



US009693737B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Al-Ali

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,693,737 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Jul. 4, 2017**

(54) **PHYSIOLOGICAL MEASUREMENT LOGIC ENGINE**

A61B 5/7282 (2013.01); *A61B 5/742* (2013.01); *A61B 5/746* (2013.01); *A61B 7/02* (2013.01)

(71) Applicant: **MASIMO CORPORATION**, Irvine, CA (US)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC . *A61B 5/0059*; *A61B 5/1455*; *A61B 5/14551*; *A61B 5/7271*; *A61B 5/7282*; *A61B 5/746*
See application file for complete search history.

(72) Inventor: **Ammar Al-Ali**, Tustin, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **MASIMO CORPORATION**, Irvine, CA (US)

(56) **References Cited**

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,960,128 A	10/1990	Gordon et al.
4,964,408 A	10/1990	Hink et al.
5,041,187 A	8/1991	Hink et al.
5,069,213 A	12/1991	Polczynski
5,163,438 A	11/1992	Gordon et al.
5,319,355 A	6/1994	Russek
5,337,744 A	8/1994	Branigan
5,341,805 A	8/1994	Stavridi et al.
D353,195 S	12/1994	Savage et al.
D353,196 S	12/1994	Savage et al.
5,377,676 A	1/1995	Vari et al.

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **14/967,075**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 11, 2015**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2016/0166210 A1 Jun. 16, 2016

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 13/425,085, filed on Mar. 20, 2012, now Pat. No. 9,211,095, which is a continuation of application No. 13/272,038, filed on Oct. 12, 2011, now abandoned.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/392,863, filed on Oct. 13, 2010.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/1455 (2006.01)
A61B 5/00 (2006.01)
A61B 7/02 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC *A61B 5/7271* (2013.01); *A61B 5/0059* (2013.01); *A61B 5/1455* (2013.01); *A61B 5/14551* (2013.01); *A61B 5/7264* (2013.01);

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

US 8,845,543, 09/2014, Diab et al. (withdrawn)


Primary Examiner — Eric Winakur

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A patient monitor including a physiological measurement logic engine receives physiological data from a physiological sensor. The logic engine abstracts one or more features of the physiological data and determines a category for the abstracted feature. The logic engine further encodes the category of each of the one or more features and determines an action to perform based on the encoded categories.

24 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D359,546	S	6/1995	Savage et al.	6,334,065	B1	12/2001	Al-Ali et al.
5,431,170	A	7/1995	Mathews	6,343,224	B1	1/2002	Parker
D361,840	S	8/1995	Savage et al.	6,349,228	B1	2/2002	Kiani et al.
D362,063	S	9/1995	Savage et al.	6,360,114	B1	3/2002	Diab et al.
5,452,717	A	9/1995	Branigan et al.	6,368,283	B1	4/2002	Xu et al.
D363,120	S	10/1995	Savage et al.	6,371,921	B1	4/2002	Caro et al.
5,456,252	A	10/1995	Vari et al.	6,377,829	B1	4/2002	Al-Ali
5,479,934	A	1/1996	Imran	6,388,240	B2	5/2002	Schulz et al.
5,482,036	A	1/1996	Diab et al.	6,397,091	B2	5/2002	Diab et al.
5,490,505	A	2/1996	Diab et al.	6,430,437	B1	8/2002	Marro
5,494,043	A	2/1996	O'Sullivan et al.	6,430,525	B1	8/2002	Weber et al.
5,533,511	A	7/1996	Kaspari et al.	6,463,311	B1	10/2002	Diab
5,534,851	A	7/1996	Russek	6,470,199	B1	10/2002	Kopotic et al.
5,561,275	A	10/1996	Savage et al.	6,501,975	B2	12/2002	Diab et al.
5,562,002	A	10/1996	Lalin	6,505,059	B1	1/2003	Kollias et al.
5,590,649	A	1/1997	Caro et al.	6,515,273	B2	2/2003	Al-Ali
5,602,924	A	2/1997	Durand et al.	6,519,487	B1	2/2003	Parker
5,632,272	A	5/1997	Diab et al.	6,525,386	B1	2/2003	Mills et al.
5,638,816	A	6/1997	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.	6,526,300	B1	2/2003	Kiani et al.
5,638,818	A	6/1997	Diab et al.	6,541,756	B2	4/2003	Schulz et al.
5,645,440	A	7/1997	Tobler et al.	6,542,764	B1	4/2003	Al-Ali et al.
5,685,299	A	11/1997	Diab et al.	6,580,086	B1	6/2003	Schulz et al.
D393,830	S	4/1998	Tobler et al.	6,584,336	B1	6/2003	Ali et al.
5,743,262	A	4/1998	Lepper, Jr. et al.	6,595,316	B2	7/2003	Cybulski et al.
5,758,644	A	6/1998	Diab et al.	6,597,932	B2	7/2003	Tian et al.
5,760,910	A	6/1998	Lepper, Jr. et al.	6,597,933	B2	7/2003	Kiani et al.
5,769,785	A	6/1998	Diab et al.	6,606,511	B1	8/2003	Ali et al.
5,782,757	A	7/1998	Diab et al.	6,632,181	B2	10/2003	Flaherty et al.
5,785,659	A	7/1998	Caro et al.	6,639,668	B1	10/2003	Trepagnier
5,791,347	A	8/1998	Flaherty et al.	6,640,116	B2	10/2003	Diab
5,810,734	A	9/1998	Caro et al.	6,643,530	B2	11/2003	Diab et al.
5,823,950	A	10/1998	Diab et al.	6,650,917	B2	11/2003	Diab et al.
5,830,131	A	11/1998	Caro et al.	6,654,624	B2	11/2003	Diab et al.
5,833,618	A	11/1998	Caro et al.	6,658,276	B2	12/2003	Kianl et al.
5,860,919	A	1/1999	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.	6,661,161	B1	12/2003	Lanzo et al.
5,890,929	A	4/1999	Mills et al.	6,671,531	B2	12/2003	Al-Ali et al.
5,904,654	A	5/1999	Wohlmann et al.	6,678,543	B2	1/2004	Diab et al.
5,919,134	A	7/1999	Diab	6,684,090	B2	1/2004	Ali et al.
5,934,925	A	8/1999	Tobler et al.	6,684,091	B2	1/2004	Parker
5,940,182	A	8/1999	Lepper, Jr. et al.	6,697,656	B1	2/2004	Al-Ali
5,995,855	A	11/1999	Kiani et al.	6,697,657	B1	2/2004	Shehada et al.
5,997,343	A	12/1999	Mills et al.	6,697,658	B2	2/2004	Al-Ali
6,002,952	A	12/1999	Diab et al.	RE38,476	E	3/2004	Diab et al.
6,011,986	A	1/2000	Diab et al.	6,699,194	B1	3/2004	Diab et al.
6,027,452	A	2/2000	Flaherty et al.	6,714,804	B2	3/2004	Al-Ali et al.
6,036,642	A	3/2000	Diab et al.	RE38,492	E	4/2004	Diab et al.
6,045,509	A	4/2000	Caro et al.	6,721,582	B2	4/2004	Trepagnier et al.
6,067,462	A	5/2000	Diab et al.	6,721,585	B1	4/2004	Parker
6,081,735	A	6/2000	Diab et al.	6,725,075	B2	4/2004	Al-Ali
6,088,607	A	7/2000	Diab et al.	6,728,560	B2	4/2004	Kollias et al.
6,110,522	A	8/2000	Lepper, Jr. et al.	6,735,459	B2	5/2004	Parker
6,124,597	A	9/2000	Shehada	6,745,060	B2	6/2004	Diab et al.
6,128,521	A	10/2000	Marro et al.	6,760,607	B2	7/2004	Al-Ali
6,129,675	A	10/2000	Jay	6,770,028	B1	8/2004	Ali et al.
6,144,868	A	11/2000	Parker	6,771,994	B2	8/2004	Kiani et al.
6,151,516	A	11/2000	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.	6,792,300	B1	9/2004	Diab et al.
6,152,754	A	11/2000	Gerhardt et al.	6,813,511	B2	11/2004	Diab et al.
6,157,850	A	12/2000	Diab et al.	6,816,741	B2	11/2004	Diab
6,165,005	A	12/2000	Mills et al.	6,822,564	B2	11/2004	Al-Ali
6,184,521	B1	2/2001	Coffin, IV et al.	6,826,419	B2	11/2004	Diab et al.
6,206,830	B1	3/2001	Diab et al.	6,830,711	B2	12/2004	Mills et al.
6,229,856	B1	5/2001	Diab et al.	6,850,787	B2	2/2005	Weber et al.
6,232,609	B1	5/2001	Snyder et al.	6,850,788	B2	2/2005	Al-Ali
6,236,872	B1	5/2001	Diab et al.	6,852,083	B2	2/2005	Caro et al.
6,241,683	B1	6/2001	Macklem et al.	6,861,639	B2	3/2005	Al-Ali
6,253,097	B1	6/2001	Aronow et al.	6,898,452	B2	5/2005	Al-Ali et al.
6,256,523	B1	7/2001	Diab et al.	6,920,345	B2	7/2005	Al-Ali et al.
6,263,222	B1	7/2001	Diab et al.	6,931,268	B1	8/2005	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
6,278,522	B1	8/2001	Lepper, Jr. et al.	6,934,570	B2	8/2005	Kiani et al.
6,280,213	B1	8/2001	Tobler et al.	6,939,305	B2	9/2005	Flaherty et al.
6,285,896	B1	9/2001	Tobler et al.	6,943,348	B1	9/2005	Coffin, IV
6,301,493	B1	10/2001	Marro et al.	6,950,687	B2	9/2005	Al-Ali
6,317,627	B1	11/2001	Ennen et al.	6,961,598	B2	11/2005	Diab
6,321,100	B1	11/2001	Parker	6,970,792	B1	11/2005	Diab
6,325,761	B1	12/2001	Jay	6,979,812	B2	12/2005	Al-Ali
				6,985,764	B2	1/2006	Mason et al.
				6,993,371	B2	1/2006	Kiani et al.
				6,996,427	B2	2/2006	Ali et al.
				6,999,904	B2	2/2006	Weber et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,003,338	B2	2/2006	Weber et al.	7,530,955	B2	5/2009	Diab et al.
7,003,339	B2	2/2006	Diab et al.	7,563,110	B2	7/2009	Al-Ali et al.
7,015,451	B2	3/2006	Dalke et al.	7,596,398	B2	9/2009	Al-Ali et al.
7,024,233	B2	4/2006	Ali et al.	7,618,375	B2	11/2009	Flaherty
7,027,849	B2	4/2006	Al-Ali	D606,659	S	12/2009	Kiani et al.
7,030,749	B2	4/2006	Al-Ali	7,647,083	B2	1/2010	Al-Ali et al.
7,039,449	B2	5/2006	Al-Ali	D609,193	S	2/2010	Al-Ali et al.
7,041,060	B2	5/2006	Flaherty et al.	D614,305	S	4/2010	Al-Ali et al.
7,044,918	B2	5/2006	Diab	7,706,852	B2 *	4/2010	Baker, Jr. A61B 5/14551 600/323
7,067,893	B2	6/2006	Mills et al.	RE41,317	E	5/2010	Parker
7,096,052	B2	8/2006	Mason et al.	7,729,733	B2	6/2010	Al-Ali et al.
7,096,054	B2	8/2006	Abdul-Hafiz et al.	7,734,320	B2	6/2010	Al-Ali
7,132,641	B2	11/2006	Schulz et al.	7,761,127	B2	7/2010	Al-Ali et al.
7,142,901	B2	11/2006	Kiani et al.	7,761,128	B2	7/2010	Al-Ali et al.
7,149,561	B2	12/2006	Diab	7,764,982	B2	7/2010	Dalke et al.
7,186,966	B2	3/2007	Al-Ali	D621,516	S	8/2010	Kiani et al.
7,190,261	B2	3/2007	Al-Ali	7,791,155	B2	9/2010	Diab
7,215,984	B2	5/2007	Diab	7,801,581	B2	9/2010	Diab
7,215,986	B2	5/2007	Diab	7,822,452	B2	10/2010	Schurman et al.
7,221,971	B2	5/2007	Diab	RE41,912	E	11/2010	Parker
7,225,006	B2	5/2007	Al-Ali et al.	7,844,313	B2	11/2010	Kiani et al.
7,225,007	B2	5/2007	Al-Ali	7,844,314	B2	11/2010	Al-Ali
RE39,672	E	6/2007	Shehada et al.	7,844,315	B2	11/2010	Al-Ali
7,239,905	B2	7/2007	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.	7,865,222	B2	1/2011	Weber et al.
7,245,953	B1	7/2007	Parker	7,873,497	B2	1/2011	Weber et al.
7,254,429	B2	8/2007	Schurman et al.	7,880,606	B2	2/2011	Al-Ali
7,254,431	B2	8/2007	Al-Ali	7,880,626	B2	2/2011	Al-Ali et al.
7,254,433	B2	8/2007	Diab et al.	7,891,355	B2	2/2011	Al-Ali et al.
7,254,434	B2	8/2007	Schulz et al.	7,894,868	B2	2/2011	Al-Ali et al.
7,272,425	B2	9/2007	Al-Ali	7,899,507	B2	3/2011	Al-Ali et al.
7,274,955	B2	9/2007	Kiani et al.	7,899,518	B2	3/2011	Trepagnier et al.
D554,263	S	10/2007	Al-Ali	7,904,132	B2	3/2011	Weber et al.
7,280,858	B2	10/2007	Al-Ali et al.	7,909,772	B2	3/2011	Popov et al.
7,289,835	B2	10/2007	Mansfield et al.	7,910,875	B2	3/2011	Al-Ali
7,292,883	B2	11/2007	De Felice et al.	7,919,713	B2	4/2011	Al-Ali et al.
7,295,866	B2	11/2007	Al-Ali	7,937,128	B2	5/2011	Al-Ali
7,328,053	B1	2/2008	Diab et al.	7,937,129	B2	5/2011	Mason et al.
7,332,784	B2	2/2008	Mills et al.	7,937,130	B2	5/2011	Diab et al.
7,340,287	B2	3/2008	Mason et al.	7,941,199	B2	5/2011	Kiani
7,341,559	B2	3/2008	Schulz et al.	7,951,086	B2	5/2011	Flaherty et al.
7,343,186	B2	3/2008	Lamego et al.	7,957,780	B2	6/2011	Lamego et al.
D566,282	S	4/2008	Al-Ali et al.	7,962,188	B2	6/2011	Kiani et al.
7,355,512	B1	4/2008	Al-Ali	7,962,190	B1	6/2011	Diab et al.
7,356,365	B2	4/2008	Schurman	7,976,472	B2	7/2011	Kiani
7,371,981	B2	5/2008	Abdul-Hafiz	7,976,472	B2	7/2011	Kiani
7,373,193	B2	5/2008	Al-Ali et al.	7,988,637	B2	8/2011	Diab
7,373,194	B2	5/2008	Weber et al.	7,990,382	B2	8/2011	Kiani
7,376,453	B1	5/2008	Diab et al.	7,991,446	B2	8/2011	Ali et al.
7,377,794	B2	5/2008	Al Ali et al.	8,000,761	B2	8/2011	Al-Ali
7,377,899	B2	5/2008	Weber et al.	8,008,088	B2	8/2011	Bellott et al.
7,383,070	B2	6/2008	Diab et al.	RE42,753	E	9/2011	Kiani-Azarbayjany et al.
7,415,297	B2	8/2008	Al-Ali et al.	8,019,400	B2	9/2011	Diab et al.
7,428,432	B2	9/2008	Ali et al.	8,028,701	B2	10/2011	Al-Ali et al.
7,438,683	B2	10/2008	Al-Ali et al.	8,029,765	B2	10/2011	Bellott et al.
7,440,787	B2	10/2008	Diab	8,036,727	B2	10/2011	Schurman et al.
7,454,240	B2	11/2008	Diab et al.	8,036,728	B2	10/2011	Diab et al.
7,467,002	B2	12/2008	Weber et al.	8,046,040	B2	10/2011	Ali et al.
7,469,157	B2	12/2008	Diab et al.	8,046,041	B2	10/2011	Diab et al.
7,471,969	B2	12/2008	Diab et al.	8,046,042	B2	10/2011	Diab et al.
7,471,971	B2	12/2008	Diab et al.	8,048,040	B2	11/2011	Kiani
7,483,729	B2	1/2009	Al-Ali et al.	8,050,728	B2	11/2011	Al-Ali et al.
7,483,730	B2	1/2009	Diab et al.	RE43,169	E	2/2012	Parker
7,489,958	B2	2/2009	Diab et al.	8,118,620	B2	2/2012	Al-Ali et al.
7,496,391	B2	2/2009	Diab et al.	8,126,528	B2	2/2012	Diab et al.
7,496,393	B2	2/2009	Diab et al.	8,128,572	B2	3/2012	Diab et al.
D587,657	S	3/2009	Al-Ali et al.	8,130,105	B2	3/2012	Al-Ali et al.
7,499,741	B2	3/2009	Diab et al.	8,145,287	B2	3/2012	Diab et al.
7,499,835	B2	3/2009	Weber et al.	8,150,487	B2	4/2012	Diab et al.
7,500,950	B2	3/2009	Al-Ali et al.	8,175,672	B2	5/2012	Parker
7,509,154	B2	3/2009	Diab et al.	8,180,420	B2	5/2012	Diab et al.
7,509,494	B2	3/2009	Al-Ali	8,182,443	B1	5/2012	Kiani
7,510,849	B2	3/2009	Schurman et al.	8,185,180	B2	5/2012	Diab et al.
7,526,328	B2	4/2009	Diab et al.	8,190,223	B2	5/2012	Al-Ali et al.
7,530,942	B1	5/2009	Diab	8,190,227	B2	5/2012	Diab et al.
7,530,949	B2	5/2009	Al Ali et al.	8,203,438	B2	6/2012	Kiani et al.
				8,203,704	B2	6/2012	Merritt et al.
				8,204,566	B2	6/2012	Schurman et al.
				8,219,172	B2	7/2012	Schurman et al.
				8,224,411	B2	7/2012	Al-Ali et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,228,181 B2	7/2012	Al-Ali	8,663,107 B2	3/2014	Kiani
8,229,533 B2	7/2012	Diab et al.	8,666,468 B1	3/2014	Al-Ali
8,233,955 B2	7/2012	Al-Ali et al.	8,667,967 B2	3/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,244,325 B2	8/2012	Al-Ali et al.	8,670,811 B2	3/2014	O'Reilly
8,255,026 B1	8/2012	Al-Ali	8,670,814 B2	3/2014	Diab et al.
8,255,027 B2	8/2012	Al-Ali et al.	8,676,286 B2	3/2014	Weber et al.
8,255,028 B2	8/2012	Al-Ali et al.	8,682,407 B2	3/2014	Al-Ali
8,260,577 B2	9/2012	Weber et al.	RE44,823 E	4/2014	Parker
8,265,723 B1	9/2012	McHale et al.	RE44,875 E	4/2014	Kiani et al.
8,274,360 B2	9/2012	Sampath et al.	8,690,799 B2	4/2014	Telfort et al.
8,301,217 B2	10/2012	Al-Ali et al.	8,700,112 B2	4/2014	Kiani
8,306,596 B2	11/2012	Schurman et al.	8,702,627 B2	4/2014	Telfort et al.
8,310,336 B2	11/2012	Muhsin et al.	8,706,179 B2	4/2014	Parker
8,315,683 B2	11/2012	Al-Ali et al.	8,712,494 B1	4/2014	MacNeish, III et al.
RE43,860 E	12/2012	Parker	8,715,206 B2	5/2014	Telfort et al.
8,337,403 B2	12/2012	Al-Ali et al.	8,718,735 B2	5/2014	Lamego et al.
8,346,330 B2	1/2013	Lamego	8,718,737 B2	5/2014	Diab et al.
8,353,842 B2	1/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,718,738 B2	5/2014	Blank et al.
8,355,766 B2	1/2013	MacNeish, III et al.	8,720,249 B2	5/2014	Al-Ali
8,359,080 B2	1/2013	Diab et al.	8,721,541 B2	5/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,364,223 B2	1/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,721,542 B2	5/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,364,226 B2	1/2013	Diab et al.	8,723,677 B1	5/2014	Kiani
8,374,665 B2	2/2013	Lamego	8,740,792 B1	6/2014	Kiani et al.
8,385,995 B2	2/2013	Al-ali et al.	8,754,776 B2	6/2014	Poeze et al.
8,385,996 B2	2/2013	Smith et al.	8,755,535 B2	6/2014	Telfort et al.
8,388,353 B2	3/2013	Kiani et al.	8,755,856 B2	6/2014	Diab et al.
8,399,822 B2	3/2013	Al-Ali	8,755,872 B1	6/2014	Marinow
8,401,602 B2	3/2013	Kiani	8,761,850 B2	6/2014	Lamego
8,405,608 B2	3/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,764,671 B2	7/2014	Kiani
8,414,499 B2	4/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,768,423 B2	7/2014	Shakespeare et al.
8,418,524 B2	4/2013	Al-Ali	8,771,204 B2	7/2014	Telfort et al.
8,423,106 B2	4/2013	Lamego et al.	8,777,634 B2	7/2014	Kiani et al.
8,428,967 B2	4/2013	Olsen et al.	8,781,543 B2	7/2014	Diab et al.
8,430,817 B1	4/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,781,544 B2	7/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,437,825 B2	5/2013	Dalvi et al.	8,781,549 B2	7/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,455,290 B2	6/2013	Siskavich	8,788,003 B2	7/2014	Schurman et al.
8,457,703 B2	6/2013	Al-Ali	8,790,268 B2	7/2014	Al-Ali
8,457,707 B2	6/2013	Kiani	8,801,613 B2	8/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,463,349 B2	6/2013	Diab et al.	8,821,397 B2	9/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,466,286 B2	6/2013	Bellott et al.	8,821,415 B2	9/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,471,713 B2	6/2013	Poeze et al.	8,830,449 B1	9/2014	Lamego et al.
8,473,020 B2	6/2013	Kiani et al.	8,831,700 B2	9/2014	Schurman et al.
8,483,787 B2	7/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,840,549 B2	9/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,489,364 B2	7/2013	Weber et al.	8,847,740 B2	9/2014	Kiani et al.
8,498,684 B2	7/2013	Weber et al.	8,849,365 B2	9/2014	Smith et al.
8,504,128 B2	8/2013	Blank et al.	8,852,094 B2	10/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,509,867 B2	8/2013	Workman et al.	8,852,994 B2	10/2014	Wojtczuk et al.
8,515,509 B2	8/2013	Bruinsma et al.	8,868,147 B2	10/2014	Stippick et al.
8,523,781 B2	9/2013	Al-Ali	8,868,150 B2	10/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,529,301 B2	9/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,870,792 B2	10/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,532,727 B2	9/2013	Ali et al.	8,886,271 B2	11/2014	Kiani et al.
8,532,728 B2	9/2013	Diab et al.	8,888,539 B2	11/2014	Al-Ali et al.
D692,145 S	10/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,888,708 B2	11/2014	Diab et al.
8,547,209 B2	10/2013	Kiani et al.	8,892,180 B2	11/2014	Weber et al.
8,548,548 B2	10/2013	Al-Ali	8,897,847 B2	11/2014	Al-Ali
8,548,549 B2	10/2013	Schurman et al.	8,909,310 B2	12/2014	Lamego et al.
8,548,550 B2	10/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,911,377 B2	12/2014	Al-Ali
8,560,032 B2	10/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,912,909 B2	12/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,560,034 B1	10/2013	Diab et al.	8,920,317 B2	12/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,570,167 B2	10/2013	Al-Ali	8,921,699 B2	12/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,570,503 B2	10/2013	Vo et al.	8,922,382 B2	12/2014	Al-Ali et al.
8,571,617 B2	10/2013	Reichgott et al.	8,929,964 B2	1/2015	Al-Ali et al.
8,571,618 B1	10/2013	Lamego et al.	8,942,777 B2	1/2015	Diab et al.
8,571,619 B2	10/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,948,834 B2	2/2015	Diab et al.
8,577,431 B2	11/2013	Lamego et al.	8,948,835 B2	2/2015	Diab
8,581,732 B2	11/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,965,471 B2	2/2015	Lamego
8,584,345 B2	11/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,983,564 B2	3/2015	Al-Ali
8,588,880 B2	11/2013	Abdul-Hafiz et al.	8,989,831 B2	3/2015	Al-Ali et al.
8,600,467 B2	12/2013	Al-Ali et al.	8,996,085 B2	3/2015	Kiani et al.
8,606,342 B2	12/2013	Diab	8,998,809 B2	4/2015	Kiani
8,626,255 B2	1/2014	Al-Ali et al.	9,028,429 B2	5/2015	Telfort et al.
8,630,691 B2	1/2014	Lamego et al.	9,037,207 B2	5/2015	Al-Ali et al.
8,634,889 B2	1/2014	Al-Ali et al.	9,060,721 B2	6/2015	Reichgott et al.
8,641,631 B2	2/2014	Sierra et al.	9,066,666 B2	6/2015	Kiani
8,652,060 B2	2/2014	Al-Ali	9,066,680 B1	6/2015	Al-Ali et al.
			9,072,474 B2	7/2015	Al-Ali et al.
			9,078,560 B2	7/2015	Schurman et al.
			9,084,569 B2	7/2015	Weber et al.
			9,095,316 B2	8/2015	Welch et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

9,106,038 B2	8/2015	Telfort et al.	2013/0253334 A1	9/2013	Al-Ali et al.
9,107,625 B2	8/2015	Telfort et al.	2013/0296672 A1	11/2013	O'Neil et al.
9,107,626 B2	8/2015	Al-Ali et al.	2013/0317370 A1	11/2013	Dalvi et al.
9,113,831 B2	8/2015	Al-Ali	2013/0324808 A1	12/2013	Al-Ali et al.
9,113,832 B2	8/2015	Al-Ali	2013/0331670 A1	12/2013	Kiani
9,119,595 B2	9/2015	Lamego	2013/0338461 A1	12/2013	Lamego et al.
9,131,881 B2	9/2015	Diab et al.	2014/0012100 A1	1/2014	Al-Ali et al.
9,131,882 B2	9/2015	Al-Ali et al.	2014/0034353 A1	2/2014	Al-Ali et al.
9,131,883 B2	9/2015	Al-Ali	2014/0051953 A1	2/2014	Lamego et al.
9,131,917 B2	9/2015	Telfort et al.	2014/0058230 A1	2/2014	Abdul-Hafiz et al.
9,138,180 B1	9/2015	Coverston et al.	2014/0066783 A1	3/2014	Kiani et al.
9,138,182 B2	9/2015	Al-Ali et al.	2014/0077956 A1	3/2014	Sampath et al.
9,138,192 B2	9/2015	Weber et al.	2014/0081100 A1	3/2014	Muhsin et al.
9,142,117 B2	9/2015	Muhsin et al.	2014/0081175 A1	3/2014	Telfort
9,153,112 B1	10/2015	Kiani et al.	2014/0094667 A1	4/2014	Schurman et al.
9,153,121 B2	10/2015	Kiani et al.	2014/0100434 A1	4/2014	Diab et al.
9,161,696 B2	10/2015	Al-Ali et al.	2014/0114199 A1	4/2014	Lamego et al.
9,161,713 B2	10/2015	Al-Ali et al.	2014/0120564 A1	5/2014	Workman et al.
9,167,995 B2	10/2015	Lamego et al.	2014/0121482 A1	5/2014	Merritt et al.
9,176,141 B2	11/2015	Al-Ali et al.	2014/0121483 A1	5/2014	Kiani
9,186,102 B2	11/2015	Bruinsma et al.	2014/0127137 A1	5/2014	Bellott et al.
2009/0247984 A1	10/2009	Lamego et al.	2014/0129702 A1	5/2014	Lamego et al.
2009/0275844 A1	11/2009	Al-Ali	2014/0135588 A1	5/2014	Al-Ali et al.
2010/0004518 A1	1/2010	Vo et al.	2014/0142401 A1	5/2014	Al-Ali et al.
2010/0030040 A1	2/2010	Poeze et al.	2014/0163344 A1	6/2014	Al-Ali
2011/0001605 A1	1/2011	Kiani et al.	2014/0163402 A1	6/2014	Lamego et al.
2011/0082711 A1	4/2011	Poeze et al.	2014/0166076 A1	6/2014	Kiani et al.
2011/0105854 A1	5/2011	Kiani et al.	2014/0171763 A1	6/2014	Diab
2011/0208015 A1	8/2011	Welch et al.	2014/0180038 A1	6/2014	Kiani
2011/0213212 A1	9/2011	Al-Ali	2014/0180154 A1	6/2014	Sierra et al.
2011/0230733 A1	9/2011	Al-Ali	2014/0194709 A1	7/2014	Al-Ali et al.
2011/0237911 A1	9/2011	Lamego et al.	2014/0194711 A1	7/2014	Al-Ali
2012/0059267 A1	3/2012	Lamego et al.	2014/0194766 A1	7/2014	Al-Ali et al.
2012/0179006 A1	7/2012	Jansen et al.	2014/0206963 A1	7/2014	Al-Ali
2012/0209082 A1	8/2012	Al-Ali	2014/0213864 A1	7/2014	Abdul-Hafiz et al.
2012/0209084 A1	8/2012	Olsen et al.	2014/0243627 A1	8/2014	Diab et al.
2012/0227739 A1	9/2012	Kiani	2014/0266790 A1	9/2014	Al-Ali et al.
2012/0283524 A1	11/2012	Kiani et al.	2014/0275808 A1	9/2014	Poeze et al.
2012/0296178 A1	11/2012	Lamego et al.	2014/0275835 A1	9/2014	Lamego et al.
2012/0319816 A1	12/2012	Al-Ali	2014/0275871 A1	9/2014	Lamego et al.
2012/0330112 A1	12/2012	Lamego et al.	2014/0275872 A1	9/2014	Merritt et al.
2013/0023775 A1	1/2013	Lamego et al.	2014/0275881 A1	9/2014	Lamego et al.
2013/0041591 A1	2/2013	Lamego	2014/0288400 A1	9/2014	Diab et al.
2013/0045685 A1	2/2013	Kiani	2014/0303520 A1	10/2014	Telfort et al.
2013/0046204 A1	2/2013	Lamego et al.	2014/0316228 A1	10/2014	Blank et al.
2013/0060147 A1	3/2013	Welch et al.	2014/0323825 A1	10/2014	Al-Ali et al.
2013/0096405 A1	4/2013	Garfio	2014/0330092 A1	11/2014	Al-Ali et al.
2013/0096936 A1	4/2013	Sampath et al.	2014/0330098 A1	11/2014	Merritt et al.
2013/0190581 A1	7/2013	Al-Ali et al.	2014/0330099 A1	11/2014	Al-Ali et al.
2013/0197328 A1	8/2013	Diab et al.	2014/0333440 A1	11/2014	Kiani
2013/0211214 A1	8/2013	Olsen	2014/0336481 A1	11/2014	Shakespeare et al.
2013/0243021 A1	9/2013	Siskavich	2014/0343436 A1	11/2014	Kiani
			2015/0018650 A1	1/2015	Al-Ali et al.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

500





FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9

PHYSIOLOGICAL MEASUREMENT LOGIC ENGINE

RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/425,085, filed Mar. 20, 2012, entitled "Physiological Measurement Logic Engine," which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/272,038, filed Oct. 12, 2011, entitled "Physiological Measurement Logic Engine," which claims priority benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/392,863, filed Oct. 13, 2010, entitled "Physiological Measurement Logic Engine," each of which is hereby incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

FIELD

The present disclosure relates to non-invasive biological parameter sensing, including sensing using optical and/or acoustic sensors and related systems and methods.

BACKGROUND

Patient monitoring of various physiological parameters of a patient is important to a wide range of medical applications. Pulse oximetry is one of the techniques that have developed to accomplish the monitoring of some of these physiological characteristics. Pulse oximetry relies on a sensor attached externally to a patient to output signals indicative of various physiological parameters, such as a patient's constituents and/or analytes, including for example a percent value for arterial oxygen saturation, carbon monoxide saturation, methemoglobin saturation, fractional saturations, total hematocrit, bilirubins, perfusion quality, or the like. A pulse oximetry system generally includes a patient monitor, a communications medium such as a cable, and/or a physiological sensor having light emitters and a detector, such as one or more LEDs and a photodetector. The sensor is attached to a tissue site, such as a finger, toe, ear lobe, nose, hand, foot, or other site having pulsatile blood flow which can be penetrated by light from the emitters. The detector is responsive to the emitted light after attenuation by pulsatile blood flowing in the tissue site. The detector outputs a detector signal to the monitor over the communication medium, which processes the signal to provide a numerical readout of physiological parameters such as oxygen saturation (SpO₂) and/or pulse rate.

High fidelity patient monitors capable of reading through motion induced noise are disclosed in U.S. Pat. Nos. 7,096,054, 6,813,511, 6,792,300, 6,770,028, 6,658,276, 6,157,850, 6,002,952, 5,769,785, and 5,758,644, which are assigned to Masimo Corporation of Irvine, Calif. ("Masimo Corp.") and are incorporated by reference herein. Advanced physiological monitoring systems can incorporate pulse oximetry in addition to advanced features for the calculation and display of other blood parameters, such as carboxyhemoglobin (HbCO), methemoglobin (HbMet), total hemoglobin (Hbt), total Hematocrit (Hct), oxygen concentrations, glucose concentrations, blood pressure, electrocardiogram data, temperature, and/or respiratory rate as a few examples. Typically, the physiological monitoring system provides a numerical readout of and/or waveform of the measured parameter. Advanced physiological monitors and multiple wavelength optical sensors capable of measuring parameters in addition to SpO₂, such as HbCO, HbMet and/or Hbt are described in at least U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/367,

013, filed Mar. 1, 2006, titled Multiple Wavelength Sensor Emitters, now issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,764,982, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/366,208, filed Mar. 1, 2006, titled Noninvasive Multi-Parameter Patient Monitor, assigned to Masimo Laboratories, Inc. and incorporated by reference herein. Further, noninvasive blood parameter monitors and optical sensors including Rainbow™ adhesive and reusable sensors and RAD57™ and Radical7™ monitors capable of measuring SpO₂, pulse rate, perfusion index (PI), signal quality (SiQ), pulse variability index (PVI), HbCO and/or HbMet, among other parameters, are also commercially available from Masimo Corp. of Irvine, Calif.

Another physiological monitoring system uses sensors that include piezoelectric membranes located on or near a patient's body to measure body sounds. The body sounds can then be analyzed to determine ventilation, apnea, respiration rate, or other parameters. These monitors are referred to as acoustic respiratory monitors. Acoustic respiratory monitors are also commercially available from Masimo Corp. of Irvine, Calif.

SUMMARY

The present disclosure relates to a system for simplifying logic choices in a computing environment. In an embodiment physiological processing is simplified by abstracting relevant features, or general characteristics of the signal. As used herein, features and general characteristics are used interchangeably. In an embodiment, features of physiological signals are abstracted and are used in conjunction with a logic table in order to determine a course of action. In an embodiment, the abstracted features are used to provide a bit encoding scheme which directly relates to a specified result. In an embodiment, the system is used to encode logic choices relevant to display characteristics of the device.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates an example of an abstraction of the relative slopes of physiological signals.

FIG. 2 illustrates an example of an abstraction of the difference in outputs of different processing engines.

FIG. 3 illustrates an example of an abstraction of a trend line linear regression, and/or a standard deviation.

FIG. 4 is an expanded view of a lookup table that can be used by a patient monitor to determine what action to perform in view of the abstracted features.

FIG. 5 is a flow chart illustrating a process implemented by a patient monitor for determining what action to perform based on the abstracted features.

FIG. 6 is a flow chart illustrating an embodiment of a process implemented by a patient monitor for determining an appropriate action based on the features of a set of data.

FIG. 7 illustrates an embodiment of a patient monitoring system capable of abstracting features of physiological data.

FIG. 8 illustrates an example noninvasive multiparameter physiological monitor.

FIG. 9 illustrates an embodiment of an acoustic sensor system, which can provide physiological data to the patient monitoring system.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Signal Analysis

Real time processing of physiological signals is often difficult and requires significant computing power, fast processors and significant power consumption and heat dissi-

pation. Typical signal processing involves intensive and difficult mathematic operations in order to extrapolate useful data.

Feature Abstraction

One way to reduce the computational load in a physiological processing system is to abstract features of the signal. Feature abstractions use only relatively simple analysis which significantly reduces computational loads. Once abstracted, the features can then be used to make determinations about the physiological signals.

The difference between feature abstraction and typical computationally intensive signal processing is best understood with an analogy to human observations vs. computations. For example, consider the situation where Person A lives next to Person B. Person B brings their trash container out to the same position every time the trash is collected. Although Person A may not consciously note the position of the trash container, Person A is likely to notice that something is different if the trash container is placed in a different position. Importantly, that recognition can be made without measuring the exact position change of the trash container or even consciously noting the normal position of the trash container. Similarly, Person A may further note other changes regarding Person B. For example, Person A is likely to note that a different car is parked in Person B's driveway. Person A can make this determination without comparing old and new license plate numbers. Another example may be that Person A notes different children playing in Person B's yard. None of these abstractions or general observations required significant thought or specific measurements on the part of Person A. Similarly, features of physiological signals can be abstracted at various levels without significant computations.

Once abstracted, each feature can potentially indicate a number of possible explanations. For example, using the same analogy above, the change in location of Person B's trash container could indicate that a different family member took out the trash. However, coupling the change in location of trash container along with the different car and unfamiliar children playing in the yard may indicate that Person A has new neighbors. Importantly, the conclusion that Person A may have new neighbors is made without having direct knowledge of a change in neighbors or actually seeing the neighbors. Similarly, combinations of abstracted features provide different indications about the signal under analysis, while using relatively low processing power. However, it is to be understood that abstracting features of the signals described herein is significantly more complex and computationally intensive than the example given above. Furthermore, the abstraction of features of the signals (or data) described herein is typically done in real-time or near real-time using a digital signal processor, microcontroller, or other processor, operating at speeds far surpassing those of a human. For example, the processor may operate at hundreds, thousands, millions, billions, or even more cycles per second to ensure the features are abstracted in a timely manner. If the features are abstracted too slowly they lose their relevance.

In an embodiment, various features of a detected signal are abstracted. For example, in an embodiment, the relative slope of a signal over one or more windows of time is abstracted. In an embodiment, the relative noise level of a signal is determined. In an embodiment, the relative signal strength is determined. In an embodiment, comparisons between various features over different windows of time are compared and the comparison is an abstracted feature. In an embodiment, the features are abstracted in real-time or near

real-time. Other abstractions can also be made as will be understood by those of skill in the art based on the present disclosure.

The examples provided below in FIGS. 1-3 are simplified examples of the types of abstractions that occur using the device described herein. It is to be understood that the calculations, computations, and abstractions are performed in real-time or near real-time at speeds surpassing those capable of a human. For example, the processor may perform hundreds, thousands, millions, billions or more calculations per second to determine the appropriate abstraction in real time or near real-time. In addition, the signals (or data) abstracted by the processor may be electrical signals, infrared signals, wireless signals, or other electro-magnetic wave signals that are incomprehensible to a human in their raw form and at the speeds communicated.

FIG. 1 illustrates an example of an abstraction of the relative slopes of physiological signals. As illustrated in FIG. 1, signals within two windows of time 102, 104 are analyzed. The windows can be any length of time. In an embodiment, each window is 30 seconds long. In another embodiment, each window is 60 seconds long. Other lengths of time can also be used. The windows can overlap or be non-overlapping in time. The signals in each window are then abstracted and characterized into one of five different slopes. These slopes are illustrated by the slope abstraction illustrator 114. For example, the relative slope of the signal within window 102 most closely matches slope 118. Similarly, the overall slope of the signal, or data, within window 104 most closely matches slope 130. By abstracting the overall slope of the signal into a relative slope, computations relevant to the signals are simplified. The overall slope of the signal may also be referred to as the trend of the signal. Although described with respect to five different slope abstraction values, more or fewer abstractions levels can be used. For example, one system might use 10 different abstraction slopes while another system might use three different abstraction slopes.

In an embodiment, after abstraction, the signal in each window is assigned a category. In the embodiment illustrated, the category is a bit code corresponding to the slope abstraction. In an embodiment, the slope of the signal in window 102, is matched to slope 118, and assigned bits "001." Similarly, the slope of the signal in window 104 is assigned to bits "010." As will be explained in greater detail below, bit assignments can be used to further simplify processing. Furthermore, as will be understood by those in the art from the present disclosure, the bit codes presented in the this example are not intended to be limiting and other bit codes, including different numbers of bits, can be used with the present disclosure.

In an embodiment, after abstracting the slopes of two or more signals in two or more windows of time, the slopes are then compared in order to determine a change in slope. The change in relative slope then provides another abstraction that can be used in further processing to make determinations regarding the signal. In an embodiment, this abstraction is also assigned a bit code based on the comparison. In an embodiment, the comparison is not necessary because the bit codes can be used in conjunction with the table described in FIG. 4 to designate an outcome based on the slopes without the necessity of further comparison computations. Thus, as described below, using the bit codes in association with FIG. 4 obviates the need the further processing and computational steps that might otherwise be necessary.

Another abstraction involves the comparison of two overlapping windows of data. This is illustrated, for example, in

FIG. 2. Typically, physiological signals received from sensors are processed before being displayed. In processing the physiological signals, a patient monitor, described in more detail below with reference to FIGS. 7 and 9, performs any number of functions, computations, filters, and the like. The processing can account for signal noise, motion artifact, or any number of other signal distortions that can affect signal quality and the reliability of the output.

In an embodiment a patient monitor processes the data using one or more processing techniques, which may also be referred to as engines or processing engines, in parallel. The various engines can identify and filter specific signal distortions. For example, one engine can be configured to filter according to repetitive motion, while another engine can be configured to filter according to a single instance of motion. Other engines may be configured to filter or process the signals to account for other signal distortions, such as low signal-to-noise ratio, low signal power, low perfusion and the like. The use of parallel engines is described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,157,850, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

With continued reference to FIG. 2, window 202 is an illustration of a physiological signal processed over a first time period, or window of time. Window 204 is an illustration of the same physiological signal processed over a different window of time, but that overlaps with the first window of time. This can be done using the same or different engines for each window of time. The x-axis 212 of the windows 202, 204 represents time, and the y-axis 214 of the windows 202, 204 represents signal amplitude. The data 220, 222 can be any type of physiological data, signal, or other data. Furthermore, the windows 202, 204 can be broken down into time the segments 210A, 210B, 210C, 210D, that divide the data based on a predefined time period. The predefined time period may be any size, ranging from less than a microsecond to minutes, hours or more. Typically, the data within the same time segments 210A, 210B, 210C, 210D of different windows 202 and 204 is similar. As noted in FIG. 2, the data 220, 222 is generally similar throughout most of the time segments 210A, 210B, 210C, 210D. However, in time segment 210A, data 206 and 208 are substantially dissimilar. The dissimilarities may be a result of differences in the processing. The dissimilarities may also be the result of different engines, where one engine is more suited for handling an event that occurred during time segment 210A, poor signal strength during time segment 210A, an error, or the like. Thus, one feature that the patient monitor can abstract is the difference in outputs between different engines and/or different windows of time.

In an embodiment, while abstracting the data, the patient monitor compares and identifies the difference between data 206 and data 208 in time segment 210A. The patient monitor categorizes the difference depending on various factors, such as type of data being analyzed, type of analysis being performed, engines being used, etc. In an embodiment, the difference is categorized as an insignificant difference, a minor difference, and/or significant difference. Based on the categorization, the patient monitor can implement a predefined action using a look-up table, which will be described in greater detail below, with reference to FIG. 4. Additional methods for categorizing the differences between the engine outputs may be used without departing from the spirit and scope of the description. Furthermore, the categories may be encoded using any number of different bit codes, as described above.

FIG. 3 is a plot diagram illustrating another embodiment of a feature abstraction, involving a trend line, linear regres-

sion, and/or standard deviation. Graph 300 illustrates an example of data measurements 306 over time. The x-axis 302 of graph 300 represents time and the y-axis 304 represents the amplitude of the measurements 306. The line 308 represents a trend line of the data measurements 306 over time. In an embodiment, the data measurements are normalized.

In an embodiment, the patient monitor computes a confidence value of the data measurements 306. The confidence value can be computed using the standard deviation, average, correlation coefficient and/or linear regression of the data measurements 306. For example, a high standard deviation and/or low correlation coefficient may be equated with a low confidence value, whereas a low standard deviation and/or high correlation coefficient may be equated with a high confidence value. Based on the confidence value, the data can be sorted into different categories indicating the level of confidence that can be placed in the data. For example, a relatively low confidence level may indicate that the signals are experiencing relatively large amounts of noise or other distortions, and that little confidence should be placed in the output. A relatively high confidence level may indicate that the patient monitor is experiencing relatively little noise in the system, and that high confidence can be placed in the output. The categories may be implemented using bit codes, described above with reference to FIG. 1. It is to be understood that more or fewer categories can be used to categorize the standard deviation of the data, or the trend line.

FIG. 4 is a block diagram illustrating an embodiment of an expanded view of an electronic lookup table (LUT) 400 implemented in the patient monitor. In an embodiment, the LUT 400 includes at least three sections: the features logic section 402, the expansion section 404, and the output section 406. The features logic section 402 is further broken down into three subsections 408, 410, 412. Each subsection 408, 410, 412 includes a bit encoding of the category of one abstracted feature. Each bit encoding is made up of multiple bits and/or bytes 414. The LUT 400 can be used by the patient monitor to determine what action to perform based on the category, or bit code, of the various features of the physiological data. It will be understood that the LUT 400 is only an example, and other embodiments with fewer or more sections, subsections, bit encodings, and features may be used without departing from the spirit and scope of the description.

As mentioned, LUT 400 includes three sections: the features logic section 402, the expansion section 404, and the output section 406. The patient monitor uses the feature logic section 402 and the expansion section 404 to "lookup" the action (encoded as the output 406) that is to be performed. Thus, each possible permutation of the featured logic section 402 and the expansion section 404 can have a corresponding output section 406. In other words, the output section 406 (or action) is selected as a function of the featured logic section 402 and the expansion section 404.

The feature logic section 402 is made up of one or more subsections 408, 410, and 412. Each subsection 408, 410, 412 can include one or more representations of categories of individual features in the form of individual bits and/or bytes 414. In the example illustrated, the features logic 402 includes three subsections 408, 410, 412. Each subsection 408, 410, 412 includes a bit code, made up of two bits, for a category of one individual feature. It will be understood that the feature logic section 402 can include fewer or more subsections and that the categories of the individual features may be represented with more or fewer bits as desired. For

example, a greater number of categories may be desired for some features based on their complexity. As such, the features having more categories can use larger bit codes with more bits or bytes. Accordingly, in an embodiment, the bit codes for the different features are not uniform in their size. For example, one bit code for one feature may use two bits, while another bit code for another feature may use five bytes. In another embodiment, the bit codes are uniform in size for all features.

The expansion section **404** can include a number of subsections, similar to the subsections **408**, **410**, **412** of the feature logic section **402**. The expansion subsections can include space, in the form of bits/bytes, for new features that are not included in the subsections **408**, **410**, **412**. When not being used, the bits/bytes in the expansion section **404** can all be set to a logic '0' or logic '1,' as desired.

As mentioned earlier, the output section **406** is used by the patient monitor to determine the appropriate action in light of the feature logic section **402** and the expansion section **404**. The patient monitor can use other logic as well in determining the appropriate output or action. The output section **406** can include a number of subsections similar to the feature logic section **402** and the expansion section **404**. Furthermore, the actions to be taken by the patient monitor are encoded as bit codes within the output section **406**. In an embodiment, each permutation of the feature logic section **402** and the expansion section **404** equates to a different bit code in the output section **406**. In another embodiment, the bit code in the output section **406** for one or more permutations of the feature logic section **402** and the expansion section **404** is the same.

By abstracting the features and using the LUT **400**, the patient monitor can reduce the amount of processing resources needed to perform the appropriate action given the set of data. Rather than processing the data itself, the patient monitor is able to abstract generalizations or general characteristics of the data and make determinations based on the general characteristics themselves. Thus, the patient monitor avoids processing the individual data itself. Even in those instances where analyzing or determining a feature is resource intensive, the patient monitor is able to reduce the overall amount of processing by reducing the number of items analyzed. For instance, instead of processing hundreds or even thousands of individual pieces of data, the patient monitor is able to process all, or a large number of, the pieces of data using a relatively small number of general characteristics that apply to the pieces of data in the aggregate. In addition, the use of a lookup table allows the actions or outputs to be predetermined, allowing the patient monitor to perform a simple "lookup" rather than repeatedly determining the appropriate action for each feature or piece of data analyzed. Furthermore, the lookup table can be implemented in hardware, further saving processing resources. Another benefit of the table is that in one embodiment there are no conditions left undefined. Often, in generating large and complex if/then statements in software, conditions are inevitably left out such that the device does not know what to do under an undefined condition. The table obviates this problem by inherently providing a result for every possible state.

FIG. 5 is a flow chart illustrating a process **500** implemented by a patient monitor for determining what action to perform based on the abstracted features. In an embodiment, the process **500** is executed in real-time or near real-time by the patient monitor. At block **504**, the patient monitor obtains physiological data. The physiological data can be many various types of physiological data as described

above. In some embodiments, the data need not be physiological data, as will be described in greater detail below.

At block **506**, the patient monitor abstracts features of a set of data, or general characteristics. As described above, the features may include: differing engine outputs, standard deviation, slope, average, linear regression, correlation coefficient, and the like. Additional features may be used as well, such as time domain features, frequency domain features, and the like.

In abstracting the features, the patient monitor may analyze various general characteristics of the set of data in a variety of ways. For example, the patient monitor can abstract all the features or a subset of all the features. The subset can be determined based on the features that require, or are likely to use, relatively little processing resources, or can be determined randomly. In an embodiment, the patient monitor uses a list of predetermined features to determine which features to analyze. In an embodiment, the list of features is stored in the memory of the patient monitor. In another embodiment, the list is stored in memory remotely located from the patient monitor. In yet another embodiment, the patient monitor determines which features are to be abstracted based on the type of data being processed or based on the abstractions that are available to the monitor at any given point in time. For example, some features of data may be more pronounced or more easily determined based on the type of data received. For example, comparing the output of different engines of plethysmograph data may be less computationally intensive than calculating the standard deviation or linear regression of the plethysmograph data. In such an instance, the patient monitor can select to abstract the comparison between the data engines and not calculate the standard deviation or linear regression. In another embodiment, the patient monitor determines both abstractions. In an embodiment, the determination of which abstraction to use is based on a confidence level for each abstraction. In an embodiment, each abstraction is further given a confidence bit code to indicate a confidence level of that abstraction.

At block **508**, the patient monitor matches the features of the set of data with an appropriate output, or action using a lookup table. The lookup table may be similar to the one described above, with reference to FIG. 4. Although not shown, the patient monitor can encode the features into different categories prior to using the lookup table. Upon completing the lookup and performing the associated action, the process **500** repeats itself as needed throughout the operation of the device.

FIG. 6 is a flow chart illustrating an embodiment of a process **600** implemented by a patient monitor for determining an appropriate action based on the features of physiological data, which can also be referred to as a set of data.

At block **604**, the patient monitor obtains a set of data as described in greater detail above, with reference to block **502** of FIG. 5. At block **606**, the patient monitor determines a first feature of the set of data. As described earlier, the feature can be any one of various general characteristics of the data including, slope, standard deviation, linear regression, correlation coefficient, differences between engine outputs, time domain, frequency domain, and the like. It is to be understood that features of data that can be abstracted are many, and should not be limited by the features specifically recited herein.

At block **608**, the patient monitor determines a category within the first feature of the set of data. As described earlier, with reference to FIGS. 1, 2, and 3, the categories may take many different forms. For instance, as described above with

reference to FIG. 1, if the abstracted feature is the slope of the set of data, the categories may be represented as a number of different slopes, and encoded using bit codes. Thus, the patient monitor will determine the proper slope, or category, that should be associated with the set of data.

In the example illustrated in FIG. 2, the abstracted feature is the difference between engine outputs, and the categories can be insignificant difference, minor difference, and significant difference. Thus, the patient monitor determines which category is appropriate for the difference between data 206 and 208 in time segment 210A of FIG. 2.

Similarly, the patient monitor can determine the appropriate category for the standard deviation, linear regression, correlation coefficient, and/or trend line of data measurements, as described above with reference to FIG. 3. In an embodiment, the number of different categories within a feature is finite and/or predetermined. In another embodiment, the categories are determined dynamically during process 600. In an embodiment, the categories are implemented using bit codes. In another embodiment, the categories are implemented using an alphanumeric code, or word. Furthermore, the categories of each feature may be different. For instance, one feature may have two categories and another feature may have ten or more features. Furthermore, the different categories of a feature can be based on the type of data, the type of physiological data, the type of feature, the type of analysis being performed, or the like.

At block 610, the patient monitor encodes the selected category to be used when looking up the appropriate action in a lookup table. The patient monitor can encode the category in any number of different ways and use any number of different bits and/or bytes to do so. In an embodiment, the categories are represented as different sequences of bits and/or bytes, or bit codes. The patient monitor uses the bit codes to look up the appropriate output in the lookup table. Other methods of encoding the data are envisioned without departing from the spirit and scope of the description. For example, the different categories may be represented using some alphanumeric code or word, or the like.

At determination block 612, the patient monitor determines if there are additional features of the set of data to be analyzed. As described above in greater detail with reference to FIG. 4, more than one feature can be used in the lookup table to select the appropriate action. Thus, at block 612, the patient monitor determines if an additional feature of the set of data is to be analyzed. If there is an additional feature of the set of data to be analyzed, the patient monitor determines the additional feature of the set of data, as illustrated at block 614. Block 614 is similar to block 606, described above. At block 616, the patient monitor determines a category within the additional feature of the set of data, similar to block 608, described above. At block 618, the patient monitor encodes the category to use with the lookup table, as described above with reference to block 610.

After encoding the category, as illustrated at block 618, the patient monitor again determines if there is an additional feature to be analyzed, as illustrated at block 612. If there are additional features, the patient monitor continues to analyze the additional feature(s), determine the category within the additional feature(s) and encode the category, as illustrated in blocks 614, 616, and 618, respectively. Once there are no additional features, the patient monitor looks up the action corresponding to the one or more encoded categories, as illustrated at block 620.

To determine the appropriate action based on the encoded categories, the patient monitor can use a lookup table,

similar to the LUT 400 described above, with reference to FIG. 4. Using the lookup table, the patient monitor can account for all of the different categories of the different features that were previously analyzed and determine the appropriate action. In an embodiment, abstracting features and using the lookup table reduces the number of computations processed by the patient monitor.

At block 622, the patient monitor performs the appropriate action based on the output of the lookup table. In an embodiment, the patient monitor repeats process 600 as desired.

It is to be understood that the different actions that can be performed by the patient monitor are many. For example, the patient monitor may determine that the appropriate action includes changing a display or output, activating an alarm, gathering additional data via the sensor, the internet or some other means, notifying a healthcare provider, the patient or another person, powering off, requesting additional information from a user, etc. Thus, the various actions that may be performed should be construed broadly.

Although described in terms of a patient monitor and physiological data, the processes described above, may be carried out using any number of general computing devices such as a personal computer, tablet, smart phone, and the like. As described above, abstracting features, or general characteristics, of data and then performing some type of action based on the general characteristics, or features, of the data rather than the data itself can significantly decrease processing resources. The process can be useful whenever abstracting and processing features would use fewer processing resources than processing the data itself or where a number of different potential options are available and undefined states would be harmful.

For example, in an embodiment, the table of FIG. 4 can be used in conjunction with a system that automatically changes display characteristics based user proximity. In an embodiment in a system that tracks proximate users, the abstraction system described and table of FIG. 4 can be used to determine screen changes based on proximate user preferences. There can be a number of potential inputs used in determining screen orientation. These can include proximity to the screen in distance, hierarchy of proximate users, alarms relevant to proximate users, etc. Each of these inputs act as the signal abstractions and each will receive an appropriate bit code that can be used with the table of FIG. 4 to determine a solution. In an embodiment, use of the signal abstractions reduces the number nested if/then statements in software and simplifies the ability to encode for every possible solution.

FIG. 7 illustrates an embodiment of a patient monitoring system 700 capable of abstracting features of physiological data, as described above with reference to FIGS. 1-6. The patient monitoring system 700 includes a patient monitor 702 attached to a sensor 706 by a cable 704. The sensor monitors various physiological data of a patient and sends signals indicative of the one or more parameters to the patient monitor 702 for processing.

The patient monitor 702 generally includes a display 708, control buttons 710, and a speaker 712 for audible alerts. The display 708 is capable of displaying readings of various monitored patient parameters, which may include numerical readouts, graphical readouts, and the like. Display 708 may be a liquid crystal display (LCD), a cathode ray tube (CRT), a plasma screen, a Light Emitting Diode (LED) screen, Organic Light Emitting Diode (OLED) screen, or any other suitable display. The patient monitor 702 may monitor

SpO₂, Hb, HbO₂, SpHb™, SpCO®, SpOC™, SpMet®, PI, PVI®, PR, temperature, and/or other parameters.

An embodiment of a patient monitoring system **700** according to the present disclosure is capable of measuring and displaying trending data of the various parameters and preferably is capable of conducting data analysis as to the trending. Furthermore, the patient monitoring system is capable of abstracting features of the physiological data being monitored. In an embodiment, the patient monitor **702** includes an abstraction module for carrying out the processes described above. It is to be understood by one skilled in the art that the patient monitor **702** may come in various, shapes, sizes and configurations without departing from the spirit and scope of the description. For example, the patient monitor **702** may be larger, smaller, portable, comprise varying size displays **708**, and the like.

The sensor **706** may be one of many different types. For example, the sensor **706** may be disposable, reusable, multi-site, partially reusable, partially disposable, be adhesive or non-adhesive, monitor the physiological parameters using reflectance, transmittance, or transreflectance, and may be placed on a finger, hand, foot, forehead, neck, or ear, and may be a stereo sensor or a two-headed sensor. Thus, one of skill in the art will appreciate that sensor **706** may be any number of different types of sensors without departing from the spirit and scope of the disclosure.

FIG. 8 illustrates an example noninvasive multiparameter physiological monitor **800** that can implement any of the features, processes, steps, etc., described herein. An embodiment of the monitor **800** includes a display **801** showing data for multiple physiological parameters. For example, the display **801** can include a CRT or an LCD display including circuitry similar to that available on physiological monitors commercially available from Masimo Corporation of Irvine, Calif. sold under the name Radical™, and disclosed in U.S. Pat. Nos. 7,221,971; 7,215,986; 7,215,984 and 6,850,787, for example, the disclosures of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety. In an embodiment, the multiparameter patient monitor includes an abstraction module for performing the processes described above. Many other display components can be used that are capable of displaying respiratory rate and other physiological parameter data along with the ability to display graphical data such as plethysmographs, respiratory waveforms, trend graphs or traces, and the like.

The depicted embodiment of the display **801** includes a measured value of respiratory rate **812** (in breaths per minute (bpm)) and a respiratory rate waveform graph **806**. In addition, other measured blood constituents shown include SpO₂ **802**, a pulse rate **804** in beats per minute (BPM), and a perfusion index **808**. Many other blood constituents or other physiological parameters can be measured and displayed by the multiparameter physiological monitor **800**, such as blood pressure, ECG readings, EtCO₂ values, bioimpedance values, and the like. In some embodiments, multiple respiratory rates, corresponding to the multiple input sensors and/or monitors, can be displayed.

FIG. 9 illustrates an embodiment of a sensor system **900** including a sensor assembly **901** and a monitor cable **911** suitable for use with any of the physiological monitors shown in FIGS. 7 and 8. The sensor assembly **901** includes a sensor **915**, a cable assembly **917**, and a connector **905**. The sensor **915**, in one embodiment, includes a sensor subassembly **902** and an attachment subassembly **904**. The cable assembly **917** of one embodiment includes a sensor **907** and a patient anchor **903**. A sensor connector subassembly **905** is connected to the sensor cable **907**.

The sensor connector subassembly **905** can be removably attached to an instrument cable **911** via an instrument cable connector **909**. The instrument cable **911** can be attached to a cable hub **920**, which includes a port **921** for receiving a connector **912** of the instrument cable **911** and a second port **923** for receiving another cable. In certain embodiments, the second port **923** can receive a cable connected to a pulse oximetry or other sensor. In addition, the cable hub **920** could include additional ports in other embodiments for receiving additional cables. The hub includes a cable **922** which terminates in a connector **924** adapted to connect to a physiological monitor (not shown).

In an embodiment, the acoustic sensor assembly **901** includes a sensing element, such as, for example, a piezoelectric device or other acoustic sensing device. The sensing element can generate a voltage that is responsive to vibrations generated by the patient, and the sensor can include circuitry to transmit the voltage generated by the sensing element to a processor for processing. In an embodiment, the acoustic sensor assembly **901** includes circuitry for detecting and transmitting information related to biological sounds to a physiological monitor. These biological sounds can include heart, breathing, and/or digestive system sounds, in addition to many other physiological phenomena. The acoustic sensor **915** in certain embodiments is a biological sound sensor, such as the sensors described herein. In some embodiments, the biological sound sensor is one of the sensors such as those described in the '883 Application. In other embodiments, the acoustic sensor **915** is a biological sound sensor such as those described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,661,161, which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. Other embodiments include other suitable acoustic sensors.

The attachment sub-assembly **904** includes first and second elongate portions **906**, **908**. The first and second elongate portions **906**, **908** can include patient adhesive (e.g., in some embodiments, tape, glue, a suction device, etc.). The adhesive on the elongate portions **906**, **908** can be used to secure the sensor subassembly **902** to a patient's skin. One or more elongate members **910** included in the first and/or second elongate portions **906**, **908** can beneficially bias the sensor subassembly **902** in tension against the patient's skin and reduce stress on the connection between the patient adhesive and the skin. A removable backing can be provided with the patient adhesive to protect the adhesive surface prior to affixing to a patient's skin.

The sensor cable **907** can be electrically coupled to the sensor subassembly **902** via a printed circuit board ("PCB") (not shown) in the sensor subassembly **902**. Through this contact, electrical signals are communicated from the multiparameter sensor subassembly to the physiological monitor through the sensor cable **907** and the cable **911**.

In various embodiments, not all of the components illustrated in FIG. 9 are included in the sensor system **900**. For example, in various embodiments, one or more of the patient anchor **903** and the attachment subassembly **904** are not included. In one embodiment, for example, a bandage or tape is used instead of the attachment subassembly **904** to attach the sensor subassembly **902** to the measurement site. Moreover, such bandages or tapes can be a variety of different shapes including generally elongate, circular and oval, for example. In addition, the cable hub **920** need not be included in certain embodiments. For example, multiple cables from different sensors could connect to a monitor directly without using the cable hub **920**.

Additional information relating to acoustic sensors compatible with embodiments described herein, including other

embodiments of interfaces with the physiological monitor, are included in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/044,883, filed Mar. 7, 2008, entitled "Systems and Methods for Determining a Physiological Condition Using an Acoustic Monitor," and U.S. Pat. Application No. 61/366,866, filed Jul. 22, 2010, entitled "Pulse Oximetry System for Determining Confidence in Respiratory Rate Measurements," the disclosures of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety. An example of an acoustic sensor that can be used with the embodiments described herein is disclosed in U.S. Pat. Application No. 61/252,076, filed Oct. 15, 2009, titled "Acoustic Sensor Assembly," the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

Conditional language used herein, such as, among others, "can," "could," "might," "may," "e.g.," and the like, unless specifically stated otherwise, or otherwise understood within the context as used, is generally intended to convey that certain embodiments include, while other embodiments do not include, certain features, elements and/or states. Thus, such conditional language is not generally intended to imply that features, elements and/or states are in any way required for one or more embodiments or that one or more embodiments necessarily include logic for deciding, with or without author input or prompting, whether these features, elements and/or states are included or are to be performed in any particular embodiment.

Depending on the embodiment, certain acts, events, or functions of any of the methods described herein can be performed in a different sequence, can be added, merged, or left out all together (e.g., not all described acts or events are necessary for the practice of the method). Moreover, in certain embodiments, acts or events can be performed concurrently, e.g., through multi-threaded processing, interrupt processing, or multiple processors or processor cores, rather than sequentially.

The methods, steps, processes, calculations, computations or the like ("methods") provided herein are simplified examples that are generally performed by advanced processing devices, including complex signal processors, sensitive analog and digital signal preprocessing boards, optical/optoelectronic componentry, display drivers and devices, or similar electronic devices. An artisan will recognize from the disclosure herein that the various methods often must be performed at speeds that, as a practical matter, could never be performed entirely in a human mind. Rather, for many calculations providing real time or near real time solutions, outputs, measurements, criteria, estimates, display indicia, or the like, many of the foregoing processing devices perform tens to billions or more calculations per second. In addition, such processing devices may process electrical signals, infrared signals, wireless signals, or other electromagnetic wave signals that are incomprehensible to a human mind in their raw form and at the speeds communicated.

The various illustrative logical blocks, modules, circuits, and algorithm steps described in connection with the embodiments disclosed herein can be implemented as electronic hardware, computer software, or combinations of both operating in real-time or near real-time and at speeds unattainable by a human. To clearly illustrate this interchangeability of hardware and software, various illustrative components, blocks, modules, circuits, and steps have been described above generally in terms of their functionality. Whether such functionality is implemented as hardware or software depends upon the particular application and design constraints imposed on the overall system. The described functionality can be implemented in varying ways for each

particular application, but such implementation decisions should not be interpreted as causing a departure from the scope of the disclosure.

The various illustrative logical blocks, modules, and circuits described in connection with the embodiments disclosed herein can be implemented or performed with a general purpose processor, a digital signal processor (DSP), an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC), a field programmable gate array (FPGA) or other programmable logic device, discrete gate or transistor logic, discrete hardware components, or any combination thereof designed to perform the functions described herein. A general purpose processor can be a microprocessor, but in the alternative, the processor can be any conventional processor, controller, microcontroller, or state machine. A processor can also be implemented as a combination of computing devices, e.g., a combination of a DSP and a microprocessor, a plurality of microprocessors, one or more microprocessors in conjunction with a DSP core, or any other such configuration.

The blocks of the methods and algorithms described in connection with the embodiments disclosed herein can be embodied directly in hardware, in a software module executed by a processor, or in a combination of the two. A software module can reside in RAM memory, flash memory, ROM memory, EPROM memory, EEPROM memory, registers, a hard disk, a removable disk, a CD-ROM, or any other form of computer-readable storage medium known in the art. An exemplary storage medium is coupled to a processor such that the processor can read information from, and write information to, the storage medium. In the alternative, the storage medium can be integral to the processor. The processor and the storage medium can reside in an ASIC. The ASIC can reside in a user terminal. In the alternative, the processor and the storage medium can reside as discrete components in a user terminal.

While the above detailed description has shown, described, and pointed out novel features as applied to various embodiments, it will be understood that various omissions, substitutions, and changes in the form and details of the devices or algorithms illustrated can be made without departing from the spirit of the disclosure. As will be recognized, certain embodiments of the inventions described herein can be embodied within a form that does not provide all of the features and benefits set forth herein, as some features can be used or practiced separately from others. The scope of certain inventions disclosed herein is indicated by the appended claims rather than by the foregoing description. All changes which come within the meaning and range of equivalency of the claims are to be embraced within their scope.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for reducing processing load of a patient monitor, the method comprising:
 - emitting light from a light emitter of a physiological sensor;
 - detecting the light at a detector of the physiological sensor after the light has been attenuated by tissue;
 - receiving at a patient monitor physiological data from the physiological sensor based at least in part on the light detected at the physiological sensor, wherein the physiological data is characterized by a plurality of features including at least a first feature and a second feature;
 - associating a category of a first plurality of categories with the physiological data, the first feature characterized by the first plurality of categories;

15

associating a category of a second plurality of categories with the physiological data, the second feature characterized by the second plurality of categories;
 assigning a first value to the physiological data based at least in part on the category of the first plurality of categories;
 assigning a second value to the physiological data based at least in part on the category of the second plurality of categories;
 determining an action from a set of actions to perform based at least in part on an aggregation of at least the first value and the second value; and
 performing the determined action.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of features comprises at least one of a standard deviation of the physiological data, a slope of the physiological data, a change in the slope of the physiological data, a correlation coefficient of the physiological data, a linear regression of the physiological data, or a comparison of outputs between different processing engines.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the set of actions includes at least one of changing a display of a patient monitor, changing an output of the patient monitor, activating an alarm, receiving additional data from the physiological sensor, receiving additional data via a network, notifying a healthcare provider, notifying a patient, powering off, or requesting additional information from a user.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of features are predetermined.

5. The method of claim 1, further comprising selecting the plurality of features from a predetermined set of features.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein selecting the plurality of features comprises selecting all the features of the predetermined set of features.

7. The method of claim 5, wherein selecting the plurality of features comprises selecting a subset of the predetermined set of features.

8. The method of claim 5, wherein selecting the plurality of features comprises selecting the features that use fewer processing resources.

9. The method of claim 5, wherein selecting the plurality of features comprises selecting the plurality of features based on a type of physiological data obtained.

10. The method of claim 1, wherein the physiological sensor is one of a pulse oximeter sensor and an acoustic sensor.

11. A patient monitor system comprising:
 a physiological sensor configured to emit light towards a tissue site and detect the light after it has been attenuated by tissue; and
 a patient monitor in communication with the physiological sensor and configured to:
 receive physiological data from the physiological sensor based at least in part on the light detected by the physiological sensor, wherein the physiological data is characterized by a plurality of features;
 determine the plurality of features of the physiological data wherein the plurality of features includes at least a first feature and a second feature;
 associate a category of a first plurality of categories with the physiological data, the first feature characterized by the first plurality of categories;
 associate a category of a second plurality of categories with the physiological data, the second feature characterized by the second plurality of categories;

16

assign a first value to the physiological data based at least in part on the category of the first plurality of categories;

assign a second value to the physiological data based at least in part on the category of the second plurality of categories;

determine an action from a set of actions to perform based at least in part on an aggregation of at least the first value and the second value; and

perform the determined action.

12. The system of claim 11, wherein the patient monitor determines in real-time the plurality of features of the physiological data.

13. The system of claim 11, wherein the plurality of features of the physiological data comprise at least one of a standard deviation of the physiological data, a slope of the physiological data, a change in the slope of the physiological data, or a comparison of outputs between different processing engines.

14. The system of claim 11, wherein the plurality of features of the physiological data are predetermined.

15. The system of claim 11, wherein the patient monitor is further configured to select the plurality of features of the physiological data from a predetermined set of features, wherein the patient monitor determines the plurality of features of the physiological data selected from the predetermined set of features.

16. The system of claim 15, wherein the patient monitor selects the plurality of features of the physiological data by selecting all features from the predetermined set of features.

17. The system of claim 15, wherein the patient monitor selects the plurality of features of the physiological data by selecting a subset of features from the predetermined set of features.

18. The system of claim 15, wherein the patient monitor selects the plurality of features of the physiological data by selecting the features of the physiological data that use fewer processing resources.

19. The system of claim 15, wherein the patient monitor selects the plurality of features of the physiological data by selecting the plurality of features of the physiological data based on a type of physiological data obtained.

20. The system of claim 11, wherein the physiological sensor is one of a pulse oximeter sensor and an acoustic sensor.

21. A computer-implemented method for reducing processing load of a patient monitor, the method comprising:
 under control of one or more computing devices of the patient monitor:

receiving physiological data from a physiological sensor configured to emit light towards a tissue site and detect the light after it has been attenuated by tissue, wherein the physiological data is characterized by a plurality of features;

determining the plurality of features of the physiological data wherein the plurality of features includes at least a first feature and a second feature;

associating a category of a first plurality of categories with the physiological data, the first feature characterized by the first plurality of categories;

associating a category of a second plurality of categories with the physiological data, the second feature characterized by the second plurality of categories;

assigning a first value to the physiological data based at least in part on the category of the first plurality of categories;

assigning a second value to the physiological data based
at least in part on the category of the second plurality
of categories;
determining an action from a set of actions to perform
based at least in part on an aggregation of at least the 5
first value and the second value; and
performing the determined action.

22. The method of claim 21, wherein the action is
determined using an electronic lookup table.

23. The method of claim 21, wherein the determining the 10
plurality of features of the physiological data occurs in
real-time.

24. The method of claim 1, wherein the one or more
features comprises a plurality of features and determining
the action is based on an aggregation of the assigned values 15
of the plurality of features.

* * * * *

专利名称(译)	生理测量逻辑引擎		
公开(公告)号	US9693737	公开(公告)日	2017-07-04
申请号	US14/967075	申请日	2015-12-11
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	梅西莫股份有限公司		
申请(专利权)人(译)	Masimo公司		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	Masimo公司		
[标]发明人	AL ALI AMMAR		
发明人	AL-ALI, AMMAR		
IPC分类号	A61B5/1455 A61B7/02 A61B5/00		
CPC分类号	A61B5/7271 A61B5/0059 A61B5/1455 A61B5/14551 A61B5/7264 A61B5/7282 A61B5/742 A61B5/746 A61B7/02 G16H50/20		
优先权	61/392863 2010-10-13 US		
其他公开文献	US20160166210A1		
外部链接	Espacenet USPTO		

摘要(译)

包括生理测量逻辑引擎的患者监测器从生理传感器接收生理数据。逻辑引擎抽象生理数据的一个或多个特征并确定抽象特征类别。逻辑引擎进一步对一个或多个特征中的每个特征类别进行编码，并基于编码的类别确定要执行的动作。

