



US010251539B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Sahney et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** US 10,251,539 B2
(45) **Date of Patent:** *Apr. 9, 2019

(54) **HYSTEROSCOPIC SYSTEM**

(71) Applicant: **COVIDIEN LP**, Mansfield, MA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Mira Sahney**, Boston, MA (US);
Cemal Shener-Irmakoglu, Woburn, MA (US)

(73) Assignee: **COVIDIEN LP**, Mansfield, MA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 612 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/846,198**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 4, 2015**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2015/0374221 A1 Dec. 31, 2015

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 12/892,355, filed on Sep. 28, 2010, now Pat. No. 9,155,454.


(51) **Int. Cl.**

A61B 1/303 (2006.01)
A61B 1/00 (2006.01)
A61B 17/42 (2006.01)
A61B 17/34 (2006.01)
A61B 1/018 (2006.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC *A61B 1/303* (2013.01); *A61B 1/00135* (2013.01); *A61B 1/015* (2013.01); *A61B 1/018* (2013.01); *A61B 17/3421* (2013.01); *A61B 17/42* (2013.01); *A61B 1/307* (2013.01); *A61B 17/32002* (2013.01); *A61B 17/3205* (2013.01);



A61B 2017/320024 (2013.01); *A61B 2017/320028* (2013.01);

(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ... *A61B 1/00135*; *A61B 1/303*; *A61B 17/042*; *A61B 17/32002*; *A61B 1/0125*; *A61B 1/018*; *A61B 1/317*

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,585,934 A 5/1926 Muir
1,666,332 A 4/1928 Hirsch
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 1695546 A 11/2005
CN 101662993 A 3/2010
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

European examination report issued in corresponding EP application No. 11770261.3 dated Aug. 4, 2016.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Timothy J Neal

Assistant Examiner — Aaron B Fairchild

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A hysteroscopy system includes a scope having an internal channel, a sheath removably coupled to the scope, and an outflow channel. The sheath has a distal flange extending internally towards an outer surface of the scope. The outflow channel is formed between an inner surface of the sheath and an outer surface of the scope. The distal flange forms a distal end of the outflow channel and is generally located between the scope and the sheath.

7 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets



(51)	Int. Cl.					
	<i>A61B 1/015</i>	(2006.01)	5,112,299 A	5/1992	Pascaloff	
	<i>A61B 17/32</i>	(2006.01)	5,116,868 A	5/1992	Chen	
	<i>A61B 17/3205</i>	(2006.01)	5,125,910 A	6/1992	Freitas	
	<i>A61B 1/307</i>	(2006.01)	5,133,713 A	7/1992	Huang	
(52)	U.S. Cl.		5,152,744 A	10/1992	Krause	
	CPC	<i>A61B 2017/320052</i> (2013.01); <i>A61B 2017/4216</i> (2013.01); <i>A61B 2217/007</i> (2013.01)	5,158,553 A	10/1992	Berry	
			5,163,433 A	11/1992	Kagawa	
			5,169,397 A	12/1992	Sakashita	
			5,176,677 A	1/1993	Wuchinich	
			5,195,541 A	3/1993	Obenchain	
			5,226,910 A	7/1993	Kajiyama	
			5,244,459 A	9/1993	Hill	

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,831,786 A	11/1931	Duncan	5,304,118 A	4/1994	Trese
2,708,437 A	5/1955	Hutchins	5,312,399 A	5/1994	Hakky
3,297,022 A	1/1967	Wallace	5,312,425 A	5/1994	Evans
3,686,706 A	8/1972	Finley	5,312,430 A	5/1994	Rosenbluth
3,734,099 A	5/1973	Bender	5,320,091 A	6/1994	Grossi
3,791,379 A	2/1974	Storz	5,347,992 A	9/1994	Pearlman
3,812,855 A	5/1974	Banko	5,350,390 A	9/1994	Sher
3,835,842 A	9/1974	Iglesias	5,364,395 A	11/1994	West, Jr.
3,850,162 A	11/1974	Iglesias	5,374,253 A	12/1994	Burns, Sr.
3,945,375 A	3/1976	Banko	5,390,585 A	2/1995	Ryuh
3,980,252 A	9/1976	Tae	5,392,765 A	2/1995	Muller
3,995,619 A	12/1976	Glatzer	5,395,313 A	3/1995	Naves
3,996,921 A	12/1976	Neuwirth	5,403,276 A	4/1995	Schechter
4,011,869 A	3/1977	Seiler, Jr.	5,409,013 A	4/1995	Clement
4,108,182 A	8/1978	Hartman	5,409,453 A	4/1995	Lundquist
4,146,405 A	3/1979	Timmer	5,411,513 A	5/1995	Ireland
4,198,958 A	4/1980	Utsugi	5,421,819 A	6/1995	Edwards
4,203,444 A	5/1980	Bonnell	5,425,376 A	6/1995	Banys
4,210,146 A	7/1980	Banko	5,429,601 A	7/1995	Conley
4,246,902 A	1/1981	Martinez	5,435,805 A	7/1995	Edwards
4,247,180 A	1/1981	Norris	5,443,476 A	8/1995	Shapiro
4,258,721 A	3/1981	Parent	5,449,356 A	9/1995	Walbrink
4,261,346 A	4/1981	Wettermann	5,456,673 A	10/1995	Ziegler
4,294,234 A	10/1981	Matsuo	5,456,689 A	10/1995	Kresch
4,316,465 A	2/1982	Dotson, Jr.	5,483,951 A	1/1996	Frassica
4,369,768 A	1/1983	Vukovic	5,490,819 A	2/1996	Nicholas
4,392,485 A	7/1983	Hiltebrandt	5,490,860 A	2/1996	Middle
4,414,962 A	11/1983	Carson	5,492,537 A	2/1996	Vancaillie
4,449,538 A	5/1984	Corbitt	5,498,258 A	3/1996	Hakky
4,493,698 A	1/1985	Wang	5,527,331 A	6/1996	Kresch
4,517,977 A	5/1985	Frost	5,549,541 A	8/1996	Muller
4,543,965 A	10/1985	Pack	5,556,378 A	9/1996	Storz
4,567,880 A	2/1986	Goodman	5,563,481 A	10/1996	Krause
4,589,414 A	5/1986	Yoshida	5,569,164 A	10/1996	Lurz
4,601,284 A	7/1986	Arakawa	5,569,254 A	10/1996	Carlson
4,601,290 A	7/1986	Effron	5,569,284 A	10/1996	Young
4,606,330 A	8/1986	Bonnet	5,575,756 A	11/1996	Karasawa
4,630,598 A	12/1986	Bonnet	5,591,187 A	1/1997	Dekel
4,644,952 A	2/1987	Patipa	5,601,583 A	2/1997	Donahue
4,649,919 A	3/1987	Thimsen	5,601,603 A	2/1997	Illi
4,700,694 A	10/1987	Shishido	5,602,449 A	2/1997	Krause
4,706,656 A	11/1987	Kuboto	5,603,332 A	2/1997	O'Connor
4,718,291 A	1/1988	Wood	5,630,798 A	5/1997	Beiser
4,737,142 A	4/1988	Heckele	5,649,547 A	7/1997	Ritchart
4,749,376 A	6/1988	Kensey	5,669,927 A	9/1997	Boebel
4,756,309 A	7/1988	Sachse	5,672,945 A	9/1997	Krause
4,819,635 A	4/1989	Shapiro	5,674,179 A	10/1997	Bonnet
4,844,064 A	7/1989	Thimsen	5,676,497 A	10/1997	Kim
4,850,354 A	7/1989	McGurk-Burleson	5,695,448 A	12/1997	Kimura
4,856,919 A	8/1989	Takeuchi	5,702,420 A	12/1997	Sterling
4,867,157 A	9/1989	McGurk-Burleson	5,709,698 A	1/1998	Adams
4,924,851 A	5/1990	Ognier	5,730,752 A	3/1998	Alden
4,940,061 A	7/1990	Terwilliger	5,733,298 A	3/1998	Berman
4,950,278 A	8/1990	Sachse	5,741,286 A	4/1998	Recuset
4,955,882 A	9/1990	Hakky	5,741,287 A	4/1998	Alden
4,986,827 A	1/1991	Akkas	5,749,885 A	5/1998	Sjostrom
4,998,527 A	3/1991	Meyer	5,749,889 A	5/1998	Bacich
4,998,914 A	3/1991	Wiest	5,759,185 A	6/1998	Grinberg
5,007,917 A	4/1991	Evans	5,772,634 A	6/1998	Atkinson
5,027,792 A	7/1991	Meyer	5,775,333 A	7/1998	Burbank
5,037,386 A	8/1991	Marcus	5,782,849 A	7/1998	Miller
5,105,800 A	4/1992	Takahashi	5,807,240 A	9/1998	Muller
5,106,364 A	4/1992	Hayafuji			

(56)	References Cited					
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
5,807,282 A	9/1998 Fowler	8,663,264 B2	3/2014	Cesarini		
5,810,770 A	9/1998 Chin	8,678,999 B2	3/2014	Isaacson		
5,810,861 A	9/1998 Gaber	8,852,085 B2	10/2014	Shener-Irmakoglu		
5,814,009 A	9/1998 Wheatman	8,893,722 B2	11/2014	Emanuel		
5,833,643 A	11/1998 Ross	8,932,208 B2	1/2015	Kendale		
5,840,060 A	11/1998 Beiser	8,951,274 B2	2/2015	Adams		
5,857,995 A	1/1999 Thomas	9,060,800 B1	6/2015	Cesarini		
5,873,886 A	2/1999 Larsen	9,060,801 B1	6/2015	Cesarini		
5,899,915 A	5/1999 Saadat	9,066,745 B2	6/2015	Cesarini		
5,911,699 A	6/1999 Anis	9,072,431 B2	7/2015	Adams		
5,911,722 A	6/1999 Adler	9,089,358 B2	7/2015	Emanuel		
5,913,867 A	6/1999 Dion	9,125,550 B2	9/2015	Shener-Irmakoglu		
5,916,229 A	6/1999 Evans	9,155,454 B2	10/2015	Sahney		
5,925,055 A	7/1999 Adrian	2001/0039963 A1	11/2001	Spear		
5,928,163 A	7/1999 Roberts	2001/0047183 A1	11/2001	Privitera		
5,944,668 A	8/1999 Vancaillie	2002/0058859 A1	5/2002	Brommersma		
5,947,990 A	9/1999 Smith	2002/0165427 A1	11/2002	Yachia		
5,951,490 A	9/1999 Fowler	2003/0050603 A1	3/2003	Todd		
5,956,130 A	9/1999 Vancaillie	2003/0050638 A1	3/2003	Yachia		
5,957,832 A	9/1999 Taylor	2003/0078609 A1	4/2003	Finlay		
6,001,116 A	12/1999 Heisler	2003/0114875 A1	6/2003	Sjostrom		
6,004,320 A	12/1999 Casscells	2004/0204671 A1	10/2004	Stubbs		
6,007,513 A	12/1999 Anis	2005/0043690 A1	2/2005	Todd		
6,024,751 A	2/2000 Lovato	2005/0085692 A1	4/2005	Kiehn		
6,032,673 A	3/2000 Savage	2005/0234298 A1*	10/2005	Kucklick	A61B 1/00135	
6,039,748 A	3/2000 Savage				600/156	
6,042,552 A	3/2000 Cornier	2006/0036132 A1	2/2006	Renner		
6,068,641 A	5/2000 Varsseveld	2006/0047185 A1	3/2006	Shener et al.		
6,086,542 A	7/2000 Glowa	2006/0241586 A1	10/2006	Wilk		
6,090,094 A	7/2000 Clifford	2007/0073101 A1	3/2007	Queyroux et al.		
6,090,123 A	7/2000 Culp	2008/0015621 A1	1/2008	Emanuel		
6,113,594 A	9/2000 Savage	2008/0058588 A1	3/2008	Emanuel		
6,119,973 A	9/2000 Galloway	2008/0058842 A1	3/2008	Emanuel		
6,120,147 A	9/2000 Vijfinkel	2008/0097468 A1	4/2008	Adams		
6,120,462 A	9/2000 Hibner	2008/0097469 A1	4/2008	Gruber		
6,132,448 A	10/2000 Perez	2008/0097470 A1	4/2008	Gruber		
6,149,633 A	11/2000 Maaskamp	2008/0097471 A1	4/2008	Adams		
6,156,049 A	12/2000 Lovato	2008/0135053 A1	6/2008	Gruber		
6,159,160 A	12/2000 Hsei	2008/0146872 A1	6/2008	Gruber		
6,159,209 A	12/2000 Hakky	2008/0146873 A1	6/2008	Adams		
6,203,518 B1	3/2001 Anis	2008/0245371 A1	10/2008	Gruber		
6,217,543 B1	4/2001 Anis	2008/0249366 A1	10/2008	Gruber		
6,224,603 B1	5/2001 Marino	2008/0249534 A1	10/2008	Gruber		
6,244,228 B1	6/2001 Kuhn	2008/0249553 A1	10/2008	Gruber		
6,258,111 B1	7/2001 Ross	2008/0262308 A1	10/2008	Prestezog		
6,277,096 B1	8/2001 Cortella	2009/0012362 A1	1/2009	Kucklick		
6,315,714 B1	11/2001 Akiba	2009/0082628 A1	3/2009	Kucklick et al.		
6,358,200 B1	3/2002 Grossi	2009/0270812 A1	10/2009	Litscher		
6,358,263 B2	3/2002 Mark	2009/0270895 A1	10/2009	Churchill		
6,359,200 B1	3/2002 Day	2009/0270896 A1	10/2009	Sullivan		
6,402,701 B1	6/2002 Kaplan	2009/0270897 A1	10/2009	Adams		
6,428,486 B2	8/2002 Ritchart	2009/0270898 A1	10/2009	Chin		
6,443,947 B1 *	9/2002 Marko	A61B 18/08	2010/0152647 A1	4/2010	Adams	
		606/28	2011/0166419 A1	6/2010	Shener	
			2012/0078038 A1	7/2011	Reif	
			2013/0131452 A1	3/2012	Sahney	
			2014/0031834 A1	5/2013	Kuroda	
				1/2014	Germain	
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS						
6,471,639 B2	10/2002 Rudischhauser	DE	3339322 A1	5/1984		
6,494,892 B1	12/2002 Ireland	DE	3206381 C2	7/1986		
6,585,708 B1	7/2003 Maaskamp	DE	3601453 A1	9/1986		
6,610,066 B2	8/2003 Dinger	DE	3615694 A1	11/1987		
6,626,827 B1	9/2003 Felix	DE	4038398 A1	6/1992		
6,632,182 B1	10/2003 Treat	DE	4440035 A1	5/1996		
6,656,132 B1	12/2003 Ouchi	DE	19633124 A1	5/1997		
6,712,773 B1	3/2004 Viola	DE	19751632 C1	9/1999		
6,824,544 B2	11/2004 Boebel	DE	102006022827 A1	12/2006		
6,837,847 B2	1/2005 Ewers	EP	0310285 A2	4/1989		
7,025,720 B2	4/2006 Boebel	EP	0327410 A1	8/1989		
7,025,732 B2	4/2006 Thompson	EP	0557044 A1	8/1993		
7,150,713 B2	12/2006 Shener	EP	0582295 A2	2/1994		
7,226,459 B2	6/2007 Cesarini	EP	0606531 A2	7/1994		
7,249,602 B1	7/2007 Emanuel	EP	0621008 A2	10/1994		
7,510,563 B2	3/2009 Cesarini	EP	0806183 A1	5/1997		
7,763,033 B2	7/2010 Gruber	EP	1681022 A1	7/2006		
7,922,737 B1	4/2011 Cesarini	GB	2093353 A	9/1982		
8,061,359 B2	11/2011 Emanuel					
8,062,214 B2	11/2011 Shener					
8,419,626 B2	4/2013 Shener-Irmakoglu					

(56)

References Cited

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

GB 2311468 A 10/1997
 JP 2001-75416 5/1989
 JP 2002 529185 A 9/2002
 JP 2002 538889 A 11/2002
 JP 2003 245247 A 9/2003
 JP 2005319086 A 11/2005
 NL 1006944 C2 3/1999
 WO WO 1981/01648 A1 6/1981
 WO WO 1992/11816 A2 7/1992
 WO WO 1993/07821 A1 4/1993
 WO WO 1993/15664 A1 8/1993
 WO WO 1994/26181 A1 11/1994
 WO WO 1995/05777 A1 3/1995
 WO WO 1995/10981 A1 4/1995
 WO WO 1995/10982 A1 4/1995
 WO WO 1995/22935 A1 8/1995
 WO WO 1995/30377 A1 11/1995
 WO WO 1996/11638 A1 4/1996
 WO WO 1996/26676 A1 9/1996
 WO WO 1997/09922 A1 3/1997
 WO WO 1997/17027 A1 5/1997
 WO WO 1997/19642 A1 6/1997
 WO WO 1997/24071 A1 7/1997
 WO WO 1997/34534 A1 9/1997
 WO WO 1997/35522 A1 10/1997
 WO WO 1998/09569 A1 3/1998
 WO WO 1998/10707 A1 3/1998
 WO WO 1998/46147 A1 10/1998
 WO WO 1999/03407 A1 1/1999
 WO WO 1999/03409 A1 1/1999
 WO WO 1999/07295 A1 2/1999
 WO WO 1999/11184 A1 3/1999
 WO WO 1999/39648 A1 8/1999
 WO WO 1999/44506 A1 9/1999
 WO WO 1999/60935 A1 12/1999
 WO WO 2000/12010 A1 3/2000
 WO WO 2000/28890 A1 5/2000
 WO WO 2000/33743 A1 6/2000
 WO WO 2000/44295 A1 8/2000
 WO WO 2000/47116 A1 8/2000
 WO WO 2000/57797 A1 10/2000
 WO WO 2001/35831 A1 5/2001
 WO WO 2001/58368 A1 8/2001
 WO WO 2001/95810 A2 12/2001
 WO WO 2002/069808 A2 9/2002
 WO WO 2003/022164 A1 3/2003
 WO WO 2003/077767 A1 9/2003
 WO WO 2005/060842 A1 7/2005
 WO WO 2005/096963 A2 10/2005
 WO WO 2006/105283 A2 10/2006
 WO WO 2006/121968 A2 11/2006
 WO WO 2006/121970 A2 11/2006
 WO WO 2007/044833 A2 4/2007
 WO WO 2012/044705 A1 4/2012

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Notification of Reason for Refusal issued in corresponding Korean application No. 10-2013-7008294 dated Dec. 14, 2017.
 Chinese Office Action for Chinese Application No. 201180046921. 6, dated Dec. 15, 2015, 3 pages, with English language translation.
 Inquiry Made in Course of Substantive Examination for Russian Patent Application No. 2013119298/14(028553) dated Sep. 29, 2015, 5 pages, with English language translation.
 ACMI Corporation, "Dolphin II Hysteroscopic Fluid Management Systems," ACMI Corporation, 2002 (1 page).
 ACMI Corporation, "Dolphin II and Disten-U-Flo Fluid Management Systems for Hysteroscopy", ACMI Corporation, 2002 (1 page).
 Bacsko "Uterine Surgery by Operative Hysteroscopy", European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, vol. 71, pp. 219-222, 1997 (4 pages).

Baggish et al., "Diagnostic and Operative Hysterectomy," Mosby, pp. 97-105, 123-125, 127-132, 353-355, and 394-398, 1999 (27 pages).
 Chinese Office Action for Chinese Application No. 201180046921. 6, dated Dec. 23, 2014, 29 pages, with English language translation.
 C.R. Bard, Inc. "The HydroFlex HD System" (1 page).
 Cravello et al., "Hysteroscopic Resection of Fibroids: Results with a 6-Year Follow-up Period", Journal of Gynecologic Surgery, vol. 15, No. 1, 1-5 1999 (5 pages).
 Defendant Hologic Inc.'s Preliminary, Non-Binding List of Asserted Prior Art References, dated Feb. 8, 2012, in *Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Hologic, Inc.*, Civil Action Nos. 11-12064-RWZ and 10- 10951-RWZ, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (7 pages).
 Dictionary definition of reciprocate, Merriam-Webster Dictionary, on-line edition, retrieved Mar. 20, 2013 (1 page).
 Dictionary definition of rotate, Merriam-Webster Dictionary, on-line edition, retrieved Mar. 20, 2013 (1 page).
 Dictionary definition of translate, Merriam-Webster Dictionary, on-line edition, retrieved Mar. 20, 2013 (1 page).
 Drews et al., "Surgical Approach to Myomas: Laparoscopy and Hysteroscopy", Seminars in Reproductive Endocrinology, vol. 10, No. 4, pp. 367-377, 1992 (11 pages).
 Dumesci et al., "A New Approach to Hysteroscopic Cannulation of the Fallopian Tube", Journal of Gynecologic Surgery, vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 7-9, 1991 (3 pages).
 Emanuel et al., "Long-term Results of Hysteroscopic Myomectomy for Abnormal Uterine Bleeding", Obstetrics & Gynecology, vol. 93, No. 5, Part I, pp. 743-748, 1999 (6 pages).
 European Patent Application No. 05 786 521.4-2305, Examination Report dated Apr. 21, 2010 (4 pages).
 European Patent Application No. 05 786 521.4-2305, Examination Report dated Sep. 26, 2012 (5 pages).
 European Patent Application No. 11 770 261.3-1657, Examination Report dated Feb. 11, 2014 (4 pages).
 Exhibit P to Hologic's Opposition to Smith & Nephew's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Redacted, filed Dec. 30, 2011, in *Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Hologic, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 11-12064-RWZ, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (99 pages).
 First Office Action for Japanese Patent Application No. 213-531779 dated May 18, 2015, with English translation, 6 pages.
 Franchini et al., "Endometrial resection: a diagnostic tool in post-menopausal women", Gynecological Endoscopy, 8, pp. 111-114, 1999 (5 pages).
 "From Distention to Deficit Monitoring Taking the All-in-One Approach", W.O.M. World of Medicine (1 page).
 Gerber et al., "The Endoscapel: A new endoscopic instrument for supracervical hysterectomy and morcellation of masses; clinical evaluation", European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 86, p. S12, 1999 (1 page).
 Gynecare "Motor Drive Unit" Instructions for Use (3 pages).
 Gynecare X-Tract, "Tissue Morcellator", Instructions for Use (3 pages).
 Gynecare, "Fluid Management System" Instructions for Use (26 pages).
 Gynescopic Corporation "Laser Fiber Director", Advertisement, Journal of Gynecologic Surgery, vol. 6, No. 1, 1990 (2 pages).
 Hess et al., "Textbook of Bilio-Pancreatic Disease", vol. III, Piccin, e.g. Fig 6.5.1, pp. 1584-1586, 1997 (5 pages).
 Hologic's Opposition to Smith & Nephew's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Redacted, filed Dec. 30, 2011, in *Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Hologic, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 11- 12064-RWZ, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (26 pages).
 "HysteRo-Purator 1143-1 Technical Data" WISAP (2 pages).
 International Application No. PCT/US2005/029807, International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated Feb. 28, 2007 (9 pages).
 International Application No. PCT/US2005/029807, International Search Report dated Jun. 13, 2006 (5 pages).
 International Application No. PCT/US2011/053753, International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated Apr. 2, 2013 (7 pages).
 International Application No. PCT/US2011/053753, International Search Report dated Dec. 20, 2011 (4 pages).
 Japanese Patent Application No. 2007-530014, Translation of Office Action dated Feb. 15, 2011 (10 pages).

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Karl Storz "Pilot a Course to Successful Outcomes", Intermetro Industries Corporation, 2001 (2 pages).

Karl Storz "Uterine Resectoscopes for Endometrial Ablation and Resection", Advertisement, Journal of Gynecologic Surgery, vol. 6, No. 1, 1990 (3 pages).

Karl Storz, Advertisement, Journal of Gynecologic Surgery, vol. 5, No. 4, 1989 (3 pages).

Lin et al. "Clinical Applications of a New Fujinon Operating Fiberoptic Hysteroscope", Journal of Gynecologic Surgery, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 81-87, 1990 (7 pages).

Mettler et al., "Pelviscopic uterine surgery" Surgical Endoscopy, 6, pp. 23-31, 1992 (9 pages).

Neis et al., "Hysteroscopy: Textbook and Atlas", Thieme Medical Publishers, pp. 91-103, 1994 (13 pages).

Nisolle et al., "Endometrial ablation with the Nd-YAG laser in dysfunctional bleeding" Minimally Invasive Therapy, vol. 1, pp. 35-39, 1991 (5 pages).

Olympus Product Catalogue: Part No. A2461—OP Nephroscope, Sep. 1991 (3 pages).

Office Action in Chinese Patent Application No. 201180046921.6, dated Aug. 4, 2015, 13 pages.

Park et al., "Endoscopic Management of Uterine Myoma", Yonsei Medical Journal, vol. 40, No. 6, pp. 583-588, 1999 (6 pages).

Patent Examination Report No. 1 for Australian Patent Application No. 2011308834 dated Jun. 11, 2015, 3 pages.

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Action Closing Prosecution mailed Sep. 19, 2013 (41 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Appendices 14-28 to Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 7,226,459, Claim Charts for Various Claims in view of Various References (436 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Appendix 2 to Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 7,226,459, Memorandum of Decision dated Apr. 21, 2011, in *Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Interlace Medical, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 10-10951-RWZ, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (14 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Appendix 6 to Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 7,226,459, Opening Markman Brief of Plaintiff Smith & Nephew, Inc. dated Oct. 13, 2010, in *Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Interlace Medical, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 10-10951-RWZ, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (23 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Appendix 7 to Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 7,226,459, Defendant Interlace Medical, Inc.'s Responsive Markman Brief (Redacted) dated Oct. 27, 2010, in *Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Interlace Medical, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 10-10951-RWZ, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (26 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Appendix 8 to Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 7,226,459, Plaintiff Smith & Nephew, Inc.'s Reply in Support of Markman Brief dated Nov. 3, 2010, in *Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Interlace Medical, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 10-10951-RWZ, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (8 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Examiner's Answer dated Mar. 25, 2015 (3 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Executed Expert Declaration of Hal Walbrink in support of Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 7,226,459, Executed Mar. 9, 2012 (42 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, First Office Action dated Jun. 5, 2012 (37 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Litigation Search Report CRU 3999 dated Mar. 29, 2012 (24 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Order Granting Request for Reexamination dated Jun. 5, 2012 (29 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Patent Owner's Apr. 14, 2014 Appeal Brief (334 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Patent Owner's Feb. 13, 2014 Notice of Appeal (2 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Patent Owner's Aug. 6, 2012 Response to First Office Action dated Jun. 5, 2012 (156 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Patent Owner's Jun. 3, 2013 Response to Second Office Action dated Apr. 1, 2013 (37 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Patent Owner's Oct. 21, 2013 Response to Action Closing Prosecution dated Sep. 19, 2013 (180 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Right of Appeal Notice mailed Jan. 14, 2014 (58 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Second Office Action dated Apr. 1, 2013 (56 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Third Party's Dec. 19, 2012 Response to Notification of Defective Paper and Comments on First Office Action dated Jun. 5, 2012 (38 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Third Party's Jul. 3, 2013 Comments on Second Office Action dated Apr. 1, 2013 (62 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Third Party's Mar. 12, 2012 Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 7,226,459 (130 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Third Party's May 14, 2014 Respondent's Brief (303 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Third Party's Nov. 20, 2013 Comments on Action Closing Prosecution mailed Sep. 19, 2013 (38 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Third Party's Sep. 5, 2012 Comments on First Office Action dated Jun. 5, 2012 (210 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Appendix 19 to Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 8,061,359, Opening Claim Construction Brief of Defendant Hologic, Inc., dated Feb. 24, 2012, in *Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Hologic, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 11-12064-RWZ, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (24 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Appendix 20 to Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 8,061,359, Opening Markman Brief of Plaintiff Smith & Nephew, Inc., dated Feb. 24, 2012, in *Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Hologic, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 11-12064-RWZ, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (24 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Appendix 28 to Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 8,061,359, Claim chart for anticipation of claims 1-8 based on U.S. Pat. No. 5,456,689 to Kresch (4 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Appendix 29 to Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 8,061,359, Claim chart for anticipation of claims 1-8 based on U.S. Pat. No. 6,032,673 to Savage (13 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Appendix 30 to Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 8,061,359, Claim chart for anticipation of claims 1-8 based on U.S. Pat. No. 3,945,375 to Banko (4 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Decision Denying Petition dated Sep. 28, 2012 (5 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Executed Expert Declaration of Dr. Henry A. Dominicis in support of Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 8,061,359, Executed Apr. 1, 2012 (150 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Executed Expert Declaration of Hal Walbrink in support of Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 8,061,359, Executed Apr. 2, 2012 (22 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Litigation Search Report CRU 3999 dated Apr. 3, 2012 (33 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Order Denying Request for Inter Partes Reexamination mailed Jun. 4, 2012 (35 pages).


Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 8,061,359, filed Apr. 2, 2012 (265 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/001,955, Request for Reconsideration of Third Party Requestor's Petition for Reexamination mailed Jul. 3, 2012 (32 pages).

Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Executed Expert Declaration of Dr. Henry A. Dominicis in support of Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 8,061,359, Executed Jul. 24, 2012 (101 pages).

(56)	References Cited	
	OTHER PUBLICATIONS	
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, First Action Closing Prosecution mailed Aug. 9, 2013 (34 pages).	Substantive Examination Report in the corresponding Patent Application No. MX/a/2013/003535, dated Aug. 20, 2015, 4 pages.
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, First Office Action dated Sep. 19, 2012 (37 pages).	Sugimoto "A Color Atlas of Hysteroscopy" Springer-Verlag Tokyo, 1999 (17 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Litigation Search Report CRU 3999 dated Aug. 13, 2012 (29 pages).	U.S. Appl. No. 09/486,977, Office Action dated Sep. 7, 2005 (7 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Patent Owner's Mar. 5, 2015 Notice of Appeal (2 pages).	U.S. Appl. No. 11/780,759, Applicant's Mar. 31, 2011 Response to Office Action dated Jan. 5, 2010 (15 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Patent Owner's Mar. 5, 2015 Response to First Office Action dated Sep. 19, 2012 (379 pages).	U.S. Appl. No. 11/780,759, Applicant's Oct. 25, 2010 Response to Office Action dated Jul. 26, 2010 (13 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Patent Owner's Mar. 24, 2014 Response to Second Office Action dated Jan. 24, 2014 (55 pages).	U.S. Appl. No. 11/780,759, Office Action dated Jan. 5, 2011 (7 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Patent Owner's Sep. 29, 2014 Response to Second Action Closing Prosecution mailed Aug. 27, 2014 (12 pages).	U.S. Appl. No. 11/780,759, Office Action dated Jul. 22, 2010 (5 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Patent Owner's Sep. 9, 2013 Response to First Action Closing Prosecution dated Aug. 9, 2013 (159 pages).	U.S. Appl. No. 11/780,759, Office Action dated Jul. 26, 2010 (7 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Reexam Order dated Sep. 19, 2012 (54 pages).	U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,938, Office Action dated Jan. 5, 2011 (10 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Right of Appeal Notice mailed Feb. 4, 2015 (35 pages).	U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,938, Office Action dated Jul. 30, 2010 (10 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Second Action Closing Prosecution mailed Aug. 27, 2014 (35 pages).	U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,940, Advisory Action dated Sep. 10, 2010 (3 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Second Office Action dated Jan. 24, 2014 (31 pages).	U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,940, Office Action dated Dec. 30, 2009 (9 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Third Party's Apr. 23, 2014 Comments on Second Office Action mailed Jan. 24, 2014 (117 pages).	U.S. Appl. No. 11/929,940, Office Action dated Jul. 1, 2010 (12 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Third Party's Feb. 21, 2013 Comments on First Office Action mailed Sep. 19, 2012 (771 pages).	Valle "Hysteroscopic Removal of Submucous Leiomyomas", Journal of Gynecologic Surgery, vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 89-96, 1990 (9 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Third Party's Jul. 24, 2012 Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 8,061,359 (1050 pages).	Weck "A Direct Path to Diagnostic and Operative Control: The Weck-Baggish Hysteroscopy System" Advertisement, Journal of Gynecologic Surgery, vol. 7, No. 1, 1991 (2 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Third Party's Oct. 19, 2012 Request for Reconsideration of Certain Decisions Regarding Third Party Requestor's Request for Reexamination of U.S. Pat. No. 8,061,359 (19 pages).	Williamson et al., Editorial 1 "Complications of hysteroscopic treatments of menorrhagia", British Journal of Anesthesia, vol. 77, No. 3, pp. 305-308, 1996 (4 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Third Party's Oct. 29, 2014 Comments on Second Action Closing Prosecution mailed Aug. 27, 2014 (31 pages).	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Patent Owner's May 5, 2015 Appeal Brief (47 pages).
	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Third Party's Oct. 9, 2013 Comments on First Action Closing Prosecution mailed Aug. 9, 2013 (25 pages).	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Third Party's Jun. 5, 2015 Respondent Brief (21 pages).
	Reference AQ "Fishing Reel produced and sold by Shimano of Japan in to the U.S. prior to Oct. 26, 2001," as cited in the IDS filed Oct. 17, 2005 in the prosecution file history of U.S. Appl. No. 09/983,810 (7 pages).	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Patent Owner's Jul. 1, 2015 Corrected Appeal Brief (47 pages).
	Richard Wolf "Morce—Power 2306' Electronic Morcellator" (2 pages).	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Third Party's Jul. 24, 2015 Resubmitted Respondent Brief (21 pages).
	Richard Wolf "The Fluid Manager" (2 pages).	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Examiner's Answer dated Sep. 17, 2015 (3 pages).
	Sheth, "Fiberoptic Light for Oophorectomy at Vaginal Hysterectomy", Journal of Gynecologic Surgery, vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 119-122, 1998 (4 pages).	Reexamination No. 95/002,058, Patent Owner's Oct. 19, 2015 Rebuttal Brief (25 pages).
		Reexamination No. 95/001,933, Patent Owner's Apr. 24, 2015 Rebuttal Brief (8 pages).
		Notification on Results of Patentability Examination for Russian Application No. 2013119298/14(028553), dated Jan. 14, 2016, 8 pages, with English language translation.
		Notification of Second Office Action issued in corresponding Chinese Application No. 201611020781.6 dated Jun. 1, 2018, 18 pages with English translation.

* cited by examiner






FIG. 2A





FIG. 2B
FIG. 2C









FIG. 3







HYSTEROSCOPIC SYSTEM

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

The present application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/892,355, filed Sep. 28, 2010, now allowed, which is hereby incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to hysteroscopy systems, and, more particularly, to a hysteroscopy system having a small size for use in an office setting.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Hysteroscopy refers generally to the inspection of a uterine cavity using a hysteroscope with access through the cervix. As such, hysteroscopy allows diagnosis of intrauterine pathology and, furthermore, can be used for surgical intervention. The hysteroscope typically includes a scope and a sheath.

One problem associated with some current hysteroscopy systems is that they must be used in an operating room setting with the patient being under some type of anesthesia. Anesthesia is required in particular because the size of current hysteroscopes is large and, as such, they can cause discomfort and pain to the patient. For example, a typical hysteroscope may have an outermost diameter of about 9 millimeters. Such hysteroscopes include a scope having a diameter of about 8 millimeters and a sheath having a diameter of about 9 millimeters. In comparison, scientific literature on the subject agrees that hysteroscopy can be performed using a vaginoscopic approach, which can be performed in an office setting, only when the outermost diameter of the hysteroscope is about 6 millimeters or less.

Another problem associated with current scopes is that they typically include a blunt flange at the scope distal end. The flange extends outwardly from the scope and make it difficult, if not impossible, to use the scope without the sheath and/or without an obturator. Accordingly, the size of some current hysteroscopes is limited to the size of the scope and the sheath, e.g., a diameter of 9 millimeters.

What is needed, therefore, is a hysteroscope system for an office setting that addresses the above-stated and other problems.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to one aspect of the present invention, a hysteroscopy system is directed to performing a medical procedure in an office setting. The hysteroscopy system includes a scope having an outer surface, an internal channel defined by an inner surface, and a distal end. A sheath is removably coupled to the scope and has a tip at which a distal flange extends internally towards the outer surface of the scope. The sheath also has an inner surface and a plurality of outflow holes near the distal flange. An outflow channel is formed between the inner surface of the sheath and the outer surface of the scope, the distal flange forming a distal end of the outflow channel. An operative channel is formed within the internal channel of the scope for receiving at least one of a surgical tool and an inflow fluid, and a visualization channel is formed adjacent to the operative channel for receiving a visualization device.

According to yet another aspect of the invention, a hysteroscopy system for a medical procedure includes a scope in the form of an elongated tubular member having an outer surface and an internal surface. The internal surface of the scope defines an internal channel of the scope. A sheath is in the form of an elongated tubular member removably coupled to the scope, the sheath having an outer surface and an internal surface. The sheath has a flange extending internally towards the outer surface of the scope at a distal end of the sheath. An operative member is located within the internal channel of the scope and is in the form of an elongated D-shape tubular member. The operative member has an outer surface and an internal surface, the outer surface being spaced away from the internal surface of the scope to form a visualization channel.

According to yet another aspect of the invention, a hysteroscopy system includes a scope having an outer surface and an internal channel, and a sheath removably coupled to the scope. The sheath has an inner surface and a distal flange, the distal flange extending internally towards the outer surface of the scope. An outflow channel is formed between the inner surface of the sheath and the outer surface of the scope, the distal flange forming a distal end of the outflow channel between the scope and the sheath.

Additional aspects of the invention will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art in view of the detailed description of various embodiments, which is made with reference to the drawings, a brief description of which is provided below.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a hysteroscope system.
FIG. 2A is an enlarged perspective view of a distal end of the hysteroscope system.

FIG. 2B is an enlarged side view of the distal end of the hysteroscope system.

FIG. 2C is an enlarged cross-sectional end view of the distal end of the hysteroscope system.

FIG. 2D is an enlarged cross-sectional top view of the distal end of the hysteroscope system.

FIG. 3 shows dimensions associated with the distal end of FIG. 2A.

FIG. 4 is a perspective view of the hysteroscope system having a sheath removed from a scope.

FIG. 5 is an enlarged perspective view of the distal end of the hysteroscope system of FIG. 4.

FIG. 6 is a perspective view of an alternative embodiment of the hysteroscope system.

While the invention is susceptible to various modifications and alternative forms, specific embodiments have been shown by way of example in the drawings and will be described in detail herein. It should be understood, however, that the invention is not intended to be limited to the particular forms disclosed. Rather, the invention is to cover all modifications, equivalents, and alternatives falling within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Referring to FIG. 1, a hysteroscope system 100 includes a hysteroscope 102 having, inter alia, a sheath 104, an inflow valve 106, an outflow valve 108, a light post 110, and a morcellator 112. The sheath 104 is a generally an elongated tubular member that has a distal end 114 and a proximal end 116. The hysteroscope system 100 also includes an arm 117

that is connected to an imaging device (e.g., a camera) to capture images received via a visualization device (e.g., visualization device 124).

According to some exemplary embodiments, the hysteroscope system 100 is intended for morcellation of uterine pathology with a scope and accessories having a sufficiently small diameter that can be inserted into a patient's uterus using the vaginoscopic approach. In particular, the hysteroscope system 100 provides a way to minimize patient pain because a tenaculum and speculum are not typically used.

Furthermore, anesthesia is not needed and the medical procedures can be performed in an office setting. This may result, for example, in a quicker surgery with less pain and quicker recovery, and may potentially lower the cost of the surgery. Yet another advantage of the hysteroscope system 100 is that a surgeon has the option to decide if they prefer greater flow instruments (e.g., with a coupled sheath 104) or smaller diameter instruments (e.g., with a removed sheath 104), depending on the patient case.

Referring to FIG. 2A, the sheath 104 is removably coupled to a scope 118, which is generally an elongated tubular member having (similar to the sheath 104) a distal end 114 and a proximal end 116. More specifically, the sheath 104 is slidably fitted in an overlapping manner over the scope 118. The scope 118 includes an operative member 120, which is in the form of an elongated D-shape tubular member.

The operative member 120 receives internally a surgical tool 122, which can be selected from a variety of different tools. For example, the surgical tool 122 can be a rotary morcellator, a reciprocating morcellator, or a morcellator having both reciprocal and rotary capabilities. The scope 118 further includes a visualization device 124. The visualization device 124 is adjacent to the operative member 120 and can include various image devices. For example, the visualization device 124 can include fiber-optic technology for illumination and image transmission.

To maintain continuous outflow, a plurality of outflow holes 126 are formed near the distal end 114 of the sheath 104. The inflow valve 106 (shown in FIG. 1) regulates inflow of a liquid through the operative member 120, as represented by the arrows 107 extending from the operative member 120. The liquid is used, for example, to distend and irrigate the uterus of a patient. Furthermore, the liquid is generally received from an access pump, which delivers the fluid to produce a substantially constant predetermined pressure level within a joint cavity, e.g., a uterus. The outflow valve 108 (shown in FIG. 1) regulates outflow of the liquid through the outflow holes 126 via an outflow channel 128 (shown in FIG. 2C) formed between the sheath 104 and the scope 118. The outflow of the liquid is represented by the arrows 109 extending into the outflow holes 126. The outflow liquid is generally sent to a waste container.

Referring to FIG. 2B, the sheath 104 has at the distal end 114 a flange 130 extending inwardly towards the scope 118 to form a closed end of the outflow channel 128 (shown in FIG. 2C). The flange 130 has a generally oval shape and includes two pairs of opposite sides 130a-130d.

According to the illustrated embodiment, the shape of the flange 130 is non-uniform. For example, a second side 130b extends a greater distance internally towards the center of the scope 118 than a first side 130a. Similarly, based on the symmetric features of this embodiment, a fourth side 130d extends a greater distance internally towards the center of the scope 118 than a third side 130c. In alternative embodiments, the flange 130 can have different shapes and sizes.

Referring to FIGS. 2C-2D, the sheath 104 has an outer surface 104a and an internal surface 104b, and the scope 118 has an outer surface 118a and an internal surface 118b. The internal surface 104b of the sheath 104 defines an internal channel in which the scope 118 and the visualization device 124 are located. The internal surface 118b of the scope 118 defines an internal channel in which the outer member and thus the surgical tool 122 is located.

The operative member 120 has an outer surface 120a, an internal surface 120b, and a flat outer surface 120c (clearly shown in FIG. 2C). The flat outer surface 120c is spaced away from the internal surface 118b of the scope 118 to form a visualization channel 132 (clearly shown in FIG. 2C) in which the visualization device 124 is located. The visualization channel 132 is only a small part of the larger internal channel of the scope 118.

The outflow channel 128 is formed between the internal surface 104b of the sheath 104 and the outer surface 118a of the scope 118. An inflow channel 134 is formed in the internal channel of the scope 118. If the surgical tool 122 is removed, the inflow channel 134 is simply the entire internal channel of the scope 118. If the surgical tool 122 is in place, the inflow channel 134 is limited to the area between the surgical tool 122 and the internal surface 120b of the operative member 120.

Referring to FIG. 3, the hysteroscopy system 100 is designed to have a size that can be used in an office setting. Specifically, the outer most diameter is designed to be about 6 millimeters or less. According to the illustrated embodiment, the outer diameter D1 of the sheath 104 (which is the same as the diameter of the outer surface 104b) is about 5.6 millimeters. For example, in an alternative embodiment the diameter of the sheath 104 is 5.5 millimeters. The outer diameter D2 of the surgical tool 122 (e.g., morcellator) is about 2.9 millimeters.

The scope 118 has an oval shape with a long diameter D3 of about 5.15 millimeters and a short diameter D4 of about 4.6 millimeters. The operative member 120 has a curvature dimension L1 of about 3.1 millimeters and a flat dimension L2 of about 3.95 millimeters.

The relatively small dimensions of the hysteroscopy system 100 allows a patient to be treated in an office setting. Generally, medical procedures may be provided to a patient with the use of the current hysteroscopy system 100 such that little or no anesthesia may be necessary. Clearly, one advantage of the hysteroscopy system 100 is that it is sufficiently small in diameter to be suitable for the vaginoscopic approach.

Referring to FIG. 4, the hysteroscopy system 100 is also usable without the sheath 104 while still providing continuous flow via a diagnostic cannula 135 (e.g., a cannula having a diameter of about 2.9 millimeters). Specifically, the sheath 104 is removed to allow only the insertion of the scope 118 into a patient, e.g., into an uterus. The removal of the sheath 104 decreases the outermost diameter of the hysteroscopy system 100. For example, in accordance with the dimensions described above in reference to FIG. 3, the outermost diameter decreases to about 5.15 millimeters (the long diameter D3) from about 5.6 millimeters (the outer diameter D1). When the sheath 104 is removed, the outflow can be provided by an operation tool, such as the morcellator 112 described above (shown in FIGS. 1-3), or by the diagnostic cannula 135.

In contrast to previous scopes, the scope 118 does not have a flange extending outwards from its distal end. The outward extending flange of the previous scopes unnecessarily increased the outermost diameter of the respective

scopes and created an obtrusive distal end that made it difficult, if not impossible, to introduce into a patient without a sheath and obturator.

Referring to FIG. 5, the hysteroscopy system can be used for diagnostic purposes when the sheath 104 is removed. The sheath 104 is likely to be used in operative cases mostly to clear the visual field before introduction of a morcellator blade. The diagnostic cannula 135, which has a distal end 136, is used to create a smaller overall diameter of the system for diagnostic purposes. According to the dimensions described above, a reduction of approximately 0.5 millimeters can be achieved by removing the sheath 104. Another advantage of the cannula 135 is that it can be made reusable. Yet another advantage of the cannula 135 is that it can be used to distend and irrigate the patient's uterus during the diagnostic procedure.

The cannula 135 allows for continuous outflow but does not extend beyond the distal end of the scope 118. For example, the cannula 135 provides a replacement for the outflow channel 128, which is removed with the removal of the sheath 104. Specifically, the cannula 135 provides an alternative outflow channel 138 to replace the outflow channel 128 formed by the sheath 104. As such, continuous flow can be maintained even if the sheath 104 is removed.

Referring to FIG. 6, the hysteroscope system 100 alternatively includes a flow device 140 inserted within the operative member 120. The flow device 140 has an inflow tubular element 142 and an outflow tubular element 144, which can be conjoined elements or separate elements.

The distal ends of the tubular elements 142, 144 terminate at different points within the operative member 120. Preferably, the distal end of the inflow tubular element 142 terminates at the distal end 114 of the scope 118, and the distal end of the outflow tubular element 144 terminates some distance away from the distal end 114 within the operative member 120. The termination of tubular elements 142, 144 at different points along the operative member 120 eliminates the possibility of fluid short-circuit and provides better circulation and, hence, irrigation within the uterus.

According to one example, the flow device 140 is made of stainless steel and, as such, can be a reusable device. According to another example, the flow device 140 is made from a much more cost-effective material, such as a polymer. If a polymer is used, the flow device 140 will typically be considered a single-use device.

In practice, for example, a surgeon will insert the flow device 140 into the operative member 120 of the hysteroscope 102 prior to introduction into the uterus of a patient. After hysteroscope introduction into the uterus, an inflow valve 146 of the flow device 140 will be opened and the uterus will be distended. Then, by opening an outflow valve 148 of the flow device 140, irrigation is achieved. In the case

of a diagnostic procedure, the flow device 140 could stay in place for the duration of the surgery. In the case of an operative procedure, the flow device 140 is removed and an operative tool (e.g., the morcellator 112) is inserted into the scope 118.

While the best modes for carrying out the present invention have been described in detail, those familiar with the art to which this invention relates will recognize various alternative designs and embodiments for practicing the invention within the scope of the appended claims. For example, the sheath 104, the scope 118, and the surgical tool 122 can be circular, oval, or any other smooth shape (i.e., an unobtrusive shape such as a shape that does not have an outward extending flange). In another example, the operative member 120 can have a circular shape or any other similar shape to the illustrated D-shape.

What is claimed is:

1. A hysteroscopy system comprising:

a scope having an outer surface and an inner surface, the outer surface having a uniform cross section along a length of the scope;

an operative member within the scope having an outer surface and an inner surface, the inner surface defining an operative channel, and at least part of the outer surface of the operative member and the inner surface of the scope defining a visualization channel; and

a sheath removably coupled to and surrounding the scope, the sheath including a tube defining a longitudinal axis, a distal end of the tube being in a shape of a flange extending perpendicular relative to the longitudinal axis towards the outer surface of the scope, wherein the outer surface of the scope and an inner surface of the tube form an outflow channel, and the flange abuts the outer surface of the scope to form an end of the outflow channel.

2. The hysteroscopy system of claim 1, wherein a radial cross section of the outer surface of the scope at a distal end of the scope forms a shape with flat symmetrical sides between arcuate symmetrical sides.

3. The hysteroscopy system of claim 1, wherein the visualization channel is configured to receive a visualization device.

4. The hysteroscopy system of claim 1, wherein an outer diameter of the sheath is about 5.6 millimeters.

5. The hysteroscopy system of claim 4, wherein an outer diameter of the scope is about 4.6 to 5.15 millimeters.

6. The hysteroscopy system of claim 1, wherein a radial cross section of the operative member forms a horizontal D-shape.

7. The hysteroscopy system of claim 1, further comprising a surgical tool within the operative channel.

* * * * *

专利名称(译)	宫腔镜系统		
公开(公告)号	US10251539	公开(公告)日	2019-04-09
申请号	US14/846198	申请日	2015-09-04
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	史密夫和内修有限公司		
申请(专利权)人(译)	施乐辉 , INC.		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	COVIDIEN LP		
[标]发明人	SAHNEY MIRA SHENER IRMAKOGLU CEMAL		
发明人	SAHNEY, MIRA SHENER-IRMAKOGLU, CEMAL		
IPC分类号	A61B1/303 A61B1/00 A61B17/42 A61B17/34 A61B1/018 A61B1/015 A61B17/3205 A61B1/307 A61B17/32		
CPC分类号	A61B1/303 A61B1/00135 A61B1/015 A61B1/018 A61B17/3421 A61B17/42 A61B2217/007 A61B17/32002 A61B17/3205 A61B2017/320024 A61B2017/320028 A61B2017/320052 A61B2017/4216 A61B1/307 A61B1/00142		
其他公开文献	US20150374221A1		
外部链接	Espacenet		

摘要(译)

一种子宫镜检查系统，包括具有内部通道的窥视镜，可拆卸地连接到窥视镜的护套，以及流出通道。护套具有远端凸缘，该远端凸缘在内部朝向窥视镜的外表面延伸。流出通道形成在护套的内表面和窥视镜的外表面之间。远端凸缘形成流出通道的远端并且通常位于窥视镜和护套之间。

