



US 20080114211A1

(19) **United States**(12) **Patent Application Publication****Karst et al.**(10) **Pub. No.: US 2008/0114211 A1**(43) **Pub. Date: May 15, 2008**

(54) **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ASSURING
VALIDITY OF MONITORING PARAMETER
IN COMBINATION WITH A THERAPEUTIC
DEVICE**

(76) Inventors: **Edward Karst**, South Pasadena,
CA (US); **Clark R. Baker**,
Newman, CA (US)

Correspondence Address:
NELLCOR PURITAN BENNETT LLC
ATTN: IP LEGAL
60 Middletown Avenue
North Haven, CT 06473

(21) Appl. No.: **11/541,302**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 29, 2006**


Publication Classification




(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **600/300**

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The disclosed embodiments relate to a system and method for assuring validity of monitoring parameters in combination with a therapeutic device. An exemplary embodiment of the present technique comprises perturbing a treatment administered to a patient, measuring at least one parameter of the patient reflecting the underlying physiological state and associated with the treatment, and comparing the perturbations of the treatment to measurements of the at least one parameter to determine if the perturbations to the treatment are reflected by the parameter.








FIG. 2C




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 3C

**SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ASSURING
VALIDITY OF MONITORING PARAMETER
IN COMBINATION WITH A THERAPEUTIC
DEVICE**

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0001] 1. Field of the Invention

[0002] The present invention relates generally to monitoring parameters associated with a therapy, and more particularly, to systems and methods for assuring that a therapy is applied correctly and that a monitor accurately reflects an underlying physiological parameter.

[0003] 2. Description of the Related Art

[0004] This section is intended to introduce the reader to various aspects of art that may be related to various aspects of the present invention, which are described and/or claimed below. This discussion is believed to be helpful in providing the reader with background information to facilitate a better understanding of the various aspects of the present invention. Accordingly, it should be understood that these statements are to be read in this light, and not as admissions of prior art.

[0005] In the field of medicine, doctors often desire to monitor certain physiological characteristics of their patients. Accordingly, a wide variety of devices have been developed for monitoring physiological characteristics of a patient. Such devices provide doctors and other healthcare personnel with the information they need to provide the best possible healthcare for their patients. As a result, such monitoring devices have become an indispensable part of modern medicine.

[0006] There are many instances where patients are monitored for physiological parameters derived from measurements obtainable by various physiological sensors and monitors. Similarly, during a course of a treatment, a patient may further be coupled to therapeutic devices used to treat certain medical conditions, as prescribed by a health care provider. In fact, medical devices, such as a physiological monitoring system, may be coupled to a patient so as to monitor the efficacy of an administered therapy by a therapeutic device. Accordingly, it is expected that a certain therapy administered over time to a patient would have a direct effect on certain corresponding physiological parameters measurable by a monitoring system coupled to the patient.

[0007] Monitoring physiological signs of a patient, as well as properly administering therapies or treatments to a patient, may depend on the manner in which medical devices comprising monitoring systems and therapeutic devices are coupled to the patient. However, situations may arise where medical devices may be inappropriately coupled to the patient, resulting in an improper administration of the treatment to the patient or incorrect monitoring of the patient's condition. For example, a therapeutic device may become detached from the patient while treatment may be ongoing, resulting in the termination of the therapy administered to the patient. Further, crowded hospital wards and emergency rooms where many patients may be in close proximity with one another may lead to occurrences of inadvertent swapping of sensors and/or therapeutic devices among the patients. Again, such occurrences are undesirable as improper monitoring and treatment of patients may result. In other

instances, the therapeutic device or monitoring system may cease to function properly and some indication of this is desired.

SUMMARY

[0008] Certain aspects commensurate in scope with the claimed invention are set forth below. It should be understood that these aspects are presented merely to provide the reader with a brief summary of certain forms that the invention might take and that these aspects are not intended to limit the scope of the invention. Indeed, the invention may encompass a variety of aspects that may not be set forth below.

[0009] There is provided a method including the acts of: perturbing a treatment administered to a patient; measuring at least one parameter indicative of the underlying physiological state of the patient associated with the treatment; and comparing the perturbations of the treatment to measurements of the at least one parameter to determine if the perturbations to the treatment are reflected by the parameter.

[0010] There is also provided a system including: a device adapted to administer a treatment, wherein the treatment is varied over time; and a monitoring system adapted to measure a parameter affected by the treatment such that the variations in the treatment are reflected in the measured parameter.

[0011] There is also provided a tangible machine readable medium including: code for perturbing a treatment administered to a patient; code for measuring at least one parameter indicative of the underlying physiological state of the patient associated with the treatment; and code for comparing the perturbations of the treatment to measurements of the at least one physiological parameter to determine if the perturbations to the treatment are reflected by the physiological parameter.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0012] Advantages of the invention may become apparent upon reading the following detailed description and upon reference to the drawings in which:

[0013] FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a method in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present technique;

[0014] FIG. 2A is a block diagram of a system in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present technique;

[0015] FIG. 2B is a block diagram of a system in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present technique;

[0016] FIG. 2C is a block diagram of a system in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present technique;

[0017] FIG. 3A is a graphical representation in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present technique;

[0018] FIG. 3B is a graphical representation in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present technique; and

[0019] FIG. 3C is a graphical representation in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present technique.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF SPECIFIC EMBODIMENTS

[0020] One or more specific embodiments of the present invention will be described below. In an effort to provide a concise description of these embodiments, not all features of an actual implementation are described in the specification. It should be appreciated that in the development of any such actual implementation, as in any engineering or design project, numerous implementation-specific decisions must be made to achieve the developers' specific goals, such as compliance with system-related and business-related constraints, which may vary from one implementation to another. Moreover, it should be appreciated that such a development effort might be complex and time consuming, but would nevertheless be a routine undertaking of design, fabrication, and manufacture for those of ordinary skill having the benefit of this disclosure.

[0021] In one example of an implementation of the present technique, a therapeutic device, such as a ventilator or respirator, is used to treat a patient. In such an implementation, it would be desirable to have a control mechanism and method that would verify receipt of the specified treatment by the patient, such as by perturbing the therapy provided to the patient such that modulations in a monitored physiological parameter associated with the treatment given to the patient may be detected. Such a perturbation may be small enough so that the quality of the clinical treatment is not affected, yet substantial enough to be noticed by correlated modulations in the monitored physiological parameters. Hence, such a method would provide confirmation that the therapy is delivered properly, as well as assure that the sensor is making sensitive measurements.

[0022] Turning now to FIG. 1, a block diagram 10 in accordance with exemplary embodiments of the present technique is illustrated. The block diagram 10 describes a method of perturbing a therapy applied to a patient and subsequently monitoring a correlated modulation in a parameter associated with the applied therapy. The method described by the block diagram 10 may apply to perturbing therapies, such as respirator-delivered oxygen, airway pressure delivered by a respirator, delivery of insulin via an insulin pump, application of heat by a heating pad or warming blanket, delivery of cardiovascular drugs (such as cardioactive and/or vasoactive drugs) via infusion, delivery of anesthetic drugs via an anesthetic machine, delivery of antipyretic drugs, and so forth. Respective monitored physiological parameters associated with the above exemplary therapies include oxygen saturation, blood sugar levels, heart rate, etc.

[0023] The method begins at block 12 where a treatment given to a patient is perturbed. Thereafter, the method proceeds to decision block 14 where it is determined whether a corresponding measurement of a parameter associated with the treatment was perturbed. The determination may be made by a clinician who monitors the measurement of the parameter for an observable perturbation or by one or more devices, such as the monitoring device, that analyze the measurements for indications of the perturbation. As will be appreciated by those of ordinary skill in the art, such a device may be configured to observe or recognize perturbations that might be too small to be observed by a human.

Absent any such observed perturbations in the monitored physiological parameter, a clinician or device may determine that the patient is not receiving the appropriate treatment due to problems associated with the therapy device, the manner in which it is applied to the patient, or the certainty that the monitored parameter reflects the patient's underlying physiological state. Accordingly, the method proceeds to block 16 where, in one implementation, the clinician may verify whether the therapeutic device is working properly and/or is appropriately attached to the patient. Further, at block 16 the clinician may check the placement and function of the monitoring device responsible for monitoring the respective physical parameters. Alternatively, in an implementation where a device makes the determination that the perturbation was not detected in the corresponding measured parameters, the device may be configured to provide a notification (such as by displaying a message or sounding an audible alarm) to a clinician. In such an implementation, the device, such as a monitoring device, may be configured to increase its measurement sensitivity and/or to automatically adjust the treatment in addition to or instead of providing a notification. For example, in one embodiment, the device, such as the monitoring device or therapeutic device, may adjust the treatment level by returning to the level of therapy delivered when a clinician was last present or to a predetermined level of treatment in the event that the perturbation is not detected. As one of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate, the decision to adjust the treatment level, such as by reverting to a prior treatment level, might be made after a predetermined period of time has elapsed during which the perturbation has not been detected. After doing so, the method returns to block 12 where a subsequent perturbation is again applied to the therapy.

[0024] Returning to decision block 14, if a perturbation in the measured parameter associated with the therapy is observed, it is an indication that the therapy is applied appropriately to the patient and is being properly monitored. Thus, the method proceeds to block 18, where the clinician or the monitor waits for a subsequent perturbation to appear in the monitored physiological parameter. In so doing, the method ensures repeated observation of the perturbations in the monitored parameter. Thus, the clinician or device may rely on the repeatable correlations existing between therapy and monitored perturbation. Thereafter, the method returns back to block 12 where a subsequent perturbation is applied to the therapy and the method commences again. In an exemplary implementation where the therapeutic and/or monitoring devices form a closed-loop physiological control system, the repeated detection of correlations between therapy and monitored perturbation provides an assurance of appropriate device interaction in the titration of therapy. Conversely, any cessation in correlation between therapy and monitor may indicate that closed-loop control of the therapy is not appropriate without attention by a clinician.

[0025] FIGS. 2A-2C depict exemplary implementations in accordance with embodiments of the present technique. These figures illustrate exemplary system components for applying the above method 10. In particular, FIG. 2A illustrates a system 30 comprising a pulse oximeter 32 and a respirator 34 connected to a patient 36. The respirator 34 may apply a treatment by delivering a prescribed dose of oxygen directly to the airways of patient 36. The pulse oximeter 32 may be configured to acquire measurements of oxygen saturation (SpO₂) 37 levels, indicating the level of

oxygen present in the blood stream and tissue of the patient 36. Thus, SpO_2 37 would be considered in this case a parameter associated with and well correlated to the oxygen 35 delivered by the respirator 34. In an exemplary embodiment, a physician or a health care provider may want to apply a treatment to the patient 36 such that the respirator 34 may deliver a specific amount of oxygen 35 to the patient 36. Correspondingly, oxygen saturation levels measured by the pulse oximeter 32 should correlate with the specific levels of oxygen 35 delivered to the patient by the respirator 34, as prescribed by the clinician. Adjustment 39 of the operation of the respirator 34 based on the SpO_2 37 measured by the pulse oximeter 32 provides a closed loop method of operation.

[0026] Such a feedback mechanism may be advantageously employed to ensure the respirator 34 and the pulse oximeter 32 are connected to the same patient 36 and/or that the respirator 34 and pulse oximeter 32 are both properly attached to the patient 36 and functioning. Accordingly, the respirator 34 may be configured to apply small perturbations to the amount of oxygen 35 delivered to the patient 36 over time. Such perturbations should be small enough so as not to interfere with the treatment, yet large enough so that the perturbations are detectable in the oxygen saturation 37 calculated by the pulse oximeter 32 or by any other measurement or calculation made by the device or a connected device. Upon comparing the two, a clinician or control system, such as a control system of the monitoring device and/or therapeutic device, can conclude that the respirator 34 and the pulse oximeter 32 are indeed connected to the same patient 36, and the patient 36 is receiving the designated therapy. On the other hand, if the perturbations in oxygen levels applied by the respirator 34 are not detectable in the measurements of oxygen saturation 37 acquired by the pulse oximeter 32, a clinician or control system may conclude that either the respirator and/or the pulse oximeter 32 are not connected to the same patient or that one of them is not affixed securely to the patient 36 or is not functioning properly.

[0027] FIG. 2B illustrates another system in accordance with exemplary embodiments of the present technique. System 50 depicts a diffusion pump 54 and an electrocardiogram (EKG) monitor 52 connected to the patient 56. In this example, the diffusion pump 54 may apply a dose of a medication 55 to the patient intravenously to treat a cardiovascular condition the patient 56 may have. Such a treatment 55 may include a liquefied mixture of substances deliverable to the blood stream of the patient 56. Accordingly, the EKG monitor 52 connected to the patient 56 may be adapted to monitor parameters associated and that are correlated to the cardiovascular activity 57 of the patient 56. Further, measurements obtained by the EKG monitor 52 should indicate the efficacy of the treatment 55 provided to the patient 36. In this example, the system 50 is adapted to perturb the amount of treatment intravenously delivered by the diffusion pump 54 to the patient 36. Correspondingly, the EKG monitor 52 of system 50 may be used for monitoring modulations in cardiovascular activity that are due to the perturbation of the treatment 55 applied to the patient 56. Again, any perturbations in the treatment should be minute enough so as to not adversely affect the quality of the treatment administered to the patient 36, yet substantial enough to be noticeable by the EKG monitor 52. As explained previously, the cardiac activity 57 measured by the EKG monitor 52 may allow the

clinician or the control system to make an adjustment to the activity of the diffusion pump 54. Likewise, absence of an indication of perturbations of the treatment 55 in the measured cardiac activity 57 may cause the clinician to check the function and placement of the diffusion pump 54 and/or the EKG monitor 52.

[0028] FIG. 2C illustrates another exemplary system 70 in accordance with embodiments of the present technique. The system 70 shows an insulin pump 74, and blood sugar monitor 72 connected to the patient 76. In such a system, insulin 75 may be delivered to the patient 36 via the insulin pump 74 intravenously. Correspondingly, blood sugar levels 77 of the patient 76 may be monitored by the blood sugar monitor 72. Perturbations in the amount of insulin 75 delivered to the patient 76 may be applied as part of a feedback mechanism configured to indicate whether the insulin pump 74 is functional and appropriately attached to the patient 76. Thus, perturbations applied to levels of insulin 75 are expected to be observed by the blood sugar monitor 72, if indeed the blood sugar monitor and the insulin pump 74 are connected to the same patient and are properly functioning. As explained previously, the blood sugar levels 77 measured by the blood sugar monitor 72 may allow the clinician or the system to make an adjustment 79 to the activity of the insulin pump 54. Likewise, absence of an indication of perturbations of the treatment 75 in the measured blood sugar levels 77 may cause the clinician to check the function and placement of the insulin pump 74 and/or the insulin monitor 72.

[0029] The systems 30, 50, and 70 described above may also be configured to provide a clinician feedback on how well a specific treatment may be at improving a clinical condition of the patient. Thus, the feedback supplied by the systems 30, 50, and 70 may help the clinician better determine if a present treatment is sufficient or if alternate treatments should be pursued in treating the patient. For example, using system 30, a clinician may want to increase the amount of oxygen 35 delivered to the patient 36 via respirator 34 due to low levels of SpO_2 37, as would be indicated by the pulse oximeter 32. Thus, exemplary systems 30, 50 and 70 and/or others employing the above technique may provide a diagnostic tool for the clinician in evaluating the efficacy of the treatment provided to the patient 36.

[0030] FIGS. 3A-3C depict graphical representations in accordance with exemplary embodiments of the present technique. These figures illustrate graphs of exemplary perturbations applied to a specific treatment, and corresponding modulations in a physiological parameter caused by the perturbations over time. Specifically, the graphical representations of FIGS. 3A-3C depict applied perturbations in treatments utilizing a respirator delivering oxygen to a patient, as depicted in FIG. 2A. Accordingly, correlated changes in oxygen saturation levels (SpO_2) are depicted as well, showing the effects of the small perturbations in the treatment. It should be appreciated that the technique depicted in the FIGS. 3A-3C is not limited to respirators and pulse oximeters, and could be applied to other treatments having corresponding monitored physiological parameters, as described herein. Among other treatments administered to patients these may include insulin, cardioactive drugs, vasoactive drugs, anesthetic drugs, heating (such as with a heating pad or warming blanket), and/or antipyretic drugs. Physiological parameters associated with such treatments

may include blood sugar levels, heart rate, cardiac output, blood pressure, blood perfusion, blood flow, depth of anesthesia, brain activity (such as via an electroencephalograph (EEG)), and/or temperature.

[0031] Turning to FIG. 3A, a graphical representation 90 depicts a sinusoidal wave 98, representing periodic perturbations in the fraction inspired oxygen (FiO_2) which is the ratio of oxygen to air, delivered to a patient, such as via a respirator. The graph 90 includes a vertical axis 92 denoting FiO_2 levels or dose, and a horizontal axis 94 denoting time. Accordingly, the curve 98 is a plot of FiO_2 levels administered to a patient over time. Periodic perturbations in graph 90 are manifested in the different FiO_2 levels between crest and troughs of the sinusoidal wave 98. It should be appreciated, that these differences are comparatively small to the oxygen level administered to the patient at any point in time. Graph 91 illustrates corresponding modulations in oxygen saturation caused by the perturbations depicted by the curve 98. Accordingly, the graph 91 includes a vertical axis 93, denoting SpO_2 levels, and the time axis 94. Curve 100 denotes a corresponding modulated sinusoidal curve indicating levels of oxygen saturation at any moment of time in accordance with the curve 98. As indicated by the curves 98 and 100, a periodic perturbation in the amount of oxygen delivered to the patient corresponds to a periodic modulation in the levels of SpO_2 . Further, curve 100 is distinguished from curve 98 because of its time shift 102 relative to curve 98. The time shift 102 appearing in the SpO_2 level measured by the pulse oximeter is a result of a naturally occurring physiological lag. For example, for a patient breathing oxygen, this lag comprises several breaths to exchange the gas in the lungs, and an additionally circulatory delay for the oxygenated blood to travel from the lungs to the SpO_2 sensor site.

[0032] FIG. 3B is another exemplary graphical representation of perturbations applied to FiO_2 levels and with respective changes in the patient measured as oxygen saturation levels. Accordingly, graph 120 includes curve 122 denoting small periodic changes in FiO_2 levels that fluctuate between two constant amounts. Accordingly, the curve 122 comprises a square wave depicting FiO_2 levels administered over time to a patient. Graph 121 depicts changes observed in oxygen saturation levels in view of the fluctuating oxygen treatment and in view of the physiological lag described above. As shown by curve 124, changes in the oxygen saturation levels are distinguished from the square wave 122 in that the ramp-up and ramp-down intervals are more gradual. This graded characteristic of curve 124 over time delay 126 is, again, caused by a natural occurring physiological time lag that exists in measuring effects of certain treatments.

[0033] FIG. 3C is another exemplary graphical representation of perturbations applied to FiO_2 levels and respective changes reflected in oxygen saturation levels. Graph 140 is similar to graph 120 (FIG. 3B) in that it shows periodic perturbations in applied FiO_2 levels, however, FIG. 3C depicts that the fluctuations occur between three different FiO_2 dosage levels, i.e., three different steps. The perturbations to oxygen levels are shown by curve 142. Thus, perturbations applied by curve 142 are more aperiodic as opposed to the perturbations given by curves 98 and 100. Applying perturbations aperiodically may be advantageous in distinguishing between effects of naturally occurring periodic physiological processes and these fluctuations

attributable to perturbing the treatment. Accordingly, graph 141 illustrates curve 144 denoting SpO_2 fluctuations in response to the perturbations depicted in a curve 142, as well in response to patient's natural breathing cycles, as indicated small sinusoidal modulations of the curve 144. As shown, curve 144 traces a distinctive gradient pattern as SpO_2 levels continuously fluctuate between maximum and minimum levels.

[0034] While only certain features of the invention have been illustrated and described herein, many modifications and changes will occur to those skilled in the art. It is, therefore, to be understood that the appended claims are intended to cover all such modifications and changes as fall within the true spirit of the invention.

What is claimed is:

1. A method comprising
 - perturbing a treatment administered to a patient;
 - measuring at least one parameter indicative of the underlying physiological state of the patient associated with the treatment; and
 - comparing the perturbations of the treatment to measurements of the at least one parameter to determine if the perturbations to the treatment are reflected by the parameter.
2. The method, as set forth by claim 1, comprising perturbing the treatment periodically over time.
3. The method, as set forth by claim 1, comprising perturbing the treatment aperiodically over time.
4. The method, as set forth by claim 1, wherein the perturbation of the treatment is distinguished from a naturally occurring physiological process.
5. The method, set forth by claim 1, wherein the administered treatment comprises at least one of oxygen, airway pressure, insulin, heat, a cardioactive drug, a vasoactive drug, an anesthetic drug, or an antipyretic drug.
6. The method, set forth by claim 1, wherein the parameter comprises at least one of oxygen saturation, blood sugar levels, heart rate, blood pressure, blood flow, cardiac output, blood perfusion, depth of anesthesia, brain activity, or temperature.
7. The method, set forth by claim 1, comprising comparing waveforms reflecting changes in the administered treatment with waveforms reflecting changes in the parameter or with another measurement or calculation made by a monitoring device.
8. The method, set forth by claim 1, comprising alerting a clinician if the perturbation in the treatment is not reflected in the parameter.
9. The method, set forth by claim 1, comprising automatically adjusting the treatment if the perturbation in the treatment is not reflected in the parameter.
10. The method, set forth by claim 1, comprising automatically adjusting the treatment if the perturbation in the treatment is reflected in the parameter.
11. The method, set forth by claim 1, comprising reverting to a prior or predetermined treatment level if the perturbation in the treatment is not reflected in the parameter.
12. A system comprising;
 - a device adapted to administer a treatment, wherein the treatment is varied over time; and
 - a monitoring system adapted to measure a parameter affected by the treatment such that the variations in the treatment are reflected in the measured parameter.

13. The system, as set forth in claim 12, wherein the device comprises at least one of a respirator, a ventilator, an insulin pump, a diffusion pump, an anesthesia machine or a heating pad or blanket.

14. The system, as set forth in claim 12, wherein the monitoring system comprises at least one of a pulse oximeter, an electrocardiogram, a cardiac output monitor, a blood pressure monitor, a perfusion monitor, a blood flow monitor, an electroencephalogram, an insulin monitor, a depth of anesthesia monitor or a thermometer.

15. The system, as set forth in claim 12, comprising a processor configured to compare variations of the treatment over time with variations of the physiological parameter.

16. The system, as set forth in claim 12, comprising a closed loop between the device adapted to administer the treatment and the monitoring system.

17. The system, as set forth in claim 16, wherein the closed loop provides feedback on treatment efficacy.

18. The system, as set forth in claim 16, wherein the closed loop provides feedback on whether at least one of the monitoring system or the device are appropriately functioning.

19. The system, as set forth in claim 12, comprising an alarm system adapted to provide a signal and/or audible alert if variations in the treatment are not reflected in the physiological parameter.

20. A tangible machine readable medium, comprising:
code for perturbing a treatment administered to a patient;
code for measuring at least one parameter indicative of the underlying physiological state of the patient associated with the treatment; and

code for comparing the perturbations of the treatment to measurements of the at least one physiological parameter to determine if the perturbations to the treatment are reflected by the physiological parameter.

21. The tangible machine readable medium, as set forth in claim 20, comprising code for perturbing the treatment periodically over time.

22. The tangible machine readable medium, as set forth in claim 20, comprising code for producing perturbations in the treatment which are distinguished from a naturally occurring physiological process.

23. The tangible machine readable medium, as set forth in claim 20, comprising code for comparing signals reflecting changes in the administered treatment with signals reflecting changes in the parameter or with another measurement or calculation made by a monitoring device.

24. The tangible machine readable medium, as set forth in claim 20, comprising code for alerting a clinician if the perturbation in the treatment is not reflected in the parameter.

25. The tangible machine readable medium, as set forth in claim 20, comprising code for adjusting the treatment if the perturbation in the treatment is not reflected in the parameter.

26. The tangible machine readable medium, as set forth in claim 20, comprising code for adjusting the treatment if the perturbation in the treatment is reflected in the parameter.

27. The tangible machine readable medium, as set forth in claim 20, comprising code for reverting to a prior or predetermined treatment level if the perturbation in the treatment is not reflected in the parameter.

* * * * *

专利名称(译)	用于结合治疗装置确保监测参数的有效性的系统和方法		
公开(公告)号	US20080114211A1	公开(公告)日	2008-05-15
申请号	US11/541302	申请日	2006-09-29
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	喀斯特爱德华 BAKER CLARK - [R]		
申请(专利权)人(译)	喀斯特爱德华 BAKER CLARK - [R]		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	喀斯特爱德华 BAKER CLARK - [R]		
[标]发明人	KARST EDWARD BAKER CLARK R		
发明人	KARST, EDWARD BAKER, CLARK R.		
IPC分类号	A61B5/00		
CPC分类号	A61B5/024 A61B5/0402 A61B5/145 A61M2230/201 A61B5/4821 A61M5/1723 A61M2005/14208 A61B5/14532		
其他公开文献	US8728059		
外部链接	Espacenet USPTO		

摘要(译)

所公开的实施例涉及用于结合治疗装置确保监测参数的有效性的系统和方法。本技术的示例性实施例包括扰乱对患者施用的治疗，测量反映潜在生理状态并与治疗相关联的患者的至少一个参数，并将治疗的扰动与至少一个参数的测量值进行比较。确定是否通过参数反映了治疗的扰动。

