



US009510806B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Smith et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,510,806 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Dec. 6, 2016**

(54) **ALIGNMENT OF ULTRASOUND  
TRANSDUCER ARRAYS AND MULTIPLE  
APERTURE PROBE ASSEMBLY**

USPC ..... 29/594, 729; 356/138; 367/140, 908  
See application file for complete search history.

(71) Applicant: **Maui Imaging, Inc.**, Sunnyvale, CA  
(US)

(72) Inventors: **David M. Smith**, Lodi, CA (US);  
**Sharon L. Adam**, San Jose, CA (US);  
**Dennis R. Dietz**, Littleton, CO (US)

(73) Assignee: **MAUI IMAGING, INC.**, Sunnyvale,  
CA (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this  
patent is extended or adjusted under 35  
U.S.C. 154(b) by 277 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/210,015**

(22) Filed: **Mar. 13, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0269209 A1 Sep. 18, 2014

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(60) Provisional application No. 61/780,366, filed on Mar.  
13, 2013.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

**A61B 8/00** (2006.01)  
**A61B 8/08** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC ..... **A61B 8/4461** (2013.01); **A61B 8/4477**  
(2013.01); **A61B 8/58** (2013.01); **A61B 8/5207**  
(2013.01); **A61B 8/587** (2013.01); **Y10T**  
**29/49005** (2015.01); **Y10T 29/5313** (2015.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ..... **A61B 8/4461**; **A61B 8/4477**; **A61B 8/58**;  
**A61B 8/587**; **A61B 8/5207**; **Y10T**  
**29/5313**; **Y10T 29/49005**

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

|             |         |              |
|-------------|---------|--------------|
| 3,174,286 A | 3/1965  | Erickson     |
| 3,895,381 A | 7/1975  | Kock         |
| 4,055,988 A | 11/1977 | Dutton       |
| 4,072,922 A | 2/1978  | Taner et al. |
| 4,097,835 A | 6/1978  | Green        |

(Continued)

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

|    |             |        |
|----|-------------|--------|
| CN | 1781460     | 6/2006 |
| CN | 101190134 A | 6/2008 |

(Continued)

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Li et al.; An efficient speckle tracking algorithm for ultrasonic  
imaging; 24; pp. 215-228; Oct. 1, 2002.

(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — Daniel L Murphy


(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Shay Glenn LLP

(57)

**ABSTRACT**

The effective aperture of an ultrasound imaging probe can be increased by including more than one transducer array and using the transducer elements of all of the arrays to render an image can greatly improve the lateral resolution of the generated image. In order to render an image, the relative positions of all of the elements must be known precisely. Systems and methods for accurately calibrating and adjusting a multi-aperture ultrasound system are disclosed. The relative positions of the transducer elements can be computed and aligned prior to and during probe assembly.

**31 Claims, 13 Drawing Sheets**



(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

|             |         |                          |                |         |                       |
|-------------|---------|--------------------------|----------------|---------|-----------------------|
| 4,105,018 A | 8/1978  | Greenleaf et al.         | 5,920,285 A    | 7/1999  | Benjamin              |
| 4,259,733 A | 3/1981  | Taner et al.             | 5,930,730 A    | 7/1999  | Marfurt et al.        |
| 4,271,842 A | 6/1981  | Specht et al.            | 5,940,778 A    | 8/1999  | Marfurt et al.        |
| 4,325,257 A | 4/1982  | Kino et al.              | 5,951,479 A    | 9/1999  | Holm et al.           |
| 4,327,738 A | 5/1982  | Green et al.             | 5,964,707 A    | 10/1999 | Fenster et al.        |
| 4,333,474 A | 6/1982  | Nigam                    | 5,969,661 A    | 10/1999 | Benjamin              |
| 4,339,952 A | 7/1982  | Foster                   | 5,999,836 A    | 12/1999 | Nelson et al.         |
| 4,452,084 A | 6/1984  | Taenzer                  | 6,007,499 A    | 12/1999 | Martin et al.         |
| 4,501,279 A | 2/1985  | Seo                      | 6,013,032 A    | 1/2000  | Savord                |
| 4,539,847 A | 9/1985  | Paap                     | 6,014,473 A    | 1/2000  | Hossack et al.        |
| 4,566,459 A | 1/1986  | Umemura et al.           | 6,048,315 A    | 4/2000  | Chiao et al.          |
| 4,567,768 A | 2/1986  | Satoh et al.             | 6,049,509 A    | 4/2000  | Sonneland et al.      |
| 4,604,697 A | 8/1986  | Luthra et al.            | 6,050,943 A    | 4/2000  | Slayton et al.        |
| 4,662,222 A | 5/1987  | Johnson                  | 6,056,693 A    | 5/2000  | Haider                |
| 4,669,482 A | 6/1987  | Ophir                    | 6,058,074 A    | 5/2000  | Swan et al.           |
| 4,682,497 A | 7/1987  | Sasaki                   | 6,077,224 A    | 6/2000  | Lang et al.           |
| 4,781,199 A | 11/1988 | Hirama et al.            | 6,092,026 A    | 7/2000  | Bahorich et al.       |
| 4,817,434 A | 4/1989  | Anderson                 | 6,122,538 A    | 9/2000  | Sliwa, Jr. et al.     |
| 4,831,601 A | 5/1989  | Breimesser et al.        | 6,123,670 A    | 9/2000  | Mo                    |
| 4,893,284 A | 1/1990  | Magrane                  | 6,129,672 A    | 10/2000 | Seward et al.         |
| 4,893,628 A | 1/1990  | Angelsen                 | 6,135,960 A    | 10/2000 | Holmberg              |
| 5,050,588 A | 9/1991  | Grey et al.              | 6,138,075 A    | 10/2000 | Yost                  |
| 5,141,738 A | 8/1992  | Rasor et al.             | 6,148,095 A    | 11/2000 | Prause et al.         |
| 5,161,536 A | 11/1992 | Vilkomerson et al.       | 6,162,175 A    | 12/2000 | Marian, Jr. et al.    |
| 5,197,475 A | 3/1993  | Antich et al.            | 6,166,384 A    | 12/2000 | Dentinger et al.      |
| 5,226,019 A | 7/1993  | Bahorich                 | 6,166,853 A    | 12/2000 | Sapia et al.          |
| 5,230,339 A | 7/1993  | Charlebois               | 6,193,665 B1   | 2/2001  | Hall et al.           |
| 5,269,309 A | 12/1993 | Fort et al.              | 6,196,739 B1   | 3/2001  | Silverbrook           |
| 5,278,757 A | 1/1994  | Hector et al.            | 6,200,266 B1   | 3/2001  | Shokrollahi et al.    |
| 5,293,871 A | 3/1994  | Reinstein et al.         | 6,210,335 B1   | 4/2001  | Miller                |
| 5,299,576 A | 4/1994  | Shiba                    | 6,213,958 B1   | 4/2001  | Winder                |
| 5,301,674 A | 4/1994  | Erikson et al.           | 6,221,019 B1   | 4/2001  | Kantorovich           |
| 5,305,756 A | 4/1994  | Entrekin et al.          | 6,231,511 B1   | 5/2001  | Bae                   |
| 5,339,282 A | 8/1994  | Kuhn et al.              | 6,238,342 B1   | 5/2001  | Feleppa et al.        |
| 5,340,510 A | 8/1994  | Bowen                    | 6,246,901 B1   | 6/2001  | Benaron               |
| 5,345,426 A | 9/1994  | Lipschutz                | 6,251,073 B1   | 6/2001  | Imran et al.          |
| 5,349,960 A | 9/1994  | Gondo                    | 6,264,609 B1   | 7/2001  | Herrington et al.     |
| 5,355,888 A | 10/1994 | Kendall                  | 6,266,551 B1   | 7/2001  | Osadchy et al.        |
| 5,398,216 A | 3/1995  | Hall et al.              | 6,278,949 B1   | 8/2001  | Alam                  |
| 5,409,010 A | 4/1995  | Beach et al.             | 6,289,230 B1   | 9/2001  | Chaiken et al.        |
| 5,442,462 A | 8/1995  | Guisin                   | 6,304,684 B1   | 10/2001 | Niczyporuk et al.     |
| 5,503,152 A | 4/1996  | Oakley et al.            | 6,309,356 B1   | 10/2001 | Ustuner et al.        |
| 5,515,853 A | 5/1996  | Smith et al.             | 6,324,453 B1   | 11/2001 | Breed et al.          |
| 5,515,856 A | 5/1996  | Olstad et al.            | 6,345,539 B1   | 2/2002  | Rawes et al.          |
| 5,522,393 A | 6/1996  | Phillips et al.          | 6,361,500 B1   | 3/2002  | Masters               |
| 5,526,815 A | 6/1996  | Granz et al.             | 6,363,033 B1   | 3/2002  | Cole et al.           |
| 5,544,659 A | 8/1996  | Banjanin                 | 6,370,480 B1 * | 4/2002  | Gupta ..... A61B 8/00 |
| 5,558,092 A | 9/1996  | Unger et al.             |                |         | 702/39                |
| 5,564,423 A | 10/1996 | Mele et al.              | 6,374,185 B1   | 4/2002  | Taner et al.          |
| 5,568,812 A | 10/1996 | Murashita et al.         | 6,394,955 B1   | 5/2002  | Perlitz               |
| 5,570,691 A | 11/1996 | Wright et al.            | 6,423,002 B1   | 7/2002  | Hossack               |
| 5,581,517 A | 12/1996 | Gee et al.               | 6,436,046 B1   | 8/2002  | Napolitano et al.     |
| 5,625,149 A | 4/1997  | Gururaja et al.          | 6,449,821 B1   | 9/2002  | Sudol et al.          |
| 5,628,320 A | 5/1997  | Teo                      | 6,450,965 B2   | 9/2002  | Williams et al.       |
| 5,673,697 A | 10/1997 | Bryan et al.             | 6,468,216 B1   | 10/2002 | Powers et al.         |
| 5,675,550 A | 10/1997 | Ekhaus                   | 6,471,650 B2   | 10/2002 | Powers et al.         |
| 5,720,291 A | 2/1998  | Schwartz                 | 6,475,150 B2   | 11/2002 | Haddad                |
| 5,720,708 A | 2/1998  | Lu et al.                | 6,480,790 B1   | 11/2002 | Calvert et al.        |
| 5,744,898 A | 4/1998  | Smith et al.             | 6,487,502 B1   | 11/2002 | Taner                 |
| 5,769,079 A | 6/1998  | Hossack                  | 6,499,536 B1   | 12/2002 | Ellingsen             |
| 5,784,334 A | 7/1998  | Sena et al.              | 6,508,768 B1   | 1/2003  | Hall et al.           |
| 5,785,654 A | 7/1998  | Iinuma et al.            | 6,508,770 B1   | 1/2003  | Cai                   |
| 5,795,297 A | 8/1998  | Daigle                   | 6,517,484 B1   | 2/2003  | Wilk et al.           |
| 5,797,845 A | 8/1998  | Barabash et al.          | 6,526,163 B1   | 2/2003  | Halmann et al.        |
| 5,798,459 A | 8/1998  | Ohba et al.              | 6,543,272 B1   | 4/2003  | Vitek                 |
| 5,820,561 A | 10/1998 | Olstad et al.            | 6,547,732 B2   | 4/2003  | Jago                  |
| 5,838,564 A | 11/1998 | Bahorich et al.          | 6,551,246 B1   | 4/2003  | Ustuner et al.        |
| 5,850,622 A | 12/1998 | Vassiliou et al.         | 6,565,510 B1   | 5/2003  | Haider                |
| 5,862,100 A | 1/1999  | VerWest                  | 6,585,647 B1   | 7/2003  | Winder                |
| 5,870,691 A | 2/1999  | Partyka et al.           | 6,604,421 B1   | 8/2003  | Li                    |
| 5,876,342 A | 3/1999  | Chen et al.              | 6,614,560 B1   | 9/2003  | Silverbrook           |
| 5,891,038 A | 4/1999  | Seyed-Bolorforosh et al. | 6,620,101 B2   | 9/2003  | Azzam et al.          |
| 5,892,732 A | 4/1999  | Gersztenkorn             | 6,652,461 B1   | 11/2003 | Levkovitz             |
| 5,916,169 A | 6/1999  | Hanafy et al.            | 6,668,654 B2   | 12/2003 | Dubois et al.         |
| 5,919,139 A | 7/1999  | Lin                      | 6,672,165 B2   | 1/2004  | Rather et al.         |
|             |         |                          | 6,681,185 B1   | 1/2004  | Young et al.          |
|             |         |                          | 6,690,816 B2   | 2/2004  | Aylward et al.        |
|             |         |                          | 6,692,450 B1   | 2/2004  | Coleman               |
|             |         |                          | 6,695,778 B2   | 2/2004  | Golland et al.        |

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

|           |    |         |                   |              |    |         |                      |
|-----------|----|---------|-------------------|--------------|----|---------|----------------------|
| 6,702,745 | B1 | 3/2004  | Smythe            | 8,079,263    | B2 | 12/2011 | Randall et al.       |
| 6,719,693 | B2 | 4/2004  | Richard           | 8,079,956    | B2 | 12/2011 | Azuma et al.         |
| 6,728,567 | B2 | 4/2004  | Rather et al.     | 8,088,067    | B2 | 1/2012  | Vortman et al.       |
| 6,752,762 | B1 | 6/2004  | DeJong et al.     | 8,088,068    | B2 | 1/2012  | Yao et al.           |
| 6,755,787 | B2 | 6/2004  | Hossack et al.    | 8,088,071    | B2 | 1/2012  | Hwang et al.         |
| 6,780,152 | B2 | 8/2004  | Ustuner et al.    | 8,105,239    | B2 | 1/2012  | Specht               |
| 6,790,182 | B2 | 9/2004  | Eck et al.        | 8,135,190    | B2 | 3/2012  | Bae et al.           |
| 6,837,853 | B2 | 1/2005  | Marian            | 8,157,737    | B2 | 4/2012  | Zhang et al.         |
| 6,843,770 | B2 | 1/2005  | Sumanaweera       | 8,182,427    | B2 | 5/2012  | Wu et al.            |
| 6,847,737 | B1 | 1/2005  | Kouri et al.      | 8,202,219    | B2 | 6/2012  | Luo et al.           |
| 6,854,332 | B2 | 2/2005  | Alleyne           | 8,277,383    | B2 | 10/2012 | Specht               |
| 6,932,767 | B2 | 8/2005  | Landry et al.     | 8,279,705    | B2 | 10/2012 | Choi et al.          |
| 7,033,320 | B2 | 4/2006  | Von Behren et al. | 8,412,307    | B2 | 4/2013  | Willis et al.        |
| 7,087,023 | B2 | 8/2006  | Daft et al.       | 8,419,642    | B2 | 4/2013  | Sandrin et al.       |
| 7,104,956 | B1 | 9/2006  | Christopher       | 8,473,239    | B2 | 6/2013  | Specht et al.        |
| 7,217,243 | B2 | 5/2007  | Takeuchi          | 8,478,382    | B2 | 7/2013  | Burnside et al.      |
| 7,221,867 | B2 | 5/2007  | Silverbrook       | 8,532,951    | B2 | 9/2013  | Roy et al.           |
| 7,231,072 | B2 | 6/2007  | Yamano et al.     | 8,582,848    | B2 | 11/2013 | Funka-Lea et al.     |
| 7,269,299 | B2 | 9/2007  | Schroeder         | 8,602,993    | B2 | 12/2013 | Specht et al.        |
| 7,283,652 | B2 | 10/2007 | Mendonca et al.   | 8,627,724    | B2 | 1/2014  | Papadopoulos et al.  |
| 7,285,094 | B2 | 10/2007 | Nohara et al.     | 8,634,615    | B2 | 1/2014  | Brabec               |
| 7,313,053 | B2 | 12/2007 | Wodnicki          | 8,672,846    | B2 | 3/2014  | Napolitano et al.    |
| 7,366,704 | B2 | 4/2008  | Reading et al.    | 8,684,936    | B2 | 4/2014  | Specht               |
| 7,402,136 | B2 | 7/2008  | Hossack et al.    | 2002/0035864 | A1 | 3/2002  | Paltieli et al.      |
| 7,410,469 | B1 | 8/2008  | Talish et al.     | 2002/0087071 | A1 | 7/2002  | Schmitz et al.       |
| 7,415,880 | B2 | 8/2008  | Renzel            | 2002/0111568 | A1 | 8/2002  | Bukshpan             |
| 7,443,765 | B2 | 10/2008 | Thomenius et al.  | 2002/0161299 | A1 | 10/2002 | Prater et al.        |
| 7,444,875 | B1 | 11/2008 | Wu et al.         | 2003/0028111 | A1 | 2/2003  | Vaezy et al.         |
| 7,447,535 | B2 | 11/2008 | Lavi              | 2003/0040669 | A1 | 2/2003  | Grass et al.         |
| 7,448,998 | B2 | 11/2008 | Robinson          | 2003/0228053 | A1 | 12/2003 | Li et al.            |
| 7,466,848 | B2 | 12/2008 | Metaxas et al.    | 2004/0054283 | A1 | 3/2004  | Corey et al.         |
| 7,469,096 | B2 | 12/2008 | Silverbrook       | 2004/0068184 | A1 | 4/2004  | Trahey et al.        |
| 7,474,778 | B2 | 1/2009  | Shinomura et al.  | 2004/0100163 | A1 | 5/2004  | Baumgartner et al.   |
| 7,481,577 | B2 | 1/2009  | Ramamurthy et al. | 2004/0111028 | A1 | 6/2004  | Abe et al.           |
| 7,491,171 | B2 | 2/2009  | Barthe et al.     | 2004/0122313 | A1 | 6/2004  | Moore et al.         |
| 7,497,828 | B1 | 3/2009  | Wilk et al.       | 2004/0122322 | A1 | 6/2004  | Moore et al.         |
| 7,497,830 | B2 | 3/2009  | Li                | 2004/0127793 | A1 | 7/2004  | Mendlein et al.      |
| 7,510,529 | B2 | 3/2009  | Chou et al.       | 2004/0138565 | A1 | 7/2004  | Trucco               |
| 7,514,851 | B2 | 4/2009  | Wilser et al.     | 2004/0144176 | A1 | 7/2004  | Yoden                |
| 7,549,962 | B2 | 6/2009  | Dreschel et al.   | 2004/0236217 | A1 | 11/2004 | Cerwin et al.        |
| 7,574,026 | B2 | 8/2009  | Rasche et al.     | 2004/0236223 | A1 | 11/2004 | Barnes et al.        |
| 7,625,343 | B2 | 12/2009 | Cao et al.        | 2005/0004449 | A1 | 1/2005  | Mitschke et al.      |
| 7,637,869 | B2 | 12/2009 | Sudol             | 2005/0053305 | A1 | 3/2005  | Li et al.            |
| 7,668,583 | B2 | 2/2010  | Fegert et al.     | 2005/0054910 | A1 | 3/2005  | Tremblay et al.      |
| 7,674,228 | B2 | 3/2010  | Williams et al.   | 2005/0090743 | A1 | 4/2005  | Kawashima et al.     |
| 7,682,311 | B2 | 3/2010  | Simopoulos et al. | 2005/0090745 | A1 | 4/2005  | Steen                |
| 7,699,776 | B2 | 4/2010  | Walker et al.     | 2005/0111846 | A1 | 5/2005  | Steinbacher et al.   |
| 7,722,541 | B2 | 5/2010  | Cai               | 2005/0113689 | A1 | 5/2005  | Gritzky              |
| 7,744,532 | B2 | 6/2010  | Ustuner et al.    | 2005/0113694 | A1 | 5/2005  | Haugen et al.        |
| 7,750,311 | B2 | 7/2010  | Daghighian        | 2005/0124883 | A1 | 6/2005  | Hunt                 |
| 7,785,260 | B2 | 8/2010  | Umemura et al.    | 2005/0131300 | A1 | 6/2005  | Bakircioglu et al.   |
| 7,787,680 | B2 | 8/2010  | Ahn et al.        | 2005/0147297 | A1 | 7/2005  | McLaughlin et al.    |
| 7,806,828 | B2 | 10/2010 | Stringer          | 2005/0165312 | A1 | 7/2005  | Knowles et al.       |
| 7,819,810 | B2 | 10/2010 | Stringer et al.   | 2005/0203404 | A1 | 9/2005  | Freiburger           |
| 7,822,250 | B2 | 10/2010 | Yao et al.        | 2005/0215883 | A1 | 9/2005  | Hundley et al.       |
| 7,824,337 | B2 | 11/2010 | Abe et al.        | 2005/0240125 | A1 | 10/2005 | Makin et al.         |
| 7,833,163 | B2 | 11/2010 | Cai               | 2005/0252295 | A1 | 11/2005 | Fink et al.          |
| 7,837,624 | B1 | 11/2010 | Hossack et al.    | 2005/0281447 | A1 | 12/2005 | Moreau-Gobard et al. |
| 7,846,097 | B2 | 12/2010 | Jones et al.      | 2005/0288588 | A1 | 12/2005 | Weber et al.         |
| 7,850,613 | B2 | 12/2010 | Stribling         | 2006/0062447 | A1 | 3/2006  | Rinck et al.         |
| 7,862,508 | B2 | 1/2011  | Davies et al.     | 2006/0074313 | A1 | 4/2006  | Slayton et al.       |
| 7,876,945 | B2 | 1/2011  | Lötjönen          | 2006/0074315 | A1 | 4/2006  | Liang et al.         |
| 7,887,486 | B2 | 2/2011  | Ustuner et al.    | 2006/0074320 | A1 | 4/2006  | Yoo et al.           |
| 7,901,358 | B2 | 3/2011  | Mehi et al.       | 2006/0079759 | A1 | 4/2006  | Vaillant et al.      |
| 7,914,451 | B2 | 3/2011  | Davies            | 2006/0079778 | A1 | 4/2006  | Mo et al.            |
| 7,919,906 | B2 | 4/2011  | Cerofolini        | 2006/0079782 | A1 | 4/2006  | Beach et al.         |
| 7,926,350 | B2 | 4/2011  | Kröning et al.    | 2006/0094962 | A1 | 5/2006  | Clark                |
| 7,927,280 | B2 | 4/2011  | Davidson          | 2006/0111634 | A1 | 5/2006  | Wu                   |
| 7,972,271 | B2 | 7/2011  | Johnson et al.    | 2006/0122506 | A1 | 6/2006  | Davies et al.        |
| 7,984,637 | B2 | 7/2011  | Ao et al.         | 2006/0173327 | A1 | 8/2006  | Kim                  |
| 7,984,651 | B2 | 7/2011  | Randall et al.    | 2006/0262291 | A1 | 11/2006 | Hess et al.          |
| 8,002,705 | B1 | 8/2011  | Napolitano et al. | 2006/0262961 | A1 | 11/2006 | Holsing et al.       |
| 8,007,439 | B2 | 8/2011  | Specht            | 2007/0016022 | A1 | 1/2007  | Blalock et al.       |
| 8,057,392 | B2 | 11/2011 | Hossack et al.    | 2007/0016044 | A1 | 1/2007  | Blalock et al.       |
| 8,057,393 | B2 | 11/2011 | Yao et al.        | 2007/0036414 | A1 | 2/2007  | Georgescu et al.     |
|           |    |         |                   | 2007/0055155 | A1 | 3/2007  | Owen et al.          |
|           |    |         |                   | 2007/0078345 | A1 | 4/2007  | Mo et al.            |
|           |    |         |                   | 2007/0088213 | A1 | 4/2007  | Poland               |
|           |    |         |                   | 2007/0138157 | A1 | 6/2007  | Dane et al.          |

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

|                 |         |                    |                   |         |                        |
|-----------------|---------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|------------------------|
| 2007/0161898 A1 | 7/2007  | Hao et al.         | 2010/0106431 A1   | 4/2010  | Baba et al.            |
| 2007/0161904 A1 | 7/2007  | Urbano             | 2010/0109481 A1   | 5/2010  | Buccafusca             |
| 2007/0167752 A1 | 7/2007  | Proulx et al.      | 2010/0121193 A1   | 5/2010  | Fukukita et al.        |
| 2007/0167824 A1 | 7/2007  | Lee et al.         | 2010/0121196 A1   | 5/2010  | Hwang et al.           |
| 2007/0232914 A1 | 10/2007 | Chen et al.        | 2010/0130855 A1   | 5/2010  | Lundberg et al.        |
| 2007/0238985 A1 | 10/2007 | Smith et al.       | 2010/0168566 A1   | 7/2010  | Bercoff et al.         |
| 2007/0242567 A1 | 10/2007 | Daft et al.        | 2010/0168578 A1   | 7/2010  | Garson, Jr. et al.     |
| 2008/0110261 A1 | 5/2008  | Randall et al.     | 2010/0174194 A1   | 7/2010  | Chiang et al.          |
| 2008/0110263 A1 | 5/2008  | Klessel et al.     | 2010/0217124 A1   | 8/2010  | Cooley                 |
| 2008/0112265 A1 | 5/2008  | Urbano et al.      | 2010/0240994 A1   | 9/2010  | Zheng                  |
| 2008/0114241 A1 | 5/2008  | Randall et al.     | 2010/0249570 A1   | 9/2010  | Carson et al.          |
| 2008/0114245 A1 | 5/2008  | Randall et al.     | 2010/0249596 A1   | 9/2010  | Magee                  |
| 2008/0114246 A1 | 5/2008  | Randall et al.     | 2010/0256488 A1   | 10/2010 | Kim et al.             |
| 2008/0114247 A1 | 5/2008  | Urbano et al.      | 2010/0262013 A1   | 10/2010 | Smith et al.           |
| 2008/0114248 A1 | 5/2008  | Urbano et al.      | 2010/0266176 A1   | 10/2010 | Masumoto et al.        |
| 2008/0114249 A1 | 5/2008  | Randall et al.     | 2010/0268503 A1 * | 10/2010 | Specht ..... A61B 8/00 |
| 2008/0114250 A1 | 5/2008  | Urbano et al.      |                   |         | 702/104                |
| 2008/0114251 A1 | 5/2008  | Weymer et al.      | 2010/0286525 A1   | 11/2010 | Osumi                  |
| 2008/0114252 A1 | 5/2008  | Randall et al.     | 2010/0286527 A1   | 11/2010 | Cannon et al.          |
| 2008/0114253 A1 | 5/2008  | Randall et al.     | 2010/0310143 A1   | 12/2010 | Rao et al.             |
| 2008/0114255 A1 | 5/2008  | Schwartz et al.    | 2010/0324418 A1   | 12/2010 | El-Aklouk et al.       |
| 2008/0125659 A1 | 5/2008  | Wilser et al.      | 2010/0324423 A1   | 12/2010 | El-Aklouk et al.       |
| 2008/0181479 A1 | 7/2008  | Yang et al.        | 2010/0329521 A1   | 12/2010 | Beymer et al.          |
| 2008/0183075 A1 | 7/2008  | Govari et al.      | 2011/0005322 A1   | 1/2011  | Ustuner                |
| 2008/0188747 A1 | 8/2008  | Randall et al.     | 2011/0016977 A1   | 1/2011  | Guracar                |
| 2008/0188750 A1 | 8/2008  | Randall et al.     | 2011/0021920 A1   | 1/2011  | Shafir et al.          |
| 2008/0194957 A1 | 8/2008  | Hector et al.      | 2011/0021923 A1   | 1/2011  | Daft et al.            |
| 2008/0194958 A1 | 8/2008  | Lee et al.         | 2011/0033098 A1   | 2/2011  | Richter et al.         |
| 2008/0194959 A1 | 8/2008  | Wang et al.        | 2011/0044133 A1   | 2/2011  | Tokita                 |
| 2008/0208061 A1 | 8/2008  | Halmann            | 2011/0066030 A1   | 3/2011  | Yao                    |
| 2008/0242996 A1 | 10/2008 | Hall et al.        | 2011/0098565 A1   | 4/2011  | Masuzawa               |
| 2008/0249408 A1 | 10/2008 | Palmeri et al.     | 2011/0112400 A1   | 5/2011  | Emery et al.           |
| 2008/0255452 A1 | 10/2008 | Entrekin           | 2011/0112404 A1   | 5/2011  | Gourevitch             |
| 2008/0269604 A1 | 10/2008 | Boctor et al.      | 2011/0125017 A1   | 5/2011  | Ramamurthy et al.      |
| 2008/0269613 A1 | 10/2008 | Summers et al.     | 2011/0201933 A1   | 8/2011  | Specht et al.          |
| 2008/0275344 A1 | 11/2008 | Glide-Hurst et al. | 2011/0270088 A1   | 11/2011 | Shiina                 |
| 2008/0285819 A1 | 11/2008 | Konofagou et al.   | 2011/0301470 A1   | 12/2011 | Sato et al.            |
| 2008/0287787 A1 | 11/2008 | Sauer et al.       | 2011/0306886 A1   | 12/2011 | Daft et al.            |
| 2008/0294045 A1 | 11/2008 | Ellington et al.   | 2011/0319764 A1   | 12/2011 | Okada et al.           |
| 2008/0294050 A1 | 11/2008 | Shinomura et al.   | 2012/0004545 A1   | 1/2012  | Ziv-Ari et al.         |
| 2008/0294052 A1 | 11/2008 | Wilser et al.      | 2012/0035482 A1   | 2/2012  | Kim et al.             |
| 2008/0306382 A1 | 12/2008 | Guracar et al.     | 2012/0036934 A1   | 2/2012  | Kröning et al.         |
| 2008/0306386 A1 | 12/2008 | Baba et al.        | 2012/0057428 A1   | 3/2012  | Specht et al.          |
| 2008/0319317 A1 | 12/2008 | Kamiyama et al.    | 2012/0085173 A1   | 4/2012  | Papadopoulos et al.    |
| 2009/0010459 A1 | 1/2009  | Garbini et al.     | 2012/0095343 A1   | 4/2012  | Smith et al.           |
| 2009/0012393 A1 | 1/2009  | Choi               | 2012/0095347 A1   | 4/2012  | Adam et al.            |
| 2009/0016163 A1 | 1/2009  | Freeman et al.     | 2012/0101378 A1   | 4/2012  | Lee                    |
| 2009/0018445 A1 | 1/2009  | Schers et al.      | 2012/0114210 A1   | 5/2012  | Kim et al.             |
| 2009/0024039 A1 | 1/2009  | Wang et al.        | 2012/0116226 A1   | 5/2012  | Specht                 |
| 2009/0036780 A1 | 2/2009  | Abraham            | 2012/0121150 A1   | 5/2012  | Murashita              |
| 2009/0043206 A1 | 2/2009  | Towfiq et al.      | 2012/0137778 A1   | 6/2012  | Kitazawa et al.        |
| 2009/0048519 A1 | 2/2009  | Hossack et al.     | 2012/0141002 A1   | 6/2012  | Urbano et al.          |
| 2009/0069681 A1 | 3/2009  | Lundberg et al.    | 2012/0165670 A1   | 6/2012  | Shi et al.             |
| 2009/0069686 A1 | 3/2009  | Daft et al.        | 2012/0179044 A1   | 7/2012  | Chiang et al.          |
| 2009/0069692 A1 | 3/2009  | Cooley et al.      | 2012/0226201 A1   | 9/2012  | Clark et al.           |
| 2009/0099483 A1 | 4/2009  | Rybyanets          | 2012/0235998 A1   | 9/2012  | Smith-Casem et al.     |
| 2009/0112095 A1 | 4/2009  | Daigle             | 2012/0243763 A1   | 9/2012  | Wen et al.             |
| 2009/0131797 A1 | 5/2009  | Jeong et al.       | 2012/0253194 A1   | 10/2012 | Tamura                 |
| 2009/0143680 A1 | 6/2009  | Yao et al.         | 2012/0265075 A1   | 10/2012 | Pedrizetti et al.      |
| 2009/0148012 A1 | 6/2009  | Altmann et al.     | 2012/0277585 A1   | 11/2012 | Koenig et al.          |
| 2009/0150094 A1 | 6/2009  | Van Velsor et al.  | 2013/0070062 A1   | 3/2013  | Fouras et al.          |
| 2009/0182237 A1 | 7/2009  | Angelsen et al.    | 2013/0076207 A1   | 3/2013  | Krohn et al.           |
| 2009/0198134 A1 | 8/2009  | Hashimoto et al.   | 2013/0079639 A1   | 3/2013  | Hector et al.          |
| 2009/0203997 A1 | 8/2009  | Ustuner            | 2013/0083628 A1   | 4/2013  | Qiao et al.            |
| 2009/0208080 A1 | 8/2009  | Grau et al.        | 2013/0088122 A1   | 4/2013  | Krohn et al.           |
| 2009/0259128 A1 | 10/2009 | Stribling          | 2013/0116561 A1   | 5/2013  | Rothberg et al.        |
| 2009/0264760 A1 | 10/2009 | Lazebnik et al.    | 2013/0131516 A1   | 5/2013  | Katsuyama              |
| 2009/0306510 A1 | 12/2009 | Hashiba et al.     | 2013/0144165 A1   | 6/2013  | Ebbini et al.          |
| 2009/0326379 A1 | 12/2009 | Daigle et al.      | 2013/0144166 A1   | 6/2013  | Specht et al.          |
| 2010/0010354 A1 | 1/2010  | Skerl et al.       | 2013/0172743 A1   | 7/2013  | Brewer et al.          |
| 2010/0016725 A1 | 1/2010  | Thiele             | 2013/0204136 A1   | 8/2013  | Duric et al.           |
| 2010/0063397 A1 | 3/2010  | Wagner             | 2013/0204137 A1   | 8/2013  | Roy et al.             |
| 2010/0063399 A1 | 3/2010  | Walker et al.      | 2013/0218012 A1   | 8/2013  | Specht et al.          |
| 2010/0069751 A1 | 3/2010  | Hazard et al.      | 2013/0247350 A1   | 9/2013  | Specht et al.          |
| 2010/0069756 A1 | 3/2010  | Ogasawara et al.   | 2013/0253325 A1   | 9/2013  | Call et al.            |
|                 |         |                    | 2013/0258805 A1   | 10/2013 | Hansen et al.          |
|                 |         |                    | 2013/0261463 A1   | 10/2013 | Chiang et al.          |
|                 |         |                    | 2014/0043933 A1   | 2/2014  | Belevich et al.        |
|                 |         |                    | 2014/0058266 A1   | 2/2014  | Call et al.            |

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2014/0073921 A1 3/2014 Specht et al.  
 2014/0086014 A1 3/2014 Kobayashi  
 2014/0243673 A1 8/2014 Anand et al.  
 2015/0297184 A1 10/2015 Specht  
 2016/0095579 A1 4/2016 Smith et al.  
 2016/0135783 A1 5/2016 Brewer et al.  
 2016/0157833 A1 6/2016 Smith et al.

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 101843501 A 9/2010  
 CN 102018533 A 4/2011  
 CN 102123668 7/2011  
 EP 1949856 A1 7/2008  
 EP 2058796 A2 5/2009  
 EP 2101191 A2 9/2009  
 EP 2182352 A2 5/2010  
 EP 2187813 A1 5/2010  
 EP 2198785 A1 6/2010  
 EP 1757955 B1 11/2010  
 EP 2325672 A1 5/2011  
 EP 1462819 B1 7/2011  
 EP 2356941 A1 8/2011  
 EP 1979739 10/2011  
 EP 2385391 A2 11/2011  
 EP 2294400 2/2012  
 EP 2453256 A2 5/2012  
 EP 1840594 B1 6/2012  
 EP 2514368 A1 10/2012  
 EP 1850743 B1 12/2012  
 EP 1594404 B1 9/2013  
 EP 2026280 B1 10/2013  
 FR 2851662 A1 8/2004  
 JP S49-11189 A 1/1974  
 JP S54-44375 A 4/1979  
 JP S55-103839 A 8/1980  
 JP 57-31848 A 2/1982  
 JP 59-101143 A 6/1984  
 JP S59-174151 A 10/1984  
 JP S60-13109 U 1/1985  
 JP S60-68836 A 4/1985  
 JP 2-501431 A 5/1990  
 JP 4-67856 3/1992  
 JP 05-042138 A 2/1993  
 JP 6-125908 A 5/1994  
 JP 7-051266 A 2/1995  
 JP 08-252253 10/1996  
 JP 9-103429 A 4/1997  
 JP 9-201361 A 8/1997  
 JP 10-216128 A 8/1998  
 JP 11-089833 A 4/1999  
 JP 11-239578 A 9/1999  
 JP 2001-507794 A 6/2001  
 JP 2001-245884 A 9/2001  
 JP 2002-209894 A 7/2002  
 JP 2002-253548 A 9/2002  
 JP 2002-253549 A 9/2002  
 JP 2004-167092 A 6/2004  
 JP 2004-215987 8/2004  
 JP 2004-337457 12/2004  
 JP 2004-351214 12/2004  
 JP 2005152187 A 6/2005  
 JP 2005-523792 8/2005  
 JP 2005-526539 9/2005  
 JP 2006-61203 A 3/2006  
 JP 2006-122657 A 5/2006  
 JP 2007-325937 A 12/2007  
 JP 2008-122209 5/2008  
 JP 2008-513763 A 5/2008  
 JP 2008-259541 A 10/2008  
 JP 20105375 1/2010  
 KR 100715132 B 4/2007  
 KR 1020090103408 A 10/2009  
 WO WO 92/18054 A1 10/1992  
 WO WO 98/00719 A2 1/1998

WO WO01/64109 A1 9/2001  
 WO WO02/084594 A2 10/2002  
 WO WO2005/009245 A1 2/2005  
 WO WO 2006/114735 A1 11/2006  
 WO WO 2007/127147 A2 11/2007  
 WO WO2009/060182 A2 5/2009  
 WO WO 2010/017445 A2 2/2010  
 WO WO 2010/095094 A1 8/2010  
 WO WO2011/057252 A1 5/2011  
 WO WO2011/064688 A1 6/2011  
 WO WO2011/100697 A1 8/2011  
 WO WO2011/123529 A1 10/2011  
 WO WO2012/028896 A1 3/2012  
 WO WO2012/049124 A2 4/2012  
 WO WO2012/049612 A2 4/2012  
 WO WO2012/078639 A1 6/2012  
 WO WO2012/091280 A1 7/2012  
 WO WO2012/112540 A2 8/2012  
 WO WO2012/131340 A2 10/2012  
 WO WO2012/160541 A2 11/2012  
 WO WO2013/059358 A2 4/2013  
 WO WO2013/109965 A1 7/2013  
 WO WO2013/116807 A1 8/2013  
 WO WO2013/116809 A1 8/2013  
 WO WO2013/116851 A1 8/2013  
 WO WO2013/116854 A1 8/2013  
 WO WO2013/116866 A1 8/2013  
 WO WO2013/128301 A2 9/2013

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

UCLA Academic Technology; SPSS learning module: How can I analyze a subset of my data; 6 pages; retrieved from the Internet ([http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/spss/modules/subset\\_analyze.htm](http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/spss/modules/subset_analyze.htm)) Nov. 26, 2001.  
 Wikipedia; Curve fitting; 5 pages; retrieved from the internet ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Curve\\_fitting](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Curve_fitting)) Dec. 19, 2010.  
 Wikipedia; Speed of sound; 17 pages; retrieved from the internet ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speed\\_of\\_sound](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speed_of_sound)) Feb. 15, 2011.  
 Hendee et al.; Medical Imaging Physics; Wiley-Liss, Inc. 4th Edition; Chap. 19-22; pp. 303-353; © 2002 (year of pub. sufficiently earlier than effective US filing date and any foreign priority date).  
 Wikipedia; Point cloud; 2 pages; retrieved Nov. 24, 2014 from the internet ([https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Point\\_cloud&oldid=472583138](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Point_cloud&oldid=472583138)).  
 Smith et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 14/526,186 entitled "Universal multiple aperture medical ultrasound probe," filed Oct. 28, 2014.  
 Smith et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 14/595,083 entitled "Concave ultrasound transducers and 3D arrays," filed Jan. 12, 2015.  
 Cristianini et al.; An Introduction to Support Vector Machines; Cambridge University Press; pp. 93-111; Mar. 2000.  
 Du et al.; User parameter free approaches to multistatic adaptive ultrasound imaging; 5th IEEE International Symposium; pp. 1287-1290, May 2008.  
 Feigenbaum, Harvey, M.D.; Echocardiography; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; Philadelphia; 5th Ed.; pp. 428, 484; Feb. 1994.  
 Haykin, Simon; Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Foundation (2nd Ed.); Prentice Hall; pp. 156-187; Jul. 16, 1998.  
 Kramb et al.; Considerations for using phased array ultrasonics in a fully automated inspection system. Review of Quantitative Non-destructive Evaluation, vol. 23, ed. D. O. Thompson and D. E. Chimenti, pp. 817-825, (year of publication is sufficiently earlier than the effective U.S. filing date and any foreign priority date) 2004.  
 Ledesma-Carbayo et al.; Spatio-temporal nonrigid registration for ultrasound cardiac motion estimation; IEEE Trans. on Medical Imaging; vol. 24; No. 9; Sep. 2005.  
 Leotta et al.; Quantitative three-dimensional echocardiography by rapid imaging . . . ; J American Society of Echocardiography; vol. 10; No. 8; ppl 830-839; Oct. 1997.  
 Morrison et al.; A probabilistic neural network based image segmentation network for magnetic resonance images; Proc. Conf. Neural Networks; Baltimore, MD; vol. 3; pp. 60-65; Jun. 1992.

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Nadkarni et al.; Cardiac motion synchronization for 3D cardiac ultrasound imaging; Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Western Ontario; Jun. 2002.
- Press et al.; Cubic spline interpolation; §3.3 in "Numerical Recipes in FORTRAN: The Art of Scientific Computing", 2nd Ed.; Cambridge, England; Cambridge University Press; pp. 107-110; Sep. 1992.
- Sakas et al.; Preprocessing and volume rendering of 3D ultrasonic data; IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications; pp. 47-54, Jul. 1995.
- Sapia et al.; Deconvolution of ultrasonic waveforms using an adaptive wiener filter; Review of Progress in Quantitative Nondestructive Evaluation; vol. 13A; Plenum Press; pp. 855-862; (year of publication is sufficiently earlier than the effective U.S. filing date and any foreign priority date) 1994.
- Sapia et al.; Ultrasound image deconvolution using adaptive inverse filtering; 12 IEEE Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems, CBMS, pp. 248-253; Jun. 1999.
- Sapia, Mark Angelo; Multi-dimensional deconvolution of optical microscope and ultrasound imaging using adaptive least-mean-square (LMS) inverse filtering; Ph.D. Dissertation; University of Connecticut; Jan. 2000.
- Smith et al.; High-speed ultrasound volumetric imaging system. 1. Transducer design and beam steering; IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelect., Freq. Contr.; vol. 38; pp. 100-108; Mar. 1991.
- Specht et al.; Deconvolution techniques for digital longitudinal tomography; SPIE; vol. 454; presented at Application of Optical Instrumentation in Medicine XII; pp. 319-325; Jun. 1984.
- Specht et al.; Experience with adaptive PNN and adaptive GRNN; Proc. IEEE International Joint Conf. on Neural Networks; vol. 2; pp. 1203-1208; Orlando, FL; Jun. 1994.
- Specht, D.F.; A general regression neural network; IEEE Trans. on Neural Networks; vol. 2; No. 6; Nov. 1991.
- Specht, D.F.; Blind deconvolution of motion blur using LMS inverse filtering; Lockheed Independent Research (unpublished); Jun. 23, 1975.
- Specht, D.F.; Enhancements to probabilistic neural networks; Proc. IEEE International Joint Conf. on Neural Networks; Baltimore, MD; Jun. 1992.
- Specht, D.F.; GRNN with double clustering; Proc. IEEE International Joint Conf. Neural Networks; Vancouver, Canada; Jul. 16-21, 2006.
- Specht, D.F.; Probabilistic neural networks; Pergamon Press; Neural Networks; vol. 3; pp. 109-118; Feb. 1990.
- Von Ramm et al.; High-speed ultrasound volumetric imaging-System. 2. Parallel processing and image display; IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelect., Freq. Contr.; vol. 38; pp. 109-115; Mar. 1991.
- Wells, P.N.T.; Biomedical ultrasonics; Academic Press; London, New York, San Francisco; pp. 124-125; Mar. 1977.
- Widrow et al.; Adaptive signal processing; Prentice-Hall; Englewood Cliffs, NJ; pp. 99-116; Mar. 1985.
- Specht, D. F.; U.S. Appl. No. 14/157,257 entitled "Method and Apparatus to Produce Ultrasonic Images Using Multiple Apertures," filed Jan. 16, 2014.
- Jeffs; Beamforming: a brief introduction; Brigham Young University; 14 pages; retrieved from the Internet (<http://ens.ewi.tudelft.nl/Education/courses/et4235/Beamforming.pdf>); Oct. 2004.
- Specht et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 14/279,052 entitled "Ultrasound imaging using apparent point-source transmit transducer," filed May 15, 2014.
- Abeysekera et al.; Alignment and calibration of dual ultrasound transducers using a wedge phantom; Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology; 37(2); pp. 271-279; Feb. 2011.
- Carson et al.; Measurement of photoacoustic transducer position by robotic source placement and nonlinear parameter estimation; Bio-medical Optics (BiOS); International Society for Optics and Photonics (9th Conf. on Biomedical Thermoacoustics, Optoacoustics, and Acousto-optics; vol. 6856; 9 pages; Feb. 28, 2008.
- Chen et al.; Maximum-likelihood source localization and unknown sensor location estimation for wideband signals in the near-field; IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing; 50(8); pp. 1843-1854; Aug. 2002.
- Chen et al.; Source localization and tracking of a wideband source using a randomly distributed beamforming sensor array; International Journal of High Performance Computing Applications; 16(3); pp. 259-272; Fall 2002.
- Fernandez et al.; High resolution ultrasound beamforming using synthetic and adaptive imaging techniques; Proceedings IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging; Washington, D.C.; pp. 433-436; Jul. 7-10, 2002.
- Gazor et al.; Wideband multi-source beamforming with array location calibration and direction finding; Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing ICASSP-95; Detroit, MI; vol. 3 IEEE; pp. 1904-1907; May 9-12, 1995.
- Heikkila et al.; A four-step camera calibration procedure with implicit image correction; Proceedings IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition; San Juan; pp. 1106-1112; Jun. 17-19, 1997.
- Hsu et al.; Real-time freehand 3D ultrasound calibration; CUED/F-INFENG/TR 565; Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom; 14 pages; Sep. 2006.
- Khamene et al.; A novel phantom-less spatial and temporal ultrasound calibration method; Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention—MICCAI (Proceedings 8th Int. Conf.); Springer Berlin Heidelberg; Palm Springs, CA; pp. 65-72; Oct. 26-29, 2005.
- Opretzka et al.; A high-frequency ultrasound imaging system combining limited-angle spatial compounding and model-based synthetic aperture focusing; IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control, IEEE, US; 58(7); pp. 1355-1365; Jul. 2, 2011.
- Slavine et al.; Construction, calibration and evaluation of a tissue phantom with reproducible optical properties for investigations in light emission tomography; Engineering in Medicine and Biology Workshop; Dallas, TX; IEEE pp. 122-125; Nov. 11-12, 2007.
- Urban et al.; Implementation of vibro-acoustography on a clinical ultrasound system; IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control; 58(6); pp. 1169-1181; Jun. 2011 (Author Manuscript).
- Urban et al.; Implementation of vibro-acoustography on a clinical ultrasound system; IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS); pp. 326-329; Oct. 14, 2010.
- Wang et al.; Photoacoustic tomography of biological tissues with high cross-section resolution: reconstruction and experiment; Medical Physics; 29(12); pp. 2799-2805; Dec. 2002.
- Yang et al.; Time-of-arrival calibration for improving the microwave breast cancer imaging; 2011 IEEE Topical Conf. on Biomedical Wireless Technologies, Networks, and Sensing Systems (BioWireless); Phoenix, AZ; pp. 67-70; Jan. 16-19, 2011.
- Specht et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 14/846,374 entitled "Point source transmission and speed-of-sound correction using multi-aperture ultrasound imaging," filed Sep. 4, 2015.

\* cited by examiner


**FIG. 1**

FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4





FIG. 5




**FIG. 6**

FIG. 7






FIG. 8









FIG. 10






FIG. 12




FIG. 13

1

# ALIGNMENT OF ULTRASOUND TRANSDUCER ARRAYS AND MULTIPLE APERTURE PROBE ASSEMBLY

## CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/780,366, filed Mar. 13, 2013, titled "Alignment of Ultrasound Transducer Arrays and Multiple Aperture Probe Assembly", the contents of which are incorporated by reference herein.

## INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

All publications and patent applications mentioned in this specification are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

## FIELD

The present invention relates generally to imaging techniques, and more particularly to ultrasound imaging, and still more particularly to systems and methods for calibration and quality assurance measurement of ultrasound probes, particularly probes having multiple apertures.

## BACKGROUND

In conventional (scanline-based) ultrasonic imaging, a focused beam of ultrasound energy (a scanline) is transmitted into body tissues to be examined and echoes returning along the same scanline are detected and plotted. A complete image may be formed by combining multiple scanlines. While ultrasound has been used extensively for diagnostic purposes, conventional scanline-based ultrasound has been greatly limited by depth of scanning, speckle noise, poor lateral resolution, obscured tissues and other problems.

Significant improvements have been made in the field of ultrasound imaging with the creation of multiple aperture imaging, some examples of which are shown and described in U.S. Pat. No. 8,007,439 titled "Method and Apparatus to Produce Ultrasonic Images Using Multiple Apertures," U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/029,907, filed Feb. 18, 2010, titled "Point Source Transmission and Speed-Of-Sound Correction Using Multiple-Aperture Ultrasound Imaging, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/760,375, filed Apr. 4, 2010, titled "Universal Multiple Aperture Medical Ultrasound Probe," and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/760,327, titled "Multiple Aperture Ultrasound Array Alignment Fixture," all of which are incorporated herein by reference. Multiple aperture imaging methods and systems allow for ultrasound signals to be both transmitted and received from separate apertures.

Ultrasound probes constructed to perform multiple aperture ultrasound imaging typically contain multiple separate transducer arrays. During construction of such a probe, the multiple arrays need to be aligned in a common imaging plane and in a desired orientation relative to one another. Some methods of performing such alignment and construction are shown and described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/760,327. Room for further improvement remains.

## SUMMARY

In one embodiment, a method of building a multiple aperture ultrasound probe is provided, the method compris-

2

ing the steps of forming a gasket with a first flowable solidifying material on a lower surface of a precision alignment element, securing the precision alignment element to a back surface of a transducer array with the gasket, evaluating and adjusting alignment of the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element, and injecting a second flowable solidifying material through at least one hole in the precision alignment element to secure the transducer array to the precision alignment element.

In some embodiments, the injecting step comprises filling a volume defined by the back surface of the transducer array, the lower surface of the precision alignment element, and an inner surface of the gasket with the second flowable solidifying material.

In some embodiments, the method further comprises allowing the second flowable solidifying material to solidify, and mounting the precision alignment element to a probe alignment bracket.

In alternative embodiments, the method further comprises placing the probe alignment bracket into a probe housing, and injecting a third flowable solidifying material into a space between the transducer array and the probe housing.

In other embodiments, the injected third flowable solidifying material surrounds at least a portion of the precision alignment element or the probe alignment bracket.

In one embodiment, evaluating alignment of the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element comprises imaging a target with the transducer array and comparing a resulting image of the target with known information defining a geometry of the target.

In some embodiments, the target comprises a plurality of pins oriented in a known configuration relative to the precision alignment element.

In other embodiments, each of the pins has a flat surface substantially perpendicular to a longitudinal axis of the pins, the longitudinal axis being substantially perpendicular to an ultrasound wavefront transmitted from a single element of the transducer array and arriving at the pins.

In some embodiments, adjusting alignment of the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element comprises adjusting at least one set screw to mechanically move the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element.

In some embodiments, the method further comprises allowing the first flowable solidifying material to solidify prior to evaluating and adjusting alignment of the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element.

In additional embodiments, the first flowable solidifying material and the second flowable solidifying material are the same material.

In one embodiment, the pins are oriented with longitudinal axes that intersect at a single point.

A method of evaluating an alignment of an ultrasound transducer array relative to a precision alignment element is also provided, the method comprising the steps of flexibly securing the ultrasound transducer array to the precision alignment element, mounting the precision alignment element in a fixed, known position and orientation relative to a target, the target having a plurality of reflectors in known reflector positions, imaging the reflectors of the target with the array, comparing imaged reflector positions with known reflector positions, and identifying a corrective adjustment based on the comparing step.

In some embodiments, the method further comprises comparing a brightness of the reflectors with expected brightness values.

3

In other embodiments, the method further comprises visually comparing imaged reflector positions with known reflector positions using a graphical user interface in which a first image comprising the imaged reflector positions is displayed simultaneously with a second image comprising the known reflector positions.

In alternative embodiments, the graphical user interface further comprises a graphical representation of a brightness of imaged reflectors within a predetermined radius of the known reflector positions.

An ultrasound probe alignment system is provided, comprising a tank assembly comprising an ultrasound conducting material, an array affixing and adjusting assembly at least partially within the tank assembly, the array affixing and adjusting assembly supporting a precision alignment element in a known position and orientation relative to a target assembly, the target assembly being disposed in the tank assembly and comprising at least one reflector configured to reflect an ultrasound signal.

Some embodiments further comprise a height adjustment assembly configured to adjust a distance between the array affixing and adjusting assembly and the target assembly.

In other embodiments, the target assembly comprises a plurality of pins arranged so as to be coincident with a precisely aligned imaging plane of the ultrasound probe alignment system.

In some embodiments, the pins are arranged so as to be displaced from one another in two dimensions in the imaging plane of the ultrasound probe alignment system.

In one embodiment, the pins vary in length so as to lie on multiple different points of the imaging plane of the ultrasound probe alignment system.

In alternative embodiments, the plurality of pins comprises a center pin and at least one pair of pins equidistant from the center pin.

In other embodiments, the array affixing and adjusting assembly comprises structures for adjusting an orientation of an ultrasound transducer array relative to the precision alignment element.

A multiple aperture ultrasound probe is provided, comprising a probe housing, a first transducer array secured to a first precision alignment element by a layer of a solidified polymer material, the first precision alignment element comprising a first plate secured to a back surface of the first transducer array, the first precision alignment element being secured to a probe bracket of the probe housing, a second transducer array secured to a second precision alignment element by a layer of a solidified polymer material, the second precision alignment element comprising a second plate secured to a back surface of the second transducer array, the second precision alignment element being secured to the probe bracket of the probe housing, and a filler solidified polymer material disposed in a space between the first and second transducer arrays and the probe housing.

In some embodiments, the first and second arrays are precisely aligned relative to the first and second precision alignment elements, respectively.

In other embodiments, the first precision alignment element comprises a plate having at least one hole through which a quantity of solidified polymer material extends.

In one embodiment, the plate comprises two holes, at least one of which has a quantity of solidified polymer material extending therethrough.

In some embodiments, the first precision alignment element is secured to a single surface of the first transducer array.

4

In additional embodiments, the first precision alignment element is secured to the probe bracket by a plurality of mechanical fasteners.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The novel features of the invention are set forth with particularity in the claims that follow. A better understanding of the features and advantages of the present invention will be obtained by reference to the following detailed description that sets forth illustrative embodiments, in which the principles of the invention are utilized, and the accompanying drawings of which:

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of an embodiment of a fully assembled multiple aperture ultrasound imaging probe.

FIG. 2 is a flow chart illustrating an embodiment of a high-level process for aligning transducer arrays during assembly of a multiple aperture ultrasound probe.

FIG. 3 is a perspective view of an embodiment of a fixture assembly and a target for aligning a transducer array.

FIG. 4 is an exploded view of an embodiment of the adjustment assembly section of the fixture assembly of FIG. 3.

FIG. 5 is a perspective view of an embodiment of an alignment target made up of a plurality of pins.

FIG. 6 is an exploded view of an embodiment of a transducer array, a gasket element, and a precision alignment element.

FIG. 7 is a perspective view of an embodiment of the lower side of the precision alignment element of FIG. 6.

FIG. 8 is a perspective view of an embodiment of a jig for establishing the thickness of the gasket of FIG. 6.

FIG. 9 is a perspective view of an embodiment of a transducer array mounted in an adjustment assembly.

FIG. 10 is a block diagram illustrating an embodiment of an imaging controller for use with some embodiments of the alignment systems and methods herein.

FIG. 11A is an illustration of an embodiment of an array alignment display screen showing an image of an array that is out of alignment.

FIG. 11B is an illustration of an embodiment of an array alignment display screen showing an image of an array that is well-aligned.

FIG. 12 is a perspective view of an embodiment of a probe alignment bracket for use in supporting transducer arrays in a designed orientation relative to a probe housing and relative to one another.

FIG. 13 is a cross-sectional view of an embodiment of a completed multiple aperture ultrasound probe assembled using the systems and methods described herein.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The following disclosure provides embodiments of systems and methods for constructing accurately aligned multiple aperture ultrasound probes. Some embodiments provide systems and methods for checking, adjusting, and securing the alignment of an individual array relative to a precision alignment element (PAE). Some embodiments provide systems and methods for mechanically aligning and affixing multiple transducer arrays in a desired alignment relative to one another and relative to a probe housing.

It is important that ultrasound probes to be used in high resolution multiple aperture ultrasound imaging be precisely constructed such that each of a plurality of transducer arrays be precisely aligned along a common imaging plane. It is further important that such arrays be mounted within a probe

housing at a precise angle, orientation and position relative to each other and relative to the probe housing itself

As used herein, references to the “exact” or “precise” position of transducer elements (and similar terms) may imply a relatively tight tolerance. For example, in some embodiments ultrasound probe calibration systems and methods may provide information describing the acoustic position of each transducer element in an array to within a distance of a fraction of a wavelength of ultrasound being used. In some embodiments, the acoustic position of transducer elements may be determined to within  $\frac{1}{10}$  of a wavelength. In other embodiments, the acoustic position of transducer elements may be determined to within a tolerance of less than  $\frac{1}{10}$  of a wavelength. In some embodiments, such as for calibrating a standard (i.e., single aperture) ultrasound probe, much looser tolerances may also be used, provided that such tolerances meet the needs of a particular system.

Conventional ultrasound (or “scanline based” ultrasound as used herein) utilizes a phased array controller to produce and steer a substantially linear transmit waveform groups. In order to produce a B-mode image, a sequence of such linear waveform groups (or “scanlines”) may be produced and steered so as to scan across a region of interest. Echoes are received along each respective scanline in a process known as receive beamforming. The echoes received along the individual scanlines may then be combined to form a complete image.

In a ping-based imaging process, an unfocused circular wavefront is transmitted from a point source transmitter, and the echoes are received by a plurality of receive transducers. The received echoes may then be beamformed using a ping-based beamforming process in order to determine a display location for each reflector that returns an echo. Beamforming is generally understood to be a process by which imaging signals received at multiple discrete receptors are combined to form a complete coherent image. The process of ping-based beamforming is consistent with this understanding.

Embodiments of ping-based beamforming generally involve determining the position of reflectors corresponding to portions of received echo data based on the path along which an ultrasound signal may have traveled, an assumed-constant speed of sound and the elapsed time between a transmit ping and the time at which an echo is received. In other words, ping-based imaging involves a calculation of distance based on an assumed speed and a measured time. Once such a distance has been calculated, it is possible to triangulate the possible positions of any given reflector. This distance calculation is made possible with accurate information about the relative positions of transmit and receive transducer elements. Further details of ping-based imaging are described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/029,907 referenced above.

Embodiments of Alignment Array Fixtures And Assemblies

FIG. 1 illustrates an assembled multiple aperture ultrasound probe 10. The probe 10 of FIG. 1 includes three separate transducer arrays 12A, 12B, 12C, each of which may be secured (or “potted”) in a precise desired position and orientation within a probe housing 14. In some embodiments, the arrays may be potted in the probe housing 14 with a flowable solidifying material such as a room temperature vulcanizing (RTV) silicone rubber or any other similarly suitable epoxy or polymerizing material. RTV silicone is particularly suitable due to its thermal and mechanical properties, but other materials with similar properties may also be used. Generally any reference herein to a “flowable solidifying material,” a “solidifying polymer material,” a

“flowable hardening material” or an “acoustic damping material” may refer to any suitable material that transitions from a liquid to a solid by a curing, drying or polymerizing process. Such materials may include RTV silicone, two-part epoxy resins, or others.

In general, it may be desirable for a flowable solidifying material to have properties in its solid state that are similar to properties of a medium to be imaged and similar to a lens material attached to a manufactured transducer array (which may also be specified for particular applications). RTV silicone is well-suited to medical applications while more rigid materials, such as hard-curing epoxies or a metal-impregnated epoxies may be well-suited to non-destructive testing applications. In still further embodiments, a flowable solidifying material may be a phase-changing material. For example, a molten plastic may be flowed as needed, and then allowed to solidify by cooling to a temperature below a melting point.

In various alternative embodiments, multiple aperture probes may be constructed with 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 or more individual transducer arrays in a common housing. In some embodiments, all transducer arrays in a probe may be oriented in a common imaging plane. In other embodiments, some arrays may be aligned in multiple imaging planes so as to facilitate 3D or 4D imaging. Generally, multiple aperture probes are designed with relatively tight tolerances for the position and orientation of arrays within the probe housing. In order to meet these tolerances while assembling a probe, an alignment and affixing process may be needed.

FIG. 2 illustrates an example of a process 20 for aligning one or more arrays relative to a precision alignment element (PAE) and affixing the one or more arrays to the PAE. The process 20 of FIG. 2 may begin with the step of mounting an array to a PAE 22 in such a way as to allow for the position and/or orientation of the array to be adjusted relative to the PAE. The PAE may then be mounted 24 to an alignment/adjustment assembly. Using the alignment / adjustment assembly, the alignment of the array relative to the PAE may be tested 26. The result of the test may be evaluated 28 to determine whether the array is sufficiently aligned with the PAE. If the testing 26 reveals that the alignment of the array relative to the PAE is outside of a desired tolerance 30, then the array’s alignment may be adjusted 32, and the alignment may be re-tested 26. Once the array is determined to be aligned with the PAE to within a desired degree of precision 34, the array may be more permanently affixed to the precision alignment assembly 36. The aligned array & PAE assembly may then be mounted to a probe alignment bracket 38, and when all such PAE/array assemblies are mounted to the probe bracket, the entire assembly may be placed into a probe housing 40, and the entire assembly may be permanently potted into the probe housing 42. The embodiments of various structures that may be used for such a process will now be described before describing further detailed embodiments of an alignment process 20.

FIG. 3 illustrates one embodiment of an alignment and adjustment assembly 50. In the illustrated embodiment, the assembly 50 may include a tank-affixing section 52, an array affixing and adjusting assembly 54, and a target assembly 56. The assembly 50 of FIG. 3 may be generally configured such that a PAE 60 may be supported in a known precisely aligned position and orientation relative to a target to be imaged, such as pins 66, 66T. The orientation of an array 62 attached to the PAE 60 may be tested by imaging the target 56 (or more particularly pins 66, 66T in some embodiments) using the array 62 and evaluating the resulting image (as

described in further detail below). If the array 62 is found to be out of alignment, the orientation of the array 62 relative to the PAE 60 may be adjusted using the adjustment assembly 54 (as described in further detail below with reference to FIG. 9).

In the illustrated embodiment, the PAE 60 may be secured to the assembly 54 by arms 57. In alternative embodiments, any number of other structures may also be used depending on the shape and configuration of the PAE and other portions of the alignment assembly 50. In other embodiments, the PAE 60 may also include further structures and features designed to enable precise alignment of the PAE 60 with components of the assembly 54. An Adjustment cover 61 may also be provided to surround the array 62, and to provide structure for a plurality of adjustment screws 63.

In some such embodiments, the alignment assembly 50 of FIG. 3 may be configured to allow the distance between the array 62 and the target 56 to be increased or decreased by known amounts. For example, the array affixing and adjusting assembly 54 may be mountable at a plurality of discrete locations 59 relative to the target assembly 56. In alternative embodiments, a continuously variable height adjustment mechanism, such as a rack and pinion (or any other suitable mechanism) may be used to vary the height of the probe affixing assembly 54 relative to the target 56.

In some embodiments, all or part of the assembly 50 may be mounted relative to a tank containing a liquid bath such that at least the target assembly 56 and the emitting surface of the transducer array 62 may be submerged in a liquid medium with a known consistent speed of sound (e.g., water, gel, oil or other material as described in further detail below). In various embodiments, the tank-affixing section 52 may include any structure for affixing the assembly 50 relative to a water tank such that at least the array transducers 60 and the target 56 are submerged.

In other embodiments, the water tank may be omitted. For example, a target assembly 56 may be encased within a solid material with a known consistent speed-of-sound (e.g., RTV silicone, ballistic gelatin, or any other solid elastic material suitable for use in ultrasound phantoms), and the transducer array 60 to be aligned may be acoustically coupled to a surface of the target assembly by an acoustic coupling gel or a conformable bladder containing a liquid or gel. In such embodiments, the material in which the target is encased may be selected based on the frequency and style of array under test. For example, whereas medical transducers are designed in the 1 to 18 MHz area, ideal target-encasing materials may have similar characteristics to human tissue. On the other hand, a transducer to be used in non-destructive testing (NDT) of industrial materials may be designed to operate at substantially higher frequencies in order to evaluate metals and composites. Some NDT arrays may also be air-coupled, doing its job without ever touching the work surface. Such devices typically work at much lower frequencies. A coupling medium is a bridge to allow energy of an appropriate frequency to travel back and forth from the testing array to the object under test (e.g., a phantom containing an alignment target). In the case of medical arrays and some low frequency NDT arrays, a coupling medium may include compatible gels, lotions, oils or water depending on the materials to be imaged. Higher frequency NDT arrays might use water, oil or a combination of liquids as a coupling medium. In some embodiments, a coupling medium may comprise a flexible bladder or pad of a material with suitable properties.

FIG. 4 provides an exploded view further illustrating components of the array affixing and adjusting assembly 54

of FIG. 3. The array-holding assembly 54 may be secured in a consistent and known position and orientation relative to the target assembly 56. Thus, depending on the shape and nature of the target assembly, various structures may be used to maintain the array-holder 54 in a known position relative to the target assembly. In the embodiment of FIGS. 3 and 4, the target assembly 56 may be secured to the array holder assembly 54 with rigid arms 58. Any other alternative structures may also be used.

FIG. 5 illustrates an embodiment of a target assembly 56 that may be used in an alignment process. In various embodiments, the target assembly 56 may include any structure with a known configuration of acoustic reflectors. A target 56 for use with an alignment process may generally have a pattern of reflectors that will allow for a clear indication of the array's alignment relative to the target 56. Targets ideal for an alignment process are those that include a plurality of reflectors (or holes) that lie in a precise known pattern in a single plane that may be precisely aligned with the intended imaging plane of the array. For example, the target assembly 56 shown in FIGS. 3-5 comprises a plurality of pins 66 (labeled as pins 66A-D and T in FIG. 5) arranged such that all of the pins 66 lie in a common plane that is coincident with the precisely aligned imaging plane.

As best seen in FIG. 5, the pins 66A-D and T may vary in length such that the tips of the pins may lie on multiple different points in the intended image plane (i.e., at different heights relative to a plane perpendicular to the imaging plane). In some embodiments, the pins may be oriented at angles such each pin's flat surface end may be oriented perpendicular to an arriving waveform transmitted from the array. In some embodiments, such angles may be selected assuming pings are transmitted from an origin at the center point of the array, even if pings are to be transmitted from multiple transmit elements at different locations on the array.

In some embodiments, target pins may be arranged in a common imaging plane and oriented at angles such that longitudinal axes of the pins intersect at a single point near the transducer array (e.g., above the transducer array's transmitting surface in some embodiments). For example, in some embodiments, pins furthest from a center pin (the end pins) may be oriented such that, with the target positioned at a minimum distance from the array, the end pins lie at a desired angle relative to the precision alignment element. For example, the end pins may be oriented at an angle of about 30 degrees relative to a line perpendicular to the precision alignment element in the imaging plane. Larger or smaller angles may be desirable depending on an angle of sensitivity of transducer elements in transducer arrays to be aligned.

When imaged by a transducer array to be aligned, each pin may appear as a dot. In this target configuration, each pin may appear as a dot in a known location on a display when the target is imaged by an aligned array supported in the array holder assembly.

In some embodiments, a target 56 may include a plurality of reflectors positioned so as to evaluate the array's alignment at various discrete distances from the target. For example, a target may include a center pin 66T and a plurality of pairs 66A-66D of pins laterally spaced equal distances from the center pin 66T. In various embodiments, a target may include any number of pairs of laterally-spaced pins. In some embodiments, the pairs of pins may be provided in a range of different lengths, meaning that some pairs of pins are closer to the transducer array than others. In various embodiments, alignment of an array may be evaluated at various distances from the target. In some

embodiments, pin lengths may be calculated so as to place the faces of each pair of pins and the center pin **66T** coincident with an arc of a transmitted wavefront at a selected depth.

In some embodiments, different reflectors of the target **56** may be configured and used for evaluating the array's alignment at different distances from the target **56** and/or for evaluating the array transmitting at different frequencies. For example, the target shown in FIG. **5** may include several pairs of reflectors of different lengths to be imaged at different vertical distances between the array and the target. As shown, the first pair of reflectors **66A** may be configured for evaluating an array's alignment at a target-distance of about 1.5 cm, the second pair **66B** of reflectors may be configured for evaluating an array's alignment at a target-distance of about 3.0 cm, the third pair **66C** may be configured for evaluating an array's alignment at a target-distance of about 4.5 cm, and the fifth pair **66D** may be configured for evaluating an array's alignment at a target-distance of about 6.0 cm. In alternative embodiments, targets may be configured for testing an array at any distance as desired.

In further alternative embodiments, the target **56** may include phantom structures with reflectors made of any suitable ultrasonically-reflecting material in a variety of alternative configurations. For example, the target may comprise a plurality of small sphere-shaped reflectors embedded in a solid material. Generally, a target may include any number of reflectors made of an appropriate echogenic material, as determined by the frequency and array style, that provides a small reflective surface relative to the wave length of the sound being used. Such reflective objects may be encased in precisely known locations in a sonolucent material. The sonolucent material to be used may be selected to be similar to conditions to be experienced by the array in an intended application. As such, in some cases, a sonolucent material may or may not offer attenuation. Reflectors in a target assembly need not be arranged in a symmetrical pattern, but preferably include multiple points at multiple different known locations such that alignment may be evaluated. The target may generally include any pattern of reflectors which may be supported within a solid, liquid or gaseous medium (depending on the intended use application). In some embodiments, a target may also include one or more "holes"—regions or objects that substantially absorb and do not reflect significant ultrasound signals. In some embodiments it may be desirable for reflectors or holes to be aligned in a single plane that is may be aligned with the ideal imaging plane.

In some alternative embodiments, a target may include any substantially static object that may be imaged with an ultrasound probe. For example, any number of phantoms designed for sonographer training are widely commercially available from various suppliers of medical equipment. Some commercially available phantoms are made to mimic the imaging characteristics of objects to be imaged such as specific or generic human tissues. Such properties may or may not be used in combination with various embodiments described herein. An object need not be purpose-built as a phantom to be used as a phantom for the alignment processes described herein.

As shown in FIG. **6**, in some embodiments, the PAE **60** may include a plate **70** with precisely positioned mounting holes **72** precisely arranged for attachment to the holder **54**. For example, in some embodiments the PAE **60** may include two alignment mounting holes **72** configured to mount the PAE **60** to mounting arms **57** of the adjustment assembly

(shown in FIGS. **3** and **4**). The PAE **60** may further include corner holes **74A-74D** for receiving set screws and for mounting the PAE **60** to a probe alignment bracket in a final probe assembly (e.g., as described below with reference to FIG. **12**). In some embodiments, temporary set screws in the corner holes **74A-74D** may also be used to adjust the position of an array relative to the PAE during an alignment procedure as described in further detail below. In some embodiments, the corner holes **74A-74D** may be tapped with fine pitch threads.

In alternative embodiments, a precision alignment element may be provided in a variety of different structures, and may include any suitable features to ensure and/or to verify accurate and precise positioning of the PAE **60** relative to the target **56**. For example, the PAE may include holes, pins, recesses or other structures configured to engage (or to be engaged by) corresponding structures on a holder assembly. In general, a precision alignment element (or PAE) may be any structure that may be mounted in a known precise position relative to a target in an alignment test assembly. Similarly, alternative holder assembly structures may include clamps, screws, pins, holes, recesses and various other mechanical structures configured to engage corresponding portions of a PAE and to hold a PAE in a consistent known position and orientation relative to a target.

In some embodiments, precision alignment features may be integrated into a probe alignment bracket (such as that described below with reference to FIG. **12**). In such embodiments, a probe alignment bracket configured to support transducer arrays in a desired orientation relative to one another may include array-mounting sections, gasket-supporting sections and holes for injecting a flowable solidifying material once an array is aligned. In such some embodiments, a plurality of targets may be provided such that each array has a corresponding target arranged perpendicular to the aligned array plane. Alternatively, a target or a PAE holder may be adjustable so as to position the bracket PAE and the target(s) in a perpendicular orientation.

FIG. **6** illustrates an embodiment of a PAE **60** and a gasket **76** for adjustably securing the PAE **60** to a transducer array **62**. In some embodiments, the PAE **60** may comprise a plate with a lower surface **78** sized and configured to be bonded to a back surface **80** of a transducer array.

As shown in FIG. **7**, in some embodiments the lower surface **78** of the PAE **60** may include a recessed section **81**. The recessed section **81** may be machined (or otherwise formed) to a precise depth and dimensions for creating a gasket **76**. In some embodiments, a gasket **76** may be formed by extruding a bead or injecting a flow of a liquid or flowable solidifying material (e.g., RTV silicone, epoxy or other flowable solidifying material) around the perimeter of the recessed section **81** on the lower surface **78** of the PAE **60**. In some embodiments, before the solidifying material cures, the PAE **60** and the gasket **76** may be pressed onto the back surface **81** of the transducer array **62**.

In some embodiments, a jig **82**, such as that shown in FIG. **8**, may be used to ensure that the gasket **76** is compressed to a consistent thickness. Using the jig **82**, a consistent desired gasket thickness may be achieved by placing the PAE **62** in the provided slot **84**, and then placing the transducer array **62** into the space above the PAE **62** until it abuts the shoulders **86** such that the height of the shoulders **86** above the PAE's lower surface **78** ensures a consistent spacing between the lower surface **78** of the PAE **60** and the back surface **80** of the transducer array **62**.

It is generally desirable for the gasket to secure the PAE to the array while remaining somewhat flexible, allowing a small degree of movement between the PAE and the array during the alignment and adjustment process. Such flexibility may be achieved through selection of an appropriate flowable solidifying material and/or selecting a gasket thickness and width that allows sufficient flexibility. Alternatively, flexibility of the gasket may be achieved by performing the adjustment process before a hardening material completely cures.

In some embodiments, the PAE **60** and array **62** may be held within the jig **82** for a sufficient time for the gasket material to cure. Once cured, the PAE **62** will be temporarily secured to the back surface **80** of the transducer array **62** by the gasket, while allowing a small range of movement between the PAE **60** and the array **62**. Although the use of a jig may provide a certain degree of precision to the assembly, the actual acoustic position of the transducer elements may not necessarily be precisely consistent with the physical back surface **80** of the transducer array **62** simply due to inevitable manufacturing variability.

In some embodiments, the PAE **60** may also include features and structures configured to facilitate precise alignment of the PAE **60** and an attached transducer array **62** with elements of a final probe assembly. For example, as shown in FIG. **6**, the plate **70** may also include a plurality of holes **74A-74D** precisely positioned for precisely mounting the alignment element **60** to a probe alignment bracket as will be described in further detail below with reference to FIG. **12**. In some embodiments, the plate **70** may also include one or more channels **92** precisely sized oriented to engage corresponding structures in a probe alignment bracket.

In some embodiments, the PAE **60** may include one or more injection holes **94** through which a flowable solidifying material may be injected once the transducer array is determined to be perfectly aligned with the PAE (as will be described in further detail below with reference to FIG. **9**). The PAE **60** may also include a relief **96** surrounding the injection holes **94** to prevent any overflowing affixing material from interfering with the fit of the PAE in a probe alignment bracket (as described in further detail below).

FIG. **9** illustrates an array **62** to be aligned to a precision alignment element **60** and mounted in an adjustment assembly **54**. The adjustment assembly **54** may generally include one or more adjustment mechanisms configured to move the array **62** relative to the PAE **60**. In the illustrated embodiment, a plurality of set screws **63A-63C** may be provided as adjustment mechanisms. A spring **98** (or other resilient material or device) may also be provided to mechanically bias the array towards the adjustment mechanisms so as to maintain contact between the array **62** and the set screws **63A-63C**. In some embodiments, a point-contact device may be positioned between the spring **98** and the array **62**. A point-contact device may be any structure that creates a small point of contact with the array, such as a pin, a nail, a sphere, a cone, or otherwise shaped structures. Any number of set screws in any desired arrangement may be used to adjust the position of the array **62** relative to the PAE **60**.

FIG. **9** illustrates several set screws **63A-63C** for adjusting the position of the array **62** relative to the PAE. The six adjustment set screws in the front surface of the adjustment cover **61** may be used for adjusting the position of the array by displacing a portion of the array in the Y direction. For example, tightening the bottom of the center screws **63B** will tend to cause the array to pivot about the longitudinal axis **102**, while tightening the right-side screws **63C** or the left-side screws **63A** will tend to cause the array **62** to pivot

about the vertical axis **106**. Tightening all of the front screws (or at least the top left and right side screws) may cause the array **62** to translate along the elevation axis **104**. In some embodiments, adjustment set screws may also be used in one or more of the four corner holes **74A-74C** in the PAE **60**. Tightening a set screw in the screw right rear hole **74B** will tend to cause the array to pivot about the longitudinal axis and the elevation axis. Thus, depending on the degree and the direction of misalignment detected during a testing step, one or more set screws may be adjusted until a desired adjustment of the array's position relative to the PAE **60** is achieved.

In various embodiments, ribbon connectors extending from the array may be electrically connected to a controller in order to transmit and/or receive ultrasonic signals using the transducer array. Any suitable connector may be used for achieving such electrical connections.

#### Alignment Imaging Controller Embodiments

FIG. **10** illustrates a block diagram of a controller **200** that may be used for controlling, transmitting, and receiving of ultrasound signals using the transducer array **62** during an alignment process. The controller **200** may also be configured to generate and display images based on the received echo data. In some embodiments, the controller **200** may further be configured to store raw echo data for later retrieval and analysis.

As shown in FIG. **10**, a controller **200** may electronically and logically connected to a transducer array **202** to be aligned. In some embodiments, at least some of the transducer elements may be designated as transmit elements, while others may be designated as receive elements. In some embodiments, each transducer element may convert ultrasound vibrations into time-varying electrical signals and vice versa. In various embodiments, the array **62** to be aligned to a PAE may include any number of ultrasound transducer elements in any desired configuration.

The controller **200** may contain software and hardware elements configured to control an imaging process. In various embodiments of the alignment methods described herein, any imaging method (e.g., ping-based imaging, scanline-based imaging or any other available ultrasound imaging method) may be used for imaging the target assembly. Due to the use of transducer element position in ping-based beamforming methods, such methods may be particularly suited for an alignment evaluation process.

The transmission of ultrasound signals from elements of the array **62** may be controlled by a transmit controller **204**. Upon receiving echoes of transmit signals, the transducer elements may generate time-varying electric signals corresponding to the received ultrasound vibrations. Signals representing the received echoes may be output from the array **62** and sent to a receive subsystem **210**. In some embodiments, the receive subsystem may include multiple channels (e.g., one channel for each transducer element in some embodiments). Each channel may include an analog front-end device ("AFE") **212** and an analog-to-digital conversion device ("ADC") **214**. In some embodiments, each channel of the receive subsystem **210** may also include digital filters and data conditioners (not shown) after the ADC **214**. In some embodiments, analog filters prior to the ADC **214** may also be provided. In some embodiments, the output of each ADC **214** may be directed into a raw data memory device **220**. Notably, the controller **200** need not include a scan converter for systems configured to use a ping-based imaging method.

In some embodiments, raw echo data may be stored in a raw data memory device **220** prior to any beamforming or

image formation. In some embodiments, echo data may be passed directly from a receive subsystem **210** to an image formation sub-system **230**.

The image formation sub-system **230** may include a beamformer **232** and an image layer combiner ("ILC") **234**. If needed, image data may be temporarily stored in an image buffer memory device **236**. In some embodiments, the image formation subsystem may retrieve stored echo data from the raw data memory device rather than receiving real-time echo data from the receive sub-system. The beamformer **232** may include or may have logical access to a memory device **238** containing transducer element position data. In the case of a new un-aligned and un-calibrated transducer array, such transducer element position data may be based on an idealized case for transducer arrays of a particular type. Alternatively, the transducer element position data may be based on calibration analysis of a plurality of previously-aligned arrays.

An alignment overlay subsystem **235** may include stored data including information describing known positions of reflectors in the target **56**. In some embodiments, such an alignment overlay sub-system may include information for several targets which may be selectable by a user depending on which target is to be used. The alignment overlay subsystem may also include hardware and software for forming an image of expected reflector positions and additional information for assisting in assessing the alignment of a transducer array under examination.

The controller **200** may be further configured to output image data to a display sub-system **240**. A display subsystem **240** may include a video processor **242** for performing various digital video image processing steps, a video memory **246** for storing "cine loop" data (e.g., processed video clips), and a display controller **244** configured to output image pixels to a display device.

Array Alignment Testing and Adjustment Method Embodiments

Thus, returning to the process diagram of FIG. 2, an embodiment of a process for aligning a transducer array **62** relative to a PAE **60** using an alignment apparatus such as that shown in FIGS. 3-6 will now be described. Once a PAE **60** has been temporarily mounted to an array **62** with a gasket **76**, the PAE **60** and array **62** may be mounted in the adjustment section **54** of an alignment assembly **50**. Ribbon connectors extending from the array **62** may then be electronically connected to an alignment imaging controller **200**. In some embodiments, the degree of alignment (or misalignment) may then be tested by imaging the target assembly **56** with the array **62**.

Because the position of the pin tips **66** may be known with a high degree of precision, the expected image produced by a perfectly-aligned array may be predicted with a high degree of precision. Thus, the actual obtained image may be compared with the theoretically ideal image, and the alignment of the array may be quantitatively and/or qualitatively evaluated. In some embodiments, such a qualitative comparison may be performed visually by a user. In order to assist in visually comparing the actual image with the theoretical image, a software layer may be configured to overlay a schematic representation of the theoretical image with the actual image. In some embodiments, the two images may be displayed in contrasting colors to further aid in distinguishing the actual image from the theoretical image.

FIGS. 11A and 11B illustrate an embodiment of an actual image of a target with five reflectors in precisely known positions. The reflector images are indicated by the amor-

phous-shaped patterns **110A-110E**, and an overlaid theoretical image is indicated by the circles **112A-112E**. FIG. 11A illustrates an example of an actual image that is misaligned with the target (and therefore, the array **62** is misaligned with the PAE **60**, since the PAE **60** is known to be precisely aligned with the target). In some embodiments, a bar graph **114** (and/or a numerical value, a line graph or other quantitative visual information) may be displayed along with the theoretically correct image. Each bar of the bar graph **114** may indicate the intensity of reflectors lying within the ideal target region defined by one of the circles **112**. Thus, each bar **115A-115E** may correspond to each circle **110A-110E**, which correspond to known positions of the reflectors (e.g., pins **66**). A higher bar level may indicate better alignment of the actual image with the theoretical image for a given reflector position **110**.

In the example of FIG. 11A, the center pin image **110C** appears to be well-aligned while the images of the pins on the left **110A**, **110B** appear too high, and the image of the pins on the right **110D**, **110E** appear too low. This pattern may indicate that the array is misaligned in rotation about the elevation axis **104** (i.e., the left side of the array is too close to the target, and the right side of the array is too far away from the target). In view of this misalignment, the array **62** may be adjusted by tightening the right-side set screws **74B**, **74C**.

Misalignment due to rotation about the longitudinal axis **102** may be detected by recognizing that the images of all of the pins **110A-110C** (or at least the center pin image **110C**) is not as bright as expected. Such misalignment may be corrected by adjusting either the front screws **74D**, **74C** in the PAE or the rear PAE screws **74A**, **74B** depending on the suspected direction of misalignment about the longitudinal axis. In some cases, similar adjustments may be made by adjusting screws **63A-63C** in the adjustment cover **61**.

Misalignment about the vertical axis **106** may result in the images of pins further from the center being progressively less bright than the center pin image **110C**. Such misalignment about the vertical axis may be corrected by tightening one or more of the adjustment screws **63A** or **63C** in the front plate **61** of the adjustment assembly **54**.

In some embodiments, an assessment of the alignment of an array under test may be made based primarily on the imaged position of the center pin **110C** and a single pair of pins equidistant from the center pin **110C**. For example, the degree and direction of any misalignment of the array may be determined by evaluating the imaged position of only the center pin **110C** and the two next-closest pins **110B** and **110D** relative to the expected positions of those pins.

FIG. 11 B illustrates an example of an image that may be produced by an array that is substantially perfectly aligned with the target **56** and the PAE **60**. In some embodiments, the degree of variation from the ideal image that may be allowable within a designed tolerance may be determined by experimentation.

In some embodiments, the step **26** (in the process of FIG. 2) of testing the alignment of an array **62** relative to a PAE **60** may be performed using a tank assembly such as that shown and described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/760,327. In that system, the alignment of an array supported at an upper part of a tank may be tested by transmitting an ultrasound signal from the array and receiving echoes using a separate set of hydrophones located at the bottom of the tank.

With reference to FIGS. 6 and 9, once the array is found to be sufficiently aligned, the array **62** may be fixed in the new position relative to the PAE **60** by injecting a low

15

viscosity flowable solidifying material through the injection holes **94** in the PAE. The solidifying material used in this step may have a sufficiently low viscosity to allow easy injection and filling of the space between the PAE and the array without altering the array's alignment relative to the PAE. The solidifying material may then be allowed to cure. In some embodiments, a quantity of flowable solidifying material may be injected into one hole **94** until the liquid solidifying material is seen extruding from the second hole **94**. In other embodiments, a measured quantity of the flowable solidifying material approximately equal to the volume of the space between the PAE **60** and the back surface **80** of the array **62**. Excess solidifying material may be allowed to extrude from the second hole. Once the solidifying material has cured, the array **62** will be secured to the PAE **60** in the aligned orientation, thus forming an aligned array-PAE assembly. At this point, the set screws may be removed or backed out from the adjusted positions, and the aligned array-PAE assembly may be removed from the adjustment and alignment assembly **54**. If needed, the process may be restarted for a new array.

In various embodiments, some or all of the process of testing and adjusting alignment of a transducer array may be automated. For example, software may be provided and configured for evaluating misalignment of an array and selecting a suitable corrective adjustment as described above. Furthermore, robotic elements may be provided and configured to adjust the various set screws in order to automatically apply a corrective adjustment selected by a software agent. A robotic element may also be provided for injecting a quantity of a flowable solidifying material into the space between the PAE and the array.

#### Probe Assembly Method Embodiments

Once a sufficient number of arrays have been aligned to their respective PAEs, the aligned arrays may be mounted to a probe alignment bracket **120** such as that shown in FIG. **12** before final assembly into a probe housing **14** (FIG. **13**). In some embodiments, a probe alignment bracket **120** may be provided with a plurality of array-receiving sections **122A-122C**. Each array-receiving section **122A-122C** may include structural features for receiving a PAE **60** attached to an aligned array **62**. In some embodiments, the receiving sections **122A-122C** may include ribs configured to engage channels **92** in the PAE **60** (FIG. **6**). The receiving sections **122A-122C** may also include a plurality of screw holes **124** through which mounting screws may pass for attaching PAEs **60** to the probe alignment bracket **120**. The alignment bracket **120** may also include flanges **126** and/or other features to assist in positioning the PAEs in the proper positions. In other embodiments, a probe alignment bracket may have a wide range of shapes and configurations beyond that illustrated here depending on the number and designed orientation of arrays to be included in a probe.

In some embodiments, the probe alignment bracket **120** may also include attachment flanges **128** for securing an electronic connection board (not shown). An electronic connection board may be configured with a plurality of connectors configured for electrical connection to the flex connectors extending from each transducer array. In some embodiments, the connector board may further include traces connecting the transducer array connections to a common connector that may be configured for connection to a cable. Details of some embodiments of such connector boards and cabling assemblies may be seen in Applicants' U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/272,098 titled "Multiple Aperture Probe Internal Apparatus and Cable Assemblies," which is incorporated herein by reference.

16

The probe internal assembly including the probe alignment bracket **120**, connector board and aligned array-PAE assemblies may then be inserted into a probe housing **14** as shown in FIG. **13**. In various embodiments, a probe housing **14** may include a one-piece construction, a clamshell construction, or any other suitable configuration. In some embodiments, portions of the internal assembly may be attached to portions of the probe housing by screws, bolts, clamps, clips, pins, or any other suitable attachment device.

Once the internal assembly is fully inserted into a probe housing **14**, the aligned arrays **12A-12C** and the probe alignment bracket **120** to which they are mounted may be permanently potted by injecting a flowable solidifying material **130** such as RTV silicone into the shell housing, surrounding at least portions of the arrays **12A-12C**. In some embodiments, a flowable solidifying material **130** may also be injected further into the probe housing **14** so as to surround all or portions of the probe alignment bracket **12**. In some embodiments, the flowable solidifying material may be used to substantially fill the space between the arrays and the sides of the probe housing **14**. The solidifying material may also be smoothed out so as to provide a substantially consistent surface with the front surfaces of the arrays **12A-12C**.

#### Embodiments of Completed Probe Assemblies

In various embodiments, a final probe assembled using the systems and methods described above may have some unique characteristics, some of which are illustrated in FIG. **13**. As shown in the cross-sectional view of FIG. **13**, a completed probe may include a plurality of transducer arrays **12A-12C** potted into the probe housing **14** by a quantity of a solidified potting material **130** (e.g., RTV silicone or any other solidified flowable solidifying material). Each transducer array **12A-12C** may be seen to be secured to a precision alignment element **60A-60C** by an additional layer of a solidified material **132** between the precision alignment element **60** and the transducer array **12** (**62**). The layer of solidified material **132** may include the gasket (**76** in FIGS. **6** and **7**) and the affixing layer of solidifying material injected after aligning the array to the PAE. The precision alignment elements **60A-60C** are, in turn, mounted to a probe alignment bracket **120** in precise positions.

Although this invention has been disclosed in the context of certain preferred embodiments and examples, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that the present invention extends beyond the specifically disclosed embodiments to other alternative embodiments and/or uses of the invention and obvious modifications and equivalents thereof. Various modifications to the above embodiments will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the generic principles defined herein may be applied to other embodiments without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention. Thus, it is intended that the scope of the present invention herein disclosed should not be limited by the particular disclosed embodiments described above, but should be determined only by a fair reading of the claims that follow.

In particular, materials and manufacturing techniques may be employed as within the level of those with skill in the relevant art. Furthermore, reference to a singular item, includes the possibility that there are plural of the same items present. More specifically, as used herein and in the appended claims, the singular forms "a," "and," "said," and "the" include plural referents unless the context clearly dictates otherwise. As used herein, unless explicitly stated otherwise, the term "or" is inclusive of all presented alternatives, and means essentially the same as the commonly

17

used phrase “and/or.” It is further noted that the claims may be drafted to exclude any optional element. As such, this statement is intended to serve as antecedent basis for use of such exclusive terminology as “solely,” “only” and the like in connection with the recitation of claim elements, or use of a “negative” limitation. Unless defined otherwise herein, all technical and scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of building a multiple aperture ultrasound probe, the method comprising the steps of:

forming a gasket with a first flowable solidifying material on a lower surface of a substantially planar precision alignment element;

securing the precision alignment element to a back surface of a transducer array with the gasket, the gasket having an enclosed perimeter shape and a thickness dimension extending away from the substantially planar precision alignment element;

evaluating and adjusting alignment of the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element; and

injecting a second flowable solidifying material through at least one hole in the precision alignment element to secure the transducer array to the precision alignment element.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the injecting step comprises filling a volume defined by the back surface of the transducer array, the lower surface of the precision alignment element, and an inner circumferential surface of the gasket with the second flowable solidifying material.

3. The method of claim 1, further comprising allowing the second flowable solidifying material to solidify, and mounting the precision alignment element to a probe alignment bracket.

4. The method of claim 3, further comprising placing the probe alignment bracket into a probe housing, and injecting a third flowable solidifying material into a space between the transducer array and the probe housing.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein the injected third flowable solidifying material surrounds at least a portion of the precision alignment element or the probe alignment bracket.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein evaluating alignment of the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element comprises imaging a target with the transducer array and comparing a resulting image of the target with known information defining a geometry of the target.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the target comprises a plurality of pins oriented in a known configuration relative to the precision alignment element.

8. The method of claim 7, wherein each of the pins has a flat surface substantially perpendicular to a longitudinal axis of the pins, the longitudinal axis being substantially perpendicular to an ultrasound wavefront transmitted from a single element of the transducer array and arriving at the pins.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein adjusting alignment of the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element comprises adjusting at least one set screw to mechanically move the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element.

10. The method of claim 1, further comprising allowing the first flowable solidifying material to solidify prior to evaluating and adjusting alignment of the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element.

18

11. The method of claim 1, wherein the first flowable solidifying material and the second flowable solidifying material are the same material.

12. A method of evaluating an alignment of an ultrasound transducer array relative to a precision alignment element, the method comprising the steps of;

flexibly securing the ultrasound transducer array to the precision alignment element;

mounting the precision alignment element in a fixed, known position and orientation relative to a target, the target having a plurality of reflectors in known reflector positions;

imaging the reflectors of the target with the array;

comparing imaged reflector positions with known reflector positions; and

identifying a corrective adjustment based on the comparing step.

13. The method of claim 12, further comprising comparing a brightness of the reflectors with expected brightness values.

14. The method of claim 12, further comprising visually comparing imaged reflector positions with known reflector positions using a graphical user interface in which a first image comprising the imaged reflector positions is displayed simultaneously with a second image comprising the known reflector positions.

15. The method of claim 14, wherein the graphical user interface further comprises a graphical representation of a brightness of imaged reflectors within a pre-determined radius of the known reflector positions.

16. An ultrasound probe alignment system, comprising: a tank assembly comprising an ultrasound conducting material;

an array affixing and adjusting assembly at least partially within the tank assembly, the array affixing and adjusting assembly supporting a precision alignment element in a known position and orientation relative to a target assembly, the target assembly being disposed in the tank assembly and comprising a plurality of pins oriented with longitudinal axes that intersect at a single point.

17. The system of claim 16, further comprising a height adjustment assembly configured to adjust a distance between the array affixing and adjusting assembly and the target assembly.

18. The system of claim 16, wherein the plurality of pins are arranged with their longitudinal axes lying in a precisely aligned imaging plane of the ultrasound probe alignment system.

19. The system of claim 18, wherein the pins are arranged so as to be displaced from one another in two dimensions in the imaging plane of the ultrasound probe alignment system.

20. The system of claim 18, wherein the pins vary in length so as to lie on multiple different points of the imaging plane of the ultrasound probe alignment system.

21. The system of claim 20, wherein the plurality of pins comprises a center pin and at least one pair of pins equidistant from the center pin.

22. The system of claim 16, wherein the array affixing and adjusting assembly comprises structures for adjusting an orientation of an ultrasound transducer array relative to the precision alignment element.

23. A method of building a multiple aperture ultrasound probe, the method comprising the steps of:

forming a gasket with a first flowable solidifying material on a lower surface of a precision alignment element;

19

securing the precision alignment element to a back surface of a transducer array with the gasket;  
 evaluating and adjusting alignment of the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element by imaging a target with the transducer array and comparing a resulting image of the target with known information defining a geometry of the target, the target comprising a plurality of pins oriented with longitudinal axes that intersect at a single point and in a known configuration relative to the precision alignment element; and  
 injecting a second flowable solidifying material through at least one hole in the precision alignment element to secure the transducer array to the precision alignment element.

24. The method of claim 23, wherein the injecting step comprises filling a volume defined by the back surface of the transducer array, the lower surface of the precision alignment element, and an inner perimeter surface of the gasket with the second flowable solidifying material.

25. The method of claim 23, further comprising allowing the second flowable solidifying material to solidify, and mounting the precision alignment element to a probe alignment bracket.

26. The method of claim 25, further comprising placing the probe alignment bracket into a probe housing, and

20

injecting a third flowable solidifying material into a space between the transducer array and the probe housing.

27. The method of claim 26, wherein the injected third flowable solidifying material surrounds at least a portion of the precision alignment element or the probe alignment bracket.

28. The method of claim 23, wherein each of the pins has a flat surface substantially perpendicular to a longitudinal axis of the pins, the longitudinal axis being substantially perpendicular to an ultrasound wavefront transmitted from a single element of the transducer array and arriving at the pins.

29. The method of claim 23, wherein adjusting alignment of the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element comprises adjusting at least one set screw to mechanically move the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element.

30. The method of claim 23, further comprising allowing the first flowable solidifying material to solidify prior to evaluating and adjusting alignment of the transducer array relative to the precision alignment element.

31. The method of claim 23, wherein the first flowable solidifying material and the second flowable solidifying material are the same material.

\* \* \* \* \*

|                |                                                                                                      |         |            |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|------------|
| 专利名称(译)        | 超声换能器阵列和多孔径探针组件的对准                                                                                   |         |            |
| 公开(公告)号        | <a href="#">US9510806</a>                                                                            | 公开(公告)日 | 2016-12-06 |
| 申请号            | US14/210015                                                                                          | 申请日     | 2014-03-13 |
| [标]申请(专利权)人(译) | 史密斯戴维M<br>ADAM SHARON大号<br>迪茨丹尼斯                                                                     |         |            |
| 申请(专利权)人(译)    | 斯密, 大卫M.<br>ADAM, SHARON L.<br>迪茨, 丹尼斯R.                                                             |         |            |
| 当前申请(专利权)人(译)  | MAUI IMAGING, INC.                                                                                   |         |            |
| [标]发明人         | SMITH DAVID M<br>ADAM SHARON L<br>DIETZ DENNIS R                                                     |         |            |
| 发明人            | SMITH, DAVID M.<br>ADAM, SHARON L.<br>DIETZ, DENNIS R.                                               |         |            |
| IPC分类号         | A61B8/00 A61B8/08                                                                                    |         |            |
| CPC分类号         | A61B8/4461 A61B8/4477 A61B8/58 A61B8/5207 A61B8/587 Y10T29/49005 Y10T29/5313 G01S15/8927 G01S7/52079 |         |            |
| 审查员(译)         | 墨菲, 丹尼尔L                                                                                             |         |            |
| 优先权            | 61/780366 2013-03-13 US                                                                              |         |            |
| 其他公开文献         | US20140269209A1                                                                                      |         |            |
| 外部链接           | <a href="#">Espacenet</a> <a href="#">USPTO</a>                                                      |         |            |

#### 摘要(译)

超声成像探头的有效孔径可以通过包括多于一个换能器阵列并且使用所有阵列的换能器元件来呈现图像可以大大提高所生成的图像的横向分辨率来增加。为了呈现图像,必须精确地知道所有元素的相对位置。公开了用于精确校准和调整多孔径超声系统的系统和方法。可以在探针组装之前和期间计算和对准换能器元件的相对位置。

