

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
14 August 2008 (14.08.2008)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2008/097773 A1**

- (51) International Patent Classification:  
C12Q 1/68 (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:  
PCT/US2008/052418
- (22) International Filing Date: 30 January 2008 (30.01.2008)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:  
11/670,882 2 February 2007 (02.02.2007) US
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **ASPEN-BIO PHARMA, INC.** [US/US]; 1585 S. Perry Street, Castle Rock, Colorado 80104 (US).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **COLGIN, Mark, A.** [US/US]; 3376 Coyote Hills Way, Castle Rock, Colorado 80109 (US). **BEALER, John, F.** [US/US]; 9305 Poundstone Place, Englewood, Colorado 80111 (US). **DONNELLY, Richard, G.** [US/US]; 2838 Garrett Drive, Fort Collins, Colorado 80526 (US).

- (74) Agents: **WINNER, Ellen, P.** et al.; 4875 Pearl East Circle, Suite 200, Boulder, Colorado 80301 (US).
- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:  
— with international search report

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: METHODS AND DEVICES FOR DIAGNOSIS OF APPENDICITIS




FIGURE 9

(57) Abstract: An immunoassay device and method is provided for determining the severity of appendicitis in a patient that includes testing a blood, serum or plasma sample from the patient for the quantity of MRP8/14 in the sample and comparing it with the quantity of MRP8/14 present in standard samples correlated with an appendicitis severity scoring system. Standard samples and data correlating MRP8/14 quantities present in patient samples to histologically-based appendicitis severity grades are also provided. The methods and immunoassay devices and kits of this invention are useful for managing the treatment of patients presenting with appendicitis symptoms.

WO 2008/097773 A1



- 
- *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments*

## METHODS AND DEVICES FOR DIAGNOSIS OF APPENDICITIS

### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

**[0001]** This application claims priority to U.S. application Serial No. U.S. Application No. 11/670,882, U.S. Publication No. 20070249003 filed February 2, 2007 which is incorporated herein by reference to the extent not inconsistent herewith.

### BACKGROUND

**[0002]** Appendicitis is a common acute surgical problem affecting human beings of a wide age range. There are approximately 700,000 cases annually in the United States. A large proportion of cases occur in the 10 to 30 age group. An accurate diagnosis at a sufficiently early stage is a significant factor in achieving a successful outcome.

**[0003]** Many people present to their physician with symptoms suggestive of appendicitis but caused by other ailments such as viral infections. Differentiating the appendicitis patients from those affected with other ailments is a daunting clinical task that physicians face daily. While medical science has an excellent understanding of appendicitis and its treatment, it is very limited in its ability to accurately recognize or diagnose the disease.

**[0004]** Complicating the goal of an accurate and early diagnosis is the considerable overlap of genuine appendicitis with other clinical conditions. There appears to be no individual sign, symptom, test, or procedure capable of providing a reliable indication of appendicitis. Imaging technology is inadequate in identifying and characterizing the appendix, especially in the early stages of the disease when treatment is likely to be most effective. Imaging technology is further handicapped by its expense and its dependence upon the availability of highly trained and experienced people to interpret the studies. This limitation affects thousands of people every year by inaccurately diagnosing their problem or by delaying the accurate diagnosis. In cases of

appendicitis, delays in diagnosis are the single most important factor leading to worsening of the condition and more complications related to the disease. The misdiagnosis of appendicitis can lead not only to unnecessary surgery but also to delay of proper therapy for the actual underlying condition.

**[0005]** A dilemma for surgeons is how to minimize the negative appendectomy rate without increasing the incidence of perforation among patients referred for suspected appendicitis. What is desperately needed to more effectively treat this very common ailment is a simple, reliable diagnostic test that is capable of recognizing the earliest stages of the disease process.

**[0006]** The typical pathogenesis in appendicitis begins with obstruction of the lumen, although an initial inflammation of the organ can precede and even contribute to the obstruction. The secreted mucus of the appendix fills the closed lumen, causing an increase in intraluminal pressure and distension. The increased intraluminal pressure can exceed the level of capillary perfusion pressure, resulting in perturbation of normal lymphatic and circulatory drainage. Ultimately the appendix can become ischemic. The appendix mucosa is compromised, which can allow invasion of intraluminal bacteria. In advanced cases, perforation of the appendix may also occur with spillage of pus into the peritoneal cavity.

**[0007]** Currently, the diagnosis of appendicitis is difficult, and the difficulty persists during various stages in the progression of the condition. The following represents a hypothetical portrayal of stages and associated clinical presentations. Artisans of ordinary skill will recognize that a considerable degree of variation will occur in a given patient population.

**[0008]** At the earliest stages of inflammation, a patient can present with a variety of non-specific signs and symptoms. Upon obstruction, presentation can involve periumbilical pain, mild cramping, and loss of appetite. The progress toward increased luminal pressure and distension can be associated with presentation involving the localization of pain to the right lower quadrant of the abdomen, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and low grade fever. If perforation occurs, a patient can present with severe pain and high fever. At this very advanced stage, sepsis can be a serious risk with a potentially fatal outcome.

**[0009]** Practitioners currently use several tools to aid in appendicitis diagnosis. These tools include physical examination, laboratory tests, and other procedures. Routine laboratory tests include complete blood count (CBC) with or without differential and urinalysis (UA). Other tests include a computed tomography (CT) scan of the abdomen and abdominal ultrasonography. Procedures can include, for example, laparoscopic examination and exploratory surgery.

**[0010]** Flum et al. attempted to determine whether the frequency of misdiagnosis preceding appendectomy has decreased with increased availability of certain techniques (Flum DR et al., 2001). These techniques included computed tomography (CT), ultrasonography, and laparoscopy, which have been suggested for patients presenting with equivocal signs of appendicitis. Flum et al. concluded as follows: "Contrary to expectation, the frequency of misdiagnosis leading to unnecessary appendectomy has not changed with the introduction of computed tomography, ultrasonography, and laparoscopy, nor has the frequency of perforation decreased. These data suggest that on a population level, diagnosis of appendicitis has not improved with the availability of advanced diagnostic testing." The rate of misdiagnosis of appendicitis is about 9 percent in men and about 23.2 percent in women (Neary, W., 2001).

**[0011]** Myeloid-related Protein Complex 8/14 (MRP8/14) is a heterodimeric complex associated with acute inflammatory conditions (for review see Striz and Trebichavsky, 2004). The complex belongs to the S100 superfamily of proteins and is also referred to S100A8/9, L1, macrophage inhibitory related protein and calprotectin. The heterodimer consists of an 8 kilodalton (MRP8) and 14 kilodalton (MRP14) subunit. MRP8 and MRP14 are alternatively named S100A8/calgranulin and S100A9/calgranulin b, respectively. MRP8/14 is a calcium binding protein originally discovered in macrophages. Neutrophils expressing high concentrations of MRP8/14 are found in a variety of inflammatory conditions, including rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease and allograft rejections (Frosch et al., 2000; Limburg et al., 2000; Burkhardt et al., 2001).

**[0012]** MRP8/14 is not always diagnostic of inflammation. For example, it does not reliably indicate the presence of inflammatory diverticuli (Gasché, C. 2005).

Lymphocytes do not generally contain MRP8/14 (Hycult Biotechnology, Monoclonal Antibody to Human S100A8/A9), and therefore MRP8/14 is not diagnostic of inflammation characterized by the presence of lymphocytes but not neutrophils. Also, this protein is not always associated with opportunistic infections (Froland, M.F., et al., 1994).

**[0013]** Haptoglobin is an acute phase protein that binds free hemoglobin following hemolysis. The haptoglobin-hemoglobin complex is removed by the liver. Haptoglobin is a heterotetramer composed of two alpha and two beta subunits. The alpha and beta units are derived from a single polypeptide chain precursor that is enzymatically cleaved to produce the subunits. The molecular weights of the subunits are approximately 9 kd-18 kd and 38 kd for alpha and beta, respectively.

**[0014]** In addition to being a hemoglobin scavenger, haptoglobin has a wide range of biological functions (Dobryszczyka, 1997). Haptoglobin has been shown to be upregulated and modulate the immune response in certain infection and inflammatory conditions perhaps by regulating monocyte function (Arredouani et al., 2005). The alpha subunit has been demonstrated to be a potentially useful serum marker for ovarian cancer (Ye et al., 2003).

**[0015]** Without a reliable method for inexpensively testing the presence and severity of acute appendicitis, physicians must rely on costly imaging tests such as CT scans to tell them whether or not the patient truly has acute appendicitis and the urgency of appendectomy. Furthermore, these imaging tests usually involve delays of several hours that could be used for appropriately treating the patient. A method is needed to reduce the number of such costly scans. If a substantial fraction of CT scans could be avoided, savings of \$3,000-\$5,000 per scan could be saved for each patient. Healthcare costs for Individuals, hospitals, health insurance providers and the healthcare system as a whole could be substantially reduced. In addition, such a reliable method will allow physicians to more appropriately and efficiently diagnose and management the treatment of appendicitis patients.

## SUMMARY

**[0016]** This invention provides a method for scoring the severity of appendicitis of a patient suspected of suffering from appendicitis comprising testing a sample from the patient for the quantity of MRP8/14 in said sample and comparing the quantity of MRP8/14 in the sample with the quantity of MRP8/14 in one or more standard samples, containing quantities of MRP8/14 previously correlated with one or more grade scores for appendicitis severity; and assigning to the severity of appendicitis in the patient the grade score of the standard sample that has the quantity of MRP8/14 closest to the quantity of MRP8/14 present in the patient sample.

**[0017]** Scoring the severity of appendicitis means providing a series of words or numbers or other indicators ranked in order of the severity of the appendicitis, for example as defined by the stages and clinical presentations described above in the Background section, or as defined histologically as described below. The AppyScore™ grading system of an embodiment of this invention is based on histologically-determined appendicitis severity grades.

**[0018]** A patient suspected of suffering from appendicitis, presents with at least some classical symptoms of appendicitis. Such classical symptoms of appendicitis include pain in the abdomen; pain that starts near the navel, then moves to the lower right quadrant of the abdomen; anorexia (loss of appetite); trouble eating accompanied by sleepiness; nausea starting after onset of pain; vomiting starting after onset of pain; vomiting accompanied by fatigue; constipation; small stools with mucus; diarrhea; inability to pass gas; low-grade fever; abdominal swelling; pain in the abdomen worsening; tenesmus (feeling of needing to move the bowels); high fever; and leukocytosis. Increased plasma viscosity is also associated with appendicitis. In one embodiment of the invention at least two or more symptoms of appendicitis are identified.

**[0019]** The patient is tested to quantify the amount of MRP8/14 in a sample from the patient. MRP8/14 is a molecule that the inventors have found to be differentially associated with appendicitis.

**[0020]** In one embodiment of this invention patients are screened to determine whether or not they have an "interfering condition," *i.e.*, another condition in which the

molecule is present in the type of sample being tested. Patients can be tested for the presence of the molecule if they do not have such an interfering condition. Interfering conditions include recent allograft; septicemia; meningitis; pneumonia; tuberculosis; rheumatoid arthritis; gastrointestinal cancer; inflammatory bowel disease; skin cancer, periodontitis, preeclampsia, and AIDS.

**[0021]** A sample can be a fluid or tissue, and can contain whole blood, plasma, serum, milk, urine, saliva and/or cells. Fecal samples may also be used. Preferably tissue and fecal samples are liquefied before testing. However, previously-known tests using fecal samples produce too many false negative results, and blood, plasma and serum provide more accurate results. In embodiments of this invention the patient sample is a blood sample, and as will be appreciated by those of skill in the art, the term "sample from a patient" or "patient sample" as used herein includes plasma, serum and other products derived from blood in which MRP8/14 can be found, including dilutions of the foregoing components.

**[0022]** The inventors hereof have discovered that the quantity of MRP8/14 in patient blood samples is correlated with the severity of appendicitis. Quantification of the amount of MRP8/14 can be done in terms of absolute quantities, *e.g.*, micrograms per milliliter, or in terms of standards based on analysis of MRP8/14 in samples taken from patients having appendicitis of known severity, for example, using measurement parameters other than absolute quantities, such as absorbance readings from a microplate reader.

**[0023]** The quantity of MRP8/14 in the patient sample is compared with that present in standard samples taken from patient(s) with previously-known appendicitis severity grade scores, and the severity of the patient's appendicitis is assigned the score previously assigned to whatever standard sample has a quantity of MRP8/14 closest to that of the patient sample.

**[0024]** In an embodiment of this invention, using a system of grading the severity of appendicitis developed by the inventors hereof, the grade scores for appendicitis severity correspond to histological condition of patient tissue. These grade scores are referred to herein as AppyScore<sup>TM</sup> grades, and are defined as follows:

Grade 1 No identifiable inflammation in appendix tissue;

- Grade 2 Inflammation extending through the mucosa and into the submucosa of the appendix;
- Grade 3 Inflammation extends past the submucosa into the muscular levels of the appendix;
- Grade 4 All layers of the appendix, including the serosa, inflamed, and perforation identified.

**[0025]** Standard samples correlated to each of these grades are used in an embodiment of this invention.

**[0026]** As will be appreciated by those skilled in the art, the standard data may be expressed in terms of absolute quantities, *e.g.*, micrograms per milliliter, or in terms of assigned units mathematically related to absolute quantities of MRP8/14 correlated with appendicitis severity grade scores, *e.g.*, the AppyScore™ units described herein that are correlated to the four histologically-based grades described above.

**[0027]** The standards of the present invention are based on dilutions optimized to provide MRP8/14 concentrations in the ranges useful for scoring appendicitis severity.

**[0028]** The standard data is based on average AppyScore™ grades for patients known to have histologically-based grade scores as described above. As shown in Figure 11, these AppyScore™ grades have been shown to have an excellent linear relationship to the histologically-based grade scores, which gives the AppyScore™ methods of this invention strong predictive power. As will be appreciated by those skilled in the art, statistically, in isolated instances, a given patient's AppyScore™ value may not accurately reflect that patient's histology. Nevertheless, the AppyScore™ values provide physicians with an important tool for assessing appendicitis severity without the need to refer to any accompanying histology.

**[0029]** In one embodiment of this invention two or more molecules differentially associated with appendicitis are tested for. Identification of additional molecules provides greater accuracy to the method.

**[0030]** One molecule differentially associated with appendicitis is MRP8/14. Another is haptoglobin. Both these molecules can be tested for in diagnosing appendicitis. MRP8/14 levels in the range of about 1 to about 11 µg/ml are present in patients without appendicitis. Levels higher than this provide increased accuracy in

diagnosing appendicitis. Levels higher than about 10, 11, 13, 15 or 20 µg/ml of MRP8/14 can be used to diagnose appendicitis. Haptoglobin levels in the range of about 27-139 mg/dL are found in patients without appendicitis. Levels higher than this, e.g., higher than 125, 130, 135, 139 and 150 provide increased accuracy in diagnosing appendicitis. Levels of 200 µg/ml indicate severe appendicitis.

**[0031]** Other molecules that can be tested for in the methods of this invention, or that can be tested for in addition to the foregoing molecules, include unique structural proteins of the gastrointestinal tract, stress-related inflammatory mediators, immunologic factors, indicators of intestinal bacterial flora, Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor-1, fatty acid binding proteins, nuclear factor kappa beta (NFκB), specific appendix antigens (HLA-DR), inflammation associated antigens; and nucleic acids coding for any of the foregoing, including nucleic acids coding for MRP8/14 and haptoglobin. Methods for testing for the presence of nucleic acids are known to the art.

**[0032]** Determining the quantity and presence of MRP8/14 in the sample from a patient is done by a method including determining the amount of binding of MRP8/14 in said sample to an antibody or antibodies specific to MRP8/14. Antibodies specific to MRP8/14 include monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies raised to this antigen. The term "antibodies to MRP8/14" also includes antibody fragments capable of binding to MRP8/14 as known to the art. Other antibodies capable of binding to MRP8/14 can be used in the methods as well, such as antibodies raised to the subunits of the MRP8/14 complex, namely MRP8 and MRP14. Such antibodies can be used as detection antibodies to bind to an immunocomplex between MRP8/14 and an antibody specific thereto in an ELISA-type immunoassay. Monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies that bind to MRP8/14 can be prepared by methods known to the art. Antibodies for MRP8/14, MRP8 and MRP14 are commercially available through Cell Sciences, Canton, MA. In one embodiment of this invention, the antibody specific to MRP8/14 is the monoclonal antibody 27e10 supplied by Cell Sciences, Inc., which binds to the junction between MRP8 and MRP14 in the MRP8/14 dimer.

**[0033]** Monoclonal antibodies to haptoglobin useful in the methods of this invention are also known to the art, e.g., as described in U.S. Patent No. 5,552,295.

**[0034]** The methods for diagnosing appendicitis can include using test devices, e.g., cartridge test devices and dipstick test devices, and/or other means for determining the presence or absence of MRP8/14, e.g., performing western blots, northern blots, ELISA tests, protein function tests, PCR and other assays known to the art. Cartridge immunoassays can be designed to provide information on relative amounts of such molecules as described herein. Other assays known to the art including ELISAs and hospital assay devices such as the Synchron LX system of Beckman Coulter can be used to provide the amount of MRP8/14 present in the patient sample, which can then be compared with amounts present in patients without appendicitis to determine whether or not the patient has appendicitis.

**[0035]** Test devices can be in the form of cartridges, dipsticks, or other conformations known to the art. The test device can also be part of a kit which can contain instructions for use, instructions for comparison of test results with results of the same test done on non-appendicitis patient, additional reagents, such as cells or fluids from non-appendicitis patients, and other reagents known to the art. These types of assay devices are known to the art and described, e.g., in U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0224452.

**[0036]** When the sample is blood, the method can also include processing the blood by a means known to the art, such as filtration or centrifugation, for separating plasma or serum which is to be assayed.

**[0037]** The immunoassays and procedures of this invention can be used in methods for medical management of patients suspected of having appendicitis. "Medical management" means determining the severity of the appendicitis condition and deciding what treatment plan to use for the patient. For example, formulating a treatment plan requires decisions regarding anticipated length of hospital stay; antibiotics dosage; type of antibiotics; timing of administration of antibiotics; and timing of surgery.

**[0038]** As a first step in the method for medical management, a patient sample can be tested by contacting the sample with an immunoassay device such as that described in U.S. Patent Application No. 11/189,120, for determining the degree of binding of MRP8/14 in the sample to an anti-MRP8/14 antibody wherein a visually-

detectable signal is produced in the immunoassay device in response to a degree of binding that indicates whether or not the patient has appendicitis.

**[0039]** If use of this immunoassay device provides a signal that indicates the presence of appendicitis, a second immunoassay can be performed on a patient sample comprising comparing the degree of binding of MRP8/14 in the sample to an antibody or antibodies to MRP8/14 to the degree of binding to anti-MRP8/14 antibody or antibodies of MRP8/14 in a standard sample containing an amount of MRP8/14 correlated to a predetermined appendicitis grading category.

**[0040]** Alternatively, the first step can be skipped, and the second immunoassay can be performed and used both for diagnosis of whether or not the patient has appendicitis and for evaluating the severity of the appendicitis.

**[0041]** In one embodiment of the invention, the grading categories described above that are based on histological evaluations, can be used to score the severity of the appendicitis.

**[0042]** The second immunoassay can be any immunoassay known to the art that is capable of quantitatively evaluating MRP8/14 in the sample. In one embodiment it is an ELISA.

**[0043]** If the immunoassay(s) indicate that the patient does not have appendicitis, the patient can be examined for other conditions having symptoms consistent with those of the patient.

**[0044]** This invention also provides an immunoassay kit for determining the severity grade of appendicitis comprising an anti-MRP8/14 antibody and a means for detecting an immunoreaction product comprising MRP8/14 and the antibody. The immunoassay kit can also comprise a specific binding agent capable of binding to said anti-MRP8/14 antibody or to MRP8/14, at least one enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay surface, a standard curve or data set showing a correlation of the quantity of MRP8/14 with appendicitis severity and/or at least one MRP8/14 standard.

**[0045]** The invention also comprises a standard samples or a set of standard samples each comprising a quantity of MRP8/14 known to be present in samples from patients having a known appendicitis grade, wherein each standard sample in the set comprises a different quantity of MRP8/14.

**[0046]** This invention also provides a standard curve or data set including a data set in an electronic storage medium comprising data representative of MRP8/14 quantities correlated with appendicitis grades for which said quantities are diagnostic. The electronic storage medium can be in a computer processor, or a CD, disc, tape, DVD or other storage medium known to the art.

**[0047]** This invention further provides a method of scoring the severity of appendicitis corresponding to histological condition of tissue of a patient suspected of suffering from appendicitis. The method comprises examining tissue of the appendix and optionally tissue adjacent thereto from the patient and assigning grade scores to describe the severity of appendicitis in the patient corresponding to the following condition of the tissue as follows: Grade 1: No identifiable inflammation in appendix tissue; Grade 2: Inflammation extending through the mucosa and into the submucosa of the appendix; Grade 3: Inflammation extends past the submucosa into the muscular levels of the appendix; Grade 4: All layers of the appendix, including the serosa, inflamed, and perforation identified.

#### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES**

**[0048]** Figure 1: Two-dimensional electrophoresis image of proteins from (A) normal and (B) diseased appendix tissue. Proteins were separated by isoelectric focusing on the x axis and by molecular weight on the y axis. The molecular weight in kilodaltons is shown on the left. The arrow indicates the upregulated protein, AP-93.

**[0049]** Figure 2: MRP14 western blot analysis of normal (N) and diseased (A) appendix tissue. The numbers are sample ID numbers. Molecular weights are shown in kilodaltons.

**[0050]** Figure 3: MRP8 western blot analysis of normal (N) and diseased (A) appendix tissue. The numbers are sample ID numbers. Molecular weights are shown in kilodaltons.

**[0051]** Figure 4: Relative levels of MRP8/14 in normal and appendicitis serum as determined by ELISA. The levels are given as a fraction of the mean for the patients not having appendicitis, said fraction also being referred to herein as a "fold increase." Dark

bars represent samples from patients having appendicitis. White bars represent samples from patients not having appendicitis.

**[0052]** Figure 5: Two-dimensional electrophoresis image of proteins in depleted serum samples from (A) normal and (B) appendicitis patients. Proteins were separated by isoelectric focusing on the x-axis and by molecular weight on the y-axis. The molecular weight in kilodaltons is shown in the right. The tailed arrow indicates the upregulated protein, AP-77 (haptoglobin alpha subunit). The untailed arrow indicates a control protein that is equally abundant in diseased vs. normal.

**[0053]** Figure 6: Two-dimensional electrophoresis image of proteins from (A) normal and (B) diseased (perforated) appendix tissue. Proteins were separated by isoelectric focusing on the x axis and by molecular weight on the y axis. The molecular weight in kilodaltons is shown on the left. The arrow indicates the upregulated protein, AP-91 (haptoglobin alpha subunit).

**[0054]** Figure 7: Haptoglobin distribution. Haptoglobin western blot analysis of normal (N) and diseased (A) tissue. The numbers are sample ID numbers. Molecular weights are shown in kilodaltons. The alpha and beta subunits are 9-16 kd and 38 kd, respectively.

**[0055]** Figure 8: Comparison of biological plasma reference standards: new reference standard (■) and current reference standard (◆). Each value represents the mean of triplicate determinations obtained from three ELISA plates  $\pm$  standard deviation.

**[0056]** Figure 9: Average ( $\pm$ SEM) AppyScore™ Values from normal, nonappendicitis with lower right-quadrant pain, and appendicitis patients.

**[0057]** Figure 10: Average AppyScore™ Values from normal, nonappendicitis with lower right-quadrant pain, and appendicitis patients. The appendicitis cases are categorized by histopathological grade.

**[0058]** Figure 11: Standard curve for use in assigning AppyScore™ values to patient specimens.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

**[0059]** The vermiform appendix is recognized as a separate organ from the large and small intestines. It extends as a finger-like pouch from the base of the ascending colon, which is also called the cecum. The appendix, like the large intestine, is hollow and composed of the same three tissue layers. These three layers are a mucosa, muscularis and a serosa. The appendiceal lumen communicates with the lumen of the cecum via a round opening (os) through which the appendix adds its secretions to the fecal stream. These secretions are excess mucus produced from the appendiceal mucosa. In addition to containing mucus, the appendix also contains numerous bacteria common to the right colon. Obstruction of the appendiceal lumen is the dominant factor causing acute appendicitis. While fecaliths are the usual cause of appendiceal obstruction, hypertrophied lymphoid tissue, inspissated barium from previous x-ray studies, vegetable and fruit seeds, and intestinal worms like ascarids can also block the appendiceal lumen.

**[0060]** Following luminal obstruction an escalating cycle of events ensues. The proximal obstruction of the appendix produces a closed-loop obstruction that blocks the normal flow of appendiceal mucus into the cecum. The continuing normal secretion of the appendiceal mucus very rapidly fills the luminal capacity of the appendix (approximately 0.1 cc). Once the luminal capacity of the appendix is reached additional mucus production from the obstructed appendix rapidly elevates the intraluminal pressure within the organ. This elevated intraluminal pressure is exerted outward against the appendiceal wall and causes the appendix to distend. Distention stimulates nerve endings of the visceral afferent pain fibers, producing vague, dull, diffuse pain in the midabdomen or lower epigastrium. Peristalsis is also stimulated by the rather sudden distention, so that some cramping may be superimposed on the visceral pain early in the course of appendicitis.

**[0061]** Distention of the appendix continues, not only from continued mucosal secretion, but also from rapid multiplication of the resident bacteria of the appendix. As pressure in the organ increases, venous pressure within the appendiceal wall is exceeded. This rising intraluminal pressure then occludes capillaries and venules, but arteriolar inflow continues, resulting in engorgement and vascular congestion. Distention of this magnitude usually causes reflex nausea and vomiting, and the diffuse visceral

pain becomes more severe. The inflammatory process soon involves the serosa of the appendix and in turn parietal peritoneum in the region, producing the characteristic shift in pain to the right lower quadrant (RLQ). The disease process is fairly advanced when pain is localized to the RLQ.

**[0062]** The mucosa of the gastrointestinal tract, including the appendix, is very susceptible to impaired blood supply. Thus mucosal integrity is compromised early in the process, allowing bacterial invasion of the deeper tissue layers. This bacterial invasion leads to appendiceal destruction and systemic liberation of various bacterial toxins. Fever, tachycardia, and leukocytosis develop as a consequence of this systemic release of dead tissue products and bacterial toxins. As progressive appendiceal distention rises, encroaching on the arteriolar pressure, ellipsoidal infarcts develop in the antimesenteric border of the appendiceal serosa. As distention, bacterial invasion, compromise of vascular supply and infarction progress, perforation occurs through one of the infarcted areas on the antimesenteric border. This perforation then releases the bacteria and its toxins into the abdominal cavity.

**[0063]** Appendicitis has been called the “great imitator,” as its symptoms are frequently confused with those of other conditions. This confusion stems from the nonspecific nature of the pain early in its course and the variability in how appendicitis progresses. There are many medical conditions having symptoms that are confused with those of appendicitis. These include conditions of the gastrointestinal tract including viral or bacterial gastroenteritis, inflammatory bowel disease, intestinal obstruction, intussusception, bacterial or viral mesenteric adenitis, Meckel's diverticulitis, peptic ulcer, severe constipation and typhlitis; gynecologic conditions such as mittelschmerz, pelvic inflammatory disease, ruptured ovarian cyst, ruptured tubal pregnancy, torsion of normal ovary or ovarian cyst or tumor; hepatobiliary/pancreatic conditions such as cholecystitis/cholelithiasis and pancreatitis; traumas including rectus hematoma, solid/hollow organ injury, and trauma to a previously-unsuspected mass (Wilm's tumor, lymphoma, etc.); conditions of the urinary tract such as cystitis, hydronephrosis, pyelonephritis, and renal stone; as well as other conditions including diabetic ketoacidosis, helminthic infestation, hemolytic uremic syndrome, hemophilia A, Henoch-Schonlein purpura, lupus erythematosus, porphyria, primary peritonitis, right-sided

pneumonia, sickle-cell crisis, streptococcal infection, torsion of appendix epiploica, and torsion of omentum.

**[0064]** Pain in the right lower quadrant of the abdomen is the hallmark of appendicitis but this is not typically what the patient first perceives. When the appendiceal lumen first obstructs, the patient will have few if any symptoms because the appendiceal lumen has not yet had the chance to fill with mucus. The time required to fill the appendiceal lumen is proportional to the lumen volume available behind the obstruction. This is variable and unpredictable, as that volume is dependent upon the individual's appendix size and precisely where the fecalith or other obstruction is located along that length. Should the fecalith or other obstruction be close to the tip of the appendix the available volume is relatively small and the time to symptoms or perforation short. In contrast, the opposite will be true should the fecalith or other obstruction be near the base of the appendix and provide for the largest possible appendiceal volume.

**[0065]** Once the appendix begins to distend, the appendicitis patient will begin to experience a nonspecific discomfort usually in the mid portion of the abdomen. This discomfort can be easily confused with common ailments such as indigestion, constipation or a viral illness. Continued appendiceal distention is also accompanied by some nausea and frequently vomiting. Rarely is the vomiting severe or unrelenting, which reinforces the confusion with common ailments.

**[0066]** Later in the progression of appendicitis, inflammation will have progressed to the outermost layer of the appendix. This outermost layer is called the serosa and it touches the inner lining of the abdominal cavity called the peritoneum. This contact irritates the peritoneum, producing peritonitis that is perceived by the appendicitis patient as focal pain wherever the appendix is touching the peritoneum. This too can vary between different individuals. The appendix is most usually located in the right lower quadrant under an area known as McBurney's point. McBurney's point is a position on the abdomen that is approximately two-thirds of the distance from the anterior superior iliac spine in a straight line toward the umbilicus. The appendix can, however, reside in other locations in which case the peritonitis produced by the

appendix will be in an atypical location. This again is a common factor producing an erroneous diagnosis and delays surgical treatment in cases of appendicitis.

**[0067]** Regardless of its location, if appendicitis is allowed to progress the organ will eventually perforate. This contaminates the abdominal cavity around the perforated appendix with bacteria producing a severe infection. This infection will usually lead to a localized intra-abdominal abscess or phlegmon and can produce generalized sepsis.

**[0068]** To identify molecules differentially associated with appendicitis, a proteomic approach was used. A protein complex, MRP8/14, that is present in appendix tissue in patients with acute appendicitis was identified. The highly correlative nature of this complex with appendicitis led us to examine MRP8/14 serum and plasma levels in patients with apparent appendicitis. MRP8/14 is significantly elevated ( $p < 0.02$ ) in patients with appendicitis as compared to levels in patients with apparent appendicitis yet having no appendiceal inflammation. The source of MRP8/14 in the serum is the inflamed appendix tissue. This is consistent with the known functions of MRP8/14.

**[0069]** Without being bound by any theory as to the mechanism of action of the present invention, the following discussion is provided in an attempt to explain the presence of MRP8/14 in increasing amounts correlated with appendicitis severity. The role of MRP8/14 in inflammation is not fully understood but it does seem to play a vital role in retaining leukocytes in microcapillaries. Extracellular MRP8/14 interacts with endothelial cells by binding to heparin sulfate and specifically carboxylated glycans (Robinson et al., 2002). The intracellular signal pathways and effector mechanisms induced by binding of MRP8/14 to endothelial cells are not well defined. However, interaction of MRP8/14 with phagocytes increases binding activity of the integrin receptor CD11b-CD18. This is one of the major adhesion pathways of leukocytes to vascular endothelium (Ryckman et al., 2003). It is believed that the MRP8/14 utilizes the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) (Hsieh et al., 2004). Neutrophils are white blood cells that are the first to migrate from the circulation into sites of inflammation. Within neutrophils, constituting approximately 40% of total cytosolic proteins is the MRP8/14 complex. This protein is specifically expressed only in cells of macrophage lineage, making blood monocytes and acutely activated macrophages other potential white blood cell sources of these proteins. MRP8/14 is not usually

expressed in lymphocytes nor resident macrophages or those macrophages involved in chronic inflammation. These two proteins are also known to be independently expressed by mucosal epithelium in specific states of acute inflammation.

**[0070]** In the case of appendicitis, the luminal obstruction and the resultant distention of the appendiceal wall triggers an inflammatory response. The circulating neutrophils are then recruited into the area, as are activated macrophages. While the expression of this protein complex is related to the activity of the macrophages in inflammation, the exact relationship between MRP8/14 and cellular activity is not fully known. What is known is that the intracellular distribution of MRP8/14 varies with the activation state of macrophages. Normal macrophages contain the complexes in the cytosol, but once stimulated, MRP8/14 translocates from the cytosol to the cell membrane (specifically with the proteins of the cytoskeleton). This would imply that MRP8/14 may be related to cell movement, phagocytosis or inflammatory signal transduction. The roles of cellular movement and signal transduction may also explain why MRP8/14 is produced directly from vascular epithelium such as that lining the blood vessels within the appendix.

**[0071]** Regardless of its role in certain inflammatory conditions, MRP8/14's abundance within cells of acute inflammation makes it an excellent detector and monitor of acute appendicitis. The first step in the inflammatory process is the recruitment of neutrophils and macrophages to a specific site. In our study, the specific site is the appendix, where those MRP8/14-containing cells will engage the offending stimulus.

**[0072]** This engagement will usually result in MRP8/14 cell death and the liberation of MRP8/14 from either the cytosol or cell membrane into the patient's circulation. At the same time, the mucosal linings of the appendix will start to produce and release MRP8/14 to facilitate macrophage migration or inflammatory amplification. This process will then escalate as increasing amounts of MRP8/14 cells are recruited by the appendicitis to ultimately release more MRP8/14 into the circulation. Other examples of inflammatory states causing increases of extracellular MRP8/14 and the tendency of these increases of MRP8/14 to correlate with extent of inflammation are known. Specifically, chronic bronchitis, cystic fibrosis and rheumatoid arthritis are all

associated with elevated serum levels of MRP8/14 and the severity of these diseases is generally proportional to the serum levels of MRP8/14 detected.

**[0073]** The physiological role of MRP8/14 suggests that it could be an ideal clinical marker for acute appendicitis. As patients with appendicitis are generally young and healthy, they generally produce a vigorous inflammatory response. This vigorous response is believed to liberate MRP8/14 in the earliest stages of the disease, which then escalates as appendicitis progresses. Additionally, the diseases known to be associated with elevated levels of MRP8/14 are not common in this younger age group and usually do not produce symptomology similar to appendicitis. Finally, as MRP8/14 is not located in nor associated with lymphocyte proliferation, this marker is not believed to be elevated in viral infections. This is an especially powerful advantage for diagnosing appendicitis, as viral infections are one of the most common imitators of appendicitis.

**[0074]** Haptoglobin was also identified as a useful marker for appendicitis. A differential proteomic screen of depleted serum identified haptoglobin as a marker for appendicitis. A second differential screen of appendix tissue confirmed that haptoglobin is upregulated in the appendix tissue of patients with appendicitis. This finding was confirmed by western blotting of tissue protein. In particular the alpha subunit isoforms were present only in diseased tissue. Since haptoglobin is a plasma protein, it is highly valuable as a biomarker for appendicitis.

**[0075]** As discussed above, this invention provides a method for scoring the severity of appendicitis of a patient suspected of suffering from appendicitis comprising testing a sample from the patient for the quantity of MRP8/14 in said sample and comparing the quantity of MRP8/14 in the sample with the quantity of MRP8/14 in one or more standard samples, containing quantities of MRP8/14 previously correlated with one or more grade scores for appendicitis severity; and assigning to the severity of appendicitis in the patient the grade score of the standard sample that has the quantity of MRP8/14 closest to the quantity of MRP8/14 present in the patient sample. The AppyScore™ grading system of an embodiment of this invention is based on histologically-determined appendicitis severity grades.

**[0076]** The patient sample is preferably a blood sample, and as will be appreciated by those of skill in the art, the term “sample from a patient” or “patient sample” as used herein includes plasma, serum and other products derived from blood in which MRP8/14 can be found, including dilutions of the foregoing components. In one embodiment of the invention, the sample is taken from a patient thirty years old or younger.

**[0077]** MRP8/14 is a molecule that has been found by the present inventors to be differentially associated with appendicitis. The inventors hereof have also discovered that the quantity of MRP8/14 in patient blood samples is correlated with the severity of appendicitis. Quantification of the amount of MRP8/14 can be done in terms of absolute quantities, *e.g.*, micrograms per milliliter, or in terms of standards based on analysis of MRP8/14 in samples taken from patients having appendicitis of known severity, for example, using measurement parameters other than absolute quantities, such as absorbance readings from a microplate reader.

**[0078]** The test can use separate antibodies to the MRP8 and MRP14 subunits to test for quantities of MRP8/14 in the sample. If there is a 1:1 molar ratio of the quantity of MRP8 and MRP14, then it can be assumed the MRP8 and MRP14 are present in complexed form as MRP8/14 and that no additional MRP8 or MRP14 is present in the sample. Even if there is a greater or lesser ratio than 1:1 of MRP8:MRP14, a threshold value for one of these components consistent with sufficient MRP8/14 to indicate the presence of appendicitis, can be used to diagnose appendicitis, so long as there is an equivalent molar amount of the other component in the sample.

**[0079]** The quantity of MRP8/14 in the patient sample is compared with that present in standard samples taken from patient(s) with previously-known appendicitis severity grade scores, and the severity of the patient's appendicitis is assigned the score previously assigned to whatever standard sample has a quantity of MRP8/14 closest to that of the patient sample. In the simplest version of the method, the patient sample is compared with only a single patient sample, for example, a standard sample having a quantity of MRP8/14 that is the least quantity that can be used to reliably distinguish patients having appendicitis from those not having appendicitis. In other versions of the method, the patient sample is compared with a plurality (two or more)

standard samples, for example one standard sample for distinguishing patients having appendicitis from those not having appendicitis and a second standard sample for distinguishing patients having perforated appendices. In still other versions, additional standard samples are used to define intermediate grades of appendicitis severity.

**[0080]** In an embodiment of this invention, using a system of grading the severity of appendicitis developed by the inventors hereof, namely, the AppyScore™ system described above, the four grade scores for appendicitis severity correspond to histological condition of patient tissue. Standard samples correlated to each of these grades are used in a preferred embodiment of this invention.

**[0081]** The standard samples can be individual samples from patients having a known appendicitis severity grade, or each standard sample can be a pooled sample or aliquot thereof taken from multiple patients having appendicitis of a single known grade. Standard samples can also be prepared by adding to a suitable carrier, such as buffer, serum, plasma, blood or other suitable carrier known to the art, a predetermined amount of MRP8/14 corresponding to an amount present in patients having appendicitis of a known grade. The MRP8/14 can be obtained by any means known to the art, as by isolation from blood or blood products such as commercially available plasma, of humans or other mammals in which it is known to be present, or by expression of recombinant MRP8/14. In addition, MRP8/14 can be made from naturally-occurring isolated or recombinant MRP8 and MRP14 by the methods described in Hessian (2001).

**[0082]** As will be appreciated by those skilled in the art, the comparison of sample MRP8/14 with the standard may be conveniently done by comparing the MRP8/14 measurement from the patient sample with a compilation of data representing quantities of MRP8/14 present in standard samples from patients having known appendicitis grades, the quantity of MRP8/14 in each said standard sample being correlated with the appendicitis grade of the patient from which it was taken. Such data may be in the form of a standard curve or compiled in the form of a database electronically stored in a computer processor. Again, as will be appreciated by those skilled in the art, the standard data may be expressed in terms of absolute quantities, *e.g.*, micrograms per milliliter, or in terms of assigned units mathematically related to

absolute quantities of MRP8/14 correlated with appendicitis severity grade scores, e.g., the Appyscore™ units described herein that are correlated to the four histologically-based grades described above.

**[0083]** When the standard data is stored in a computer processor, the comparison of patient results with standards can be made by a method comprising inputting data representing the quantity of MRP8/14 in the patient sample into the computer processor and allowing the computer processor, which has been preprogrammed for the purpose, to calculate and display the patient's appendicitis severity score.

**[0084]** The MRP8/14 test of this invention can be included as one of a panel of blood tests analyzed by an automated blood testing system, such as the Abbott *Prism*™ system. In this case, the test results representing the quantity of MRP8/14 in the patient sample are generated by the automated system, which also comprises detection means for detecting binding of MRP8/14 in the sample with an antibody to MRP8/14.

**[0085]** Determining the quantity and presence of MRP8/14 in the sample from a patient is done by a method including determining the amount of binding of MRP8/14 in the sample to an antibody or antibodies specific to MRP8/14. Antibodies specific to MRP8/14 include monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies raised to this antigen. The term "antibodies to MRP8/14" also includes antibody fragments capable of binding to MRP8/14 as known to the art. Other antibodies capable of binding to MRP8/14 can be used in the methods as well, such as antibodies raised to the subunits of the MRP8/14 complex, namely MRP8 and MRP14. Such antibodies can be used as detection antibodies to bind to an immunocomplex between MRP8/14 and an antibody specific thereto, in an ELISA-type immunoassay. In one embodiment of this invention, the antibody specific to MRP8/14 is the monoclonal antibody 27e10 supplied by Cell Sciences, Inc., which binds to the junction between MRP8 and MRP14 in the MRP8/14 dimer and does not recognize MRP8 or MRP14 alone. This antibody is also useful in the event that some MRP8/14 in the sample is in the form of a heterotetramer (two MRP8 subunits and two MRP14 subunits).

**[0086]** Monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies that bind to MRP8/14 can be prepared by methods known to the art. Particular amino acid sequences that can be used for preparing such antibodies include the sequence of MRP8: MLTELEKALNSII DVYHKYSLIKGNFHAVYRDDLKLLLETECPQYIRKKGADVWFKELDINTDGAVNF QEFLILVIKMGVAAHKKSHEESHKE [SEQ ID NO:1] and the sequence of MRP14: MTCKMSQLERNIETIINTFHQYSVKLGHPDTLNQGEFKELVRKDLQNFL KENKNEKVIIEHIMEDLDTNADKQLSFEEFIMLMARLTWASHEKMHEGD GPGHHHKPGLGEGTP [SEQ ID NO:2]. Such antibodies can be made by methods known to the art, including those comprising injecting a suitable animal such as a mouse with neutrophils containing MRP8/14, or isolated MRP8/14, recombinant MRP8/14, or MRP8/14 synthesized from MRP8 and MRP14 by inducing heterodimer formation as discussed above.

**[0087]** The immunoassays and procedures of this invention can be used in methods for medical management of patients suspected of having appendicitis. "Medical management" means determining the severity of the appendicitis condition and deciding what treatment plan to use for the patient. For example, formulating a treatment plan requires decisions regarding anticipated length of hospital stay; antibiotics dosage; type of antibiotics; timing of administration of antibiotics; and timing of surgery. Knowledge of the severity of a patient's appendicitis condition at a given time can also help the physician determine whether the patient should be sent for CT scan, should simply be observed for a period of time and then retested by the methods of this invention to determine the severity of the appendicitis condition, or should be immediately sent to surgery for an appendectomy. The risk of secondary infection after appendectomy can be predicted using the methods of this invention. For example, an AppyScore™ result of Grade 2 would generally indicate a risk of secondary infection of only about 5% or less. A Grade 3 score might raise the risk to about 10 to 15%, while a Grade 4 score would alert to the physician that the risk of secondary infection is probably 30% or more. Physicians can then decide whether narrow or broad-spectrum antibiotic agents are indicated.

**[0088]** In the unlikely event a patient has an AppyScore™ result of Grade 2 and extended observation and/or CT scan do not indicate acute appendicitis, it is possible the patient is suffering from chronic appendicitis, and can be treated accordingly.

**[0089]** As a first step in the method for medical management, a patient sample can be tested by contacting the sample with an immunoassay device such as that described in U.S. Patent Application No. 11/189,120, for determining the degree of binding of MRP8/14 in the sample to an anti-MRP8/14 antibody wherein a visually-detectable signal is produced in the immunoassay device in response to a degree of binding that indicates whether or not the patient has appendicitis. This immunoassay device can be a simple lateral flow or dipstick or similar device that can be used in the doctor's office or Emergency Room to quickly separate patients having appendicitis from those not having appendicitis.

**[0090]** If use of this immunoassay device provides a signal that indicates the presence of appendicitis, a second immunoassay can be performed on a patient sample comprising comparing the degree of binding of MRP8/14 in the sample to an antibody or antibodies to MRP8/14 to the degree of binding to anti-MRP8/14 antibody or antibodies of MRP8/14 in a standard sample containing an amount of MRP8/14 correlated to a predetermined appendicitis grading category.

**[0091]** Alternatively, the first step can be skipped, and the second immunoassay can be performed and used both for diagnosis of whether or not the patient has appendicitis and for evaluating the severity of the appendicitis.

**[0092]** In one embodiment of the invention, the grading categories described above that are based on histological evaluations, can be used to score the severity of the appendicitis.

**[0093]** The second immunoassay can be any immunoassay known to the art that is capable of quantitatively evaluating MRP8/14 in the sample. In one embodiment it is an ELISA. Samples and standards may require dilution prior to performing the immunoassay. In one embodiment, patient samples are diluted at least about 100-fold for use in the ELISA test. An ELISA immunoassay may also comprise means as known to the art for testing standard and/or control samples. The ELISA can also comprise means for testing standard and control samples. This immunoassay should be capable

of completion in as short a time as possible. In an embodiment an ELISA immunoassay of this invention can be completed within sixty minutes or less.

**[0094]** If the immunoassay(s) indicate that the patient does not have appendicitis, the patient can be examined for other conditions having symptoms consistent with those of the patient as described above.

**[0095]** Immunoassay kits of this invention for determining the severity grade of appendicitis can comprise an anti-MRP8/14 antibody and a means for detecting an immunoreaction product comprising MRP8/14 and the antibody. The immunoassay kit can also comprise a specific binding agent capable of binding to said anti-MRP8/14 antibody or to MRP8/14, at least one enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay surface, a standard curve showing a correlation of the quantity of MRP8/14 with appendicitis severity and/or at least one MRP8/14 standard.

**[0096]** This invention further provides a standard sample comprising a quantity of MRP8/14 corresponding to a quantity of MRP8/14 known to be present in samples from patients having a known appendicitis grade. The invention also comprises a set of standard samples each comprising a quantity of MRP8/14 known to be present in samples from patients having a known appendicitis grade, wherein each standard sample in the set comprises a different quantity of MRP8/14. Each standard sample in the set can comprise a plurality of pooled samples from patients having the same known appendicitis grade. The standard samples can originate from patients or can be synthetic samples comprising quantities of MRP8/14 known to correlate with a set of appendicitis grades. A set of standard samples can comprise at least two samples (each, of course, having a quantity of MRP8/14 correlated with a different appendicitis grade, or at least three or at least four such samples.

**[0097]** This invention also provides a standard curve or data set including a data set in an electronic storage medium comprising data representative of MRP8/14 quantities correlated with appendicitis grades for which said quantities are diagnostic. The electronic storage medium can be in a computer processor, or a CD, disc, tape, DVD or other storage medium known to the art.

**[0098]** This invention further provides a method of scoring the severity of appendicitis corresponding to histological condition of tissue of a patient suspected of

suffering from appendicitis. The method comprises examining tissue of the appendix and optionally tissue adjacent thereto from the patient and assigning grade scores to describe the severity of appendicitis in the patient corresponding to the following condition of the tissue. The AppyScore™ grading system is especially useful for this purpose. It is defined as follows: Grade 1: No identifiable inflammation in appendix tissue; Grade 2: Inflammation extending through the mucosa and into the submucosa of the appendix; Grade 3: Inflammation extends past the submucosa into the muscular levels of the appendix; Grade 4: All layers of the appendix, including the serosa, inflamed, and perforation identified.

**[0099]** Anti-MRP8/14 antibodies can be used to detect and quantitate (by the use of comparison with standard samples or a standard curve) the presence of MRP8/14 in patient samples. The presence of MRP8/14 in the sample can be analyzed at a high sensitivity and precision and with a high specificity in a simple manner by the use of anti-MRP8/14 monoclonal antibodies in conventional immunoassay formats, such as enzymatic immunoassays (EIA), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA), radioimmunoassays (RIA), immunoturbidimetric assays, or others known in the prior art. The lab manual by Harlow et al. (1988) discusses many of these methods. The assay comprises immunochemical reagents for forming an immunoreaction product whose presence or amount relates, either directly or indirectly, to the presence or amount of MRP8/14 in the sample. While exemplary assay methods are described herein, the invention is not so limited. Various heterogeneous and homogenous protocols, either competitive or noncompetitive, can be employed in performing an assays of this invention.

**[00100]** For example, anti-MRP8/14 monoclonal antibodies can be used in a direct solid phase immunoassay of the antigen present in a patient sample under conditions where the results are compared to a standard curve based on known amounts of antigen. Furthermore, soluble MRP8/14 antigen present in known amounts of patient specimens can be detected and quantitated either directly or after an initial concentration step by determining the amount of this material required to provide inhibition of antibody binding to immobilized antigen. In these procedures, the patient sample is combined with anti-MRP8/14 antibody and incubated for a period of time

sufficient to allow antibody immunocomplexes to form with the soluble antigen. The resulting mixture is incubated with immobilized antigen and the amount of antibody binding to the immobilized antigen determined. The concentration of antigen present in the specimen is determined by comparison to the effect with known amounts of MRP8/14-containing soluble fractions in either single determinations or in serial dilutions of the sample. The dilution state required to relieve the inhibition of binding to the immobilized antigen to a proscribed level would be proportional to the concentration of MRP8/14 present in the sample.

**[00101]** In another illustrative embodiment, a double antibody or "sandwich" immunoassay format is employed comprising the steps of (a) forming a first immunoreaction admixture by admixing a sample with a first (capture) antibody, *e.g.*, a monoclonal antibody, wherein the antibody and MRP8/14 present in the sample are capable of forming a first immunoreaction product (the first antibody can be operatively linked to a solid matrix); (b) maintaining the first immunoreaction admixture so formed under biological assay conditions for a time period sufficient to form the first immunoreaction product (the first immunoreaction product can then be separated from the sample); (c) forming a second immunoreaction admixture by admixing the first immunoreaction product with a second (detection) antibody, monoclonal or polyclonal, which recognizes MRP8/14; (d) maintaining the second immunoreaction admixture so formed under biological assay conditions for a period sufficient to form the second or "sandwich" immunoreaction product; and (e) determining the presence and, optionally, the amount of second immunoreaction product formed, and thereby the presence and the amount of MRP8/14 in the sample. Preferably, the second antibody is labeled, preferably with an enzyme, and thus the second immunoreaction product formed will be a labeled product to facilitate determination of the second immunoreaction product.

**[00102]** In preferred double antibody assay methods, the amount of immunoreaction product determined is related to the amount of immunoreaction product similarly formed and determined using a standard sample in place of the patient sample wherein the standard sample contains a known amount of MRP8/14 in accordance with this invention. Alternatively, a synthetic secondary standard can be used, such as by adding known amounts of MRP8/14 to a suitable carrier, such as buffer, plasma, serum,

or the like. The second antibody should be directed to a site on the MRP8/14 which is not the same as the site to which the first antibody is directed.

**[00103]** In any of the illustrative assays, the patient sample can be provided as a known or unknown quantity of blood, or a blood-derived product such as serum or plasma. The amount of antibody used can be known or unknown. The admixture is maintained under biological assay conditions for a predetermined period of one hour or less in embodiments of this invention, at a temperature of from about 4°C to about 37°C, most preferably about 22°C.

**[00104]** Biological assay conditions are those that maintain the biological activity of the immunochemical reagents of this invention and the MRP8/14. Those conditions can generally include a temperature range of from about 4°C to about 37°C, a pH value range of from at least about 6.0 to about 8.0, with a preferred range of 7.0 to 7.4, and an ionic strength varying from about 50 mM to 500 mM. Upon routine experimentation, other biological assay conditions may be learned. Methods for optimizing such conditions are well known to those skilled in the art.

**[00105]** Many other types of assays within the scope of this invention will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art.

**[00106]** Also, anti-MRP8/14 antibodies may form part of a kit comprising these antibodies and a means for detecting an immunoreaction product comprising MRP8/14 and the antibody. Instructions for use of a packaged immunochemical reagent are also typically included in such a kit.

**[00107]** As used herein, the term "packaged" can refer to the use of a solid matrix or material such as glass, plastic, paper, fiber, foil and the like capable of holding within fixed limits an antibody of this invention. Thus, for example, a package can be a glass vial used to contain milligram quantities of antibody of the present invention, or it can be a microtiter plate well to which microgram quantities of a contemplated antibody have been operatively affixed. Alternatively, a package could include antibody-coated microparticles entrapped within a porous membrane or embedded in a test strip or dipstick, etc. Alternatively, the antibody can be directly coated onto a membrane, test strip or dipstick, etc. which contacts the sample fluid. Many other possibilities exist and will be readily recognized by those skilled in this art.

**[00108]** Instructions for use typically include a tangible expression, *e.g.*, on paper or electronically encoded on a suitable medium, describing the reagent concentration or at least one assay method parameter such as the relative amounts of reagent and sample to be admixed, maintenance time periods for reagent/sample admixtures, temperature, buffer conditions and the like.

**[00109]** In preferred embodiments, a diagnostic system of the present invention further includes a label or indicating means capable of signaling the formation of a complex containing an antibody of the present invention.

**[00110]** The word "immunocomplex" as used herein refers to the product of a specific binding reaction such as an antibody-antigen or receptor-ligand reaction. Exemplary immunocomplexes are immunoreaction products.

**[00111]** As used herein, the terms "label" and "indicating means" in their various grammatical forms refer to single atoms and molecules that are either directly or indirectly involved in the production of a detectable signal to indicate the presence of an immunocomplex. Any label or indicating means can be linked to or incorporated in an expressed protein, peptide, or antibody molecule that is part of the present invention, or used separately, and those atoms or molecules can be used alone or in conjunction with additional reagents. Such labels are themselves well known in the diagnostic art.

**[00112]** The labeling means can be a fluorescent labeling agent that chemically binds to antibodies or antigens without denaturing them to form a fluorochrome (dye) that is a useful immunofluorescent tracer. Suitable fluorescent labeling agents are fluorochromes such as fluorescein isocyanate (FIC), fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), 5-diethylamine-1-naphthalenesulfonyl chloride (DANSC), tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate (TRITC), lissamine, rhodamine 8200 sulphonyl chloride (RB 200 SC) and the like. A description of immunofluorescence analysis techniques is found in DeLuca (1982).

**[00113]** The indicating group may also be an enzyme such as horseradish peroxidase (HRP), glucose oxidase, or the like. In such cases where the principle indicating group is an enzyme such as HRP or glucose oxidase, additional reagents are required to indicate that a receptor-ligand complex (immunoreactant) has formed. Such additional reagents for HRP include hydrogen peroxide and an oxidation dye precursor

such as diaminobenzidine. An additional reagent useful with glucose oxidase is 2,2,-azino-di-(3-ethyl-benzthiazoline-G-sulfonic acid) (ABTS).

**[00114]** Radioactive elements are also useful labeling agents and may be used in practicing the present invention. An exemplary radiolabeling agent is a radioactive element that produces gamma ray emissions. Elements which themselves emit gamma rays, such as  $^{124}\text{I}$ ,  $^{125}\text{I}$ ,  $^{128}\text{I}$ ,  $^{132}\text{I}$ , and  $^{51}\text{Cr}$  represent one class of gamma ray emission-producing radioactive element indicating groups. Particularly preferred is  $^{125}\text{I}$ . Another group of useful labeling means are those elements such as  $^{11}\text{C}$ ,  $^{18}\text{F}$ ,  $^{15}\text{O}$  and  $^{13}\text{N}$  which themselves emit positrons. Also useful is a beta emitter, such as  $^{111}\text{In}$  or  $^3\text{H}$ .

**[00115]** The linking of labels, *i.e.*, labeling of peptides and proteins, is well known in the art. For instance, monoclonal antibodies produced by a hybridoma can be labeled by metabolic incorporation of radioisotope-containing amino acids provided as a component in the culture medium. See, for example, Galfre et al. (1981). The techniques of protein conjugation or coupling through activated functional groups are also applicable. See, for example, Aurameas et al. (1978); Rodwell et al. (1984); and U.S. Pat. No. 4,493,795.

**[00116]** The diagnostic test kit can also include, preferably as a separate package, a "specific binding agent," which is a molecular entity capable of selectively binding an anti-MRP8/14 antibody or a complex containing such a species, but is not itself an antibody of this invention. Exemplary specific binding agents are second antibody molecules, including anti-idiotypic antibodies, complement, proteins or fragments thereof. In an embodiment, the specific binding agent binds the antibody when it is present as part of a complex.

**[00117]** In preferred embodiments, the specific binding agent is labeled. However, when the diagnostic system includes a specific binding agent that is not labeled, the agent is typically used as an amplifying means or reagent. In these embodiments, the labeled specific binding agent is capable of specifically binding the amplifying means when the amplifying means is bound to a complex.

**[00118]** The diagnostic kits of the present invention can be used in an ELISA format to detect the quantity of MRP8/14 in patient samples "ELISA" refers to an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay such as those discussed above, which employ an

antibody or antigen bound to a solid phase and an enzyme-antigen or enzyme-antibody conjugate to detect and quantify the amount of an antigen present in a sample. A description of the ELISA technique is found in Harlow et al. (1988).

**[00119]** Thus, in preferred embodiments a monoclonal antibody with specificity for MRP8/14 can be affixed to a solid matrix to form a solid support. A reagent is typically affixed to a solid matrix by adsorption from an aqueous medium, although other modes of affixation applicable to proteins and peptides well known to those skilled in the art can be used.

**[00120]** Useful solid matrices are also well known in the art. Such materials are water insoluble and include the cross-linked dextran available under the trademark SEPHADEX (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Piscataway, N.J.); agarose; polystyrene beads about 1 micron to about 5 millimeters in diameter; polyvinyl chloride, polystyrene, cross-linked polyacrylamide, nitrocellulose- or nylon-based webs such as sheets, strips or paddles; or tubes, plates or the wells of a microtiter plate such as those made from polystyrene or polyvinylchloride.

**[00121]** The immunoreagents of any diagnostic system described herein can be provided in solution, as a liquid dispersion or as a substantially dry powder, *e.g.*, in lyophilized form. Where the indicating means is an enzyme, the enzyme's substrate can also be provided in a separate package. A solid support such as the above-described microtiter plate and one or more buffers can also be included as separately packaged elements in the diagnostic assay systems of this invention.

**[00122]** The packaging materials discussed herein in relation to diagnostic systems are those customarily utilized in diagnostic systems. Such materials include glass and plastic (*e.g.*, polyethylene, polypropylene and polycarbonate) bottles, vials, plastic and plastic-foil laminated envelopes and the like.

## EXAMPLES

### Example 1. MRP8/14.

**[00123]** The objective of this study was to identify a tissue-specific marker that could contribute to the decision matrix for diagnosing early acute appendicitis. A proteomic screen was used to identify a protein in the appendix specifically upregulated

in acute appendicitis. MRP8/14 was identified as present both in the diseased appendix and in serum of acute appendicitis patients.

**[00124]** MATERIALS AND METHODS. Specimen and Serum Collection. All patients enrolled in this study were treated according to accepted standards of care as defined by their treating physicians. Prior to being approached for inclusion in our study, all patients were evaluated by a surgeon and diagnosed by that surgeon as having appendicitis. The treating surgeon's plans for these appendicitis patients included an immediate appendectomy. The specifics of all treatments such as use of antibiotics, operative technique (either open or laparoscopic) were determined by the individual surgeon.

**[00125]** Exclusion Criteria: Any patients with pre-existing chronic inflammatory diseases such as asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, psoriasis, or neutropenia. Pregnancy was also considered an exclusion criterion.

**[00126]** An investigator counseled all patients about the study and informed consent was obtained. At the time of informed consent, the subject was assigned an identification number and non-personal demographic and clinical information was obtained (age, sex, race, duration of symptoms, white blood count (WBC), results of imaging studies, etc).

**[00127]** At the time of surgery, following induction of general anesthesia, a whole blood sample (5-10 cc volume) was obtained via peripheral venopuncture. This blood specimen was then placed on ice. As soon as possible, a small sample (approximately 1 gram) of inflamed appendix was taken from the pathologic specimen and also placed on ice. The iced blood specimens were then centrifuged for 20 minutes at 3000 rpm and the separated serum isolated. This isolated serum and the piece of appendix tissue were then stored separately, frozen at -80° C.

**[00128]** Appendicitis Tissue Processing. Appendix tissue from appendectomy patients was harvested and stored at -80°C until processed. Individual tissue samples were ground into powder using a sterile mortar and pestle under liquid nitrogen. Protein was extracted from tissue powder by incubating at 37°C in Extraction Buffer (0.025M Tris-base, 200 mM Sodium Chloride, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% Sodium Azide, pH 7.5).

Samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 14K rpm. Supernatants were stored at -80°C until analysis.

**[00129]** 2D Gel Analysis of Extracted Tissue Samples. 2D gel analysis was performed on depleted serum samples and extracted tissue samples. Isoelectric focusing (IEF) and SDS-PAGE were performed according to the Zoom (Invitrogen) protocol for 2D Gel analysis. Equal quantities of protein were analyzed on each gel. Comparisons between negative serum gel and positive serum gel were made to determine which proteins were present in positive samples and absent in negative samples. Candidate gel spots were identified and submitted to MALDI-TOF protein identification analysis (Linden Biosciences).

**[00130]** Western Blot Analysis of Extracted Appendix Tissue Samples. Samples (10 µg) were subjected to standard Laemmli SDS-PAGE and proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membrane for western blot analysis using standard techniques with chemiluminescent detection. Magic Mark Western Standard (Invitrogen) was used to determine molecular weight. MRP8 (Calgranulin A C-19, Santa Cruz, SC-8112) was used in a 1:100 dilution in 0.5x Uniblock (AspenBio Pharma, Inc) for primary antibody. The secondary antibody was Peroxidase anti-goat IgG (H+L), affinity purified (Vector, PI-9500) in a 1:2000 dilution in Uniblock. MR-14 (Calgranulin B C-19, Santa Cruz, SC-8114) was used in a 1:100 dilution in 0.5x Uniblock for primary antibody. The secondary antibody was Peroxidase anti-goat IgG (H+L), affinity purified (Vector, PI-9500) in a 1:2000 dilution in Uniblock.

**[00131]** Serum MRP8/14 Determinations. Serum levels of MRP8/14 were determined by ELISA using a commercially available ELISA (Buhlmann S100-Cellion S100 A8/A9) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

**[00132]** RESULTS. Identification of Proteins Present in Appendix Tissue from Appendicitis Patients. A differential proteomic analysis was performed on depleted serum samples with the goal of identifying proteins elevated in patients with acute appendicitis. The analysis involved comparing samples from normal patients versus patients with perforated appendices. Blood samples were obtained immediately prior to surgery. A normal patient in this study is one that presented with abdominal pain,

underwent surgery, and was found to have a normal appendix. Normal and diseased appendix tissue was collected during surgery.

**[00133]** The proteomic approach was to compare a pool of 4 normal samples with a pool of 4 appendicitis samples using two-dimensional electrophoresis. Figure 1 shows the 2D profile of proteins analyzed. Comparison between the gels was performed and the most obvious difference is indicated in Figure 1B as AP- 93. Based on the gel in Figure 1, the molecular weight of AP-93 is approximately 14 kilodaltons. The corresponding gel slice was analyzed by MALDI-TOF and a positive identification was made. The identification was based upon spectra of two tryptic peptides, NIETIINTFHQYSVK [SEQ ID NO:3] and LGHPDTLNQGEFKELVR [SEQ ID NO:4]. The peptides correspond to the underlined residues in the following amino acid sequence of MRP14 (GenBank Accession Number P06702):

MTCKMSQLERNNIETIINTFHQYSVKLGHPDTLNQGEFKELVRKDLQNFLKKNKNEKVI  
EHIMEDLDTNADKQLSFEFIMLMARLTWASHEKMHEGDEGPGHHHKPGLGEGTP  
[SEQ ID NO:5].

**[00134]** The MALDI-TOF identification of AP-93 as MRP14 was confirmed by the matching molecular weights. Based on this data, MRP14 protein was more highly abundant in the diseased sample pool than in the normal sample pool.

**[00135]** Presence of MRP14 and MRP8 in Diseased Appendix Tissue. In order to confirm the presence of MRP14 in diseased tissue, an anti-MRP14 antibody was used in western blotting of tissue extracts from individual normal and diseased appendices. Figure 2 shows the western blot data from 9 normal and 11 appendicitis samples. A 14 kilodalton band is present in every appendicitis sample. There is no detectable signal in the normal samples. This data confirms the proteomic screen data and shows that the protein is an indicator of diseased appendix tissue.

**[00136]** Since it is known that MRP8 exists as a dimer with MRP14, tissue specimens were also examined for the presence of MRP8. Figure 3 shows the western blot data using an anti-MRP8 antibody on the normal and diseased tissue samples. As expected, MRP8 is present in all of the diseased appendix samples and not detectable in the normal appendix tissue. These western blot data show that the MRP8 and MRP14 proteins are markedly more abundant in appendicitis than in normal appendix tissue.

**[00137]** Elevated Serum Levels of MRP8/14 Patients with Acute Appendicitis. The high correlation between appendicitis and the presence of MRP8/14 in the appendix led us to examine the MRP8/14 levels in serum of those patients and other patients subsequently added to the study. The sera were collected before surgery, banked and analyzed after the disease status was known. MRP8/14 levels were measured using a sandwich ELISA specific for the complex.

**[00138]** Table 1 lists serum MRP8/14 levels for 39 patients as determined by an ELISA manufactured by Hycult (Netherlands) and available commercially through Cell Sciences, Canton, MA. The amounts are given as fractions compared to an average level for patients in the study without appendicitis. Note that all patients with appendicitis show a fold-increase of MRP8/14 over average normal levels. The procedure was conducted according to instructions accompanying the ELISA product. The sample numbers do not correspond to the sample numbers shown in Figures 2 and 3 as the samples were renumbered.

**TABLE 1**

<b>Sample Number</b>	<b>Clinical Diagnosis</b>	<b>Pathology</b>	<b>Grading</b>	<b>Fraction of Normal</b>
1	Advanced Appendicitis	Mild Acute Appendicitis	2	2.80428
2	Normal Appy	Normal	1	0.960805
3	Advanced Appendicitis	Transmural Appendicitis	3	5.554904
4	Perforated Appy	Perforated Appy-Necrosis	4	6.53913
5	Early Appy	Mild Acute Appendicitis	2	4.562059
6	Early Appy	Mild-Acute Appendicitis	1	2.881124
7	Horrible perforated	Perforated Appy-Necrosis	4	7.906886
8	Normal Appy	Mild Acute Appendicitis	2	3.971489
9	Early Appy	Transmural Appendicitis	3	3.83328
10	Advanced Appendicitis	Transmural Appendicitis	3	3.566665
11	Appendicitis	Mild Acute Appendicitis	2	3.205335
12	Appendicitis	Transmural	3	5.51224

Sample Number	Clinical Diagnosis	Pathology	Grading	Fraction of Normal
		Appendicitis		
13	Advanced Appendicitis	Transmural Appendicitis	3	2.92671
14	Advanced Appendicitis	Transmural Appendicitis w Necrosis	4	3.866306
15	PERFORATED	Transmural Appendicitis	3	4.54657
16	Advanced Appendicitis	Perforated Appy	4	7.01877
17	Advanced Appendicitis	Transmural Appendicitis	3	4.25998
18	Appendicitis	Transmural Appendicitis	3	6.90312
19	Normal Appy	Normal	1	0.838679
20	Normal Appy	Normal	1	0.590095
21	Early Appy	Appendicitis with Peri appy changers	3	1.682291
22	Normal Appy	Normal	1	1.128849
23	Advanced appendicitis	Transmural Appendicitis	4	2.338583
24	Normal Appy	Normal	1	2.478035
25	Hot appy			2.807046
26	Perforated	Perforated	4	4.954136
27	Normal	Normal	1	0.918438
28	Hot	Hot	2	4.387589
29	Early	Transmural Appendicitis	3	4.015013
30	Hot	Transmural Appendicitis	3	2.460902
31	Normal	Normal	1	0.594943
32	Hot	Transmural Appendicitis	3	4.211086
33	Perforated	Transmural Appendicitis	4	3.835219
34	Normal	Normal	1	1.968859
35	Perforated	Transmural Appendicitis	4	4.126198
36	Advanced	Transmural Appendicitis	3	2.423726
37	Hot Appy	Transmural Appendicitis	3	4.178647

Sample Number	Clinical Diagnosis	Pathology	Grading	Fraction of Normal
38	Early	Transmural Appendicitis	3	9.584398
39	Normal	Transmural Appendicitis	2	2.835339

**[00139]** We have identified a protein complex that is present in the appendix and serum of appendicitis patients. Based on the western blot data, the presence of MRP8/14 in appendix tissue is highly correlative with disease. Furthermore, levels of MRP8/14 in serum are predictive of appendicitis. We presume that this increase is due to increased production of these proteins from systemic neutrophil infiltration of the appendix and possibly direct mucosal production of the proteins by the appendix itself. This study demonstrates that MRP8/14 is a useful clinical marker for acute appendicitis. After our discovery that MRP8/14 was a molecule differentially associated with appendicitis, our work was confirmed by the finding of Power, C. et al., 2004 and 2005, who reported detection of this molecule in feces of patients having acute appendicitis.

### **Example 2. Haptoglobin.**

**[00140]** Using a proteomic screen of serum and appendix tissue, we determined that haptoglobin is upregulated in patients with acute appendicitis. The alpha subunit of haptoglobin is an especially useful marker in screening for the disease.

**[00141]** MATERIALS AND METHODS. Specimen and serum collection, appendicitis tissue processing, 2D gel analysis of extracted tissue samples, and western blot analysis of extracted appendix tissue samples were as described above in Example 1, except that for the western blot, affinity-purified anti-human haptoglobin (Rockland, 600-401-272) was used at a 1:5000 dilution in 0.5x uniblock for the primary antibody; and the secondary antibody was peroxidase anti-rabbit IgG (h+l), affinity purified (vector, pi-1000) in a 1:5000 dilution in uniblock.

**[00142]** RESULTS. Identification of proteins present in appendix tissue from appendicitis patients. A differential proteomic analysis was performed on depleted serum samples with the goal of identifying proteins elevated in patients with acute

appendicitis. The analysis involved comparing samples from normal patients versus patients with perforated appendices. Blood samples were obtained immediately prior to surgery. A normal patient in this study is one that presented with abdominal pain, underwent surgery, and was found to have a normal appendix. Normal and diseased appendix tissue was collected during surgery.

**[00143]** The proteomic approach was to compare a pool of 4 normal samples with a pool of 4 appendicitis samples using two-dimensional electrophoresis. Figure 5 shows the 2D profile of proteins analyzed from serum depleted of IgG and albumin.

Comparison between the gels was performed and the most obvious difference is indicated in Figure 5B as AP- 77. The protein in gel spot AP-77 was digested with trypsin and analyzed by MALDI-TOF. The resulting two peptides have the following sequences: TEGDGVYTLNNEKQWINK [SEQ ID NO:6] and

**[00144]** AVGDKLPECEADDGCPKPPEIAHGYVEHSVR [SEQ ID NO:7]. The sequences were aligned with the alpha subunit of haptoglobin. The sequence of haptoglobin precursor (GenBank Accession Number NP005134) is shown below with the tryptic fragments underlined.

MSALGAVIALLLWGQLFAVDSGNDVTDIADDGCPKPPEIAHGYVEHSVRYQCKNYYKL  
RTEGDGVYTLNDKKQWINKAVGDKLPECEADDGCPKPPEIAHGYVEHSVRYQCKNYY  
 KLRTEGDGVYTLNNEKQWINKAVGDKLPECEAVCGKPKNPANPVQRILGGHLDKGS  
 FPWQAKMVSHHNLTTGATLINEQWLLTTAKNLFLNHSENATAKDIAPTLTLYVGKKQLV  
 EIEKVVLHPNYSQVDIGLIKQKQVSVNERVMPICLPSKDYAEVGRVGYVSGWGRNAN  
 FKFTDHLKYVMLPVADQDQCIRHYEGSTVPEKKTSPKSPVGVQPILNEHTFCAGMSKYQ  
 EDTCYGDAGSAFAVHDLEEDTWYATGILSFDKSCAVAEGVYVKVTSIQDWVQKTIAE  
 N [SEQ ID NO:8].

**[00145]** Figure 6 shows the two-dimensional electrophoresis profile comparison between diseased and normal appendix tissue proteins. Two spots, AP-91 and AP-93, were analyzed by MALDI-TOF and positive identifications were determined. AP-91 protein was determined to be identical to AP-77, haptoglobin-alpha

**[00146]** Elevated haptoglobin in diseased appendix tissue. In order to confirm the presence of haptoglobin in diseased tissue, an anti-haptoglobin antibody was used in western blotting of tissue extracts from individual normal and diseased appendices.

Figure 7 shows the western blot data from 6 normal and 6 appendicitis samples. Nearly every sample contained some level of the 38 kd beta subunit, however, there appeared to be an elevated level in cases of appendicitis. A >20 kilodalton band is present in every appendicitis sample and absent from all of the normal tissue samples. This data confirms the proteomic screen data and shows that the protein is an indicator of diseased appendix tissue. The alpha subunit has higher specificity than the beta subunit.

### **Example 3. Method of identifying molecules using fluid samples.**

**[00147]** In variations of this example, fluid samples can include whole blood, serum, or plasma. The samples were whole blood collected from human patients immediately prior to an appendectomy. The specimens were placed on ice and transported to the lab. The blood was then processed by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes. Plasma was then separated by pouring into another container

**[00148]** Upon performing an appendectomy, a patient was classified as having appendicitis (AP) or non-appendicitis (NAP). The classification was based on clinical evaluation, pathology, or both as known in the art. For cases of appendicitis, the clinical condition was also characterized as either perforated or non-perforated.

**[00149]** The samples from AP patients were pooled and divided into aliquots. Pooled aliquots were treated so as to remove selected components such as antibodies and serum albumin. Similarly, the samples from NAP patients were pooled and divided into aliquots with treatment to remove the same selected components. the AP samples and NAP samples were processed in a similar manner.

**[00150]** Next, the pooled aliquots of AP and NAP samples were each subjected to two-dimensional gel electrophoresis as known in the art. The results of each sample type were compared with respect to the presence, absence, and relative expression levels of proteins. Signals corresponding to proteins derived from AP samples were detected that were either absent or expressed at relatively lower levels in NAP samples. Further characterization was performed for such AP proteins.

**[00151]** The further characterization includes partial amino acid sequencing, mass spectrometry, and other analytical techniques as known in the art. A full length clone of

the gene corresponding to the partial amino acid sequence can be isolated and expressed as a recombinant protein. The recombinant protein was used as an antigen for detection. Alternatively, a partial or complete recombinant protein can be used to induce or otherwise generate a specific antibody reagent, polyclonal or monoclonal. The antibody reagent was used in the detection of antigen in a patient so as to aid in appendicitis diagnosis. A combination of antigenic molecules can be employed in appendicitis diagnosis.

**Example 4. Gene chip screen for identification of proteins in patient tissue samples.**

**[00152]** In this example, a gene chip screen was employed to identify candidate molecules differentially associated with the condition of appendicitis.

**[00153]** Tissue Description. Appendices were removed from human donors undergoing appendectomies as a result of positive appendicitis diagnosis (pending pathology of surgically removed appendices). Donors were divided into two groups, “normal” and “appendicitis”, based on pathology findings. The appendicitis group consisted of patients ranging in age from 7 to 13 years, and the normal group consisted of patients ranging in age from 8 to 19 years.

**[00154]** RNA Extraction. Tissue was stored at -80°C until processing. Approximately 2 grams of frozen human appendix tissue from each of eight donors were ground under liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle. Approximately 100 mg of appendix powder from each patient was pooled according to diagnosis (normal and appendicitis). Total RNA was extracted from approximately 100 mg of pooled tissue powder using an RNeasy Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, California) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**[00155]** Differential Expression Analysis. Differential cDNA expression from total RNA was performed by a service provided by the University of Colorado Health Sciences Center Microarray Core Facility (<http://microarray.uchsc.edu>). The screen utilized an Affymetrix Chip, HG-U133 Plus 2, (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California; <http://www.affymetrix.com>). Transcripts having significantly high expression level in appendicitis tissue versus normal tissue were selected for further study.

**Table 2. Specifications of Affymetrix Gene Chip (U133 Plus 2.0 Array)**

Item	Description
Number of arrays in set	1
Number of transcripts	~47,400
Number of genes	38,500
Number of probe sets	>54,000
Feature size	11 $\mu$ m
Oligonucleotide probe length	25-mer
Probe pairs/sequence	11
Control sequences included: Hybridization controls Poly-A controls Normalization control set Housekeeping/Control genes	<i>bioB, bioC, bioD, cre</i> <i>dap, lys, phe, thr</i> 100 probe sets GAPDH, beta-Actin, ISGF-3 (STAT1)
Detection sensitivity	1:100,000*
	*As measured by detection of pre-labeled transcripts derived from human cDNA clones in a complex human background.

**[00156]** From the candidate molecules differentially associated with appendicitis according to the results of the screening, one or more protein molecules corresponding to genes in Figure 1 are selected for use in a diagnostic assay for appendicitis.

**[00157]** In a further screen, the above gene chip approach is repeated and results are assessed to determine whether there is a correlation between or among gene chip outcomes regarding the fold change value for an individual molecule or for a set of molecules in a given range of fold change values. Molecules having a positive correlation with upregulated fold change values are preferably selected for use in a diagnostic assay for appendicitis.

**Example 5. Protein Identification from Tissue Samples (Subtraction Library).**

**[00158]** Tissue samples are collected from appendicitis (AP) and non-appendicitis (NAP) patients. Preferably the tissue is the appendix. The AP or NAP tissues samples are optionally pooled so as to generate an AP tissue pool or an NAP tissue pool. The AP and NAP tissue samples are each used as a source for isolation of total RNA and/or mRNA. Upon isolation, the AP-RNA and NAP-RNA are maintained separately and used for preparation of cDNA.

**[00159]** In an alternate procedure to that of Example 4, a subtraction library is created using techniques available in the art. A cDNA library is optionally amplified. The

cDNA library is treated so as to remove undesirable constituents such as highly redundant species and species expressed both in diseased and normal samples. Examples of the techniques include those described by Bonaldo et al. (1996) and Deichmann M et al. (2001).

**[00160]** Upon generation of the subtraction library, one analyzes, isolates, and sequences selected clones corresponding to sequences differentially expressed in the disease condition. Using molecular biology techniques, one selects candidates for recombinant expression of a partial or complete protein. Such a protein is then used as an antigen for detection. Alternatively, a partial or complete recombinant protein can be used to induce or otherwise generate a specific antibody reagent, polyclonal or monoclonal. The antibody reagent is used in the detection of antigen in a patient so as to aid in appendicitis diagnosis. It is envisioned that a combination of antigenic molecules can be employed in appendicitis diagnosis.

**Example 6. Method of appendicitis diagnosis by evaluation of plasma sample viscosity.**

**[00161]** Whole blood was drawn from a suspected appendicitis patient immediately prior to appendectomy. The specimens were placed on ice and transported to the clinical lab. The blood was processed by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes followed by separation of plasma from the sample by pouring into another container.

**[00162]** During the step of pouring, the samples were evaluated with respect to viscosity. Increased viscosity is indicative of appendicitis. Approximately 80% of samples corresponding to appendicitis cases demonstrated increased viscosity, whereas approximately none to less than 5% of samples corresponding to non-appendicitis cases demonstrated increased viscosity. It is noted that the degree of increased viscosity correlates with the severity of appendicitis.

**[00163]** Viscosity measurements can be conducted by visual observation or by using techniques known in the art. For example, a Coulter Harkness capillary viscometer can be used (Harkness J., 1963) or other techniques (Haidekker MA, et al., 2002).

**[00164]** The presence of increased viscosity in plasma can be used in combination with other diagnostic techniques, for example with one or more of the following: physical examination, complete blood count (CBC) with or without differential, urinalysis (UA), computed tomography (CT), abdominal ultrasonography, and laparoscopy.

#### **Example 7. MRP8/14 Concentrations.**

**[00165]** Sample Acquisition. The Institutional Review Boards representing the participating hospitals approved and supervised this study. Selected patients were enrolled from one of five community hospital emergency rooms located in the Denver, Colorado metropolitan area. All patients were complaining of right lower quadrant abdominal pain and in all cases the patient's treating emergency room physician considered the diagnosis of appendicitis in their differential. Patients were excluded from participation if they had a pre-existing medical history that included neutropenia or chronic inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, and psoriasis.

**[00166]** After inclusion criteria were met each patient was approached and counseled, by a senior investigator or their representative, prior to obtaining informed consent. Following consent patient demographic data, history and physical information, and the results of any pertinent laboratory or imaging study was collected and stored in an electronic database. Whole blood samples for serum and plasma were then obtained via peripheral venopuncture using standard sterile technique. The whole blood samples were immediately placed on ice and transported to the laboratory for centrifugation. All samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes to separate the cellular mass from the serum and plasma. The resultant serum or plasma was poured into a separate test tube and then frozen at -80°C. In cases where appendectomy was performed, a sample of the patient's appendix was obtained in a manner that did not interfere with routine pathologic examination and then stored frozen at -80°C.

#### **Histopathological Grading System for Severity of Appendicitis**

**[00167]** A novel grading system was established to describe the severity of inflammation in appendix tissue from appendicitis patients. Grade 1 represents no

identifiable inflammation within the appendix. Grade 1 is also used to identify patients that did not have appendicitis and had not undergone appendectomy. Grades 2-4 describe the highest level of inflammation identified within the appendix. If the level of inflammation is contained exclusively within the mucosa of the appendix, although this is classified as Grade 2 chronic, and this level of inflammation is NOT considered consistent with acute appendicitis. In Grade 2 appendicitis, the level of inflammation has extended through the mucosa and reached the sub-mucosa. When the level of inflammation extends past the sub-mucosa and reaches into the muscular levels of the appendix the appendicitis Grade is raised to 3. Finally, Grade 4 is when all the layers of the appendix including the serosa is involved and perforation is identified.

#### **MRP8/14 concentrations by ELISA assay (4 Hour Assay)**

**[00168]** Analyses of MRP8/14 in serum and plasma samples were performed using a sandwich ELISA that utilizes monoclonal antibody 27E10 to MRP8/14 (Cell Sciences, Inc., Canton, MA) Chicken polyclonal anti-MRP8/14 antibody, and Goat polyclonal anti-Chicken horseradish peroxidase (HRP) antibody on 96-well plates (Nunc, VWR, West Chester, PA). Plates were coated with 100 µl of monoclonal 27e10 diluted to 10 µg/ml and incubated overnight at 4°C. Wells were washed 2 times with phosphate buffered saline (1X, pH 7.4) and 0.05% Tween-20 (PBST) and 200 µl of 5% bovine serum albumin in PBST (BSA-PBST) block was added and plates were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C.

**[00169]** The biological serum reference standard was diluted at a 1:100 and two-fold dilution to a 1:6400 dilution in 0.1% BSA-PBST. The biological plasma reference standard was diluted at a 1:25 and two-fold dilution to a 1:1600 dilution also in 0.1% BSA-PBST. MRP8/14 samples and controls were diluted at a 1:100 dilution for plasma and a 1:200 dilution for serum in 0.1% BSA-PBST and 100 µl of each diluted sample, standard, and control was added in triplicate to the plates.

**[00170]** Wells were washed 4 times after incubation of 1 hour at 37°C and 100 µl of secondary antibody was added to each well at a concentration of 0.3125µg/ml (Chicken polyclonal anti-MRP8/14, Bachem, San Carlos, CA). After incubation of 1 hour at 37°C, wells were washed again and 100 µl of a 1:4000 dilution of detection

antibody was added to each well (Goat Polyclonal anti-Chicken HRP, Abcam, Cambridge, MA). Wells were washed for the final time after incubation of 1 hour at 37°C and 100 µl of 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate was added and incubated at room temperature for 1-5 minutes (TMB Substrate, Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Reaction was stopped with 100µl of 0.18 M Sulfuric Acid.

**[00171]** The intensity of the reaction was measured at 450nm using a microplate reader. To determine MRP8/14 levels the absorbance measurements of the standard, samples, blanks, and controls were averaged. Next, the standard, sample, and control averages were subtracted from the blank averages to obtain the corrected absorbance. Arbitrary units were assigned to the standard curve dilutions and the natural log of each arbitrary unit was determined. The slope of the standard curve was established by plotting the corrected absorbance (450nm) versus the natural log of the arbitrary units. MRP8/14 levels were quantified for each sample by interpolating the corrected absorbance with the standard curve and then using the exponential function. Concentrations were expressed in arbitrary units (AppyScore™ value). Raw serum values were converted to AppyScore™ value by multiplying by a factor of 0.4.

#### **MRP8/14 concentrations by ELISA assay (60 Minute Assay)**

**[00172]** The total test time for the MRP8/14 ELISA was minimized to 1 hour by decreasing each incubation step down to 15 minutes. Optimization of the ELISA for plasma samples was performed using the sandwich ELISA which utilizes monoclonal 27E10, Chicken polyclonal anti-MRP8/14, and Goat polyclonal anti-Chicken HRP on 96-well plates (Nunc, VWR, West Chester, PA). Plates were coated with 100µl of monoclonal 27E10 diluted to 10µg/ml and incubated overnight at 4°C. Wells were washed 2 times with 0.05% 1xPBST and 200µl of 5% BSA-PBST block was added and plates were incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C on a shaker.

**[00173]** The biological plasma reference standard was diluted at a 1:25 and two-fold dilution to a 1:1600 dilution also in 0.1% BSA-PBST. Plasma samples with known MRP8/14 values were chosen to determine whether the decrease in test time affected MRP8/14 levels. Plasma samples and controls were diluted at a 1:100 dilution in 0.1%

BSA-PBST and 100µl of each diluted sample, standard, and control was added in triplicate to the plates.

**[00174]** Wells were washed 4 times after shaking for 15 minutes at 37°C and 100µl of secondary antibody was added to each well at a concentration of 0.3125µg/ml (Chicken polyclonal anti-MRP8/14, Bachem, San Carlos, CA). After incubation of 15 minutes at 37°C on a shaker, wells were washed again and 100µl of a 1:4000 dilution of detection antibody was added to each well (Goat Polyclonal anti-Chicken HRP, Abcam, Cambridge, MA). Wells were washed for the final time after shaking for 15 minutes at 37°C and 100µl of TMB substrate was added and incubated at room temperature for 1-5 minutes (TMB Substrate, Sigma, St. Louis, MO). The reaction was stopped with 100µl of 0.18M Sulfuric Acid.

**[00175]** The intensity of the reaction was measured at 450nm using a microplate reader. MRP8/14 concentrations were obtained for the standard, samples, blanks, and controls as described above for determination of MRP8/14 levels). Concentration values were compared to values previously obtained by ELISA. The data confirmed that decreasing the total test time down to 1 hour does not affect standard, sample, and control values. An example of results from this 60-minute assay is listed in Table 3.

**Table 3. Plasma AppyScore™ value from 60-Minute Assay**

Sample ID	60-minute AppyScore™	AppyGrade™ Score
N-79	72	3
N-84	42	3
N-86	35	3
N-93	23	3
N-98	31	3
N-64	12	1
N-76	40	1
N-77	11	1

Sample ID	60-minute AppyScore™	AppyGrade™ Score
N-78	17	1
N-80	9	1
N-81	41	1
N-82	18	1
N-83	9	1
N-85	11	1
N-88	16	1
N-89	7	1
N-92	15	1
N-95	9	1
N-97	13	1
N-100	9	1
N-104	11	1
N-106	8	1

**Example 8. Establishment of Reference Standard Curve, AppyScore™ Value and Standard Bank.**

**[00176]** The serum reference standard was composed of appendicitis patient samples with high appendicitis histopathological grades. These selected samples were pooled and aliquots were made and stored at -80°C. A usable dilution scheme was established starting at a 1:100 dilution and continued with a serial two-fold dilution to a 1:6400 dilution. The first dilution (1:100) was assigned an arbitrary unit of 6400 and subsequent dilutions were numbered in two-fold increments down to 100 for the seventh dilution (1:6400). Two ELISA plates were run with the standard dilutions loaded across in checkerboard format in accordance with procedures described above for determining MRP8/14 concentrations. The standard curve was prepared by averaging the

absorbance values and subtracting it from the blank average to obtain the corrected absorbance at 450nm. The arbitrary units were analyzed by the transformation of units in Log10, Log2, and natural log. Each method of analysis was plotted versus the corrected absorbance (450nm). The transformation of arbitrary units to natural log was the optimal choice for analysis of the standard curve due to the linearity and corrected absorbance values falling within a range of approximately 0.2 and 2.0. This procedure was repeated several times to determine reproducibility.

**[00177]** The same analysis was conducted to establish the plasma reference standard with minor changes as described below. The plasma reference standard is composed of appendicitis patient samples with high appendicitis histopathological grades. The dilution scheme started at a 1:25 dilution and continued with serial two-fold dilutions to a 1:1600 dilution. The first dilution (1:25) was assigned the arbitrary unit of 1600 and subsequent dilutions were numbered in two-fold increments down to 25 for the seventh dilution (1:1600). The transformation of arbitrary units to natural log was also the most suitable choice for analysis of the plasma standard curve. Similar to the establishment of the serum reference standard, this procedure was repeated several times to determine reproducibility of the plasma reference standard curve.

#### **Example 9. Establishment of Sample Dilutions.**

**[00178]** The same method was conducted for both plasma and serum samples to determine the appropriate dilution for the ELISA. Samples were chosen with known appendicitis grades and were diluted as the corresponding reference standard. All standard and sample dilutions were loaded onto a plate and analyzed by ELISA as described above. Dilutions for the plasma and serum samples were chosen based on how close the sample-corrected absorbance values fell within the middle of the standard curve range of 0.2 to 2.0. The 1:100 dilution was selected for the plasma samples and the 1:200 for the serum samples.

#### **Example 10. Curve Calibration and Creation of New Standard Banks.**

**[00179]** Samples for new reference standards were selected based on similar appendicitis histopathological grades and MRP8/14 values to those of the current

reference standard. These selected samples were pooled and aliquots were made and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The ELISA was used to determine validity of the new reference standard by calibrating it against the current reference standard. Two plates were assayed; one with the current and the other with the new reference standard, in accordance with the procedures described above for determining MRP8/14 concentrations. Both plates were treated alike by assaying the identical controls and samples on each to determine consistency of data between standards. This procedure was performed to obtain data for six ELISA plates. MRP8/14 values for the standard, control, and samples were obtained for all six plates as described above. The current reference standard curve values were averaged and the standard deviations, coefficient of variation, slope, correlation, maximum and minimum absorbance values determined. Sample and control values were also calculated. The same analyses were applied to the new reference standard curve values for calibration purposes. Components of the two standard curves were compared and were statistically indistinguishable (Figure 8). Sample and control values were also statistically indistinguishable between the two standards and corresponded with values previously acquired by ELISA.

#### **Example 11. Pilot Clinical Study Results.**

**[00180]** Heparinized plasma samples were obtained from 75 evaluable emergency room cases before final diagnoses were made. The AppyScore™ (MRP8/14) values were determined by ELISA and are listed in Tables 4 and 5. Of the 75 cases, 39 individuals were determined to not have appendicitis (Grade 1). The remaining 36 appendicitis patients were assigned an AppyGrade™ score (determined by histopathological grading). There were 6 Grade 2 cases, 23 Grade 3 cases and 7 Grade 4 cases. The average AppyScore™ values ( $\pm$ SEM) are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3. Patients diagnosed with appendicitis had an average AppyScore™ value of 87 ( $\pm$ 12.6). One patient, N-116, had an AppyScore™ value of 1586, which is nearly 7 times higher than the next highest value in the group. As a result, the AppyScore™ value of patient N-116 was excluded from the averaging. Patients diagnosed as not having appendicitis had an average AppyScore™ value of 36 ( $\pm$ 8.6). Figure 2 illustrates the relationship between AppyScore™ value and severity of the appendicitis. The AppyScore™ values

for Grades 1, 2, 3 and 4 are 36, 61, 84 and 125, respectively. According to this data, AppyScore™ value correlates with severity of disease.

**Table 4: Plasma AppyScore™ Values from Appendicitis Patients**

<b>Sample ID</b>	<b>AGE</b>	<b>AppyScore™ Value</b>	<b>AppyGrade™ Score</b>	<b>Diagnosis</b>
N-116	14	1586	4	Appendicitis Grade 4
N-130	17	237	4	Appendicitis Grade 4
N-91	8	210	4	Appendicitis Grade 4
N-57	53	101	4	Appendicitis Grade 4
N-150	44	100	4	Appendicitis Grade 4
N-135	12	77	4	Appendicitis Grade 4
N-145	35	26	4	Appendicitis Grade 4
N-90	25	381	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-103	17	185	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-133	35	136	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-119	13	121	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-141	33	116	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-79	30	93	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-115	20	86	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-111	19	81	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-84	25	81	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-86	28	80	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-148	52	72	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-108	46	65	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-55	12	63	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-98	12	55	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-71	37	46	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-75	14	44	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-149	17	39	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-93	40	38	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-127	11	36	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-53	23	29	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-73	19	27	3	Appendicitis Grade 3

Sample ID	AGE	AppyScore™ Value	AppyGrade™ Score	Diagnosis
N-51	9	25	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-94	36	21	3	Appendicitis Grade 3
N-118	46	178	2	Appendicitis Grade 2
N-56	14	74	2	Appendicitis Grade 2
N-128	17	54	2	Appendicitis Grade 2
N-68	13	27	2	Appendicitis Grade 2
N-67	16	20	2	Appendicitis Grade 2
N-49	13	11	2	Appendicitis Grade 2

**Table 5: Plasma AppyScore™ Values from Nonappendicitis Patients**

Sample ID	AGE	Appyscore™ Value	AppyGrade™ Score	Diagnosis
N-65	47	234	1	Ovarian Cyst
N-72	20	212	1	Ovarian Cyst
N-113	17	175	1	Mesenteric Adenitis
N-124	12	88	1	Idiopathic
N-81	44	77	1	Idiopathic
N-132	43	73	1	Idiopathic
N-82	10	33	1	Idiopathic
N-54	17	27	1	Idiopathic
N-88	9	25	1	Idiopathic
N-131	59	24	1	Idiopathic
N-85	15	24	1	Idiopathic
N-112	23	23	1	Ovarian Cyst
N-60	48	22	1	Idiopathic
N-101	48	22	1	Idiopathic
N-78	44	21	1	Idiopathic
N-105	20	21	1	Idiopathic

Sample ID	AGE	Appyscore™ Value	AppyGrade™ Score	Diagnosis
N-92	33	21	1	Idiopathic
N-146	18	21	1	Idiopathic
N-140	52	20	1	Idiopathic
N-59	30	19	1	Ovarian Cyst
N-136	32	15	1	Idiopathic
N-70	17	15	1	Idiopathic
N-109	23	15	1	Idiopathic
N-61	27	14	1	Idiopathic
N-97	41	14	1	Idiopathic
N-117	20	14	1	Idiopathic
N-62	36	14	1	Idiopathic
N-143	13	14	1	Ovarian Cyst
N-83	44	13	1	Idiopathic
N-64	16	13	1	Ovarian Cyst
N-77	13	13	1	Idiopathic
N-80	13	11	1	Idiopathic
N-100	39	11	1	Psychosomatic disease
N-89	25	11	1	Ovarian Cyst
N-107	24	10	1	Idiopathic
N-134	21	10	1	Ovarian Cyst
N-142	27	8	1	Ovarian Cyst
N-147	14	8	1	Idiopathic
N-74	14	8	1	Idiopathic

**Example 12. Characterization of Heparinized Plasma from Normal Donors**

**[00181]** Heparinized plasma from 100 apparently healthy donors was evaluated using the AppyScore™ test. Results of those tests are shown in Table 6. The normal donor group had an average AppyScore™ value of 25 (±3.4).

**Table 6. Plasma AppyScore™ Values from Normal Donors**

Sample ID	Age (years)	Appy-Score	Sample ID	Age (years)	Appy-Score	Sample ID	Age (years)	Appy-Score	Sample ID	Age (years)	Appy-Score
AB-1-HP	27	17	AB-26-HP	25	16	AB-51-HP	25	6	AB-76-HP	23	7
AB-2-HP	27	64	AB-27-HP	25	93	AB-52-HP	30	9	AB-77-HP	21	10
AB-3-HP	30	27	AB-28-HP	28	93	AB-53-HP	27	7	AB-78-HP	21	9
AB-4-HP	23	43	AB-29-HP	24	69	AB-54-HP	22	12	AB-79-HP	28	85
AB-5-HP	26	38	AB-30-HP	29	89	AB-55-HP	29	6	AB-80-HP	26	38
AB-6-HP	23	35	AB-31-HP	19	6	AB-56-HP	25	8	AB-81-HP	23	8
AB-7-HP	26	194	AB-32-HP	21	17	AB-57-HP	21	11	AB-82-HP	22	7
AB-8-HP	30	15	AB-33-HP	27	9	AB-58-HP	30	7	AB-83-HP	22	15
AB-9-HP	21	10	AB-34-HP	27	8	AB-59-HP	30	17	AB-84-HP	26	7
AB-10-HP	30	36	AB-35-HP	30	12	AB-60-HP	22	6	AB-85-HP	28	12
AB-11-HP	21	193	AB-36-HP	27	114	AB-61-HP	29	8	AB-86-HP	23	32
AB-12-HP	29	19	AB-37-HP	30	7	AB-62-HP	27	8	AB-87-HP	30	6
AB-13-HP	26	29	AB-38-HP	29	16	AB-63-HP	24	5	AB-88-HP	21	5
AB-14-HP	28	25	AB-39-HP	29	6	AB-64-HP	21	10	AB-89-HP	21	7
AB-15-HP	28	43	AB-40-HP	25	72	AB-65-HP	30	7	AB-90-HP	22	9
AB-16-HP	19	18	AB-41-HP	26	12	AB-66-HP	21	8	AB-91-HP	23	9
AB-17-HP	25	108	AB-42-HP	30	19	AB-67-HP	26	6	AB-92-HP	28	5
AB-18-HP	28	82	AB-43-HP	30	19	AB-68-HP	21	6	AB-93-HP	30	6
AB-19-HP	29	21	AB-44-HP	27	35	AB-69-HP	28	9	AB-94-HP	22	15
AB-20-HP	26	7	AB-45-HP	29	24	AB-70-HP	22	7	AB-95-HP	28	9
AB-21-HP	26	23	AB-46-HP	19	12	AB-71-HP	24	6	AB-96-HP	23	12
AB-22-HP	29	54	AB-47-HP	23	5	AB-72-HP	27	10	AB-97-HP	26	8
AB-23-HP	21	14	AB-48-HP	23	8	AB-73-HP	21	20	AB-98-HP	25	7
AB-24-HP	30	11	AB-49-HP	29	5	AB-74-HP	23	12	AB-99-HP	25	6
AB-25-HP	30	27	AB-50-HP	22	12	AB-75-HP	24	8	AB-100-HP	24	8

**Example 13. Establishment of the AppyScore™ Value Threshold Range and Triage Test Concept**

**[00182]** After examining the AppyScore™ data two AppyScore™ threshold values were analyzed. Ninety-seven percent (97%) (35/36) of the appendicitis patients had AppyScore™ values of 20 or above. 52% (20/39) of the nonappendicitis patients had AppyScore™ values of 20 or below. 92% (33/36) of the appendicitis patients had AppyScore™ values of 25 or above. Seventy-seven percent (77%) (30/39) of the nonappendicitis patients had AppyScore™ values of 25 or below. It must be noted that the appendicitis patient, N-49 (AppyScore™ value = 11), with a value below 25 was determined to have the very earliest detectable histopathological finding and was considered very close to normal. If that patient were considered normal for the purposes of threshold determination, then the threshold of 25 would result in 94% sensitivity, 77% specificity and an overall accuracy of 85%.

**[00183]** The AppyScore™ value can be used to identify patients that do not require further workup for appendicitis because they have been ruled out on the basis of this value. The overriding advantage of the test of this invention is that the number unnecessary costly computed tomography (CT) scans are reduced. Based on this data, the AppyScore™ test can be used to identify patients with appendicitis with high (92-97%) sensitivity. In this case of 75 patients in this study—using a threshold of 20—twenty patients were correctly identified as not having appendicitis and would not need further appendicitis workup. Using a threshold of 25, 30 patients would have had the diagnosis of appendicitis ruled out. Considering that a CT scan can currently cost \$3000-\$5000, the total cost savings on CT alone in this population of 75 patients could have been between \$60,000 and \$150,000, depending upon the threshold used.

**[00184]** We have established a blood-testing platform that has practical usefulness in an emergency room setting for cases in which lower-right-quadrant pain is associated with a suspicion of appendicitis. The level of the blood marker (MRP8/14, AppyScore™ value) is increased in patients with appendicitis. Furthermore, trend was observed in which the severity of appendicitis corresponds with the level of MRP8/14. The AppyScore™ test enables the identification patients with appendicitis and those nonappendicitis patients that do not need further appendicitis workup.

**[00185]** The invention has been described with reference to various specific and preferred embodiments and techniques. However, it should be understood that many variations and modifications may be made while remaining within the spirit and scope of the invention. It will be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that compositions, methods, devices, device elements, materials, procedures, techniques, and embodiments, and variations respectively thereof, other than those specifically described herein can be applied to the practice of the invention as broadly disclosed herein without resort to undue experimentation. All art-known functional equivalents are intended to be encompassed by this invention. Whenever a range is disclosed, all subranges and individual values are intended to be encompassed. This invention is not to be limited by the embodiments disclosed, including any shown in the drawings or exemplified in the specification, which are given by way of example and not of limitation.

**[00186]** All references throughout this application, for example publications, patents, and patent documents, are incorporated by reference herein in their entireties, as though individually incorporated by reference, to the extent each reference is at not inconsistent with the disclosure in this application.

**[00187]** Where the terms “comprise”, “comprises”, “comprised”, or “comprising” are used herein, they are to be interpreted as specifying the presence of the stated features, integers, steps, or components referred to, but not to preclude the presence or addition of one or more other feature, integer, step, component, or group thereof.

## REFERENCES

Aadland E, Fagerhol MK. Faecal calprotectin: a marker of inflammation throughout the intestinal tract. *Europ J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2002; 14:1

Ahlquist DA, Gilbert JA. Stool markers for colorectal screening: future considerations. *Dig Dis* 1996; 14(3):132-44.

Alic M. Is fecal calprotectin the next standard in inflammatory bowel disease activity tests?. [Letter] *American Journal of Gastroenterology* 1999; 94(11):3370-1.

Arnott IDR, Watts D, Ghosh S. Review article: is clinical remission the optimum therapeutic goal in the treatment of Crohn's disease? *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2002; 16:857.

Arredouani MS, Kasran A, Vanoirbeek JA, Berger FG, Baumann H, Ceuppens JL. 2005. Haptoglobin dampens endotoxin-induced inflammatory effects both in vitro and in vivo. *Immunology*. 114(2):263-71.

Aurameas et al. (1978). *Scand. J Immunol.*, 8(7):7-23.

Berger D, Bolke E, Seidelmann M, Beger HG. Time-scale of interleukin-6, myeloid related proteins (MRP), C reactive protein (CRP), and endotoxin plasma levels during the postoperative acute phase reaction. *Shock* 1997; 7(6):422-6.

Berntzen HB, Endresen GK, Fagerhol MK, Spiechowicz J, Mowinckel P. Calprotectin (the L1 protein) during surgery in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1991; 51(7):643-50.

Berntzen HB, Fagerhol MK, Ostensen M, Mowinckel P, Hoyeraal HM. The L1 protein as a new indicator of inflammatory activity in patients with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1991; 18(1):133-8.

Berntzen HB, Munthe E, Fagerhol MK. A longitudinal study of the leukocyte protein L1 as an indicator of disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1989; 16(11):1416-20.

Berntzen HB, Munthe E, Fagerhol MK. The major leukocyte protein L1 as an indicator of inflammatory joint disease. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1988; Supp 76:251-6.

Berntzen HB, Olmez U, Fagerhol MK, Munthe E. The leukocyte protein L1 in plasma and synovial fluid from patients with rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1991; 20(2):74-82.

Berstad A, Arsland G, Folvik G. Relationship between intestinal permeability and calprotectin in gut lavage fluid. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2000; 35(1):64-9.

Bjarnason I, Sherwood R. Fecal calprotectin: a significant step in the noninvasive assessment of intestinal inflammation. *J Pediatr Gastroent Nutr* 2001; 33:11.

Bjerke K, Halstensen TS, Jahnsen F, Pulford K, Brandtzaeg P. Distribution of macrophages and granulocytes expressing L1 protein (calprotectin) in human Peyer's patches compared with normal ileal lamina propria and mesenteric lymph nodes. *Gut* 1993; 34(10):1357-63.

Bogumil T, Rieckmann P, Kubuschok B, Felgenhauer K, Bruck W. Serum levels of macrophage-derived protein MRP8/14 are elevated in active multiple sclerosis. *Neuroscience Letters* 1998; 247(2-3):195-7

Bonaldo MF et al., 1996. Normalization and subtraction: Two approaches to facilitate Gene discovery. *Genome Res.* 6:791-806.

Brandtzaeg P, Dale I, Fagerhol MK. Distribution of a formalin-resistant myelomonocytic antigen (L1) in human tissues. I. Comparison with other leukocyte markers by paired immunofluorescence and immunoenzyme staining. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1987; 87(6):681-99.

Brandtzaeg P, Dale I, Fagerhol MK. Distribution of a formalin-resistant myelomonocytic antigen (L1) in human tissues. II. Normal and aberrant occurrence in various epithelia. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1987; 87(6):700-7.

Brandtzaeg P, Dale I, Gabrielsen TO. The leucocyte protein L1 (calprotectin): usefulness as an immunohistochemical marker antigen and putative biological function. *Histopathol* 1992; 21(2):191-6.

Brun JG, Cuida M, Jacobsen H, Kloster R, Johannesen AC, Hoyeraal HM, Jonsson R. Sjögren's syndrome in inflammatory rheumatic diseases: analysis of the leukocyte protein calprotectin in plasma and saliva. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1994; 23(3):114-8.

Brun JG, Haga HJ, Boe E, Kallay I, Lekven C, Berntzen HB, Fagerhol MK. Calprotectin in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: relation to clinical and laboratory variables of disease activity. *J Rheumatol* 1992; 19(6):859-62.

Brydon WG, Campbell SS, Anderson NA, Wilson RG, Ghosh S. Faecal calprotectin levels and colorectal neoplasia. *Gut* 2001; 48(4):579-80.

Bunn SK, Bisset WM, Main MJ, Golden BE. Fecal calprotectin as a measure of disease activity in childhood inflammatory bowel disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2001; 32(2):171-7

Bunn SK, Bisset WM, Main MJC, Gray ES, Olson S, Golden BE. Fecal calprotectin: Validation as a noninvasive measure of bowel inflammation in childhood inflammatory bowel disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2001; 33:11.

Burkhardt K, Radespiel-Troger M, Rupprecht HD, Goppelt-Struebe M, Riess R, Renders L, Hauser IA, and U Kunzendorf 2001. An increase in myeloid-related protein serum levels precedes acute renal allograft rejection. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 12:1947-57.

Clark BR, Kelly SE, Fleming S. Calgranulin expression and association with the keratinocyte cytoskeleton. *J Pathol* 1990; 160(1):25-30

Dale I, Brandtzaeg P, Fagerhol MK, Scott H. Distribution of a new myelomonocytic antigen (L1) in human peripheral blood leukocytes. Immunofluorescence and immunoperoxidase staining features in comparison with lysozyme and lactoferrin. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1985; 84(1):24-34.

Dale I, Brandtzaeg P. Expression of the epithelial L1 antigen as an immunohistochemical marker of squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. *Histopathol* 1989; 14(5):493-502.

Dale I. Plasma levels of the calcium-binding L1 leukocyte protein: standardization of blood collection and evaluation of reference intervals in healthy controls. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1990; 50(8) :837-41.

Deichmann, M., Polychronidis, M., Wacker, J., Thome, M. & Naher, H., 2001. The protein phosphatase 2A subunit Bg gene is identified to be differentially expressed in malignant melanomas by subtractive suppression hybridization. *Melanoma Research* 2001(11):1-9. DeLuca (1982). "Immunofluorescence Analysis," *Antibody As a Tool*, Marchalonis et al., Eds., John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., pp. 189-231.

Dobryszczycka W. 1997. Biological functions of haptoglobin--new pieces to an old puzzle. *Eur J Clin Chem Clin Biochem* 35(9):647-54.

Eversole LR, Miyasaki KT, Christensen RE. Keratinocyte expression of calprotectin in oral inflammatory mucosal diseases. *J Oral Pathol Med* 1993; 22(7):303-7.

Eversole LR, Miyasaki KT, Christensen RE. The distribution of the antimicrobial protein, calprotectin, in normal oral keratinocytes. *Arch Oral Biol* 1992; 37(11):963-8.

Fagerhol MK, Andersson KB, Naess-Andresen CF, Brandtzaeg P, Dale I. Calprotectin (The L1 Leukocyte Protein) In: VL Smith & JR Dedman (Eds): *Stimulus Response Coupling. The Role of Intracellular Calcium-Binding Proteins*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla., USA, 1990, pp. 187-210.

Fagerhol MK. Calprotectin, a faecal marker of organic gastrointestinal abnormality. *Lancet* 2000; 356(9244):1783-4.

Flum DR et al., 2001. Has misdiagnosis of appendicitis decreased over time? A population-based analysis. *JAMA* 286 (14):1748-1753.

Foell, D. et al. "Neutrophil derived human S100A12 (EN-RAGE) is strongly expressed during chronic active inflammatory bowel disease, *Gut* 2003, 52:847-853.

Fosse E, Moen O, Johnson E, Semb G, Brockmeier V, Mollnes TE, Fagerhol MK, Venge P. Reduced complement and granulocyte activation with heparin-coated cardiopulmonary bypass. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery* 1994; 58(2):472-7.

Frosch M, Strey A, Vogl T, Wulffraat NM, Kuis W, Sunderkotter C, Harms E, Sorg C, and J Roth 2000. Myeloid-related proteins 8 and 14 are specifically secreted during interaction of phagocytes and activated endothelium and are useful markers for monitoring disease activity in pauciarticular-onset juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 43:628-37.

Gabrielsen TO, Brandtzaeg P, Hoel PS, Dale I. Epithelial distribution of a myelomonocytic antigen L1 in relation to cutaneous malignancies and melanocytic naevi. *Br J Dermatol* 1988; 118(1):59-67.

Gabrielsen TO, Dale I, Brandtzaeg P, Hoel PS, Fagerhol MK, Larsen TE, Thune PO. Epidermal and dermal distribution of a myelomonocytic antigen (L1) shared by epithelial cells in various inflammatory skin diseases. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1986; 15(2 Pt 1):173-9.

Galfre et al. (1981), *Meth. Enzymol.*, 73:3-46.

Garred P, Fosse E, Fagerhol MK, Videm V, Mollnes TE. Calprotectin and complement activation during major operations with or without cardiopulmonary bypass. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery* 1993; 55(3):694-9.

Gasché, C., 2005. Laboratory Tests—What Do They Tell Us?, Falk Symposium Abstract, June 17-18, 2005, Munich, Germany.

Gaya DR, Mackenzie JF. Faecal calprotectin: a bright future for assessing disease activity in Crohn 's disease. *Q J Med* 2002; 95:557 (editorial).

Gilbert JA, Ahlquist DA, Mahoney DW, Zinsmeister AR, Rubin J, Ellefson RD. Fecal marker variability in colorectal cancer: calprotectin versus hemoglobin. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1996; 31(10):1001-5.

Golden BE, Clohessy PA, Russell G, Fagerhol MK. Calprotectin as a marker of inflammation in cystic fibrosis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1996; 74(2):136-9.

Haga HJ, Brun JG, Berntzen HB, Cervera R, Khamashta M, Hughes GR. Calprotectin in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: relation to clinical and laboratory parameters of disease activity. *Lupus* 1993; 2(1):47-50.

Haidekker MA, et al., 2002. A novel approach to blood plasma viscosity measurement using fluorescent molecular rotors. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physio* 282:H1609-H1614.

Hammer HB, Kvien TK, Glennas A, Melby K. A longitudinal study of calprotectin as an inflammatory marker in patients with reactive arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1995; 13(1):59-64.

Hanai H, Takeuchi K, Iida T, Arai H, Kanaoka K, Iwasaki T, Nakamura A, Hosoda Y, Shirai N, Hirasawa K, Takahira K, Kataoka H, Sano M, Osawa M, Sugimoto S. Clinical significance of faecal calprotectin levels in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Nippon Shokakibyo Gakkai Zasshi* 2003; 100:21.

Harkness J., 1963. A new method for the measurement of plasma viscosity. *Lancet* 2:280 –281.

Harlow et al. (1988). *Antibodies*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Hessian, P.A. and Fisher, P.A., 2001, "The heterodimeric complex of MRP-8 (S100A8) and MRP-14 (S100A9): Antibody recognition, epitope definition and the implications for structure," *Eur J. Biochem* 268:353-363.

Hetland G, Berntzen HB, Fagerhol MK. Levels of calprotectin (leukocyte L1 protein) during apheresis. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1992; 52(6):479-82.

Homann C, Garred P, Graudal N, Hasselqvist P, Christiansen M, Fagerhol MK, Thomsen AC. Plasma calprotectin: a new prognostic marker of survival in alcohol-induced cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 1995; 21(4):979-85.

Hsieh HL, Schafer BW, Weigle B, and CW Heizmann 2004 S100 protein translocation in response to extracellular S100 is mediated by receptor for advanced glycation endproducts in human endothelial cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 316:949-59.

Hycult Biotechnology b.v., ELISA Test Kit for Human Calprotectin information sheet, Catalog No. HK325.

Hycult Biotechnology b.v., Monoclonal Antibody to Human S100A8/A9 (MRP-8/MRP-14), calprotectin Clone 27E10 information sheet, Catalog No. HM2156.

Ikemoto, M., et al. 2003. New ELISA System for Myeloid-related Protein Complex (MRP8/14) and its Clinical Significance as a Sensitive Marker for Inflammatory Responses Associated with Transplant Rejection, *Clin. Chem.* 49:594-600.

Johne B, Fagerhol MK, Lyberg T, Prydz H, Brandtzaeg P, Naess-Andresen CF, Dale I. Functional and clinical aspects of the myelomonocyte protein calprotectin. *Molecular Pathology* 1997; 50(3):113-23.

Johne B, Kronborg O, Ton HI, Kristinsson J, Fuglerud P. A new fecal calprotectin test for colorectal neoplasia. Clinical results and comparison with previous method. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2001; 36(3):291-6.

Katnik et al, 1989. Monoclonal Antibodies Against Human Haptoglobin. *Hybridoma* 8:(5):551-560.

Kelly SE, Hunter JA, Jones DB, Clark BR, Fleming S. Morphological evidence for calcium-dependent association of calgranulin with the epidermal cytoskeleton in inflammatory dermatoses. *Br J Dermatol* 1991; 124(5):403-9.

Kelly SE, Jones DB, Fleming S. Calgranulin expression in inflammatory dermatoses. *J Pathol* 1989; 159(1):17-21.

Kerkhoff C, Klempt M, Sorg C. Novel insights into structure and function of MRP8 (S100A8) and MRP14 (S100A9). *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1998; 1448(2):200-11.

Kjeldsen-Kragh J, Mellbye OJ, Haugen M, Mollnes TE, Hammer HB, Sioud M, Forre O. Changes in laboratory variables in rheumatoid arthritis patients during a trial of fasting and one-year vegetarian diet. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1995; 24(2):85-93.

Koike T, Kondo K, Makita T, Kajiyama K, Yoshida T, Morikawa M. Intracellular localization of migration inhibitory factor-related protein (MRP) and detection of cell surface MRP binding sites on human leukemia cell lines. *J Biochem* 1998; 123(6):1079-87.

Kristinsson J, Armbruster CH, Ugstad M, Kriwanek S, Nygaard K, Ton H, Fuglerud P. Fecal excretion of calprotectin in colorectal cancer; relationship to tumor characteristics. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2001; 36(2):202-7.

Kristinsson J, Roseth A, Fagerhol MK, Aadland E, Schjonsby H, Borner OP, Raknerud N, Nygaard K. Fecal calprotectin concentration in patients with colorectal carcinoma. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum* 1998; 41(3):316-21.

Kronborg O, Ugstad M, Fuglerud P, Johne B, Hardcastle J, Scholefield JH, Vellacott K, Moshakis V, Reynolds JR. Faecal calprotectin levels in a high risk population for colorectal neoplasia. *Gut* 2000; 46(6):795-800.

Kumar, R.K., et al. 2001. Dimeric S100A8 in human neutrophils is diminished after phagocytosis, *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 70(1):59-64.

Limburg PJ, Ahlquist DA, Sandborn WJ, Mahoney DW, Devens ME, Harrington JJ and AR Zinsmeister 2000. Fecal calprotectin levels predict colorectal inflammation among patients with chronic diarrhea referred for colonoscopy. *Am J Gastroenterol*, 10:2831-7.

Limburg PJ. Ahlquist DA. Sandborn WJ. Mahoney DW. Devens ME. Harrington JJ. Zinsmeister AR. Fecal calprotectin levels predict colorectal inflammation among patients with chronic diarrhea referred for colonoscopy. *American Journal of Gastroenterology* 2000; 95(10):2831-7.

Longbottom D, Sallenave JM, van Heyningen V. Subunit structure of calgranulins A and B obtained from sputum, plasma, granulocytes and cultured epithelial cells. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1992; 1120(2):215-22.

Lugering N, Stoll R, Schmid KW, Kucharzik T, Stein H, Burmeister G, Sorg C, Domschke W. The myeloid related protein MRP8/14 (27E10 antigen)-usefulness as a potential marker for disease activity in ulcerative colitis and putative biological function. *Europ J Clin Invest* 1995; 25(9):659-64.

Meling TR. Aabakken L. Roseth A. Osnes M. Faecal calprotectin shedding after short-term treatment with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 1996; 31(4):339-44.

Moen O, Fosse E, Braten J, Andersson C, Fagerhol MK, Venge P, Hogasen K, Mollnes TE. Roller and centrifugal pumps compared in vitro with regard to haemolysis, granulocyte and complement activation. *Perfusion* 1994; 9(2):109-17.

Muller F, Froland SS, Aukrust P, Fagerhol MK. Elevated serum calprotectin levels in HIV-infected patients: the calprotectin response during ZDV treatment is associated with clinical events. *J Acq Immune Defic Syndr* 1994; 7(9):931-9.

Muller, F., et al. 1994. Elevated serum calprotectin levels in HIV-infected patients: the calprotectin response during ZDV treatment is associated with clinical events, *J. Acqui. Immune Defic. Syndr.* 7(9):931-939.

Neary, Walter, 2001. Press Release from University of Washington, Misdiagnosis of appendicitis continues despite new tools.

Olafsdottir E, Aksnes L, Fluge G, Berstad A. Faecal calprotectin in infants with infantile colic, healthy infants, children with inflammatory bowel disease, children with recurrent abdominal pain and healthy children. *Acta Paediatr* 2002; 91:45.

Pekna M, Borowiec J, Fagerhol MK, Venge P, Thelin S. Biocompatibility of heparin-coated circuits used in cardiopulmonary bypass. *Scand J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1994; 28(1):5-11.

Power, C. et al, 2005. Raised faecal calprotectin levels in patients presenting with right iliac fossa pain warrant mandatory laparoscopy: a non-invasive predictor of acute appendicitis, Thieme connect, *Endoscopy* 37:DOI:10.1055/2-2005-868524.

Power, C., et al. 2004, Irish Society of Gastroenterology Winter Meeting Program Oral Presentation Raised Faecal Calprotectin Levels in Patients Presenting with Right Iliac Fossa Pain Warrant Mandatory Laparoscopy: A Non-invasive Predictor of Acute Appendicitis.

Robinson MJ, Tessier P, Poulsom R, and N. Hogg 2002 The S100 family heterodimer, MRP8/14, binds with high affinity to heparin and heparan sulfate glycosaminoglycans on endothelial cells. *J Biol Chem.* 277:3658-65.

Rodwell et al. (1984). *Biotech.*, 3:889-894.

Roseth AG, Aadland E, Grzyb K. Normalization of faecal calprotectin: a predictor of mucosal healing in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2004 Oct;39(10):1017-20.

Roseth AG, Fagerhol MK, Aadland E, Schjonsby H. Assessment of the neutrophil dominating protein calprotectin in feces. A methodologic study. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1992; 27(9):793-8.

Roseth AG, Kristinsson J, Fagerhol MK, Schjonsby H, Aadland E, Nygaard K, Roald B. Faecal calprotectin: a novel test for the diagnosis of colorectal cancer? *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1993; 28(12):1073-6.

Roseth AG. Aadland E. Jahnsen J. Raknerud N. Assessment of disease activity in ulcerative colitis by faecal calprotectin, a novel granulocyte marker protein. *Digestion* 1997; 58(2):176-80.

Roseth AG. Fagerhol MK. Aadland E. Schjonsby H. Assessment of the neutrophil dominating protein calprotectin in feces. A methodologic study. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 1992; 27(9):793-8.

Roseth AG. Schmidt PN. Fagerhol MK. Correlation between faecal excretion of indium-111-labelled granulocytes and calprotectin, a granulocyte marker protein, in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 1999; 34(1):50-4.

Ryckman C, Vandal K, Rouleau P, Talbot M, and PA Tessier 2003 Proinflammatory activities of S100: proteins S100A8, S100A9, and S100A8/A9 induce neutrophil chemotaxis and adhesion. *J Immunol.* 170:3233-42.

Saintigny G, Schmidt R, Shroot B, Juhlin L, Reichert U, Michel S. Differential expression of calgranulin A and B in various epithelial cell lines and reconstructed epidermis. *J Invest Dermatol* 1992; 99(5):639-44.

Sander J, Fagerhol MK, Bakken JS, Dale I. Plasma levels of the leucocyte L1 protein in febrile conditions: relation to aetiology, number of leucocytes in blood, blood sedimentation reaction and C-reactive protein. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1984; 44(4):357-62.

Semb AG, Gabrielsen TO, Halstensen TS, Fagerhol MK, Brandtzaeg P, Vaage J. Cardiac surgery and distribution of the leukocyte L1 protein-calprotectin. *Europ J Cardio-Thoracic Surgery* 1991; 5(7):363-7.

Shanahan F. Inflammatory bowel disease: immunodiagnostics, immunotherapeutics, and eotherapeutics. *Gastroenterol* 2001; 120:622.

Stockley RA, Dale I, Hill SL, Fagerhol MK. Relationship of neutrophil cytoplasmic protein (L1) to acute and chronic lung disease. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1984; 44(7):629-34.

Striz, I. and I. Trebichavsky 2004. Calprotectin – a Pleiotropic Molecule in Acute and Chronic Inflammation. *Physiol Res.* 53:245-253.

Thomas P. Rihani H. Roseth A. Sigthorsson G. Price A. Nicholls RJ. Bjarnason I. Assessment of ileal pouch inflammation by single-stool calprotectin assay. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum* 2000; 43(2):214-20.

Tibble J, Sigthorsson G, Foster R, Fagerhol MK, Bjarnason I. Faecal calprotectin and faecal occult blood tests in the diagnosis of colorectal carcinoma and adenoma. *Gut* 2001; 49:402.

Tibble J. Teahon K. Thjodleifsson B. Roseth A. Sigthorsson G. Bridger S. Foster R. Sherwood R. Fagerhol M. Bjarnason I. A simple method for assessing intestinal inflammation in Crohn's disease. *Gut* 2000; 47(4):506-13.

Tibble JA, Bjarnason I. Department of Medicine, Guy's, King's, St Thomas's Medical School, Bessemer Road, London SE5 9PJ, UK. Non-invasive investigation of inflammatory bowel disease.

Tibble JA, Bjarnason I. Department of Medicine, Guy's, King's, St. Thomas's Medical School, London, UK. Fecalcalprotectin as an index of intestinal inflammation.

Tibble JA, Bjarnason I. Markers of intestinal inflammation and predictors of clinical relapse in patients with quiescent IBD. *Medscape Gastroenterol* 2001; 3 (2).

Tibble JA. Sigthorsson G. Bridger S. Fagerhol MK. Bjarnason I. Surrogate markers of intestinal inflammation are predictive of relapse in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. [Journal Article] *Gastroenterology* 2000; 119(1):15-22.

Tibble JA, Sigthorsson G, Foster R, Scott D, Fagerhol MK, Roseth A, Bjarnason I. High prevalence of NSAID enteropathy as shown by a simple faecal test. *Gut* 1999; 45(3):362-6.

Ton H, Brandsnes. Dale S, Holtlund J, Skuibina E, Schjonsby H, Johne B. Improved assay for fecal calprotectin. *Clinica Chimica Acta* 2000; 292(1-2):41-54.

Tungekar MF, Heryet A, Gatter KC. The L1 antigen and squamous metaplasia in the bladder. *Histopathol* 1991; 19(3):245-50.

U.S. Patent No. 4,493,795, Nestor, Jr., et al., January 15, 1985, Synthetic peptide sequences useful in biological and pharmaceutical applications and methods of manufacture.

U.S. Patent No. 5,350,687, Odink, et al., September 27, 1994, Antibodies which bind to novel lymphokine related peptides.

U.S. Patent No. 5,455,160, Fagerhol, et al., Diagnostic test and kit for disease disorders in the digestive system.

U.S. Patent No. 5,552,295, Stanker, et al., September 3, 1996, Monoclonal antibodies to bovine haptoglobin and methods for detecting serum haptoglobin levels.

U.S. Patent No. 6,451,550, Eckersall, September 17, 2002, Haptoglobin assay.

U.S. Patent Publication No. 20030224452, Colgin, et al., Pregnancy Detection.

Wilkinson MM, Busuttill A, Hayward C, Brock DJ, Dorin JR, Van Heyningen V. Expression pattern of two related cystic fibrosis-associated calcium-binding proteins in normal and abnormal tissues. *J Cell Science* 1988; 91 ( Pt 2):221-30.

Ye B, Cramer DW, Skates SJ, Gygi SP, Pratomo V, Fu L, Horick NK, Licklider LJ, Schorge JO, Berkowitz RS, Mok SC. 2003 Haptoglobin-alpha subunit as potential

serum biomarker in ovarian cancer: identification and characterization using proteomic profiling and mass spectrometry. *Clin Cancer Res* 9(8):2904-11.

Yerly S, Bouvier M, Rougemont A, Srivastava I, Perrin LH. 1990. Development of a haptoglobin ELISA. Its use as an indicator for malaria. *Acta Trop.* 1990 47(4):237-44.

**CLAIMS**

1. An immunoassay kit for determining the severity grade of appendicitis comprising:

an anti-MRP8/14 antibody and a means for detecting an immunoreaction product comprising MRP8/14 and the antibody; and

at least one component selected from the group consisting of:

standard data showing a correlation of the quantity of MRP8/14 with appendicitis severity;

at least one standard sample comprising a quantity of MRP8/14 corresponding to a quantity of MRP8/14 known to be present in samples from patients having a known appendicitis grade; and

a set of standard samples comprising a quantity of MRP8/14 known to be present in samples from patients having a known appendicitis grade, wherein each standard sample in the set comprises a different quantity of MRP8/14.

2. The immunoassay kit of claim 1 wherein said antibody to MRP8/14 is an antibody generated to an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of:

MLTELEKALNSIIDVYHKYSLIKGNFHAVYRDDLLKLLLETECPQYIRKKGADVWF  
KELDINTDGAVNFQEFLILVIKMGVAAHKKSHESHKE [SEQ ID NO:1], or  
MTCKMSQLERNIETIINTFHQYSVKLGHPTLNQGEFKELVRKDLQNFLKKNK  
NEKVIEHIMEDLDTNADKQLSFEEFIMLMARLTWASHEKMHEGDEGPGHHHKP  
GLGEGTP [SEQ ID NO:2].

3. The immunoassay kit of claim 1 wherein the monoclonal antibody to MRP8/14 is 27e10.

4. The immunoassay kit of claim 1, 2 or 3 also comprising a specific binding agent capable of binding to said anti-MRP8/14 antibody or to MRP8/14.

5. A set of at least two standard samples comprising a quantity of MRP8/14 known to be present in samples from patients having a known appendicitis grade, wherein each standard sample in the set comprises a different quantity of MRP8/14.

6. The set of standard samples of claim 4 wherein each standard sample comprises a plurality of pooled samples from patients having the same known appendicitis grade.

7. The set of standard samples of claim 4 or claim 5 wherein said standard samples are synthetic samples comprising quantities of MRP8/14 known to correlate with a set of appendicitis grades.

8. An electronic storage medium comprising a data set comprising data representative of MRP8/14 quantities correlated with appendicitis grades for which said quantities are diagnostic.

9. A method of scoring the severity of appendicitis corresponding to histological condition of tissue of a patient suspected of suffering from appendicitis, said method comprising:

examining tissue of the appendix and tissue adjacent thereto and assigning grade scores to describe the severity of appendicitis in said patient corresponding to the following condition of the tissue:

Grade 1 No identifiable inflammation in appendix tissue;


Grade 2 Inflammation extending through the mucosa and into the submucosa of the appendix;

- Grade 3 Inflammation extends past the submucosa into the muscular levels of the appendix;
- Grade 4 All layers of the appendix, including the serosa, inflamed, and perforation identified.


10. A method for scoring the severity of appendicitis of a patient suspected of suffering from appendicitis, said method comprising: testing a sample from said patient for the quantity of MRP8/14 in said sample;

comparing the quantity of MRP8/14 in said sample with the quantity of MRP8/14 in one or more standard samples, wherein the standard sample(s) contain quantity(ies) of MRP8/14 previously correlated with one or more grade scores for appendicitis severity; and

assigning to the severity of appendicitis in said patient the grade score of the standard sample that has the quantity of MRP8/14 closest to the quantity of MRP8/14 present in the sample from the patient.



**FIGURE 1A**



**FIGURE 1B**




FIGURE 2





FIGURE 3

Relative MRP 8/14 serum values







FIGURE 4




**FIGURE 5A**



**FIGURE 5B**



**FIGURE 6A**



**FIGURE 6B**




FIGURE 7A




FIGURE 7B




FIGURE 8




FIGURE 9




FIGURE 10




FIGURE 11

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/US 08/52418

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
 IPC(8) - C12Q 1/68 (2008.04)  
 USPC - 435/6  
 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
 435/6

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  
 435/6 (text search)

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
 Electronic Data Bases :PubWEST(USPT,PGPB,EPAB,JPAB); DialogPRO(Engineering/Life Science Research); Google Scholar  
 Search Terms Used: MRP8/14, calprotectin, macrophage inhibitory related protein, appendicitis, immunoassay, antibody, 27e10, neutrophil

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X ----- Y	WO 2006/012588 A2 (COLGIN et al). 02 February 2006 (02.02.2006), entire document, especially para [0024], [0031], [78-80], [0095], Table I	9,10 ----- 1-8
Y	Cell Sciences. Human Calprotectin ELISA Kit. 2006, IN: Cell Sciences Inc. datasheet. Retrieved online at <<URL: <a href="http://www.cellsciences.com/content/p-detail.asp?rowid=8403">http://www.cellsciences.com/content/p-detail.asp?rowid=8403</a> >> Entire document.	1-8
Y	Cell Sciences. Anti-human S100A8/A9 (MRP-8/MRP-14), Calprotectin, Clone 27E10, Monoclonal Antibody. 2006, IN: Cell Sciences Inc. datasheet. Retrieved online at <<URL: <a href="http://www.cellsciences.com/content/p-detail.asp?rowid=7581">http://www.cellsciences.com/content/p-detail.asp?rowid=7581</a> >> Entire document	2-4, 6-8

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

* Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search 19 May 2008 (19.05.2008)	Date of mailing of the international search report <b>05 JUN 2008</b>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. 571-273-3201	Authorized officer:  Lee W. Young  PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

专利名称(译)	用于诊断阑尾炎的方法和装置		
公开(公告)号	<a href="#">EP2111463A4</a>	公开(公告)日	2010-04-28
申请号	EP2008728532	申请日	2008-01-30
申请(专利权)人(译)	AspenBio公司PHARMA , INC.		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	AspenBio公司PHARMA , INC.		
[标]发明人	COLGIN MARK A BEALER JOHN F DONNELLY RICHARD G		
发明人	COLGIN, MARK, A. BEALER, JOHN, F. DONNELLY, RICHARD, G.		
IPC分类号	C12Q1/68 C07K14/435 G01N33/53 G01N33/68		
CPC分类号	C12Q1/6883 C12Q2600/112 C12Q2600/158 G01N33/6893 G01N2800/06 Y10T436/10		
优先权	11/670882 2007-02-02 US		
其他公开文献	EP2111463A1		
外部链接	<a href="#">Espacenet</a>		

#### 摘要(译)

提供了一种用于确定患者阑尾炎严重程度的方法，包括测试来自患者的血液，血清或血浆样品中样品中MRP8 / 14的量，并将其与标准样品中存在的MRP8 / 14的量进行比较。与阑尾炎严重程度评分系统相关。还提供了基于组织学的阑尾炎严重程度评分系统。还提供了用于进行本发明的阑尾炎测定的免疫测定和试剂盒，以及将患者样品中存在的MRP8 / 14量与基于组织学的阑尾炎严重性等级相关联的标准样品和数据。本发明的方法和免疫测定装置和试剂盒可用于管理患有阑尾炎症状的患者的治疗。