently shares the same pathophysiology as unstable angina, it is possible that the only distinction between these two events is the reversibility of myocardial damage. By definition, unstable angina causes reversible damage, while myocardial infarction causes irreversible damage. There have been published reports that indicate the presence of myocardial necrosis in patients with unstable angina. By definition, these patients may actually be experiencing early AMI. Nevertheless, even if these patients are diagnosed with unstable angina instead of early AMI, the high degree of severity suggests that they will benefit greatly from early aggressive treatment. Myocardial ischemia is the major determinant in the pathogenesis of stable angina, unstable angina, and myocardial infarction, and they should not be thought of as individual diseases. Rather, they reflect the increasing severity of myocardial damage from ischemia.

**[0048]** Inflammatory mechanisms play a pivotal role in the atherosclerotic process. At the base of atherogenesis there are complex interactions between macrophages, T lymphocytes and smooth muscle cells. A growing body of experimental evidence suggests that inflammation is involved in the pathogenesis of ACS and influences its clinical evolution. In patients with ACS, coronary atherosclerotic plaques are characterized by an abundant inflammatory infiltrate. Moreover, in these patients systemic signs of inflammatory reaction can be observed: activated circulating inflammatory cells (neutrophil, monocytes and lymphocytes) and increased concen-

trations of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-1 and 6, and of acute phase reactants, in particular C-reactive protein (CRP).

[0049] The Coagulation Cascade in ACS

[0050] There are essentially two mechanisms that are used to halt or prevent blood loss following vessel injury. The first mechanism involves the activation of platelets to facilitate adherence to the site of vessel injury. The activated platelets then aggregate to form a platelet plug that reduces or temporarily stops blood loss. The processes of platelet aggregation, plug formation and tissue repair are all accelerated and enhanced by numerous factors secreted by activated platelets. Platelet aggregation and plug formation is mediated by the formation of a fibrinogen bridge between activated platelets. Concurrent activation of the second mechanism, the coagulation cascade, results in the generation of fibrin from fibrinogen and the formation of an insoluble fibrin clot that strengthens the platelet plug.

[0051] The coagulation cascade is an enzymatic pathway that involves numerous serine proteinases normally present in an inactive, or zymogen, form. The presence of a foreign surface in the vasculature or vascular injury results in the activation of the intrinsic and extrinsic coagulation pathways, respectively. A final common pathway is then followed, which results in the generation of fibrin by the serine proteinase thrombin and, ultimately, a crosslinked fibrin clot. In the coagulation cascade, one active enzyme is formed initially, which can activate other enzymes that activate others, and this process, if left unregulated, can continue until all coagulation enzymes are activated. Fortunately, there are mechanisms in place, including fibrinolysis and the action of endogenous proteinase inhibitors that can regulate the activity of the coagulation pathway and clot formation.

[0052] Fibrinolysis is the process of proteolytic clot dissolution. In a manner analogous to coagulation, fibrinolysis is mediated by serine proteinases that are activated from their zymogen form. The serine proteinase plasmin is responsible for the degradation of fibrin into smaller degradation products that are liberated from the clot, resulting in clot dissolution. Fibrinolysis is activated soon after coagulation in order to regulate clot formation. Endogenous serine proteinase inhibitors also function as regulators of fibrinolysis.

[0053] Platelets are round or oval disks with an average diameter of 2-4  $\mu\text{m}$  that are normally found in blood at a concentration of 200,000-300,000/ $\mu\text{l}$ . They play an essential role in maintaining hemostasis by maintaining vascular integrity, initially stopping bleeding by forming a platelet plug at the site of vascular injury, and by contributing to the process of fibrin formation to stabilize the platelet plug. When vascular injury occurs, platelets adhere to the site of injury and each other and are stimulated to aggregate by various agents released from adherent platelets and injured endothelial cells. This is followed by the release reaction, in which platelets secrete the contents of their intracellular granules, and formation of the platelet plug. The formation of fibrin by thrombin in the coagulation cascade allows for consolidation of the plug, followed by clot retraction and stabilization of the plug by crosslinked fibrin. Active thrombin, generated in the concurrent coagulation cascade, also has the ability to induce platelet activation and aggregation.

[0054] The first step of the common pathway of the coagulation cascade involves the proteolytic cleavage of prothrombin by the factor Xa/factor Va prothrombinase complex to yield active thrombin. Thrombin is a serine proteinase that

proteolytically cleaves fibrinogen to form fibrin, which is ultimately integrated into a crosslinked network during clot formation.

[0055] The coagulation cascade can be activated through either the extrinsic or intrinsic pathways. These enzymatic pathways share one final common pathway. The first step of the common pathway involves the proteolytic cleavage of prothrombin by the factor Xa/factor Va prothrombinase complex to yield active thrombin. Thrombin is a serine proteinase that proteolytically cleaves fibrinogen.

[0056] Definitions

[0057] The term "marker" as used herein refers to proteins, polypeptides, phospholipids, or small molecules to be used as targets for screening test samples obtained from subjects. "Proteins or polypeptides" used as markers in the present invention are contemplated to include any fragments thereof, in particular, immunologically detectable fragments.

[0058] The term "related marker" as used herein refers to one or more fragments of a particular marker or its biosynthetic parent that may be detected as a surrogate for the marker itself or as independent markers. For example, human BNP is derived by proteolysis of a 108 amino acid precursor molecule, referred to hereinafter as BNP<sub>1-108</sub>. Mature BNP, or "the BNP natriuretic peptide," or "BNP-32" is a 32 amino acid molecule representing amino acids 77-108 of this precursor, which may be referred to as BNP<sub>77-108</sub>. The remaining residues 1-76 are referred to hereinafter as BNP<sub>1-76</sub>. Many of the markers described herein are synthesized as larger precursor molecules, which are then processed to provide mature marker; and/or are present in circulation in the form of fragments of the marker. Thus, "related markers" to each of the markers described herein may be identified and used in an analogous fashion to that described above for BNP. Additionally, related markers may be the result of covalent modification of the parent marker, for example by oxidation of methionine residues, ubiquitination, etc.

[0059] Because production of marker fragments is an ongoing process that may be a function of, inter alia, the elapsed time between onset of an event triggering marker release into the tissues and the time the sample is obtained or analyzed; the elapsed time between sample acquisition and the time the sample is analyzed; the type of tissue sample at issue; the storage conditions; the quantity of proteolytic enzymes present; etc., it may be necessary to consider this degradation when both designing an assay for one or more markers, and when performing such an assay, in order to provide an accurate prognostic or diagnostic result. In addition, individual antibodies that distinguish amongst a plurality of marker fragments may be individually employed to separately detect the presence or amount of different fragments. The results of this individual detection may provide a more accurate prognostic or diagnostic result than detecting the plurality of fragments in a single assay. For example, different weighting factors may be applied to the various fragment measurements to provide a more accurate estimate of the amount of natriuretic peptide originally present in the sample.

[0060] Removal of polypeptide markers from the circulation often involves degradation pathways. Moreover, inhibitors of such degradation pathways may hold promise in treatment of certain diseases. See, e.g., Trindade and Rouleau, *Heart Fail. Monit.* 2: 2-7, 2001. However, the measurement of the polypeptide markers has focused generally upon measurement of the intact form without consideration of the degradation state of the molecules. Assays may be designed with

an understanding of the degradation pathways of the polypeptide markers and the products formed during this degradation, in order to accurately measure the biologically active forms of a particular polypeptide marker in a sample. The unintended measurement of both the biologically active polypeptide marker(s) of interest and inactive fragments derived from the markers may result in an overestimation of the concentration of biologically active form(s) in a sample.

**[0061]** The failure to consider the degradation fragments that may be present in a clinical sample may have serious consequences for the accuracy of any diagnostic or prognostic method. Consider for example a simple case, where a sandwich immunoassay is provided for BNP, and a significant amount (e.g., 50%) of the biologically active BNP that had been present has now been degraded into an inactive form. An immunoassay formulated with antibodies that bind a region common to the biologically active BNP and the inactive fragment(s) will overestimate the amount of biologically active BNP present in the sample by 2-fold, potentially resulting in a “false positive” result. Overestimation of the biologically active form(s) present in a sample may also have serious consequences for patient management. Considering the BNP example again, the BNP concentration may be used to determine if therapy is effective (e.g., by monitoring BNP to see if an elevated level is returning to normal upon treatment). The same “false positive” BNP result discussed above may lead the physician to continue, increase, or modify treatment because of the false impression that current therapy is ineffective.

**[0062]** Likewise, it may be necessary to consider the complex state of one or more markers described herein. For example, troponin exists in muscle mainly as a “ternary complex” comprising three troponin polypeptides (T, I and C). But troponin I and troponin T circulate in the blood in forms other than the I/T/C ternary complex. Rather, each of (i) free cardiac-specific troponin I, (ii) binary complexes (e.g., troponin I/C complex), and (iii) ternary complexes all circulate in the blood. Furthermore, the “complex state” of troponin I and T may change over time in a patient, e.g., due to binding of free troponin polypeptides to other circulating troponin polypeptides. Immunoassays that fail to consider the “complex state” of troponin may not detect all of the cardiac-specific isoform of interest.

**[0063]** Preferably, the methods described hereinafter utilize one or more markers that are derived from the subject. The term “subject-derived marker” as used herein refers to protein, polypeptide, phospholipid, nucleic acid, prion, or small molecule markers that are expressed or produced by one or more cells of the subject. The presence, absence, amount, or change in amount of one or more markers may indicate that a particular disease is present, or may indicate that a particular disease is absent. Additional markers may be used that are derived not from the subject, but rather that are expressed by pathogenic or infectious organisms that are correlated with a particular disease. Such markers are preferably protein, polypeptide, phospholipid, nucleic acid, prion, or small molecule markers that identify the infectious diseases described above.

**[0064]** The term “ACS risk factors” as used herein refers to characteristics of a subject that have been associated with an increased predisposition to suffer from ACS in comparison to subjects lacking the risk factor. ACS risk factors include, but are not limited to, obesity (measured by, for example, a body mass index  $>30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), age (for example  $<50 \text{ yr}$ =lower risk,

or  $>65 \text{ yr}$ =higher risk), sex (male at higher risk than female), the presence of diabetes, the presence of metabolic syndrome, high blood pressure, high LDL cholesterol (e.g., LDL cholesterol above 160 mg/dL), smoking status, and family history (e.g., definite myocardial infarction or sudden death before age 55 in father or other male first-degree relative, or before age 65 in mother or other female first-degree relative).

**[0065]** The term “CAC score” as used herein refers to a measure of coronary artery calcification performed using electron-beam computed tomography or similar technique using the Agatston scoring method. A CAC score reflects the volume and density of calcification. A value that is approximately between the 40<sup>th</sup> and 80<sup>th</sup> percentile of subjects lacking clinical atherosclerosis may be used to identify subclinical disease. As in the case of most diagnostic tests, altering the threshold for a positive test trades off sensitivity for specificity.

**[0066]** The term “test sample” as used herein refers to a sample of bodily fluid obtained for the purpose of diagnosis, prognosis, or evaluation of a subject of interest, such as a patient. In certain embodiments, such a sample may be obtained for the purpose of determining the outcome of an ongoing condition or the effect of a treatment regimen on a condition. Preferred test samples include blood, serum, plasma, cerebrospinal fluid, urine, saliva, sputum, and pleural effusions. In addition, one of skill in the art would realize that some test samples would be more readily analyzed following a fractionation or purification procedure, for example, separation of whole blood into serum or plasma components.

**[0067]** As used herein, a “plurality” as used herein refers to at least two. Preferably, a plurality refers to at least 3, more preferably at least 5, even more preferably at least 10, even more preferably at least 15, and most preferably at least 20. In particularly preferred embodiments, a plurality is a large number, i.e., at least 100.

**[0068]** The term “subject” as used herein refers to a human or non-human organism. Thus, the methods and compositions described herein are applicable to both human and veterinary disease. Further, while a subject is preferably a living organism, the invention described herein may be used in post-mortem analysis as well. Preferred subjects are “patients,” i.e., living humans that are receiving medical care. This includes persons with no defined illness who are being investigated for signs of pathology.

**[0069]** The term “diagnosis” as used herein refers to methods by which the skilled artisan can estimate and/or determine whether or not a patient is suffering from a given disease or condition. The skilled artisan often makes a diagnosis on the basis of one or more diagnostic indicators, i.e., a marker, the presence, absence, amount, or change in amount of which is indicative of the presence, severity, or absence of the condition.

**[0070]** Similarly, a “prognosis” refers to assignment of a probability that a given course or outcome will occur. This is often determined by examining one or more “prognostic indicators.” These are markers, the presence or amount of which in a patient (or a sample obtained from the patient) signal a probability that a given course or outcome will occur. For example, when one or more prognostic indicators reach a sufficiently high level in samples obtained from such patients, the level may signal that the patient is at an increased probability for experiencing a future stroke in comparison to a similar patient exhibiting a lower marker level. A level or a change in level of a prognostic indicator, which in turn is

associated with an increased probability of morbidity or death, is referred to as being “associated with an increased predisposition to an adverse outcome” in a patient. Preferred prognostic markers can predict the onset of delayed neurologic deficits in a patient after stroke, or the chance of future stroke.

**[0071]** The term “correlating,” as used herein in reference to the use of diagnostic and prognostic markers, refers to comparing the presence or amount of the marker(s) in a patient to its presence or amount in persons known to suffer from, or known to be at risk of, a given condition; or in persons known to be free of a given condition. As discussed above, a marker level in a patient sample can be compared to a level known to be associated with a specific diagnosis. The sample’s marker level is said to have been correlated with a diagnosis; that is, the skilled artisan can use the marker level to determine whether the patient suffers from a specific type diagnosis, and respond accordingly. Alternatively, the sample’s marker level can be compared to a marker level known to be associated with a good outcome (e.g., the absence of disease, etc.). In preferred embodiments, a profile of marker levels are correlated to a global probability or a particular outcome.

**[0072]** The phrase “determining the diagnosis” as used herein refers to methods by which the skilled artisan can determine the presence or absence of a particular disease in a patient. The term “diagnosis” does not refer to the ability to determine the presence or absence of a particular disease with 100% accuracy, or even that a given course or outcome is more likely to occur than not. Instead, the skilled artisan will understand that the term “diagnosis” refers to an increased probability that a certain disease is present in the subject. In preferred embodiments, a diagnosis indicates about a 5% increased chance that a disease is present, about a 10% chance, about a 15% chance, about a 20% chance, about a 25% chance, about a 30% chance, about a 40% chance, about a 50% chance, about a 60% chance, about a 75% chance, about a 90% chance, and about a 95% chance. The term “about” in this context refers to +/-2%.

**[0073]** Similarly, the phrase “determining the prognosis” as used herein refers to methods by which the skilled artisan can determine the likelihood of one or more future clinical outcomes for a patient. The skilled artisan will understand that the term “prognosis” refers to an increased probability that a certain clinical outcome will occur at a future date in the subject. In preferred embodiments, a prognosis indicates about a 5% increased chance of a certain clinical outcome compared to a “control” population, about a 10% chance, about a 15% chance, about a 20% chance, about a 25% chance, about a 30% chance, about a 40% chance, about a 50% chance, about a 60% chance, about a 75% chance, about a 90% chance, and about a 95% chance. The term “about” in this context refers to +/-2%.

**[0074]** The term “discrete” as used herein refers to areas of a surface that are non-contiguous. That is, two areas are discrete from one another if a border that is not part of either area completely surrounds each of the two areas.

**[0075]** The term “independently addressable” as used herein refers to discrete areas of a surface from which a specific signal may be obtained.

**[0076]** The term “antibody” as used herein refers to a peptide or polypeptide derived from, modeled after or substantially encoded by an immunoglobulin gene or immunoglobulin genes, or fragments thereof, capable of specifically

binding an antigen or epitope. See, e.g. *Fundamental Immunology*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, W. E. Paul, ed., Raven Press, N.Y. (1993); Wilson (1994) *J. Immunol. Methods* 175:267-273; Yarmush (1992) *J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods* 25:85-97. The term antibody includes antigen-binding portions, i.e., “antigen binding sites,” (e.g., fragments, subsequences, complementarity determining regions (CDRs)) that retain capacity to bind antigen, including (i) a Fab fragment, a monovalent fragment consisting of the VL, VH, CL and CH1 domains; (ii) a F(ab')<sub>2</sub> fragment, a bivalent fragment comprising two Fab fragments linked by a disulfide bridge at the hinge region; (iii) a Fd fragment consisting of the VH and CH1 domains; (iv) a Fv fragment consisting of the VL and VH domains of a single arm of an antibody, (v) a dAb fragment (Ward et al., (1989) *Nature* 341 :544-546), which consists of a VH domain; and (vi) an isolated complementarity determining region (CDR). Single chain antibodies are also included by reference in the term “antibody.”

**[0077]** The term “specifically binds” is not intended to indicate that an antibody binds exclusively to its intended target. Rather, an antibody “specifically binds” if its affinity for its intended target is about 5-fold greater when compared to its affinity for a non-target molecule. Preferably the affinity of the antibody will be at least about 5 fold, preferably 10 fold, more preferably 25-fold, even more preferably 50-fold, and most preferably 100-fold or more, greater for a target molecule than its affinity for a non-target molecule. In preferred embodiments, Specific binding between an antibody or other binding agent and an antigen means a binding affinity of at least about  $10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$ . Preferred antibodies bind with affinities of at least about  $10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$ , and preferably between about  $10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}$  to about  $10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}$ , about  $10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}$  to about  $10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$ , or about  $10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$  to about  $10^{11} \text{ M}^{-1}$ .

**[0078]** Affinity is calculated as  $K_d = k_{off}/k_{on}$  ( $k_{off}$  is the dissociation rate constant,  $k_{on}$  is the association rate constant and  $K_d$  is the equilibrium constant. Affinity can be determined at equilibrium by measuring the fraction bound ( $r$ ) of labeled ligand at various concentrations ( $c$ ). The data are graphed using the Scatchard equation:  $r/c = K(n-1r)$ :

**[0079]** where

**[0080]**  $r$ =moles of bound ligand/mole of receptor at equilibrium;

**[0081]**  $c$ =free ligand concentration at equilibrium;

**[0082]**  $K$ =equilibrium association constant; and

**[0083]**  $n$ =number of ligand binding sites per receptor molecule

By graphical analysis,  $r/c$  is plotted on the Y-axis versus  $r$  on the X-axis thus producing a Scatchard plot. The affinity is the negative slope of the line.  $k_{off}$  can be determined by competing bound labeled ligand with unlabeled excess ligand (see, e.g., U.S. Pat. No. 6,316,409). The affinity of a targeting agent for its target molecule is preferably at least about  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  moles/liter, is more preferably at least about  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  moles/liter, is even more preferably at least about  $1 \times 10^{-8}$  moles/liter, is yet even more preferably at least about  $1 \times 10^{-9}$  moles/liter, and is most preferably at least about  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  moles/liter. Antibody affinity measurement by Scatchard analysis is well known in the art. See, e.g., van Erp et al., *J. Immunoassay* 12: 425-43, 1991; Nelson and Griswold, *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.* 27: 65-8, 1988.

**[0084]** Identification of Marker Panels

**[0085]** In accordance with the present invention, there are provided methods and systems for the identification of one or more markers useful in diagnosis, prognosis, and/or deter-

mining an appropriate therapeutic course. Suitable methods for identifying markers useful for such purposes are described in detail in U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/436,392 filed Dec. 24, 2002, PCT application US03/41426 filed Dec. 23, 2003, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/331,127 filed Dec. 27, 2002, and PCT application No. US03/41453, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, including all tables, figures, and claims.

**[0086]** One skilled in the art will also recognize that univariate analysis of markers can be performed and the data from the univariate analyses of multiple markers can be combined to form panels of markers to differentiate different disease conditions. Such methods include multiple linear regression, determining interaction terms, stepwise regression, etc.

**[0087]** In developing a panel of markers, data for a number of potential markers may be obtained from a group of subjects by testing for the presence or level of certain markers. The group of subjects is divided into two sets. The first set includes subjects who have been confirmed as having a disease, outcome, or, more generally, being in a first condition state. For example, this first set of patients may be those diagnosed with subclinical atherosclerosis that later died as a result of complications from vascular disease prior to a particular study endpoint. Hereinafter, subjects in this first set will be referred to as "diseased."

**[0088]** The second set of subjects is simply those who do not fall within the first set. Subjects in this second set will hereinafter be referred to as "non-diseased". Preferably, the first set and the second set each have an approximately equal number of subjects. This set may be normal patients, and/or patients suffering from subclinical atherosclerosis and that lived to a particular endpoint of interest.

**[0089]** The data obtained from subjects in these sets preferably includes levels of a plurality of markers. Preferably, data for the same set of markers is available for each patient. This set of markers may include all candidate markers that may be suspected as being relevant to the detection of a particular disease or condition. Actual known relevance is not required. Embodiments of the methods and systems described herein may be used to determine which of the candidate markers are most relevant to the diagnosis of the disease or condition. The levels of each marker in the two sets of subjects may be distributed across a broad range, e.g., as a Gaussian distribution. However, no distribution fit is required.

**[0090]** As noted above, a single marker often is incapable of definitively identifying a subject as falling within a first or second group in a prospective fashion. For example, if a patient is measured as having a marker level that falls within an overlapping region in the distribution of diseased and non-diseased subjects, the results of the test may be useless in diagnosing the patient. An artificial cutoff may be used to distinguish between a positive and a negative test result for the detection of the disease or condition. Regardless of where the cutoff is selected, the effectiveness of the single marker as a diagnosis tool is unaffected. Changing the cutoff merely trades off between the number of false positives and the number of false negatives resulting from the use of the single marker. The effectiveness of a test having such an overlap is often expressed using a ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic) curve. ROC curves are well known to those skilled in the art.

**[0091]** The horizontal axis of the ROC curve represents (1-specificity), which increases with the rate of false positives. The vertical axis of the curve represents sensitivity, which increases with the rate of true positives. Thus, for a particular cutoff selected, the value of (1-specificity) may be determined, and a corresponding sensitivity may be obtained. The area under the ROC curve is a measure of the probability that the measured marker level will allow correct identification of a disease or condition. Thus, the area under the ROC curve can be used to determine the effectiveness of the test.

**[0092]** As discussed above, the measurement of the level of a single marker may have limited usefulness, e.g., it may be non-specifically increased due to inflammation. The measurement of additional markers provides additional information, but the difficulty lies in properly combining the levels of two potentially unrelated measurements. In the methods and systems according to embodiments of the present invention, data relating to levels of various markers for the sets of diseased and non-diseased patients may be used to develop a panel of markers to provide a useful panel response. The data may be provided in a database such as Microsoft Access, Oracle, other SQL databases or simply in a data file. The database or data file may contain, for example, a patient identifier such as a name or number, the levels of the various markers present, and whether the patient is diseased or non-diseased.

**[0093]** Next, an artificial cutoff region may be initially selected for each marker. The location of the cutoff region may initially be selected at any point, but the selection may affect the optimization process described below. In this regard, selection near a suspected optimal location may facilitate faster convergence of the optimizer. In a preferred method, the cutoff region is initially centered about the center of the overlap region of the two sets of patients. In one embodiment, the cutoff region may simply be a cutoff point. In other embodiments, the cutoff region may have a length of greater than zero. In this regard, the cutoff region may be defined by a center value and a magnitude of length. In practice, the initial selection of the limits of the cutoff region may be determined according to a pre-selected percentile of each set of subjects. For example, a point above which a pre-selected percentile of diseased patients are measured may be used as the right (upper) end of the cutoff range.

**[0094]** Each marker value for each patient may then be mapped to an indicator. The indicator is assigned one value below the cutoff region and another value above the cutoff region. For example, if a marker generally has a lower value for non-diseased patients and a higher value for diseased patients, a zero indicator will be assigned to a low value for a particular marker, indicating a potentially low likelihood of a positive diagnosis. In other embodiments, the indicator may be calculated based on a polynomial. The coefficients of the polynomial may be determined based on the distributions of the marker values among the diseased and non-diseased subjects.

**[0095]** The relative importance of the various markers may be indicated by a weighting factor. The weighting factor may initially be assigned as a coefficient for each marker. As with the cutoff region, the initial selection of the weighting factor may be selected at any acceptable value, but the selection may affect the optimization process. In this regard, selection near a suspected optimal location may facilitate faster convergence of the optimizer. In a preferred method, acceptable weighting coefficients may range between zero and one, and

an initial weighting coefficient for each marker may be assigned as 0.5. In a preferred embodiment, the initial weighting coefficient for each marker may be associated with the effectiveness of that marker by itself. For example, a ROC curve may be generated for the single marker, and the area under the ROC curve may be used as the initial weighting coefficient for that marker.

**[0096]** Next, a panel response may be calculated for each subject in each of the two sets. The panel response is a function of the indicators to which each marker level is mapped and the weighting coefficients for each marker. In a preferred embodiment, the panel response (R) for each subject (j) is expressed as:

$$R_j = \sum w_i I_{ij}$$

where i is the marker index, j is the subject index,  $w_i$  is the weighting coefficient for marker i, I is the indicator value to which the marker level for marker i is mapped for subject j, and  $\Sigma$  is the summation over all candidate markers i. This panel response value may be referred to as a "panel index."

**[0097]** One advantage of using an indicator value rather than the marker value is that an extraordinarily high or low marker levels do not change the probability of a diagnosis of diseased or non-diseased for that particular marker. Typically, a marker value above a certain level generally indicates a certain condition state. Marker values above that level indicate the condition state with the same certainty. Thus, an extraordinarily high marker value may not indicate an extraordinarily high probability of that condition state. The use of an indicator which is constant on one side of the cutoff region eliminates this concern.

**[0098]** The panel response may also be a general function of several parameters including the marker levels and other factors including, for example, race and gender of the patient. Other factors contributing to the panel response may include the slope of the value of a particular marker over time. For example, a patient may be measured when first arriving at the hospital for a particular marker. The same marker may be measured again an hour later, and the level of change may be reflected in the panel response. Further, additional markers may be derived from other markers and may contribute to the value of the panel response. For example, the ratio of values of two markers may be a factor in calculating the panel response.

**[0099]** Having obtained panel responses for each subject in each set of subjects, the distribution of the panel responses for each set may now be analyzed. An objective function may be defined to facilitate the selection of an effective panel. The objective function should generally be indicative of the effectiveness of the panel, as may be expressed by, for example, overlap of the panel responses of the diseased set of subjects and the panel responses of the non-diseased set of subjects. In this manner, the objective function may be optimized to maximize the effectiveness of the panel by, for example, minimizing the overlap.

**[0100]** In a preferred embodiment, the ROC curve representing the panel responses of the two sets of subjects may be used to define the objective function. For example, the objective function may reflect the area under the ROC curve. By maximizing the area under the curve, one may maximize the effectiveness of the panel of markers. In other embodiments, other features of the ROC curve may be used to define the objective function. For example, the point at which the slope of the ROC curve is equal to one may be a useful feature. In

other embodiments, the point at which the product of sensitivity and specificity is a maximum, sometimes referred to as the "knee," may be used. In an embodiment, the sensitivity at the knee may be maximized. In further embodiments, the sensitivity at a predetermined specificity level may be used to define the objective function. Other embodiments may use the specificity at a predetermined sensitivity level may be used. In still other embodiments, combinations of two or more of these ROC-curve features may be used.

**[0101]** It is possible that one of the markers in the panel is specific to the disease or condition being diagnosed. When such markers are present at above or below a certain threshold, the panel response may be set to return a "positive" test result. When the threshold is not satisfied, however, the levels of the marker may nevertheless be used as possible contributors to the objective function.

**[0102]** An optimization algorithm may be used to maximize or minimize the objective function. Optimization algorithms are well-known to those skilled in the art and include several commonly available minimizing or maximizing functions including the Simplex method and other constrained optimization techniques. It is understood by those skilled in the art that some minimization functions are better than others at searching for global minimums, rather than local minimums. In the optimization process, the location and size of the cutoff region for each marker may be allowed to vary to provide at least two degrees of freedom per marker. Such variable parameters are referred to herein as independent variables. In a preferred embodiment, the weighting coefficient for each marker is also allowed to vary across iterations of the optimization algorithm. In various embodiments, any permutation of these parameters may be used as independent variables.

**[0103]** In addition to the above-described parameters, the sense of each marker may also be used as an independent variable. For example, in many cases, it may not be known whether a higher level for a certain marker is generally indicative of a diseased state or a non-diseased state. In such a case, it may be useful to allow the optimization process to search on both sides. In practice, this may be implemented in several ways. For example, in one embodiment, the sense may be a truly separate independent variable which may be flipped between positive and negative by the optimization process. Alternatively, the sense may be implemented by allowing the weighting coefficient to be negative.

**[0104]** The optimization algorithm may be provided with certain constraints as well. For example, the resulting ROC curve may be constrained to provide an area-under-curve of greater than a particular value. ROC curves having an area under the curve of 0.5 indicate complete randomness, while an area under the curve of 1.0 reflects perfect separation of the two sets. Thus, a minimum acceptable value, such as 0.75, may be used as a constraint, particularly if the objective function does not incorporate the area under the curve. Other constraints may include limitations on the weighting coefficients of particular markers. Additional constraints may limit the sum of all the weighting coefficients to a particular value, such as 1.0.

**[0105]** The iterations of the optimization algorithm generally vary the independent parameters to satisfy the constraints while minimizing or maximizing the objective function. The number of iterations may be limited in the optimization process. Further, the optimization process may be terminated when the difference in the objective function between two

consecutive iterations is below a predetermined threshold, thereby indicating that the optimization algorithm has reached a region of a local minimum or a maximum.

[0106] Thus, the optimization process may provide a panel of markers including weighting coefficients for each marker and cutoff regions for the mapping of marker values to indicators. Certain markers may be then be changed or even eliminated from the panel, and the process repeated until a satisfactory result is obtained. The effective contribution of each marker in the panel may be determined to identify the relative importance of the markers. In one embodiment, the weighting coefficients resulting from the optimization process may be used to determine the relative importance of each marker. The markers with the lowest coefficients may be eliminated or replaced.

[0107] In certain cases, the lower weighting coefficients may not be indicative of a low importance. Similarly, a higher weighting coefficient may not be indicative of a high importance. For example, the optimization process may result in a high coefficient if the associated marker is irrelevant to the diagnosis. In this instance, there may not be any advantage that will drive the coefficient lower. Varying this coefficient may not affect the value of the objective function.

[0108] To allow a determination of test accuracy, a "gold standard" test criterion may be selected which allows selection of subjects into two or more groups for comparison by the foregoing methods. In the case of subclinical atherosclerosis, this gold standard may be a CAC score or other method of identifying subclinical atherosclerosis.

[0109] Measures of test accuracy may be obtained as described in Fischer et al., *Intensive Care Med.* 29: 1043-51, 2003, and used to determine the effectiveness of a given marker or panel of markers. These measures include sensitivity and specificity, predictive values, likelihood ratios, diagnostic odds ratios, and ROC curve areas. As discussed above, preferred tests and assays exhibit one or more of the following results on these various measures:

[0110] at least 75% sensitivity, combined with at least 75% specificity;

[0111] ROC curve area of at least 0.6, more preferably 0.7, still more preferably at least 0.8, even more preferably at least 0.9, and most preferably at least 0.95; and/or

[0112] a positive likelihood ratio (calculated as sensitivity/(1-specificity)) of at least 5, more preferably at least 10, and most preferably at least 20, and a negative likelihood ratio (calculated as (1-sensitivity)/specificity) of less than or equal to 0.3, more preferably less than or equal to 0.2, and most preferably less than or equal to 0.1.

[0113] Additional Markers

[0114] A panel consisting of the markers referenced herein and/or their related markers may be constructed to provide relevant information related to the diagnosis of interest. Such a panel may be constructed using 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, or more individual markers. The analysis of a single marker or subsets of markers comprising a larger panel of markers could be carried out by one skilled in the art to optimize clinical sensitivity or specificity in various clinical settings. These include, but are not limited to ambulatory, urgent care, critical care, intensive care, monitoring unit, inpatient, outpatient, physician office, medical clinic, and health screening settings. Furthermore, one skilled in the art can use a single marker or a subset of markers comprising a larger panel of markers in combination with an

adjustment of the diagnostic threshold in each of the aforementioned settings to optimize clinical sensitivity and specificity.

[0115] The following table provides a list of additional preferred markers for use in the present invention. Further detail is provided in US2005/0148029, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. As described herein, markers related to each of these markers are also encompassed by the present invention.

Marker	Classification
Myoglobin	Tissue injury
E-selectin	Tissue injury
VEGF	Tissue injury
EG-VEGF	Tissue injury
Troponin I and complexes	Myocardial injury
Troponin T and complexes	Myocardial injury
Annexin V	Myocardial injury
B-enolase	Myocardial injury
CK-MB	Myocardial injury
Glycogen phosphorylase-BB	Myocardial injury
Heart type fatty acid binding protein	Myocardial injury
Phosphoglyceric acid mutase	Myocardial injury
S-100ao	Myocardial injury
Kininogen	Blood pressure regulation
CGRP II	Blood pressure regulation
urotensin II	Blood pressure regulation
calcitonin gene related peptide	Blood pressure regulation
arg-Vasopressin	Blood pressure regulation
Endothelin-1 (and/or Big ET-1)	Blood pressure regulation
Endothelin-2 (and/or Big ET-2)	Blood pressure regulation
Endothelin-3 (and/or Big ET-3)	Blood pressure regulation
procalcitonin	Blood pressure regulation
calcyphosine	Blood pressure regulation
adrenomedullin	Blood pressure regulation
aldosterone	Blood pressure regulation
angiotensin 1 (and/or angiotensinogen 1)	Blood pressure regulation
angiotensin 2 (and/or angiotensinogen 2)	Blood pressure regulation
angiotensin 3 (and/or angiotensinogen 3)	Blood pressure regulation
Bradykinin	Blood pressure regulation
Tachykinin-3	Blood pressure regulation
calcitonin	Blood pressure regulation
Renin	Blood pressure regulation
Urodilatin	Blood pressure regulation
Ghrelin	Blood pressure regulation
Plasmin	Coagulation and hemostasis
Thrombin	Coagulation and hemostasis
Antithrombin-III	Coagulation and hemostasis
Fibrinogen	Coagulation and hemostasis
von Willebrand factor	Coagulation and hemostasis
D-dimer	Coagulation and hemostasis
PAI-1	Coagulation and hemostasis
Protein C	Coagulation and hemostasis
Soluble Endothelial Protein C Receptor (EPCR)	Coagulation and hemostasis
TAFI	Coagulation and hemostasis
Fibrinopeptide A	Coagulation and hemostasis
Plasmin alpha 2 antiplasmin complex	Coagulation and hemostasis
Platelet factor 4	Coagulation and hemostasis
Platelet-derived growth factor	Coagulation and hemostasis
P-selectin	Coagulation and hemostasis
Prothrombin fragment 1 + 2	Coagulation and hemostasis
B-thromboglobulin	Coagulation and hemostasis
Thrombin antithrombin III complex	Coagulation and hemostasis
Thrombomodulin	Coagulation and hemostasis
Thrombus Precursor Protein	Coagulation and hemostasis
Tissue factor	Coagulation and hemostasis
Tissue factor pathway inhibitor- $\alpha$	Coagulation and hemostasis
Tissue factor pathway inhibitor- $\beta$	Coagulation and hemostasis
basic calponin 1	Vascular tissue
beta like 1 integrin	Vascular tissue
Calponin	Vascular tissue
CSRP2	Vascular tissue

-continued

Marker	Classification
elastin	Vascular tissue
Endothelial cell-selective adhesion molecule (ESAM)	Vascular tissue
Fibrillin 1	Vascular tissue
Junction Adhesion Molecule-2	Vascular tissue
LTBP4	Vascular tissue
smooth muscle myosin	Vascular tissue
transgelin	Vascular tissue
Carboxyterminal propeptide of type I procollagen (PICP)	Collagen synthesis
Collagen carboxyterminal telopeptide (ICTP)	Collagen degradation
APRIL (TNF ligand superfamily member 13)	Inflammatory
CD27 (TNFRSF7)	Inflammatory
Complement C3a	Inflammatory
CCL-5 (RANTES)	Inflammatory
CCL-8 (MCP-2)	Inflammatory
CCL-16	Inflammatory
CCL-19 (macrophage inflammatory protein-3β)	Inflammatory
CCL-20 (MIP-3α)	Inflammatory
CCL-23 (MIP-3)	Inflammatory
CXCL-5 (small inducible cytokine B5)	Inflammatory
CXCL-9 (small inducible cytokine B9)	Inflammatory
CXCL-13 (small inducible cytokine B13)	Inflammatory
CXCL-16 (small inducible cytokine B16)	Inflammatory
DPP-II (dipeptidyl peptidase II)	Inflammatory
DPP-IV (dipeptidyl peptidase IV)	Inflammatory
Glutathione S Transferase	Inflammatory
HIF 1 ALPHA	Inflammatory
IL-25	Inflammatory
IL-23	Inflammatory
IL-22	Inflammatory
IL-18	Inflammatory
IL-13	Inflammatory
IL-12	Inflammatory
IL-10	Inflammatory
IL-1-Beta	Inflammatory
IL-1ra	Inflammatory
IL-4	Inflammatory
IL-6	Inflammatory
IL-8	Inflammatory
Lysophosphatidic acid	Inflammatory
MDA-modified LDL	Inflammatory
Human neutrophil elastase	Inflammatory
C-reactive protein	Inflammatory
Insulin-like growth factor	Inflammatory
Inducible nitric oxide synthase	Inflammatory
Intracellular adhesion molecule	Inflammatory
NGAL (Lipocalin-2)	Inflammatory
Lactate dehydrogenase	Inflammatory
MCP-1	Inflammatory
MMP-1	Inflammatory
MMP-2	Inflammatory
MMP-3	Inflammatory
MMP-7	Inflammatory
MMP-9	Inflammatory
TIMP-1	Inflammatory
TIMP-2	Inflammatory
TIMP-3	Inflammatory
NGAL	Inflammatory
n-acetyl aspartate	Inflammatory
PTEN	Inflammatory
Phospholipase A2	Inflammatory
TNF Receptor Superfamily Member 1A	Inflammatory
TNFRSF3 (lymphotoxin β receptor)	Inflammatory
Transforming growth factor beta	Inflammatory
TREM-1	Inflammatory
TREM-1sv	Inflammatory
TL-1 (TNF ligand related molecule-1)	Inflammatory
TL-1a	Inflammatory
Tumor necrosis factor alpha	Inflammatory
Vascular cell adhesion molecule	Inflammatory
Vascular endothelial growth factor	Inflammatory
cystatin C	Inflammatory

-continued

Marker	Classification
substance P	Inflammatory
Myeloperoxidase (MPO)	Inflammatory
macrophage inhibitory factor	Inflammatory
Fibronectin	Inflammatory
cardiotrophin 1	Inflammatory
Haptoglobin	Inflammatory
PAPPa	Inflammatory
s-CD40 ligand	Inflammatory
HMG-1 (or HMGB1)	Inflammatory
IL-2	Inflammatory
IL-4	Inflammatory
IL-11	Inflammatory
IL-13	Inflammatory
IL-18	Inflammatory
Eosinophil cationic protein	Inflammatory
Mast cell tryptase	Inflammatory
VCAM	Inflammatory
sICAM-1	Inflammatory
TNFα	Inflammatory
Osteoprotegerin	Inflammatory
Prostaglandin D-synthase	Inflammatory
Prostaglandin E2	Inflammatory
RANK ligand	Inflammatory
RANK (TNFRSF11A)	Inflammatory
HSP-60	Inflammatory
Serum Amyloid A	Inflammatory
s-iL 18 receptor	Inflammatory
S-iL-1 receptor	Inflammatory
s-TNF P55	Inflammatory
s-TNF P75	Inflammatory
sTLR-1 (soluble toll-like receptor-1)	Inflammatory
sTLR-2	Inflammatory
sTLR-4	Inflammatory
TGF-beta	Inflammatory
MMP-11	Inflammatory
Beta NGF	Inflammatory
CD44	Inflammatory
EGF	Inflammatory
E-selectin	Inflammatory
Fibronectin	Inflammatory
RAGE	Inflammatory
Neutrophil elastase	Pulmonary injury
KL-6	Pulmonary injury
LAMP 3	Pulmonary injury
LAMP3	Pulmonary injury
Lung Surfactant protein A	Pulmonary injury
Lung Surfactant protein B	Pulmonary injury
Lung Surfactant protein C	Pulmonary injury
Lung Surfactant protein D	Pulmonary injury
phospholipase D	Pulmonary injury
PLA2G5	Pulmonary injury
SFTPC	Pulmonary injury
MAPK10	Neural tissue injury
KCNK4	Neural tissue injury
KCNK9	Neural tissue injury
KCNQ5	Neural tissue injury
14-3-3	Neural tissue injury
4.1B	Neural tissue injury
APO E4-1	Neural tissue injury
myelin basic protein	Neural tissue injury
Atrophin 1	Neural tissue injury
Brain derived neurotrophic factor	Neural tissue injury
Brain fatty acid binding protein	Neural tissue injury
Brain tubulin	Neural tissue injury
CACNA1A	Neural tissue injury
Calbindin D	Neural tissue injury
Calbrain	Neural tissue injury
Carbonic anhydrase XI	Neural tissue injury
CBLN1	Neural tissue injury
Cerebellin 1	Neural tissue injury
Chimerin 1	Neural tissue injury
Chimerin 2	Neural tissue injury
CHN1	Neural tissue injury
CHN2	Neural tissue injury

-continued

Marker	Classification
Ciliary neurotrophic factor	Neural tissue injury
CK-BB	Neural tissue injury
CRHR1	Neural tissue injury
C-tau	Neural tissue injury
DRPLA	Neural tissue injury
GFAP	Neural tissue injury
GPM6B	Neural tissue injury
GPR7	Neural tissue injury
GPR8	Neural tissue injury
GRIN2C	Neural tissue injury
GRM7	Neural tissue injury
HAPIP	Neural tissue injury
HIP2	Neural tissue injury
LDH	Neural tissue injury
Myelin basic protein	Neural tissue injury
NCAM	Neural tissue injury
NT-3	Neural tissue injury
NDPKA	Neural tissue injury
Neural cell adhesion molecule	Neural tissue injury
NEUROD2	Neural tissue injury
Neurofilament L	Neural tissue injury
Neuroglobin	Neural tissue injury
neuromodulin	Neural tissue injury
Neuron specific enolase	Neural tissue injury
Neuropeptide Y	Neural tissue injury
Neurotensin	Neural tissue injury
Neurotrophin 1, 2, 3, 4	Neural tissue injury
NRG2	Neural tissue injury
PACE4	Neural tissue injury
phosphoglycerate mutase	Neural tissue injury
PKC gamma	Neural tissue injury
proteolipid protein	Neural tissue injury
PTEN	Neural tissue injury
PTPRZ1	Neural tissue injury
RGS9	Neural tissue injury
RNA Binding protein Regulatory Subunit	Neural tissue injury
S-100β	Neural tissue injury
SCA7	Neural tissue injury
secretagogin	Neural tissue injury
SLC1A3	Neural tissue injury
SORL1	Neural tissue injury
SREB3	Neural tissue injury
STAC	Neural tissue injury
STX1A	Neural tissue injury
STXBP1	Neural tissue injury
Syntaxin	Neural tissue injury
thrombomodulin	Neural tissue injury
transthyretin	Neural tissue injury
adenylate kinase-1	Neural tissue injury
BDNF	Neural tissue injury
neurokinin A	Neural tissue injury
neurokinin B	Neural tissue injury
s-acetyl Glutathione	apoptosis
cytochrome C	apoptosis
Caspase 3	apoptosis
Cathepsin D	apoptosis
α-spectrin	apoptosis

**[0116]** Assay Measurement Strategies

**[0117]** Numerous methods and devices are well known to the skilled artisan for the detection and analysis of the markers of the instant invention. With regard to polypeptides or proteins in patient test samples, immunoassay devices and methods are often used. See, e.g., U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,143,576; 6,113,855; 6,019,944; 5,985,579; 5,947,124; 5,939,272; 5,922,615; 5,885,527; 5,851,776; 5,824,799; 5,679,526; 5,525,524; and 5,480,792, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, including all tables, figures and claims. These devices and methods can utilize labeled molecules in various sandwich, competitive, or non-competitive assay formats, to generate a signal that is related to the

presence or amount of an analyte of interest. Additionally, certain methods and devices, such as biosensors and optical immunoassays, may be employed to determine the presence or amount of analytes without the need for a labeled molecule. See, e.g., U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,631,171; and 5,955,377, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, including all tables, figures and claims. One skilled in the art also recognizes that robotic instrumentation including but not limited to Beckman Access, Abbott AxSym, Roche ElecSys, Dade Behring Stratus systems are among the immunoassay analyzers that are capable of performing the immunoassays taught herein.

**[0118]** Preferably the markers are analyzed using an immunoassay, and most preferably sandwich immunoassay, although other methods are well known to those skilled in the art (for example, the measurement of marker RNA levels). The presence or amount of a marker is generally determined using antibodies specific for each marker and detecting specific binding. Any suitable immunoassay may be utilized, for example, enzyme-linked immunoassays (ELISA), radioimmunoassays (RIAs), competitive binding assays, and the like. Specific immunological binding of the antibody to the marker can be detected directly or indirectly. Direct labels include fluorescent or luminescent tags, metals, dyes, radionuclides, and the like, attached to the antibody. Indirect labels include various enzymes well known in the art, such as alkaline phosphatase, horseradish peroxidase and the like.

**[0119]** The use of immobilized antibodies specific for the markers is also contemplated by the present invention. The antibodies could be immobilized onto a variety of solid supports, such as magnetic or chromatographic matrix particles, the surface of an assay plate (such as microtiter wells), pieces of a solid substrate material or membrane (such as plastic, nylon, paper), and the like. An assay strip could be prepared by coating the antibody or a plurality of antibodies in an array on solid support. This strip could then be dipped into the test sample and then processed quickly through washes and detection steps to generate a measurable signal, such as a colored spot.

**[0120]** For separate or sequential assay of markers, suitable apparatuses include clinical laboratory analyzers such as the ElecSys (Roche), the AxSym (Abbott), the Access (Beckman), the ADVIA® CENTAUR® (Bayer) immunoassay systems, the NICHOLS ADVANTAGE® (Nichols Institute) immunoassay system, etc. Preferred apparatuses perform simultaneous assays of a plurality of markers using a single test device. Particularly useful physical formats comprise surfaces having a plurality of discrete, addressable locations for the detection of a plurality of different analytes. Such formats include protein microarrays, or "protein chips" (see, e.g., Ng and Ilag, *J. Cell Mol. Med.* 6: 329-340 (2002)) and certain capillary devices (see, e.g., U.S. Pat. No. 6,019,944). In these embodiments, each discrete surface location may comprise antibodies to immobilize one or more analyte(s) (e.g., a marker) for detection at each location. Surfaces may alternatively comprise one or more discrete particles (e.g., microparticles or nanoparticles) immobilized at discrete locations of a surface, where the microparticles comprise antibodies to immobilize one analyte (e.g., a marker) for detection.

**[0121]** Preferred assay devices of the present invention will comprise, for one or more assays, a first antibody conjugated to a solid phase and a second antibody conjugated to a signal development element. Such assay devices are configured to perform a sandwich immunoassay for one or more analytes.

These assay devices will preferably further comprise a sample application zone, and a flow path from the sample application zone to a second device region comprising the first antibody conjugated to a solid phase.

**[0122]** Flow of a sample along the flow path may be driven passively (e.g., by capillary, hydrostatic, or other forces that do not require further manipulation of the device once sample is applied), actively (e.g., by application of force generated via mechanical pumps, electroosmotic pumps, centrifugal force, increased air pressure, etc.), or by a combination of active and passive driving forces. Most preferably, sample applied to the sample application zone will contact both a first antibody conjugated to a solid phase and a second antibody conjugated to a signal development element along the flow path (sandwich assay format). Additional elements, such as filters to separate plasma or serum from blood, mixing chambers, etc., may be included as required by the artisan. Exemplary devices are described in Chapter 41, entitled "Near Patient Tests: Triage® Cardiac System," in *The Immunoassay Handbook*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., David Wild, ed., Nature Publishing Group, 2001, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

**[0123]** A panel consisting of the markers referenced above may be constructed to provide relevant information related to differential diagnosis. Such a panel may be constructed using 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 15, 20, or more or individual markers. The analysis of a single marker or subsets of markers comprising a larger panel of markers could be carried out by one skilled in the art to optimize clinical sensitivity or specificity in various clinical settings. These include, but are not limited to ambulatory, urgent care, critical care, intensive care, monitoring unit, inpatient, outpatient, physician office, medical clinic, and health screening settings. Furthermore, one skilled in the art can use a single marker or a subset of markers comprising a larger panel of markers in combination with an adjustment of the diagnostic threshold in each of the aforementioned settings to optimize clinical sensitivity and specificity. The clinical sensitivity of an assay is defined as the percentage of those with the disease that the assay correctly predicts, and the specificity of an assay is defined as the percentage of those without the disease that the assay correctly predicts (Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Carl Burtis and Edward Ashwood eds., W.B. Saunders and Company, p. 496).

**[0124]** The analysis of markers could be carried out in a variety of physical formats as well. For example, the use of microtiter plates or automation could be used to facilitate the processing of large numbers of test samples. Alternatively, single sample formats could be developed to facilitate immediate treatment and diagnosis in a timely fashion, for example, in ambulatory transport or emergency room settings.

**[0125]** In another embodiment, the present invention provides a kit for the analysis of markers. Such a kit preferably comprises reagents and reagents for the analysis of at least one test sample and instructions for performing the assay. Optionally the kits may contain one or more means for using information obtained from immunoassays performed for a marker panel to rule in or out certain diagnoses. Other measurement strategies applicable to the methods described herein include chromatography (e.g., HPLC), mass spectrometry, receptor-based assays, and combinations of the foregoing.

#### **[0126]** Selection of Antibodies

**[0127]** The generation and selection of antibodies may be accomplished several ways. For example, one way is to purify polypeptides of interest or to synthesize the polypeptides of interest using, e.g., solid phase peptide synthesis methods well known in the art. See, e.g., *Guide to Protein Purification*, Murray P. Deutcher, ed., *Meth. Enzymol.* Vol 182 (1990); Solid Phase Peptide Synthesis, Greg B. Fields ed., *Meth. Enzymol.* Vol 289 (1997); Kiso et al., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* (Tokyo) 38: 1192-99, 1990; Mostafavi et al., *Biomed. Pept. Proteins Nucleic Acids* 1: 255-60, 1995; Fujiwara et al., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* (Tokyo) 44: 1326-31, 1996. The selected polypeptides may then be injected, for example, into mice or rabbits, to generate polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies. One skilled in the art will recognize that many procedures are available for the production of antibodies, for example, as described in *Antibodies, A Laboratory Manual*, Ed Harlow and David Lane, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (1988), Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y. One skilled in the art will also appreciate that binding fragments or Fab fragments which mimic antibodies can also be prepared from genetic information by various procedures (*Antibody Engineering: A Practical Approach* (Borrebaeck, C., ed.), 1995, Oxford University Press, Oxford; *J. Immunol.* 149, 3914-3920 (1992)).

**[0128]** In addition, numerous publications have reported the use of phage display technology to produce and screen libraries of polypeptides for binding to a selected target. See, e.g., Cwirla et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 87, 6378-82, 1990; Devlin et al., *Science* 249, 404-6, 1990; Scott and Smith, *Science* 249, 386-88, 1990; and Ladner et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,571,698. A basic concept of phage display methods is the establishment of a physical association between DNA encoding a polypeptide to be screened and the polypeptide. This physical association is provided by the phage particle, which displays a polypeptide as part of a capsid enclosing the phage genome which encodes the polypeptide. The establishment of a physical association between polypeptides and their genetic material allows simultaneous mass screening of very large numbers of phage bearing different polypeptides. Phage displaying a polypeptide with affinity to a target bind to the target and these phage are enriched by affinity screening to the target. The identity of polypeptides displayed from these phage can be determined from their respective genomes. Using these methods a polypeptide identified as having a binding affinity for a desired target can then be synthesized in bulk by conventional means. See, e.g., U.S. Pat. No. 6,057,098, which is hereby incorporated in its entirety, including all tables, figures, and claims.

**[0129]** The antibodies that are generated by these methods may then be selected by first screening for affinity and specificity with the purified polypeptide of interest and, if required, comparing the results to the affinity and specificity of the antibodies with polypeptides that are desired to be excluded from binding. The screening procedure can involve immobilization of the purified polypeptides in separate wells of microtiter plates. The solution containing a potential antibody or groups of antibodies is then placed into the respective microtiter wells and incubated for about 30 min to 2 h. The microtiter wells are then washed and a labeled secondary antibody (for example, an anti-mouse antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase if the raised antibodies are mouse antibodies) is added to the wells and incubated for about 30 min and then washed. Substrate is added to the wells and a color reaction will appear where antibody to the immobilized polypeptide(s) are present.

**[0130]** The antibodies so identified may then be further analyzed for affinity and specificity in the assay design selected. In the development of immunoassays for a target protein, the purified target protein acts as a standard with which to judge the sensitivity and specificity of the immunoassay using the antibodies that have been selected. Because the binding affinity of various antibodies may differ; certain antibody pairs (e.g., in sandwich assays) may interfere with one another sterically, etc., assay performance of an antibody may be a more important measure than absolute affinity and specificity of an antibody.

**[0131]** Those skilled in the art will recognize that many approaches can be taken in producing antibodies or binding fragments and screening and selecting for affinity and specificity for the various polypeptides, but these approaches do not change the scope of the invention.

**[0132]** Selecting a Treatment Regimen

**[0133]** Just as the potential causes of any particular nonspecific symptom may be a large and diverse set of conditions, the appropriate treatments for these potential causes may be equally large and diverse. However, once a diagnosis is obtained, the clinician can readily select a treatment regimen that is compatible with the diagnosis. The skilled artisan is aware of appropriate treatments for numerous diseases discussed in relation to the methods of diagnosis described herein. See, e.g., *Merck Manual of Diagnosis and Therapy*, 17<sup>th</sup> Ed. Merck Research Laboratories, Whitehouse Station, N.J., 1999.

**[0134]** In addition, since the methods and compositions described herein provide prognostic information, the panels and markers of the present invention may be used to monitor a course of treatment. For example, improved or worsened prognostic state may indicate that a particular treatment is or is not efficacious.

#### EXAMPLES

**[0135]** The following examples serve to illustrate the present invention. These examples are in no way intended to limit the scope of the invention.

##### Example 1

###### B-Type Natriuretic Peptide in the Diagnosis of Sub-clinical Atherosclerosis

**[0136]** Subject Population and Sample Collection

**[0137]** The study population included 1377 adults (mean age 59 years, 53% male) free of coronary heart disease, and who underwent computed tomography (CT) for measurement of coronary artery calcium (CAC) and thoracic aortic calcium (TAC) and who had risk factor measures (blood pressure, lipids, glucose, and medical history) available. The imaging protocol involved an experienced licensed radiologic technician acquiring a single scan on each patient, consisting of ~30-40 3 mm slices or 2.5 mm slices for electron beam tomography and MSCT, respectively. Foci of CAC were identified and scored by an experienced technician, blinded to both patient characteristics and the MPS results, using semiautomatic commercial software on a NetraMD workstation (ScImage, Los Altos, Calif.) by detection of at least three contiguous pixels (voxel size=1.03 mm<sup>3</sup>) of peak density >130 Hounsfield units (HU) within a coronary artery, with scoring verified by an experienced cardiologist. The software calculated lesion-specific scores as the product of the area of each calcified focus and peak computed tomogra-

phy number (categories: 0 HU, 1-99 HU, 100-399 HU, and  $\geq 400$  HU). These were summed across all lesions identified within the left main, left anterior descending, left circumflex, and right coronary arteries to provide arterial-specific calcium scores, and across arteries to provide the total CAC score.

**[0138]** BNP Assay

**[0139]** Blood samples were collected by trained personnel in standard blood collection tubes with EDTA as the anticoagulant. The plasma was separated from the cells by centrifugation, frozen, and stored at -20 C or colder until analysis. The plasma was frozen within 1 hour. An assay that detects BNP was performed by standard immunoassay techniques using microfluidic devices essentially as described in Chapter 41, entitled "Near Patient Tests: Triage® Cardiac System," in *The Immunoassay Handbook*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., David Wild, ed., Nature Publishing Group, 2001.

**[0140]** Data Analysis

**[0141]** Multiple logistic regression examined the relation of BNP levels with the odds of having any (score >0) or significant (score  $\geq 400$ ) CAC and TAC. BNP levels were significantly higher among those with vs. without any CAC (18.3 vs. 12.5 pg/ml, p<0.001), significant CAC (42.6 vs. 15.3 pg/ml, p<0.001), any TAC (20.3 vs. 11.6 pg/ml, p<0.001), and significant TAC (28.9 vs. 13.7 pg/ml, p<0.001). Among those with BNP levels  $\geq 75^{\text{th}}$  percentile vs. <75<sup>th</sup> percentile, 12.1 vs. 7.5% had significant CAC (p<0.01) and 14.5% vs. 8.0% had significant TAC (p<0.001). By category of CAC (0, 1-99, 100-399, and 400+), mean BNP levels, adjusted for Framingham risk score, were 11.6, 15.4, 18.5, and 32.8 pg/ml, respectively, and for TAC category (0, 1-99, 100-399, and 400+), adjusted means were 11.3, 16.4, 20.8, and 29.9 pg/ml, respectively (p<0.001). In multiple logistic regression, adjusted for Framingham risk score, the odds per standard deviation of BNP for any CAC was 1.56 (95% CI=1.29-1.87), significant CAC 1.75 (1.41-2.12), any TAC 1.85 (1.54-2.23) and significant TAC 1.87 (1.54-2.27).

**[0142]** These results suggest a direct independent association of BNP levels with subclinical atherosclerosis measured by CAC and TAC.

##### Example 2

###### B-Type Natriuretic Peptide in the Prognosis of Sub-clinical Atherosclerotic Disease

**[0143]** Subject Population and Sample Collection

**[0144]** The study population included Our population included 2,103 asymptomatic adults (54% male) with an average Framingham risk score of 8.6±7% who underwent computed (CT) for evaluation of coronary artery calcium (CAC). The imaging protocol was performed as described in Example 1 above.

**[0145]** BNP Assay

**[0146]** BNP was assayed as described in Example 1.

**[0147]** Data Analysis

**[0148]** During followup, 17 events (5 deaths, 2 MI, 1 coronary artery bypass grafting procedure (CABG), 11 percutaneous coronary intervention procedures (PCI, which includes angioplasty or stent placement), 13 diagnoses of obstructive CAD; mean time to PCI or CABG=117 days+/-91 days) occurred in the study population. Multivariable logistic regression models were used to estimate BNP $\geq 40$  pg/ml (n=273) including systolic blood pressure (SBP), LDL cholesterol (LDL), CAC. A Cox proportional hazards model was

used to estimate time to any cardiac event. The frequency of  $\text{BNP} \geq 40$  pg/ml was greater for patients with vs. without evidence of CAC (52% vs. 66%,  $p < 0.0001$ ). In a multivariable model, factors associated with an elevated BNP included age ( $p < 0.0001$ ), above normal SBP ( $p = 0.002$ ), elevated LDL-C ( $p = 0.001$ ), and CAC ( $p = 0.008$ ).

**[0149]** Relative risk (RR) and 95% confidence intervals for cumulative event-free survival was 4.6 (0.7-32.8,  $p = 0.13$ ) in those in CAC category 101-399 and 33.3 (5.6-199.3,  $p < 0.0001$ ) in those in CAC category  $\geq 400$ . RR for cardiovascular event-free survival in subjects with  $\text{BNP} \geq 40$  pg/ml was 4.5 (1.6-13.2,  $p < 0.0001$ ). Framingham risk score (FRS)-adjusted 4 year CV event rates was 3.1 (1.02-63.7,  $p = 0.048$ ) in subjects with any CAC or  $\text{BNP} \geq 40$  pg/ml, and 10.6 (4.2-310.9,  $p = 0.001$ ) for both. For CAC category  $\geq 100$ , the RR 10.2 (2.2-47.9,  $p = 0.003$ ) for either CAC  $\geq 100$  or  $\text{BNP} \geq 40$  pg/ml and 53.3 (10.2-278.7,  $p < 0.0001$ ) for both.

**[0150]** In a population of asymptomatic individuals with average risk as determined by FRS, a  $\text{BNP} \geq 40$  pg/ml added additional prognostic information to CAC and FRS.

**[0151]** These results suggest a direct independent association of BNP levels with subclinical atherosclerosis measured by CAC and TAC.

**[0152]** While the invention has been described and exemplified in sufficient detail for those skilled in this art to make and use it, various alternatives, modifications, and improvements should be apparent without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. The examples provided herein are representative of preferred embodiments, are exemplary, and are not intended as limitations on the scope of the invention. Modifications therein and other uses will occur to those

skilled in the art. These modifications are encompassed within the spirit of the invention and are defined by the scope of the claims.

**[0153]** It will be readily apparent to a person skilled in the art that varying substitutions and modifications may be made to the invention disclosed herein without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.

**[0154]** All patents and publications mentioned in the specification are indicative of the levels of those of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains. All patents and publications are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

**[0155]** The invention illustratively described herein suitably may be practiced in the absence of any element or elements, limitation or limitations which is not specifically disclosed herein. Thus, for example, in each instance herein any of the terms "comprising", "consisting essentially of" and "consisting of" may be replaced with either of the other two terms. The terms and expressions which have been employed are used as terms of description and not of limitation, and there is no intention that in the use of such terms and expressions of excluding any equivalents of the features shown and described or portions thereof, but it is recognized that various modifications are possible within the scope of the invention claimed. Thus, it should be understood that although the present invention has been specifically disclosed by preferred embodiments and optional features, modification and variation of the concepts herein disclosed may be resorted to by those skilled in the art, and that such modifications and variations are considered to be within the scope of this invention as defined by the appended claims.

**[0156]** Other embodiments are set forth within the following claims.

---

SEQUENCE LISTING

<160> NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 2

<210> SEQ ID NO 1

<211> LENGTH: 108

<212> TYPE: PRT

<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

<400> SEQUENCE: 1

His Pro Leu Gly Ser Pro Gly Ser Ala Ser Asp Leu Glu Thr Ser Gly  
1 5 10 15

Leu Gln Glu Gln Arg Asn His Leu Gln Gly Lys Leu Ser Glu Leu Gln  
20 25 30

Val Glu Gln Thr Ser Leu Glu Pro Leu Gln Glu Ser Pro Arg Pro Thr  
35 40 45

Gly Val Trp Lys Ser Arg Glu Val Ala Thr Glu Gly Ile Arg Gly His  
50 55 60

Arg Lys Met Val Leu Tyr Thr Leu Arg Ala Pro Arg Ser Pro Lys Met  
65 70 75 80

Val Gln Gly Ser Gly Cys Phe Gly Arg Lys Met Asp Arg Ile Ser Ser  
85 90 95

Ser Ser Gly Leu Gly Cys Lys Val Leu Arg Arg His  
100 105

-continued

---

```

<210> SEQ ID NO 2
<211> LENGTH: 134
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Description of Artificial Sequence: Synthetic
        polypeptide

<400> SEQUENCE: 2

Met Asp Pro Gln Thr Ala Pro Ser Arg Ala Leu Leu Leu Leu Leu Phe
 1             5             10             15
Leu His Leu Ala Phe Leu Gly Gly Arg Ser His Pro Leu Gly Ser Pro
                20             25             30
Gly Ser Ala Ser Asp Leu Glu Thr Ser Gly Leu Gln Glu Gln Arg Asn
                35             40             45
His Leu Gln Gly Lys Leu Ser Glu Leu Gln Val Glu Gln Thr Ser Leu
 50             55             60
Glu Pro Leu Gln Glu Ser Pro Arg Pro Thr Gly Val Trp Lys Ser Arg
 65             70             75             80
Glu Val Ala Thr Glu Gly Ile Arg Gly His Arg Lys Met Val Leu Tyr
                85             90             95
Thr Leu Arg Ala Pro Arg Ser Pro Lys Met Val Gln Gly Ser Gly Cys
 100            105            110
Phe Gly Arg Lys Met Asp Arg Ile Ser Ser Ser Ser Gly Leu Gly Cys
 115            120            125
Lys Val Leu Arg Arg His
 130

```

---

We claim:

1. A method of diagnosing subclinical atherosclerosis in a subject or assigning a risk of one or more future clinical outcomes to a subject suffering from subclinical atherosclerosis, comprising:

performing one or more assays configured to detect one or more natriuretic peptides; and

relating the results of said assay(s) to the presence or absence of subclinical atherosclerosis in said subject or to the risk of one or more clinical outcomes for the subject.

2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the method comprises performing an assay configured to detect one or more natriuretic peptides selected from the group consisting of BNP, NT-proBNP, a BNP related marker lacking N-terminal residues 1 and 2 of pro-BNP, a BNP related marker lacking N-terminal residues 77 and 78 of BNP, and pro-BNP.

3. A method according to claim 2, wherein the method comprises performing an assay configured to detect BNP.

4. A method according to claim 3, wherein the method comprises performing an assay configured to detect BNP but not NT-proBNP.

5. A method according to claim 2, wherein the method comprises performing an assay configured to detect NT-proBNP.

6. A method according to claim 2, wherein the method comprises performing an assay configured to detect NT-proBNP but not BNP.

7. A method according to claim 2, wherein the method comprises performing an assay configured to detect proBNP.

8. A method according to claim 2, wherein the method comprises performing an assay configured to detect proBNP but not BNP or NT-proBNP.

9. A method according to claim 1, wherein said method comprising assigning said risk of one or more future clinical outcomes, wherein said one or more future clinical outcomes are selected from the group consisting of death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, ischemia requiring rehospitalization, ischemia requiring urgent revascularization, and congestive heart failure.

10. A method according to claim 1, further comprising performing one or more additional assays configured to detect one or more additional subject-derived markers in said sample, and said relating step comprises relating the results of said assay(s) and the results of said one or more additional assays to the presence or absence of subclinical atherosclerosis in said subject or to the risk of one or more clinical outcomes for the subject.

11. A method according to claim 10, wherein said one or more other subject-derived markers are independently selected from the group consisting of specific markers of myocardial injury, specific markers of neural tissue injury, markers related to blood pressure regulation, markers related to coagulation and hemostasis, markers related to inflammation, and markers related to apoptosis.

12. A method according to claim 11, wherein said one or more additional subject-derived markers comprise one or more markers selected from the group consisting of annexin V,  $\beta$ -enolase, free cardiac troponin I, complexed cardiac troponin I, free and complexed cardiac troponin I, free cardiac

troponin T, complexed cardiac troponin T, free and complexed cardiac troponin T, creatine kinase-MB, glycogen phosphorylase-BB, heart-type fatty acid binding protein, phosphoglyceric acid mutase-MB, S-100a<sub>o</sub>, adenylate kinase, calbindin-D, creatine kinase-BB, glial fibrillary acidic protein, lactate dehydrogenase, myelin basic protein, neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM), c-tau, neuropeptide Y, neuron-specific enolase, neurotrophin-3, proteolipid protein, S-100 $\beta$ , thrombomodulin, protein kinase C  $\gamma$ , urotensin II, arginine vasopressin, aldosterone, angiotensin I, angiotensin II, angiotensin III, bradykinin, calcitonin, procalcitonin, calcitonin gene related peptide, adrenomedullin, calcyphosine, endothelin-2, endothelin-3, renin, urodilatin, acute phase reactants, cell adhesion molecules, C-reactive protein, interleukins, interleukin-1 receptor agonist, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), caspase-3, lipocalin-type prostaglandin D synthase, mast cell tryptase, eosinophil cationic protein, KL-6, haptoglobin, tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ , tumor necrosis factor  $\beta$ , Fas ligand, soluble Fas (Apo-1), TRAIL, TWEAK, fibronectin, macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), myeloperoxidase (MPO), caspase-3, cathepsin D,  $\alpha$ -spectrin, plasmin, fibrinogen, D-dimer,  $\beta$ -thromboglobulin, platelet factor 4, fibrinopeptide A, platelet-derived growth factor, prothrombin fragment 1+2, plasmin- $\alpha$ 2-antiplasmin complex, thrombin-antithrombin III complex, P-selectin, thrombin, von Willebrand factor, and tissue factor.

13. A method according to claim 12, wherein said one or more additional subject-derived markers comprise one or more markers selected from the group consisting of C-reactive protein, MPO, free cardiac troponin I, complexed cardiac troponin I, free and complexed cardiac troponin I, free cardiac troponin T, complexed cardiac troponin T, free and complexed cardiac troponin T, creatine kinase-MB, and D-dimer.

14. A method according to claim 12, wherein said one or more additional subject-derived markers comprise one or more markers selected from the group consisting of free cardiac troponin I, complexed cardiac troponin I, free and complexed cardiac troponin I, free cardiac troponin T, complexed cardiac troponin T, and free and complexed cardiac troponin T.

15. A method according to claim 12, wherein said one or more additional subject-derived markers comprise C-reactive protein.

16. A method according to claim 12, wherein said one or more additional subject-derived markers comprise myeloperoxidase.

17. A method according to claim 1, wherein the subject is a human.

18. A method according to claim 1, wherein the sample is selected from the group consisting of blood, serum, and plasma.

19. A method according to claim 1, wherein said one or more assays configured to detect one or more natriuretic peptides are one or more immunoassays, and said relating step comprises generating a signal from each of said assay(s) and converting each said signal to a natriuretic peptide concentration.

20. A method according to claim 1, further comprising obtaining one or more values representative of one or more ACS risk factors for said subject, and said relating step comprises relating the results of said assay(s) and said one or more

values to the presence or absence of subclinical atherosclerosis in said subject or to the risk of one or more clinical outcomes for the subject.

21. A method according to claim 1, further comprising obtaining a CAC score for said subject, and said relating step comprises relating the results of said assay(s) and said CAC score to the presence or absence of subclinical atherosclerosis in said subject or to the risk of one or more clinical outcomes for the subject.

22. A method according to claim 1, wherein relating step comprises:

generating a signal from at least one of said assay(s) and converting said signal to a measured natriuretic peptide concentration for said subject; and

comparing said measured concentration to a threshold concentration that is a median natriuretic peptide concentration obtained from a subject population having a CAC or TAC score of  $<25$ , wherein

when the measured concentration is greater than said threshold concentration, an increased probability of the presence of subclinical atherosclerosis is assigned to the subject or an increased probability of one or more clinical outcomes is assigned to the subject, relative to the probability assigned to a subject having a concentration that is less than said threshold concentration.

23. A method according to claim 1, wherein relating step comprises:

generating a signal from at least one of said assay(s) and converting said signal to a measured natriuretic peptide concentration for said subject; and

comparing said measured concentration to a threshold concentration that is a median natriuretic peptide concentration obtained from a subject population having a CAC or TAC score of  $\geq 25$ , wherein

when the measured concentration is greater than said threshold concentration, an increased probability of the presence of subclinical atherosclerosis is assigned to the subject or an increased probability of one or more clinical outcomes is assigned to the subject, relative to the probability assigned to a subject having a concentration that is less than said threshold concentration.

24. A method according to claim 23, wherein threshold concentration is a median natriuretic peptide concentration obtained from a subject population having a CAC or TAC score of  $\geq 50$ .

25. A method according to claim 23, wherein threshold concentration is a median natriuretic peptide concentration obtained from a subject population having a CAC or TAC score of  $\geq 100$ .

26. A method according to claim 23, wherein threshold concentration is a median natriuretic peptide concentration obtained from a subject population having a CAC or TAC score of  $\geq 200$ .

27. A method according to claim 23, wherein threshold concentration is a median natriuretic peptide concentration obtained from a subject population having a CAC or TAC score of  $\geq 400$ .

28. A method according to claim 1, wherein relating step comprises:

generating a signal from at least one of said assay(s) and converting said signal to a measured natriuretic peptide concentration for said subject; and

comparing said measured concentration to a threshold concentration that is a median natriuretic peptide concentration obtained from a subject population suffering from an acute coronary syndrome, wherein

when the measured concentration is greater than said threshold concentration, an increased probability of the presence of subclinical atherosclerosis is assigned to the subject or an increased probability of one or more clinical outcomes is assigned to the subject, relative to the probability assigned to a subject having a concentration that is less than said threshold concentration.

**29.** A method according to claim 1, wherein relating step comprises:

generating a signal from at least one of said assay(s) and converting said signal to a measured natriuretic peptide concentration; and

comparing said measured concentration to a threshold concentration that is a natriuretic peptide concentration that is greater than or equal to a 75<sup>th</sup> percentile concentration obtained from a subject population not exhibiting an acute coronary syndrome or stable angina, wherein

when the measured concentration is greater than said threshold concentration, an increased probability of the pres-

ence of subclinical atherosclerosis is assigned to the subject or an increased probability of one or more clinical outcomes is assigned to the subject, relative to the probability assigned to a subject having a concentration that is less than said threshold concentration.

**30.** A method according to claim 1, wherein relating step comprises:

generating a signal from at least one of said assay(s) and converting said signal to a measured BNP concentration for said subject; and

comparing said measured BNP concentration to a threshold BNP concentration that is greater than or equal to 15 pg/mL, wherein

when the measured BNP concentration is greater than said threshold BNP concentration, an increased probability of the presence of subclinical atherosclerosis is assigned to the subject or an increased probability of one or more clinical outcomes is assigned to the subject, relative to the probability assigned to a subject having a BNP concentration that is less than said threshold BNP concentration.

\* \* \* \* \*

专利名称(译)	使用利钠肽作为血管疾病的诊断和预后指标		
公开(公告)号	<a href="#">US20080118924A1</a>	公开(公告)日	2008-05-22
申请号	US11/752135	申请日	2007-05-22
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	BUECHLER KENNETH° F		
申请(专利权)人(译)	BUECHLER KENNETH° F		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	BUECHLER KENNETH° F		
[标]发明人	BUECHLER KENNETH F		
发明人	BUECHLER, KENNETH F.		
IPC分类号	G01N33/53 C12Q1/68 G01N33/566		
CPC分类号	G01N33/74 G01N2800/324 G01N2800/323		
优先权	60/808655 2006-05-26 US		
外部链接	<a href="#">Espacenet</a> <a href="#">USPTO</a>		

#### 摘要(译)

本发明涉及诊断和评估亚临床动脉粥样硬化的方法。具体地，分析患者测试样品中一种或多种利尿钠肽的存在和量。公开了多种附加标记，用于组装一组标记物用于这种诊断和评估。