

(19)



(11)

EP 2 542 144 B1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(45) Date of publication and mention of the grant of the patent:
06.04.2016 Bulletin 2016/14

(51) Int Cl.:
A61B 5/00 (2006.01) A61B 3/02 (2006.01)

(21) Application number: **11751146.9**

(86) International application number:
PCT/US2011/026484

(22) Date of filing: **28.02.2011**

(87) International publication number:
WO 2011/109297 (09.09.2011 Gazette 2011/36)

(54) ADAPTIVE VISUAL PERFORMANCE TESTING SYSTEM

ADAPTIVES TESTSYSTEM FÜR VISUELLE LEISTUNG

SYSTÈME D'ESSAI DE PERFORMANCES VISUELLES ADAPTATIVES

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR

- **DEVENPORT, Jenny, Novotny Belmont CA 94002 (US)**
- **KIRSCHEN, David, Gary Brea CA 92821 (US)**
- **LABY, Daniel, Moses Canton MA 02021 (US)**

(30) Priority: **01.03.2010 US 309209 P**
24.02.2011 US 201161033930 P

(43) Date of publication of application:
09.01.2013 Bulletin 2013/02

(73) Proprietors:
• **Alcon Research, Ltd. Fort Worth, TX 76134 (US)**
• **Eyecheck Systems, LLC Brea, CA 92821 (US)**

(74) Representative: **Hanna, Peter William Derek et al Hanna Moore + Curley 13 Lower Lad Lane Dublin 2, D02 T668 (IE)**

(72) Inventors:
• **MEUSE, Patricia, Ann Mansfield TX 76063 (US)**

(56) References cited:
WO-A1-03/070089 WO-A2-2004/098447
US-A- 5 059 127 US-A- 5 059 127
US-A1- 2007 218 440 US-A1- 2007 218 440
US-A1- 2008 212 032 US-A1- 2008 212 032
US-B1- 6 802 608

EP 2 542 144 B1

Note: Within nine months of the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent in the European Patent Bulletin, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to that patent, in accordance with the Implementing Regulations. Notice of opposition shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

Description**TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

[0001] The present invention relates to computer-implemented methods of testing vision and more particularly to an adaptive visual performance testing system.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] Visual performance testing presents a number of challenges. While visual function is currently assessed in clinical and research settings by objective measurements (e.g., visual acuity testing, contrast sensitivity testing), these measurements do not always provide an accurate indication of subjects' visual function in a practical sense. One drawback of these methods is that they typically test only one or two aspects of vision at a time (target size, percent contrast). Real world visual function consists of responding based on multiple characteristics of a visual target (e.g., size, percent contrast, motion or speed, color, etc.). Even if multiple visual tests are performed, each test is tailored to the specific aspect that it measures, so that a holistic sense of visual performance is not obtained.

[0003] Even existing tests that attempt to evaluate visual function using representative activities of daily life have shortcomings. An example is testing visual performance using a driving simulator. The complexity of the apparatus often makes testing expensive, requiring subjects to travel to a particular location which can be remote from their physician's office. Additionally, the testing experience often includes tasks requiring more complex cognitive and physical function than simply vision. For example, in some driving simulators subjects must literally sit behind the wheel /windshield and operate controls in response to stimuli this requires the coordination of visual processing and physical responses. Thus this testing scenario does not achieve a pure assessment of visual function.

[0004] The state of the art is represented by US-A-6 802 608 which relates to a vision measurement testing method and system comprising a memory, a display, an input device for receiving a response and a processor for selecting a subsequent test on the basis of the response received from the subject.

[0005] Based on the available tests, there remains a need for visual performance measurement that is more consistent with real world function.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0006] The present invention provides computer-implemented methods of testing vision, systems and computer programs therefor, in accordance with claims which follow. The present invention provide an adaptive visual performance testing system that translates subject responses to visual targets having varying outcome prob-

ability information (difficulty, discrimination) into measures of visual performance (ability). In certain embodiments of the present invention, a method of testing visual performance includes:

Software embodied in a computer-readable medium is executable by a processor to cause the steps of such a method to be performed. An adaptive visual performance testing system includes a memory storing a plurality of visual recognition tests and outcome probability information associated with each visual recognition test, a display operable to display an image for each of the visual recognition tests to a subject, an input device operable to receive a response to each of the visual recognition tests from the subject, and a processor operable to execute instructions stored in the memory to perform the steps of such a method.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

[0007] A more complete understanding of the present invention and the advantages thereof may be acquired by referring to the following description, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings in which like reference numbers indicate like features.

FIGURE 1 is block diagram of an adaptive visual performance testing system according to a particular embodiment of the present invention; and

FIGURE 2 is a flow chart illustrating an example method of testing visual performance according to a particular embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EXEMPLARY EMBODIMENTS

[0008] Various embodiments of the disclosure are illustrated in the FIGURES, like numerals being generally used to refer to like and corresponding parts of the various drawings. As used herein, the terms "comprises," "comprising," "includes," "including," "has," "having" or any other variation thereof, are intended to cover a non-exclusive inclusion. For example, a process, article, or apparatus that comprises a list of elements is not necessarily limited to only those elements but may include other elements not expressly listed or inherent to such process, article, or apparatus. Further, unless expressly stated to the contrary, "or" refers to an inclusive or and not to an exclusive or.

[0009] Additionally, any examples or illustrations given herein are not to be regarded in any way as restrictions on, limits to, or express definitions of, any term or terms with which they are utilized. Instead, these examples or illustrations are to be regarded as being described with respect to one particular embodiment and as illustrative only. Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that

any term or terms with which these examples or illustrations are utilized will encompass other embodiments which may or may not be given therewith or elsewhere in the specification and all such embodiments are intended to be included within the scope of that term or terms. Language designating such nonlimiting examples and illustrations includes, but is not limited to: "for example", "for instance", "e.g.", "in one embodiment".

[0010] FIGURE 1 is a block diagram of a visual performance testing system 100 according to a particular embodiment of the present invention. The system 100 includes a subject interface comprising a display 104 and an input device 106. The display 104 may be any suitable means for producing a visually perceptible image for a subject, such as a monitor. The input device 106 includes one or more components suitable for receiving responses from a subject, such as a push button, a keyboard, a mouse, or any other suitable input device. A timer may also be associated with the input device 106 so that the time interval required for the subject to provide a response can be measured. In various embodiments, the system 100 may include multiple input devices for receiving different forms of response from the subject.

[0011] The system 100 also includes a processor 108 and a memory 200 that stores instructions executable by the processor, hereinafter referred to as "code" 202. The memory 200 may include any suitable form of information storage, whether volatile or non-volatile, including but not limited to electronic, magnetic, or optical memory. The processor 108 may include one or more microprocessors, microcontrollers, programmable devices, or other suitable components for processing information and executing instructions to cause various functions of the system 100, including any of those functions described herein, to be performed. In particular, the processor 108 can generate an output at a tester interface 110 of a visual performance score for the subject. The output can be produced in any suitable format for the tester interface 110, including a visual display on a monitor, a paper print-out, colored lights, audible reports generated by a speech synthesizer, or other output methods known to those skilled in the art. The processor 108 may also receive selections of output format through the tester interface 110, as well as other information allowing the user to control the operation of the system 100.

[0012] The memory 200 also stores information pertaining to a set of visual recognition tests 204 and associated outcome probability information 206 for each of the visual recognition tests 204. The outcome probability information 206 is collected using statistically controlled analysis of subject responses. In various embodiments of the present invention, the visual recognition tests 204 provide a visually perceptible image to a subject using the display 104, and the subject provides a suitable response using the input device 106. In this context, "recognition" can refer to any suitable subject response to the display of the image. For example, the subject can be tested to determine whether he can distinguish the

image at all. In another example, the subject can be asked to distinguish between a number of alternatives, such as identifying the color, shape, or orientation of the object. Commonly used images known in the art of visual testing include the orientation of a letter "E" or a Landolt C, wherein the subject indicates the orientation of the image (up, down, left, right) using a four-key pad as the input device 106.

[0013] In particular, the visual recognition tests 204 may advantageously be designed to vary an image parameter affecting the probability of visual recognition, which is in turn used to assign outcome probability information 206 to the test 204. Using responses from a wide range of visual abilities of subjects, the outcome probability information 206 of each test may be estimated. For example, smaller objects are ordinarily more difficult to distinguish than larger objects, so a test 204 that requires the subject to recognize an object when it is seen and to respond within a certain time period will be made more difficult by using smaller images. Alternatively, the time period for presentation of the image may be varied to alter the difficulty of the task. Image parameters can be varied within the test 204 or between tests 204. In one example of the former type of test 204, an image can begin at a small size and can be enlarged over time, and the subject's success at recognition is assessed based on the time at which the subject recognizes the image. In an example of the latter, different visual tests 204 can display the same image at different sizes, and the subject's success at recognition can be assessed based on whether the image of a particular size is recognized by the subject.

[0014] The visual recognition tests 204 can also advantageously vary a number of different image parameters that affect the difficulty of image recognition and, therefore, may optimally distinguish levels of visual ability. For example, image parameters such as image contrast, color, and apparent rate of motion can be varied along with image size. Advantageously, the algorithm used for the display 104 can allow image parameters to be systematically varied to modify these image parameters, and a high-resolution display 104 can be used to enable finer measurements of contrast variation and the like. Additional visual effects, such as the presence of distractors or glare in the image, can also be evaluated for their effects on visual performance. Particular embodiments of the present invention can advantageously use the outcome probability information 206 across tests 204 varying a number of different image parameters and the response vectors to determine an overall visual performance score that provides a more holistic indication of visual performance for a variety of different visual recognition tasks that are performed in daily life. As noted previously, the user may also be allowed to customize the testing process, including the selection of particular visual recognition tests 204, using the tester interface 110.

[0015] Various embodiments of the present invention employ an adaptive testing procedure, which is to say

that subsequent visual recognition tests 204 given to the subject are determined based on the subject's performance on a previous visual recognition tests 204. In particular, subsequent tests 204 can be chosen at an appropriate level of outcome probability information 106 based on the subject's current estimated ability. Thus, for example, a test 204 with comparable outcome probability information 206 but using a different visual recognition task could be selected. In another example, a visual recognition test 204 could be selected with outcome probability information 206 to better match a subject's current estimated ability if the subject did not successfully respond to the previous visual recognition test 204. This adaptive gradation of outcome probability information 206 allows testing to include tests that are appropriate to each subject's level of ability, so that the subject is not given a large number of tasks that are too difficult or too easy given the current estimate of ability level. Therefore, the adaptive testing process allows the subject's visual performance to be tested efficiently and in a way that may provide a better overall indication of the subject's visual function in daily activities.

[0016] The visual performance score can be determined based on the responses to the tests 204 and the outcome probability information 206 of the tests 204 when a predetermined number of responses has been received. In particular examples, the score can be determined for multiple tasks having different difficulty levels using an item response theory (IRT) scoring algorithm. In its simplest form, the Rasch equation gives a probability of success (also called item response function) for a person having a certain level of ability as follows:

$$p_{ij}(\theta_j) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(\theta_j - b_i)}}$$

wherein $p_{ij}(\theta_j)$ is a probability of success for a person of ability θ_j to correctly respond to an item of difficulty b_i .

[0017] The equation can be further modified for tasks that may not be equally discriminatory among abilities, where a is the degree to which a task discriminates between people with different ability levels, as follows:

$$p_{ij}(\theta_j) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{a_i(\theta_j - b_i)}}$$

[0018] The probability of correctly guessing an answer can further be incorporated into the equation as a guessing parameter c :

$$p_{ij}(\theta_j) = c + \frac{(1-c)e^{a_i(\theta_j - b_i)}}{1 + e^{a_i(\theta_j - b_i)}}$$

[0019] Ability can be estimated using item response functions that consider both the item parameters and the subject's set of correct and incorrect responses. This information can also be used in the adaptive test selection process to estimate visual performance more efficiently and to further improve reliability of the performance score. Such techniques are known in cognitive testing, such as the adaptive testing used in standardized college admission tests, but the application to visual performance testing and the evaluation of characteristics (e.g., difficulty, discrimination) for visual recognition tasks is not found in conventional testing. On the contrary, considerable effort is devoted in cognitive testing to overcoming difficulties in visual performance so that even people with visual impairments can be adequately tested for cognitive ability.

[0020] FIGURE 2 is a flow chart 300 showing an example method for testing visual performance according to a particular embodiment of the present invention. At step 302, a memory is provided with a plurality of visual recognition tests and associated outcome probability information for each test. At step 304, one of the visual recognition tests is displayed to a subject. At step 306, a response is received from the subject.

[0021] After the response is received, the method proceeds to step 308, wherein a subsequent visual recognition test is selected to have outcome probability information determined based on the subject's response. The selected visual recognition test is then displayed to the subject at step 310, and a response is received from the subject at step 312. In decision step 314, a determination is made of whether a stopping criterion has been achieved. For example, stopping criteria could include reaching a predetermined number of responses, achieving a predetermined level of statistical significance in the responses, or other similar standards for determining when the information collected adequately indicates the subject's visual performance. The stopping criterion may also be a combination of such standards, so that the stopping criterion is considered to be achieved when each of the standards is achieved, when a total score based on all of the standards is reached, etc. If the stopping criterion is achieved, then steps 308, 310, and 312 can be repeated until enough responses are received.

[0022] Once the predetermined number of responses is received, an output including a visual performance score determined from the responses and the outcome probability information of the visual recognition tests is generated at step 314. In particular embodiments, the visual performance score can be a single numerical rating or "pass/fail" output. In alternative embodiments, the visual performance score can include separate scores

for different visual tasks as well. In general, any suitable form of scoring output that takes into account responses collected during adaptive testing with varying outcome probability information would be consistent with the present invention.

[0023] Although embodiments have been described in detail herein, it should be understood that the description is by way of example only and is not to be construed in a limiting sense. For example, while a particular example of a testing method has been presented, it should be understood that the testing method could also be modified in a manner consistent with any of the various test selection methods and image parameter variations described herein. It is to be further understood, therefore, that numerous changes in the details of the embodiments and additional embodiments will be apparent to, and may be made by, persons of ordinary skill in the art having reference to this description. It is contemplated that all such changes and additional embodiments are within scope of the claims below and their legal equivalents.

Claims

1. A computer-implemented method of testing visual performance, comprising:

(a) providing a memory (302) storing a plurality of visual recognition tests and outcome probability information associated with each visual recognition test, the outcome probability information being collected using statistically controlled analysis of subject responses including varying difficulty and discrimination in recognizing a visual target;

(b) displaying (304) one of the visual recognition tests to a subject;

(c) receiving (306) from the subject a response to the visual recognition test;

(d) after receiving the response to the visual recognition test, selecting (308) a subsequent visual recognition test having selected outcome probability information determined based on the subject's performance on a previous visual recognition test;

(e) displaying (310) the subsequent visual recognition test;

(f) receiving (312) from the subject a response to the subsequent visual recognition test;

(g) repeating steps (d) - (f) until a stopping criterion is achieved; and

(h) outputting (314) a visual performance score determined based on the set of responses received from the subject and the outcome probability information for the visual recognition tests displayed to the subject.

2. The method of Claim 1, wherein the visual perform-

ance score is determined using an item response theory (IRT) model.

3. The method of Claim 1, wherein the visual recognition tests are selected to vary at least one image parameter selected from a group consisting of the following image parameters: size, contrast, color, orientation, presentation time, and apparent rate of motion.

4. The method of Claim 3, wherein the visual recognition tests are selected to vary at least two different image parameters.

5. The method of Claim 1, wherein the visual recognition tests comprise presenting images with distractors or glare.

6. The method of Claim 1, wherein the visual performance score is further determined based on a probability of guessing correctly.

7. An adaptive visual performance testing system, comprising:

a memory storing a plurality of visual recognition tests and outcome probability information associated with each visual recognition test, the outcome probability information being collected using statistically controlled analysis of subject responses including varying difficulty and discrimination in recognizing a visual target;

a display (104) operable to display an image for each of the visual recognition tests (204) to a subject;

an input device (106) operable to receive a response to each of the visual recognition tests from the subject; and

a processor (108) operable to execute instructions (202) stored in the memory (200) to perform the following steps:

(a) displaying (304) one of the visual recognition tests to a subject;

(b) receiving (306) from the subject a response to the visual recognition test;

(c) after receiving the response to the visual recognition test, selecting (308) a subsequent visual recognition test having selected outcome probability information determined based on the subject's performance on a previous visual recognition test;

(d) displaying (310) the subsequent visual recognition test;

(e) receiving (312) from the subject a response to the subsequent visual recognition test;

(f) repeating steps (c) - (e) until a stopping

- 5 criterion is achieved; and
 (g) outputting (314) a visual performance score determined based on the set of responses received from the subject and the outcome probability information for the visual recognition tests displayed to the subject.
8. The system of Claim 7, further comprising a timer operable to determine a time between when one of the visual performance tests is displayed and when the subject response to the displayed visual performance test is received. 10
9. The system of Claim 7, wherein the input device (106) comprises a plurality of input components, each input component capable of receiving a different type of response from the subject. 15
10. The system of Claim 7, wherein the visual performance score is determined using an item response theory (IRT) model. 20
11. The system of Claim 7, wherein the visual recognition tests (204) are selected to vary at least one image parameter selected from a group consisting of the following image parameters: size, contrast, color, orientation, presentation time, and apparent rate of motion. 25
12. The system of Claim 11, wherein the visual recognition tests (204) are selected to vary at least two different image parameters. 30
13. The system of Claim 7, wherein the visual recognition tests (204) comprise presenting images with distractors or glare. 35
14. The system of Claim 7, wherein the visual performance score is further determined based on a probability of guessing correctly. 40
15. Software embodied in a computer-readable medium operable, when executed by a system according to claim 7 to cause the steps to be performed, as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 6. 45

Patentansprüche

1. Computerimplementiertes Verfahren zum Testen einer visuellen Leistung, umfassend:
- (a) Vorsehen eines Speichers (302) zum Speichern einer Mehrzahl von visuellen Erkennungstests und einer Ausgangswahrscheinlichkeitsinformation, die mit jedem jeweiligen visuellen Erkennungstest assoziiert ist, wobei die
- 50 Ausgangswahrscheinlichkeitsinformation unter Verwendung einer statistischen Kontrollanalyse von Subjektantworten gesammelt wird, einschließlich eines variierenden Schwierigkeits- und Abgrenzungsgrad im Erkennen eines visuellen Ziels;
- (b) Anzeigen (304) von einem der visuellen Erkennungstests einem Subjekt;
- (c) Empfangen (306) einer Antwort von dem Subjekt auf den visuellen Erkennungstest;
- (d) nachfolgend dem Empfangen der Antwort zu dem visuellen Erkennungstest, Auswählen (308) eines darauffolgenden visuellen Erkennungstests mit einer ausgewählten Ausgangswahrscheinlichkeitsinformation, die bestimmt ist basierend auf der Leistung des Subjektes zu einem vorangehenden visuellen Erkennungstest;
- (e) Anzeigen (310) des nachfolgenden visuellen Erkennungstests;
- (f) Empfangen (312) einer Antwort von dem Subjekt auf den nachfolgenden visuellen Erkennungstest;
- (g) Wiederholen der Schritte (d) bis (f), bis ein Unterbrechungskriterium erfüllt ist; und
- (h) Ausgeben (314) eines visuellen Leistungspunktestands, wie er basierend auf dem Satz an Antworten bestimmt wird, wie sie von dem Subjekt empfangen wurden, sowie der Ausgangswahrscheinlichkeitsinformation für die visuellen Erkennungstests, die dem Subjekt angezeigt sind.
2. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei der visuelle Leistungspunktestand unter Verwendung eines Modells einer probabilistischen Testtheorie (IRT = Item Response Theory) bestimmt wird.
3. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei die visuellen Erkennungstests ausgewählt werden, um zumindest einen Bildparameter zu variieren, der ausgewählt ist aus der Gruppe bestehend aus folgenden Bildparametern: Größe, Kontrast, Farbe, Orientierung, Präsentationszeit, und ersichtliche Bewegungsrate.
4. Verfahren nach Anspruch 3, wobei die visuellen Erkennungstest ausgewählt sind, um zumindest zwei unterschiedliche Bildparameter zu variieren.
5. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei die visuellen Erkennungstests ein Darstellen von Bildern mit Distractionen oder einer Blendung umfassen.
6. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei der visuelle Leistungspunktestand ferner bestimmt wird basierend auf einer Wahrscheinlichkeit einer Rate-Korrektur.
7. Adaptives visuelles Leistungstestsystem, aufweisend:
- 55

einen Speicher zum Speichern einer Mehrzahl von visuellen Erkennungstests und einer Ausgangswahrscheinlichkeitsinformation, die mit jedem jeweiligen visuellen Erkennungstest assoziiert ist, wobei die Ausgangswahrscheinlichkeitsinformation unter Verwendung einer statistischen Kontrollanalyse von Subjektantworten gesammelt wird, einschließend einen variierenden Schwierigkeits- und Abgrenzungsgrad im Erkennen eines visuellen Ziels; eine Anzeige (104), die zum Anzeigen eines Bildes für jeden der visuellen Erkennungstests einem Subjekt gegenüber konzipiert ist; eine Eingabevorrichtung (106), die zum Entgegennehmen einer Antwort auf jeden jeweiligen visuellen Erkennungstest von dem Subjekt konzipiert ist; und einen Prozessor (108), der zum Ausführen von Anweisungen (202) konzipiert ist, die in dem Speicher (200) abgelegt sind, um folgende Schritte auszuführen:

- (a) Anzeigen (304) von einem der visuellen Erkennungstests einem Subjekt;
 - (b) Empfangen (306) einer Antwort von dem Subjekt auf den visuellen Erkennungstest;
 - (c) nachfolgend dem Empfangen der Antwort zu dem visuellen Erkennungstest, Auswählen (308) eines darauffolgenden visuellen Erkennungstests mit einer ausgewählten Ausgangswahrscheinlichkeitsinformation, die bestimmt ist basierend auf der Leistung des Subjektes zu einem vorangehenden visuellen Erkennungstest;
 - (d) Anzeigen (310) des nachfolgenden visuellen Erkennungstests;
 - (e) Empfangen (312) einer Antwort von dem Subjekt auf den nachfolgenden visuellen Erkennungstest;
 - (f) Wiederholen der Schritte (c) bis (e), bis ein Unterbrechungskriterium erfüllt ist; und
 - (g) Ausgeben (314) eines visuellen Leistungspunktesstands, wie er basierend auf dem Satz an Antworten bestimmt wird, wie sie von dem Subjekt empfangen wurden, sowie der Ausgangswahrscheinlichkeitsinformation für die visuellen Erkennungstests, die dem Subjekt angezeigt sind.
8. System nach Anspruch 7, ferner aufweisend einen Timer, der zum Bestimmen einer Zeit zwischen Momenten konzipiert ist, wenn eines der visuellen Leistungstest angezeigt wird und wenn die Antwort des Subjektes auf den angezeigten visuellen Leistungstest empfangen wird.
 9. System nach Anspruch 7, wobei die Eingabevorrichtung (106) eine Mehrzahl von Eingabekomponenten

umfasst, wobei jede Eingabekomponente fähig ist, einen unterschiedlichen Typ von Antwort von dem Subjekt zu empfangen.

10. System nach Anspruch 7, wobei der visuelle Leistungspunktstand unter Verwendung eines Modells einer probabilistischen Testtheorie (IRT = Item Response Theory) bestimmt wird.
11. System nach Anspruch 7, wobei die visuellen Erkennungstests (204) ausgewählt sind, um zumindest einen Bildparameter zu variieren, der ausgewählt ist aus der Gruppe bestehend aus folgenden Bildparametern: Größe, Kontrast, Farbe, Orientierung, Präsentationszeit, und ersichtliche Bewegungsrate.
12. System nach Anspruch 11, wobei die visuellen Erkennungstests (204) ausgewählt sind, um zumindest zwei unterschiedliche Bildparameter zu variieren.
13. System nach Anspruch 7, wobei die visuellen Erkennungstests ein Darstellen von Bildern mit Distraktionen oder einer Blendung umfassen
14. System nach Anspruch 7, wobei der visuelle Leistungspunkt ferner bestimmt wird basierend auf einer Wahrscheinlichkeit einer Rate-Korrektur.
15. Software, die in einem computerlesbaren Medium betreibbar und verkörpert ist, wenn sie auf einem System gemäß Anspruch 7 ausgeführt wird, um die Schritte auszuführen, wie sie in einem der Ansprüche 1 - 6 beansprucht sind.

Revendications

1. Procédé mis en oeuvre par ordinateur permettant de tester la performance visuelle, comprenant :
 - (a) la fourniture d'une mémoire (302) stockant une pluralité de tests de reconnaissance visuelle et d'informations de probabilité de résultat associées à chaque test de reconnaissance visuelle, les informations de probabilité de résultat étant collectées en utilisant une analyse commandée statistiquement de réponses de sujet incluant une difficulté et une discrimination variables dans la reconnaissance d'une cible visuelle ;
 - (b) l'affichage (304) d'un des tests de reconnaissance visuelle à un sujet ;
 - (c) la réception (306) en provenance du sujet d'une réponse au test de reconnaissance visuelle ;
 - (d) après la réception de la réponse au test de reconnaissance visuelle, la sélection (308) d'un

- test de reconnaissance visuelle subséquent ayant des informations de probabilité de résultat sélectionnées déterminées sur la base de la performance du sujet sur un test de reconnaissance visuelle précédent ; 5
- (e) l'affichage (310) du test de reconnaissance visuelle subséquent ;
- (f) la réception (312) en provenance du sujet d'une réponse au test de reconnaissance visuelle subséquent ; 10
- (g) la répétition des étapes (d) à (f) jusqu'à ce qu'un critère d'arrêt soit atteint ; et
- (h) la sortie (314) d'un score de performance visuelle déterminé sur la base de l'ensemble de réponses reçues en provenance du sujet et des informations de probabilité de résultat pour les tests de reconnaissance visuelle affichés au sujet. 15
2. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel le score de performance visuelle est déterminé en utilisant un modèle de théorie de la réponse d'item (IRT). 20
3. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel les tests de reconnaissance visuelle sont sélectionnés pour faire varier au moins un paramètre d'image sélectionné dans un groupe se composant des paramètres d'image suivants : la taille, le contraste, la couleur, l'orientation, le temps de présentation, et le taux apparent de mouvement. 25
4. Procédé selon la revendication 3, dans lequel les tests de reconnaissance visuelle sont sélectionnés pour faire varier au moins deux paramètres d'image différents. 30
5. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel les tests de reconnaissance visuelle comprennent la présentation d'images avec des distracteurs ou un éblouissement. 35
6. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel le score de performance visuelle est en outre déterminé sur la base d'une probabilité de deviner correctement. 40
7. Système de test de performance visuelle adaptatif, comprenant :
- une mémoire stockant une pluralité de tests de reconnaissance visuelle et des informations de probabilité de résultat associées à chaque test de reconnaissance visuelle, les informations de probabilité de résultat étant collectées en utilisant une analyse commandée statistiquement de réponses de sujet incluant une difficulté et une discrimination variables dans la reconnaissance d'une cible visuelle ; 45
8. Système selon la revendication 7, comprenant en outre un temporisateur exploitable pour déterminer un temps entre le moment où un des tests de performance visuelle est affiché et le moment où la réponse du sujet au test de performance visuelle affiché est reçue. 50
9. Système selon la revendication 7, dans lequel le dispositif d'entrée (106) comprend une pluralité de composants d'entrée, chaque composant d'entrée capable de recevoir un type de réponse différent en provenance du sujet. 55
10. Système selon la revendication 7, dans lequel le score de performance visuelle est déterminé en utilisant un modèle de théorie de la réponse d'item (IRT).
11. Système selon la revendication 7, dans lequel les tests de reconnaissance visuelle (204) sont sélectionnés pour faire varier au moins un paramètre
- un afficheur (104) exploitable pour afficher une image pour chacun des tests de reconnaissance visuelle (204) à un sujet ;
- un dispositif d'entrée (106) exploitable pour recevoir une réponse à chacun des tests de reconnaissance visuelle en provenance du sujet ; et
- un processeur (108) exploitable pour exécuter des instructions (202) stockées dans la mémoire (200) pour effectuer les étapes suivantes :
- (a) l'affichage (304) d'un des tests de reconnaissance visuelle à un sujet ;
- (b) la réception (306) en provenance du sujet d'une réponse au test de reconnaissance visuelle ;
- (c) après la réception de la réponse au test de reconnaissance visuelle, la sélection (308) d'un test de reconnaissance visuelle subséquent ayant des informations de probabilité de résultat sélectionnées déterminées sur la base de la performance du sujet sur un test de reconnaissance visuelle précédent ;
- (d) l'affichage (310) du test de reconnaissance visuelle subséquent ;
- (e) la réception (312) en provenance du sujet d'une réponse au test de reconnaissance visuelle subséquent ;
- (f) la répétition des étapes (c) à (e) jusqu'à ce qu'un critère d'arrêt soit atteint ; et
- (g) la sortie (314) d'un score de performance visuelle déterminé sur la base de l'ensemble de réponses reçues en provenance du sujet et des informations de probabilité de résultat pour les tests de reconnaissance visuelle affichés au sujet.

d'image sélectionné dans un groupe se composant des paramètres d'image suivants : la taille, le contraste, la couleur, l'orientation, le temps de présentation, et le taux apparent de mouvement.

5

12. Système selon la revendication 11, dans lequel les tests de reconnaissance visuelle (204) sont sélectionnés pour faire varier au moins deux paramètres d'image différents.

10

13. Système selon la revendication 7, dans lequel les tests de reconnaissance visuelle (204) comprennent la présentation d'images avec des distracteurs ou un éblouissement.

15

14. Système selon la revendication 7, dans lequel le score de performance visuelle est en outre déterminé sur la base d'une probabilité de deviner correctement.

20

15. Logiciel incorporé dans un support lisible par ordinateur exploitable, lorsqu'il est exécuté par un système selon la revendication 7, pour amener les étapes à être effectuées, selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 6.

25

30

35

40

45

50

55





Figure 1

FIGURE 2 [300]



REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- US 6802608 A [0004]

专利名称(译)	自适应视觉性能测试系统		
公开(公告)号	EP2542144B1	公开(公告)日	2016-04-06
申请号	EP2011751146	申请日	2011-02-28
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	爱尔康研究有限公司		
申请(专利权)人(译)	ALCON研究. , LTD. EYECHECK系统有限责任公司		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	ALCON研究. , LTD. EYECHECK系统有限责任公司		
[标]发明人	MEUSE PATRICIA ANN DEVENPORT JENNY NOVOTNY KIRSCHEN DAVID GARY LABY DANIEL MOSES		
发明人	MEUSE, PATRICIA, ANN DEVENPORT, JENNY, NOVOTNY KIRSCHEN, DAVID, GARY LABY, DANIEL, MOSES		
IPC分类号	A61B5/00 A61B3/02		
CPC分类号	A61B3/032 A61B3/02		
优先权	61/309209 2010-03-01 US 13/033930 2011-02-24 US		
其他公开文献	EP2542144A1 EP2542144A4		
外部链接	Espacenet		

摘要(译)

测试视觉性能的方法包括确定多个视觉识别测试中的每一个的难度等级。该方法还包括向对象显示视觉识别测试之一并从对象接收对视觉识别测试的响应。在接收到对视觉识别测试的响应之后，选择随后的视觉识别测试以具有基于从受试者接收的响应确定的难度等级，显示随后的视觉识别测试，并且从受试者接收响应。重复随后的视觉识别测试，直到达到预定数量的响应。基于从受试者接收的响应集确定视觉表现分数，然后输出显示给受试者的视觉识别测试的难度等级。

$$p_{ij}(\theta_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(\theta_i - b_i)}}$$