(19)

(12)





(11) **EP 2 523 625 B1**

EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

- (45) Date of publication and mention of the grant of the patent: 08.03.2017 Bulletin 2017/10
- (21) Application number: 11733194.2
- (22) Date of filing: 04.01.2011

(51) Int Cl.: *A61B 5/00* ^(2006.01) *G06i*

G06F 19/00 (2011.01)

- (86) International application number: PCT/US2011/020094
- (87) International publication number: WO 2011/087927 (21.07.2011 Gazette 2011/29)

(54) MULTIVARIATE RESIDUAL-BASED HEALTH INDEX FOR HUMAN HEALTH MONITORING

MULTIVARIATER RESTGRÖSSEN-GESUNDHEITSINDEX ZUR ÜBERWACHUNG DER MENSCHLICHEN GESUNDHEIT

INDICATEUR SANITAIRE BASÉ SUR DES RÉSIDUELS À VARIABLES MULTIPLES POUR LA SURVEILLANCE DE LA SANTÉ D'UN ÊTRE HUMAIN

- (84) Designated Contracting States: AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR
- (30) Priority: 14.01.2010 US 295072 P
- (43) Date of publication of application: 21.11.2012 Bulletin 2012/47
- (73) Proprietor: PhysIQ Inc. Chicago, IL 60606 (US)
- (72) Inventor: WEGERICH, Stephan, W. Geneva Illinois 60134 (US)

- (74) Representative: Hoffmann Eitle Patent- und Rechtsanwälte PartmbB Arabellastraße 30 81925 München (DE)
- (56) References cited: US-A1- 2007 149 862 US-A1- 2008 086 283 US-B2- 7 403 869 US-B2- 7 409 320
 - POVINELLI ET AL.: 'Time series classification using Gaussian mixture models of reconstructed phase spaces.' IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON KNOWLEDGE AND DATA ENGINEERING vol. 16, no. 6, June 2004, pages 779 - 783, XP011112283
 - WONG.: 'Analysis and augmentation of a multi-parameter monitoring system for early warning of patient deterioration.' 03 December 2007, pages 19 21, XP008171727

Note: Within nine months of the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent in the European Patent Bulletin, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to that patent, in accordance with the Implementing Regulations. Notice of opposition shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

Description

Field Of The Invention

5 [0001] The present invention relates generally to the field of human health monitoring, and more particularly to the use of multivariate models for analysis of measurements of biological parameters to provide residual-based assessment of human health indicators.

Brief Description Of The Related Art

10

[0002] Medicine has for centuries been practiced as a reactive, crisis-driven process. Unfortunately, it remains largely so to this day. Chronic diseases represent a disproportionate share of the crushing economic cost of healthcare, much of which could be avoided by early warning of deterioration. Current healthcare practices are episodic and reactionary, with little visibility into patient health outside the controlled setting of the clinic or hospital. However the medical arts are

- 15 only now beginning to explore out-patient telemetry from wearable devices, and there is virtually no answer to who is going to watch all this data, or how it will be analyzed to provide early warning with a low false alert rate. Moreover, outpatient telemetry poses considerable challenges due to ambulatory motion artifact and normal physiology variation in the course of daily activities not usually dealt with when a patient is sedated and supine in a hospital bed.
- [0003] Other industries (nuclear, aviation, refining, computer systems) have in recent years adopted advanced intel-20 ligent algorithms for condition monitoring, that accommodate normal variation and dynamics exhibited in the sensor data collected from a target system, and differentiate it from subtle early warning signs of deterioration. One kind of machine learning technique, Similarity-Based Modeling ("SBM") technology, has proven successful in many applications including those mentioned above. SBM is a nonparametric data driven modeling technique which learns normal behavior from multivariate data from a complex system, and distinguishes it from the onset of adverse behavior in a monitored system.
- 25 [0004] Visibility into health issues with SBM is contingent on the availability of multivariate data. Continuous telemetry from a wearable sensing device with multiple sensors could provide such data. However, existing devices are data-poor, in most instances univariate, and are primarily aimed at very narrow health related issue, e.g. glucose monitoring for diabetics, or blood pressure for hypertension. The devices are usually not meant for continuous monitoring, and any analysis performed is done using gross population statistics, i.e. not personalized to the individual. Further, current
- 30 commercial telehealth devices are not easily wearable, and do not take advantage of the latest mobile technologies. [0005] There is a need to make multivariate continuous data available for analysis, whether from a wearable device on an out-patient basis or from bedside equipment in a hospital, so that machine learning technology like the aforementioned SBM can be applied to automate early detection of incipient changes indicating the health of the patient is potentially subject to deterioration. Because medical staff is commonly overworked and short on time to spend deeply studying
- 35 analytical results for each patient, especially where large populations of at-home patients may be involved, an important issue is how to summarize the results of such machine learning techniques in a simple metric for actionability. [0006] In US 7,403,869 B2, reference data observations for given system are used to develop a reference set of such observations. Subsequent observations (comprising, in one embodiment, current observations) are then used to facilitate selection of portions of this reference set to yield a resultant set of observations that serves as a model. This model can
- 40 then be used in comparison to actual system performance to detect, for example, a trend towards a faulty condition. Pursuant to a preferred approach, the model is recomputed from time to time and, pursuant to a particular embodiment, is recomputed with each new set of current observations. [0007] In US 7,409,320 B2, a system, method and program product for monitoring a complex signal for ultrasensitive

detection of state changes, or for signature recognition and classification is provided. A complex signal is decomposed 45 periodically for empirical modeling. Wavelet analysis, frequency band filtering or other methods may be used to decompose the complex signal into components. A library of signature data may be referenced for selection of a recognized signature in the decomposed complex signal. The recognized signature may indicate data being carried in the complex signal. Estimated signal data may be generated for determination of an operational state of a monitored process or machine using a statistical hypothesis test with reference to the decomposed input signal.

- 50 [0008] According to US 2007/0149862 A1, improved human health monitoring is provided in the context of sensor measurements of typical vital signs and other biological parameters, by a system and method using an empirical model of the parameters and disposed to estimate values of the parameters in response to actual measurements. Residuals resulting from the difference between the estimates and actual measurements are analyzed for robust indications of incipient health issues. Residual analysis is both more robust and more sensitive than conventional univariate range
- 55 checking on vital signs.

Summay Of The Invention

[0009] According to the present invention, there is provided a computerized system for monitoring the health of a human according to Claim 1.

5

Brief Description Of The Drawings

[0010]

10

15

FIG. 1 is a block diagram showing a general arrangement according to one embodiment;

FIG. 2 shows an example of sensor placement on a human;

FIG. 3 shows an example chart of raw physiological waveforms or signals;

FIG. 4 shows a signal amplitude chart of photoplethysmography components used to determine a feature related to SpO2 (blood oxygen saturation), which may be understood to represent the light components picked up by a photosensor stacked additively;

FIG. 5 is a multi-chart example plot showing in the top four plots raw physiologically-related signals, and in the bottom five plots the related feature data derived there from;

FIG. 6 is a plot of an exemplary physiological feature time series showing perturbations of that time series used in accuracy and robustness calculations;

FIG. 7A is one of a pair of related plots of a multivariate health index and has been derived merely for raw feature data showing an index for unperturbed data and for perturbed data;

FIG. 7B is a multivariate health index plot derived for residual data generated from kernel-based models of feature data showing and index for unperturbed data and for perturbed data; and

FIG. 8 is a block diagram showing an alternative embodiment.

25

Detailed Description Of The Preferred Embodiments

[0011] An end-to-end human health monitoring solution is disclosed, comprised of a wearable wireless sensing device that continuously collects vital signs sensor data and transmits it (in real-time or in periodic bursts) to a base-station computer (or cell-phone/PDA) for preprocessing. The preprocessed data is then sent to a server over the web for analysis using a kernel-based machine learning analytical method tailored for human monitoring, such as SBM. The SBM technology is trained to be specific to each individual's normal vital signs characteristics. Due to the variation in vital signs data from human to human, this capability is crucial for any human monitoring system to be effective.

- [0012] The server can be remotely located from the patient. The analysis performed at the server with SBM or other related kernel-based method works by generating estimates of the vital signs (i.e., physiological data) that have been determined from the sensor data. These estimates represent what a trained SBM model can determine as the closest allowable normal physiological data that corresponds to the monitored data. The estimates made of the physiological data are differenced with the actual, monitored physiological data to generate residuals, representing the differences between the expected values according to the trained model, and what has been measured by the wearable sensing
- 40 device. These residuals form the basis for further analysis that provides early detection of subtle warning of health problems, which would likely be missed using conventional medical methods of comparing vital signs to demographically acceptable ranges (e.g., population-based standards for blood pressure). **100131** Pesiduals for pormal physiology (physiology as previously modeled) are different from residuals for physiology.

[0013] Residuals for normal physiology (physiology as previously modeled) are different from residuals for physiology that is beginning to deviate from normal, and can be statistically distinguished. The further computerized analysis of the

- ⁴⁵ residuals comprises one or more of the steps of: determining a likelihood that the residuals derived for any given multivariate input observation of monitored data are representative of a pattern of residuals characteristic of normal physiology, based on a "mixture of Gaussians" density estimation; generating a multivariate health index based on that likelihood as a logarithm of the inverse of the likelihood; applying a threshold to the index thus generated to render a decision whether the inputted vital signs are characteristic of normal physiological behavior; and combining a series of
- ⁵⁰ such decisions to provide an early indication of deviation from normal of the physiological health of a patient. The multivariate health index advantageously summarizes the residual analysis from multiple variables into a single index for the management of prioritized lists of patients.

[0014] The health monitoring solution can also be applied to multivariate physiological parameters obtained in a hospital from bedside monitors. An SBM model of typical human physiology can be used to make estimates and residuals for patients in the hospital, particularly those at risk for developing complications such as sepsis or pneumonia, and particularly patients who are sedated and/or ventilated and not able to express discomfort or feelings of incipient illness. Bedside data feeds amenable to the health monitoring solution include electrocardiographs, pulse oximeters, ventilator data, arterial and venous pressures measured by noninvasive means or by catheters, and the like. Such data can be

streamed to a server for the hospital ward, or to off-site servers for monitoring multiple hospital facilities, and decision support can be rendered by application of SBM to these data streams and displayed to healthcare workers for prioritizing patient treatment.

[0015] The analytics of an embodiment of the present invention can be performed on generic computing platforms

- ⁵ specially configured by software. Data collected from sensors on the patient can be wirelessly transmitted to an ambulatory or portable device, e.g., via Bluetooth or other extremely local radio protocol. The portable device can be a cell phone carried by the patient, a "personal digital assistant", PDA, or the like, or a portable computing device moved with a patient in the hospital bed. This device may receive raw sensor signals and perform the aforementioned preprocessing to extract vital sign "features" (physiological data) from the sensor signals, for example a heart rate from an EKG/ECG signal; or
- ¹⁰ may receive already-preprocessed features extracted by sensor microprocessing facilities from raw sensor signals. The resulting physiological "feature" data can be analyzed with SBM either on the device (the cell phone or PDA) or on a computer/server to which such physiological data is transferred. The computer can be a home computer collocated with the patient, or can be a remote server at an analytics data center. The transfer of data from the device can be by means of cabled offload or by wireless retransmission.
- 15 [0016] There are a plethora of chronic ailments and illnesses of which a patient may suffer, but for which the patient cannot be kept indefinitely in a hospital. A patient may have heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, renal failure, diabetes, early stage dementia and other conditions, which can devolve from a stable, managed state into an emergency health risk with little apparent warning. It is desirable to detect such devolution early because medical intervention at the early stage can prevent the emergency, avoid costs, prevent disease progression, and improve outcomes.
- [0017] Even patients in the hospital under care of medical staff can develop complications that are best detected early.

Patients on ventilators suffer a high rate of developing pneumonia. Infection and sepsis can occur due to hospitalacquired cross-contaminant infections or from post-surgical complications. Conventional bedside monitoring typically employs thresholds on vital signs to alert staff of patient deterioration, but these conventional alerting methods are coarse, either suffering a high false alert issue and rapidly disappearing into the ignored background paise, or eatching

²⁵ coarse, either suffering a high false alert issue and rapidly disappearing into the ignored background noise, or catching the deterioration later than is desired. **20191** Unlike the maintime of manifering approaches used in the healthears industry today. SBM is a multivariety.

[0018] Unlike the majority of monitoring approaches used in the healthcare industry today, SBM is a multivariate approach that takes advantage of the interrelationships between vital signs signals (e.g., heart rate (HR), blood oxygen saturation (SpO2), Respiration Rate, Blood Pressure). Such an approach is critical for the analysis of physiology in the

- ³⁰ presence of normal variation, that is, variation of physiological data due to normal changes in physiology responsive to metabolic needs, activity, environment, diurnal cycles and the like. Over the course of a day, a typical human exhibits a wide range of heart rates, respiration rates, blood pressures, blood oxygen levels and so on. In contrast to a sedated patient in a hospital setting, ambulatory conditions are exceptionally plagued by such variation, and as a result there has been little traditional medical monitoring of humans in their normal lives at home except in extremely controlled
- ³⁵ circumstances. Even in a sedated condition in the hospital, normal patient physiology still exhibits substantial variation. Such variation hides early changes in physiological parameters that evidence incipient deterioration of health. Conventional alerts placed on single parameters cannot see such changes against the background of normal variation until such changes become extreme. For example, a threshold placed on heart rate cannot be set to trigger an alert merely because the heart rate rises by 10 beats per minute, because this may readily occur in normal physiology. But if the
- 40 threshold is set to 160 bpm, a patient's condition may already have deteriorated substantially by the time the threshold is exceeded.
 10.10101 In addition, much of the consistent technology being developed today is burdened by the previous and technology.

[0019] In addition, much of the sensing technology being developed today is burdened by the necessity to provide an exactly calibrated reading of the vital sign of interest. In contrast, SBM requires only relative proxies of the vital sign of interest, thereby avoiding the problem of attaining absolute calibration of a physiological parameter in order to measure

⁴⁵ health. This is because the detection of incipient health problems is based on relative changes between all biosignals in aggregate, not on exceedances from population-based vital sign ranges.
[0020] SBM achieves these advantages by embodying normal variation in a model ("leaning"). This model is then used to generate multivariate estimates of the learned physiological parameters when presented with a multivariate measurement of those parameters. These estimates represent the closest possible set of values for normally varying

- 50 physiology, to the presented (measured) values. The estimates are differenced with the presented values to yield residuals. Analysis is advantageously shifted from testing raw physiological values which are plagued by normal variation, to testing residuals which represent differences beyond merely normal variation. In effect, SBM removes normal variation by subtracting the estimated behavior from the measured behavior, leaving just deviations.
- [0021] As described herein, the residuals are analyzed using a multivariate density estimation technique. According to this novel approach, the multidimensional distribution of residual vectors (vectors of dimension *n* where *n* is the number of physiological parameters for which estimates were differenced with actual measured values) for data representative of the patient's normal physiology is used to form a multivariate density estimator. The density estimator is a Gaussian mixture model, and is used to determine the likelihood that any new input residual vector (i.e., from newly monitored

data) is part of the same distribution. This likelihood obtained from the multidimensional density estimator effectively consolidates the behaviors of the individual residuals for each of the physiological parameters, into one overall index that can be used to summarize patient priority. This likelihood can be used as a multivariate health index (MHI), and can be subsequently tested with a number of persistence rules to assess patient priority over a time series of observations

- of the multiple physiological parameters being monitored.
 [0022] Advantageously, this MHI analysis of model-generated residuals provides earlier warning of incipient health issues when compared to conventional medical univariate thresholds on raw physiological data, and when compared to multivariate density estimates of raw physiological data.
- [0023] Turning to FIG. 1, the overall approach can be appreciated. In step 105, multiple biosignals are acquired from sensors on or in the patient. Examples of appropriate biosignals include electrocardiographs (ECG), thoracic bioimped-ance (bio-Z), photoplethysmographs (PPG), temperature differentials, systolic or diastolic blood pressures, accelerom-eter-measured motion, piezoelectric signals of respiratory activity, and instant airflow measurements from respiration, to name a few. In step 110, these biosignals are used to derive physiological feature data. A variety of physiological features can be derived from such biosignals, with a commonly understood example being heart rate determined from
- ¹⁵ landmarks of the ECG signal. Similarly, thoracic bioimpedance can yield respiratory rate and depth; PPG can yield pulse transit time (when cross referenced to the ECG) and the blood oxygen saturation, and so on. A variety of physiological features are known in the art, and the application of SBM in subsequent steps readily contemplates the use of new features as well, because the method is agnostic to the signals used (as long as the model is trained on the same kind of data) so long as they interrelate through the feedback loops and control mechanisms of human physiology. In optional
- step 115, the derived features can be supplemented with other physiologically-relevant data, that is, data that impacts the physiological behavior or response of the monitored human. An example is FiO2, the fraction of oxygen in inspired air, which can be increased over room air with the use of supplementing oxygen. In step 120, a kernel-based model such as SBM that has been trained on normal variation of these same physiological features generates estimates of an input observation of the features. Typically, an estimate is made for all elements in an input vector comprised of the
- ²⁵ collection of physiological parameters sampled contemporaneously. In step 125, the residuals are generated between those features measured and corresponding estimates of those features, in the instant monitored observation. Optionally, threshold tests can be applied in a univariate manner or in a multivariate pattern-matching manner to the residuals in step 130. In parallel with that option, the residuals are processed in step 135 by a mixture model developed from "normal" residuals, and a multivariate health index is determined for the input observation in step 140. This MHI is an index of
- 30 the likelihood that the residuals from the input observation belong to the multivariate distribution of the mixture model. The MHI can also be tested with a threshold to determine if the likelihood is insufficient such that the input observation evidences deviations not characteristic of normal physiology. In step 145, persistence rules can be applied to a time series of MHI determinations to further test observation-over-observation in time the persistence of threshold exceedances, providing greater confidence that a deviation is occurring in the patient's health, and is not merely a transient
- ³⁵ phenomenon in the data. In a step 150, the alerts from the MHI and its test, along with any previous tests on individual residuals or residual patterns, is managed for prioritization of patient care via a user interface. Alert management can facilitate user-initiated annotations into a medical record system relating to the alerts of "dismissal", "elevation" or "monitor" and other actions.
- [0024] The biosignals of step 105 can be acquired from typical hospital vital signs equipment such as bedside monitors and ventilators, from mobile vital signs monitors, implanted devices such as implantable cardioverter defibrillators and pacemakers with instrumentation, and from wearable ambulatory monitors. Whatever data source device is used, it must collect biosignals capable of providing multiple related physiological variables or features contemporaneously and at least periodically, if not continuously. In one form, a patient uses a non-invasive ambulatory sensing device or has an implantable device to acquire biosignals on at least a semi-continuously basis throughout the patient's normal daily
- ⁴⁵ activities. Data acquired by a sensing device can be offloaded from device memory on a periodic basis and thereafter processed on a computer; or can be continuously transmitted by cellular network or WiFi, to be processed either continuously or in batch-mode by a receiving computer or server. The physiological features can even be analyzed using the residual-based method on a smartphone or PDA, carried by the patient, since the computing requirements of the analytical process are well within the capabilities of modern mobile devices. Then, resulting alerts or health status conditions can be reported locally on the mobile device, and can also be uploaded to a central server to be shared with

conditions can be reported locally on the mobile device, and can also be uploaded to a central server to be shared with medical practitioners.
 [0025] One non-invasive wearable sensing device that can be used with the present invention is designed to acquire

data from 4 types of signals: ECG, red and infrared (IR) photoplethysmograph (PPG), bioimpedance, and a 3-axis accelerometer. These sensors provide a rich waveform set from which physiologic features can be extracted. The extracted features (as opposed to the raw waveform data) are what ultimately drive the SBM-based human health

⁵⁵ extracted features (as opposed to the raw waveform data) are what ultimately drive the SBM-based human health monitoring approach. The device can be designed to record relevant biosignals for local storage, e.g., on an onboard microSD card; or for transmission via a built-in Bluetooth radio to a cell phone or PDA carried by the patient. The device can be designed to have a USB Mini-B connector that can be used to supply power to the device when recharging its

battery, and that provides a mechanism for high-speed communication with a PC for periodically off-loading data, if raw real-time sensor data are stored on a micro-SD card of the device. The device may use a microprocessor selected from the well known Texas Instruments MSP430 line, ideal given its low power consumption characteristics, built-in ADC, DAC, timers, and multiple serial peripheral interfaces (SPI/UART/I2C). The Bluetooth interface can be provided via a

⁵ BlueCore 3 Plug-n-Go IC, a 96-pin BGA module from CSR, Inc., with minimal external component requirements, and a 2.4 GHz chip antenna.
 I00261 A number of sensing interfaces can be used to provide data for the present invention. The electrocardiogram

[0026] A number of sensing interfaces can be used to provide data for the present invention. The electrocardiogram (ECG) can be implemented by using a two-stage analog high pass filter (HPF), followed by a radio-frequency interference (RFI) filter and a micro-power instrumentation amp. It is crucial in an ambulatory mode to employ an RFI filter in front of

- ¹⁰ this high gain differential amplifier. Without it, a phenomenon called RF rectification can occur in the differential amplifier IC. Once an RF signal becomes rectified inside the IC, it results in a DC offset error at the output and no amount of low pass filtering can remove the error. As the RFI changes over time the DC offset changes as well resulting in an ECG signal that is highly susceptible to artifacts. Two pickup electrodes can be used to acquire the signal, for example on either side of the chest. The ECG is typically sampled at 12 bits and 256 Hz by the microprocessor.
- ¹⁵ [0027] A bioimpedance measurement can be made by using a dedicated 12-bit impedance converter network analyzer IC (Analog Devices AD5933) in conjunction with a voltage to current stage and a programmable gain instrumentation amplifier. An electrode placed under the left armpit can be used to inject 425 μA of current at 50 KHz to a ground electrode found on the opposite side of the torso. The same electrodes used to pickup the ECG signal can be used to pick up the 50 KHz signal through a 5 KHz HPF and an RFI filter. The difference in voltage is proportional to body's
- ²⁰ impedance through the relationship V = IR. The AD5933 IC is capable of measuring the complex impedance of the signal. [0028] The PPG signal can be acquired by controlling a pair of LEDs (Red and Infrared) via a current limiting H-Bridge for light generation. The unabsorbed light is measured using a reverse-biased PID photodetector connected to a transimpedance amplifier for initial gain. The measured signal is then fed to a second stage differential amplifier along with a DC-offset value generated in firmware from the output of the microprocessor's DAC. The DC-offset value is meant to
- ²⁵ keep the signal within the rails of the differential amplifier so that the signal gain can be maximized. The output of the second stage amplifier is preferably then oversampled by a factor of 8 at 16384Hz (for a final sampling rate of 256 Hz) after a waiting period of 488 μS after the LEDs have changed states. The oversampling is applied to increase the signal-to-noise ratios of the PPG signals, which are highly susceptible to noise.
- [0029] Accelerometer data can be generated by a LIS302DL MEMS digital accelerometer at 400 Hz (8 bits per axis).
 ³⁰ The digital readings are preferably read by the microprocessor at a rate of 100 Hz.
- [0030] The acquired data can be placed into two buffers: one that is flushed out to the file system (micro-SD), and one that is fed to the Bluetooth IC for transmission. Each value is preceded with a single byte ID for identification, and periodic "sync" blocks are inserted into the Bluetooth stream to aid in data alignment. Each packet of data consists of the ID byte, followed by two bytes containing the sample value. Periodic 32-bit timestamps are also transmitted by utilizing two packets to represent the high and low words of a 32-bit seconds counter.
- **[0031]** In one form, a subject is outfitted with four electrodes and one pulse oximetry sensor. Two types of electrodes can be used, carbon-rubber non-adhesive electrodes and carbon-rubber adhesive electrodes, although other commercially available electrodes are readily contemplated for use in the embodiment. The electrodes are placed on the body as shown in FIG. 2: (A) corresponds to the Bioimpedance current source electrode, (C) is the +ECG electrode, (F) is
- 40 the -ECG electrode, and (H) is the analog ground electrode (AGND). The ECG leads are also used to simultaneously pick up the bioimpedance response signal. The device can be worn by either being placed in a stretchable chest strap with the non-adhesive electrodes attached to the inside of the strap via Velcro, or it is placed in a pouch worn around the neck with leads running to the adhesive electrodes. The PPG signal is acquired via a disposable Nellcor reflective pulse oximetry sensor affixed to the forehead and connected to the device. A typical example of the signals captured
- ⁴⁵ by the wearable sensing device described above from a human subject is shown in FIG. 3. The signals are: (A) ECG, (B) x-axis accelerometer, (C) infrared photoplethysmograph (PPG), (D) real component of bioimpedance, and (E) imaginary component of bioimpedance. Not shown are the y and z axis accelerometer signals, and the red PPG signal which are all captured as well.
- [0032] Turning now to physiological feature generation, the raw data collected from the wearable device is not directly analyzed with SBM. Instead a set of physiological features are derived from the raw waveform data. These derived features are what provide the insight into the status of human cardiopulmonary control system and in turn the overall health of an individual. According to one example, several features from two categories can be used, cardiac derived and respiratory derived. The cardiac derived features are heart rate (HR), pulse transit time (PTT) and the Red absorption to IR absorption PPG ratio (or Q). In one example, the HR feature can be obtained directly by measuring the interval
- ⁵⁵ between consecutive QRS peaks in the ECG signal. The peaks are detected using a multi-step procedure. First a digital HPF is applied to the ECG signal. Then the filtered signal is split into 10 second data windows that are detrended to remove a straight line fit to the data. Next, within each window, the 98th percentile is calculated and the locations of all samples above the 98th percentile are found. All samples found reside on a set of local peaks within the 10 second

window. The last step is to find the sample location of the maximum value for each of the local peaks within the window. These locations are the individual QRS peaks in the ECG waveform. Then the HR rate is simply the reciprocal of the time interval between each heart beat.

- [0033] PTT is the delay time between the QRS peak and PPG pulse peak. This feature is known to be inversely proportional to blood pressure. To calculate it, the robustness of the ECG QRS peak detection algorithm is exploited with first principles. Since it is known that a transit time of more than 250 ms is unlikely in a human, 250 ms windows starting from the QRS peak location for each heart beat can be used to search for the corresponding PPG peak. The maximum value within the window is the PPG peak. This is done for both the red and IR PPG signals. Because the PPG signals tend to be naturally noisy, before the peaks are located, the PPG signals are first digitally filtered using a median
- ¹⁰ filter (to remove spiking) followed by a band-pass filter with lower and upper cutoff frequencies of 0.5Hz and 5 Hz respectively.

[0034] The Q feature is the ratio of the blood absorption of red light to infrared light. Q is inversely known to be proportional to SpO2 (blood oxygen saturation). Calculating Q is more complicated due to the analog and digital signal processing that takes place before the raw PPG data are acquired. With reference to FIG. 4, Q is calculated as follows.

¹⁵ The basic equation for Q is given by

$$Q = \frac{(RED_{AC} / RED_{DC})}{(IR_{AC} / IR_{DC})}$$
(1)

20

25

30

[0035] Here RED_{AC} (IR_{AC}) is the amount of red (infrared) light absorbed by the blood and RED_{DC} (IR_{DC}) is the amount of red (infrared) light absorbed by the surrounding tissue. The PPG implementation comprises an LED driving stage, a PID photodiode with a transimpedance amplifier, and a second gain stage which subtracts out a DC offset (RED OUT-PUTOFFSET in the FIG. 4) and adds additional gain. Some level of background light is detected by the sensor, and needs to be subtracted from the measured signal as well (OFF SIGNAL + OFF OUPUTOFFSET). The RED DC TRACK parameter is the lower envelop of the actual acquired signal. Then Q can be given by the following equations (shown for red only).

$$RED_{AC} = \alpha RED'_{AC}$$

(2)

$$RED_{AC} = \alpha(RED_{DCTRACK}) + \beta(RED_{OUTPUTOFFSET}) - \beta(OFF_{OUTPUTOFFSET}) - \alpha(OFF_{SIGNAL})$$
(3)

Here RED'_{AC} is the peak-to-peak value of the actual acquired PPG signal, and α and β are scaling factors that are function of the analog to digital converters.

- ⁴⁰ [0036] There are two respiratory derived features that can be used in the embodiment, respiration rate (RR) and tidal volume (TV) (or depth of breath). Both are calculated from the bioimpedance signal. The device acquires the real and imaginary parts of the bioimpedance separately. These are combined to form the magnitude which is used for extracting RR and TV. Bioimpedance is highly susceptible to motion artifacts. Muscle movement and organ movement change the impedance of the human body causing undesired variation in the acquired signal. At the same time the signal is noisy
- ⁴⁵ and somewhat aperiodic in nature with respect to breathing. Because of these factors one method to obtain reasonable results for extracting RR and TV is a spectral-based approach. The bioimpedance signal is first bandpass filtered with a narrow band digital filter with lower and upper cutoff frequencies of 0.133 Hz and 1Hz (corresponding to a RR range of 8 to 60 breaths per minute). Next, a sliding window Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) is applied to the filtered data with overlap to produce feature values every 20 seconds. The RR rate feature corresponds to the frequency at which
- ⁵⁰ the maximum value of the magnitude of the DFT occurs in each window. To reduce edge effects each window of data is multiplied with a window function that suppresses the end points to zero before the DFT is calculated. TV is defined to be the value of the magnitude of the DFT at the RR frequency, and quantitatively relates to true tidal volume but is not a directly calibrated measure of tidal volume.
- [0037] In one form, two last steps are taken to finalize the feature generation process. First, in a noise filtering step that removes spikes and smoothes the feature data at the same time, a moving window trimmed mean filter is applied with 50% window overlap. The default window size is 40 seconds and with an overlap of 50% the resulting filtered features occur at a rate of 1 sample every 20 seconds. The second step is to align all the feature data in time so that

35

they can be analyzed with SBM. This is achieved by interpolating all of the filtered features at the same time points using a shape-preserving piecewise cubic interpolator. An example of the filtered features is shown in FIG. 5 along with some of the raw signals: (A) ECG, (B) y-axis accelerometer, (C) red PPG, (D) bioimpedance magnitude, (E) respiration rate, (F) tidal volume, (G) heart rate, (H) pulse transit time, and (I) red to infrared ratio. Data region 505 occurred while the

- ⁵ subject held his breath as is evident by tidal volume (F) going to zero. During the same period the red to IR PPG ratio (I) starts to increase indicating that 02 saturation is lowering. Region 510 occurred while the subject was walking briskly around. After about 45 seconds into the walk his respiration rate, tidal volume and heart rate increase ((E), (F) and (G) respectfully). Pulse transit time drops (H), indicating an increase in blood pressure, while the PPG ratio (I) begins to slowly climb again, indicating lower 02 saturation. Finally region 515 represents the subject running up and down a
- staircase three times with short rests in between. As expected, similar behavior to that of region 510 is seen. [0038] Invariably sensor noise, artifacts due to sensor movement and other unexpected interference contaminate random time periods of the acquired sensor data. Including tainted data in an SBM model can potentially degrade model performance. SBM is purely data driven and learns normality from the training data. If the training data is contaminated with non-health related artifacts the model's representation of normal will be undesirably broadened. This generally affects its sensitivity in predicting the onset of anomalous behavior.
- ¹⁵ affects its sensitivity in predicting the onset of anomalous behavior. [0039] To deal with sensor noise a number of digital filtering techniques can be applied to either the raw data or to the calculated features themselves. These include the techniques of median filtering, Infinite Impulse Response (IIR) filters and Finite Impulse Response (FIR) filters).
- [0040] According to one approach, a strategy for detecting artifacts in the raw sensor data is based on a number of components. First, the first order difference of each axis of the accelerometer data is monitored for times when the absolute value of the difference is above a predefined threshold. These times indicate when sudden movements have occurred. Generally, these sudden movements result in transient behavior in the sensor data, most notably in the PPG data and bioimpedance data. The data from all sensors are then ignored from the first indication of sudden movement until 10 seconds after the difference signals falls below the threshold again. This approach works well for detecting
- transients but does not detect sensor problems. The second component combines heuristic rules with first principles rules to detect sensor and/or feature generation errors. The set of rules is summarized below:

1. If TV < T_{tv} (a threshold constant) then RR is unreliable and is not used. Calculating RR is based on extracting the maximum spectral component of the bioimpedance signal within a narrow band and if TV is below T_{tv} the person is not breathing, or is breathing so shallowly that the maximum component is meaningless; it's just the maximum noise component in the frequency band during this state.

2. If HR > 200 or Q (PPG Red to IR ratio) > T_Q (a threshold constant), ignore the calculated feature value. A value of HR above 200 is well above the normal HR for a human so anything above 200 is likely an error. Similarly, Q, a proxy for SpO2, is only realistic in a certain range; however unlike HR the range varies from person to person due to sensor placement and the physical characteristics of the skin. So a unique T_Q is preferably calculated for each individual.

3. If the PTT variance is greater than the HR variance by more than threshold constant T_{var}, then ignore the feature data. This means that the pulsatile peaks of the PPG signals are not being identified correctly indicating that the PPG sensor is physically out of place or is being overcome by noise.

40

30

35

[0041] Turning now to the process for estimating observations in order to be able to obtain residuals, a number of different kernel-based multivariate estimator methods may be used. What is generally intended by the term "kernel-based" is a multivariate estimator that operates with a library of exemplary observations (the learned data) on an input observation using a kernel function for comparisons. The kernel function generally yields a scalar value (a "similarity") on a comparison of the input observation to an exemplary observation from the library. The scalar similarity can then

⁴⁵ on a comparison of the input observation to an exemplary observation from the library. The scalar similarity can then be used in generating an estimate as a weighted sum of at least some of the exemplars. For example, using Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression, the kernel function is used to generate estimates according to:

$$\mathbf{y}_{est} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{out} K(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{x}_{i}^{in})}{\sum_{i=1}^{L} K(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{x}_{i}^{in})}$$
(Inferential form) (4)

55

50

$$\mathbf{x}_{est} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathbf{x}_{i} K(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{x}_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{L} K(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{x}_{i})}$$
(Autoassociative form) (5)

5

25

35

where X_{new} is the input multivariate observation of physiological features, X_i are the exemplary multivariate observations of physiological features, X_{est} are the estimated multivariate observations, and K is the kernel function. In the inferential case, exemplars comprise a portion X_i comprising some of the physiological features, and a portion Y_i comprising the remaining features, X_{new} has just the features in X_i, and Y_{est} is the inferential estimate of those Y_i features. In the autoassociative case, all features are included in X_{new}, X_i and in the X_{est} together - all estimates are also in the input. [0042] The kernel function, by one approach, provides a similarity scalar result for the comparison of two identically-

- 15 dimensioned observations, which:
 - 1. Lies in a scalar range, the range being bounded at each end;
 - 2. Has a value of one of the bounded ends, if the two vectors are identical;
 - 3. Changes monotonically over the scalar range; and
- 20 4. Has an absolute value that increases as the two vectors approach being identical.

In one example, kernel functions may be selected from the following forms:

$$K_h(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b) = e^{\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b\|^2}{h}}$$
(6)

³⁰
$$K_{h}(\mathbf{x}_{a},\mathbf{x}_{b}) = \left(1 + \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{a} - \mathbf{x}_{b}\|^{\lambda}}{h}\right)^{-1}$$
 (7)

$$K_h(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b) = 1 - \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b\right\|^{\lambda}}{h}$$

where X_a and X_b are input observations (vectors). The vector difference, or "norm", of the two vectors is used; generally this is the 2-norm, but could also be the 1-norm or p-norm. The parameter *h* is generally a constant that is often called the "bandwidth" of the kernel, and affects the size of the "field" over which each exemplar returns a significant result. The power λ may also be used, but can be set equal to one. It is possible to employ a different *h* and λ for each exemplar X_i . Preferably, when using kernels employing the vector difference or norm, the measured data should first be normalized to a range of 0 to 1 (or other selected range), e.g., by adding to or subtracting from all sensor values the value of the minimum reading of that sensor data set, and then dividing all results by the range for that sensor; or normalized by converting the data to zero-centered mean data with a standard deviation set to one (or some other constant). Furthermore, a kernel function according to an embodiment of the invention can also be defined in terms of the elements of the observations, that is, a similarity is determined in each dimension of the vectors, and those individual elemental similarities

(8)

observations, that is, a similarity is determined in each dimension of the vectors, and those individual elemental similarities are combined in some fashion to provide an overall vector similarity. Typically, this may be as simple as averaging the
 elemental similarities for the kernel comparison of any two vectors x and y:

$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} K(x_m, y_m)$$

55

[0043] Then, elemental kernel functions that may be used according to an embodiment of the invention include, without limitation:

 $K_{h}(x_{m}, y_{m}) = e \frac{-|x_{m} - y_{m}|^{2}}{h}$

5

10

$$K_{h}(x_{m}, y_{m}) = \left(1 + \frac{|x_{m} - y_{m}|^{\lambda}}{h}\right)^{-1}$$

$$K_{h}(x_{m}, y_{m}) = 1 - \frac{|x_{m} - y_{m}|^{\lambda}}{h}$$

[0044] The bandwidth h may be selected in the case of elemental kernels such as those shown above, to be some kind of measure of the expected range of the *m*th parameter of the observation vectors. This could be determined, for example, by finding the difference between the maximum value and minimum value of a parameter across all exemplars. Alternatively, it can be set using domain knowledge irrespective of the data present in the exemplars or reference vectors, e.g., by setting the expected range of a heart rate parameter to be 40 to 180 beats per second on the basis of reasonable physiological expectation, and thus *h* equals "140" for the *m*th parameter in the model which is the heart rate.

20 [0045] According to one approach, Similarity-Based Modeling is used as the kernel-based multivariate estimator. Three types of SBM models can be used for human data analysis tasks: 1) a fixed SBM model, 2) a localized SBM model that localizes using a bounding constraint, and 3) a localized SBM model that localizes using a nearest neighbor approach. The fixed SBM modeling approach generates estimates using the equation bellow.

25

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{in}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{D} \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{x}_{in}(t) \right)}{\sum \left(\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{D} \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{x}_{in}(t) \right)}$$
(13)

30

35

[0046] Here, D is a static *m*-by-*n* matrix of data consisting of *n* training data vectors with *m* physiological features, preselected from normal data during a training phase. The kernel function K is present as a kernel operator \otimes whereby each column vector from the first operand (which can be a matrix, such as D is) is compared using one of the kernel functions described above, to each row vector of the second operand (which can also be a matrix). The monitored input observation is here shown as $\mathbf{x}_{in}(t)$, and the autoassociative estimate is shown as $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{in}(t)$. In contrast, localized SBM

(LSBM) is given by the following equation:

⁴⁰
$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{in}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{D}(t) (\mathbf{D}(t)^T \otimes \mathbf{D}(t))^{-1} (\mathbf{D}(t)^T \otimes \mathbf{x}_{in}(t))}{\sum (\mathbf{D}(t)^T \otimes \mathbf{D}(t))^{-1} (\mathbf{D}(t)^T \otimes \mathbf{x}_{in}(t))}, \quad \mathbf{D}(t) = \{\mathbf{H} | F(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x}_{in}(t))\}$$
(14)

[0047] Although similar in form to the fixed SBM model, here the D matrix is redefined at each step in time using a localizing function $F(\cdot)$ based on the current input vector $\mathbf{x}_{in}(t)$ and a normal data reference matrix H. Accordingly, matrix H contains a large set of exemplars of normal data observations, and function F selects a smaller set D using each input observation. By way of example, F can utilize a "nearest neighbor" approach to identify a set of exemplars to constitute D for the current observation as those exemplars that fall within a neighborhood of the input observation in m-dimensional space, where m is the number of features. As another example, function F can compare the input observation to the

- ⁵⁰ exemplars for similarity using a kernel-based comparison, and select a preselected fraction of the most similar exemplars to constitute D. Other methods of localization may be used in an embodiment of the invention, including selection on the basis of fewer than all of the physiological features, and also selection on the basis of a distinct parameter not among the features, but associated with each exemplar, such as an ambient condition measure.
- [0048] Models used for estimation in an embodiment of the present invention are preferably empirical models determined from data, in contrast to first-principles models that relate parameters by deterministic equations. Therefore, instead of deriving a model, the model must be trained with empirical data. Training a model of physiology comprises gathering exemplary observations of the physiological parameters or features to be modeled and building a reference library of exemplars. These features can be range-normalized, or can be used in their native units of measurement in

combination with an elementary kernel function, such as those shown in equations 10-12, that uses a bandwidth that is proportional to the expected range in those native units of measure. In personalized modeling, observations are obtained of the features in question from the patient who will be monitored, during conditions in which the patient is deemed to be medically normal or medically stable. The patient need not be in pristine health, as the method of an embodiment of

- 5 the present invention looks for relative change. The normal data preferably includes representation from all manner of activity that is to be modeled, and need not be limited to highly immobile, sedated or "steady state" conditions, unless those are the only conditions that will be modeled. Exemplars are typically just observations selected for inclusion in the reference library from the larger set of available normal observations; exemplars can also be determined as computed "centers" of clustered normal data in the alternative.
- 10 [0049] Once a model is trained by constituting its reference library, and selecting the kernel function(s) that will serve as similarity operations for estimate generation, the model can be used to generate estimates responsive to monitored input observations. With each input observation, an estimate of at least some of the physiological features is generated according to one of the embodiments of equations 4, 5, 13 or 14 above. The estimated features are then differenced with the measured values of those features in the instant observation to create a residual for each such feature. Given
- 15 that real-world signals have inherent measurement noise and inherent system noise, and given that empirical models will have some inherent inaccuracy, residuals will occur not only for deviating data from deteriorating physiology, but also for data from normal physiology. However the statistical character of the residuals for normal data will be much better behaved than for deviating data. A number of well known methods for testing raw data can be applied to the residuals, including thresholds. A threshold can be applied to a residual such that small variations are tolerated, by larger
- 20 values trigger an alert. Series of decisions on residuals for individual physiological parameters can be the basis for rules relating to the genuine existence of a persistent deviating health condition, for example by counting the number of threshold exceedances in a window of observations. Rule patterns can be applied across residuals for different physiological features, triggered only when the pattern of deviations in the residuals is identified. Generally, these decision methods applied to residuals are more sensitive and less prone to error than the same approaches applied to raw data,
- 25 because normal variation has been removed in the residuals by the differencing with the estimated features from the model. Essentially, SBM is removing the normal variation in the actual data and leaving behind abnormal data in the form of residuals (normal as defined by the training data).

[0050] The performance of a model can be measured using a nonparametric perturbation-based approach that is particularly well suited for comparing modeling techniques used for anomaly detection applications. The performance of a model is assessed using three metrics: 1) robustness, 2) spillover and 3) error. The robustness metric is a measurement of the likelihood that a model will follow (or over-fit) a perturbation introduced into the data. With reference to

FIG. 6, to measure robustness, first estimates for all of the variables in a model are made based on a test data set containing normal data (\hat{x}_0 in FIG. 6). Next, a perturbation Δ is added to each variable one at a time in the model as shown (x_{Λ} in FIG. 6). Finally, estimates are generated for each of the perturbed variables (\hat{x}_{Λ} in FIG. 6). The robustness 35

13

metric for each variable in a model is then given by the following equation:

11

30

$$Robustness = \frac{mean(|\hat{x}_0 - \hat{x}_{\Delta}|)}{\Delta}$$
(15)

[0051] Here, perfect robustness is achieved when Robustness is equal to 0, that is, when the unperturbed and perturbed estimates are identical. A larger value indicates more over-fitting and hence less model robustness.

[0052] The spillover metric measures the relative amount that variables in a model deviate from normality when another 45 variable is perturbed. In contrast to robustness, spillover measures the robustness on all other variables when one variable is perturbed. The spillover measurement for each variable is calculated using a similar calculation, which is given by

50

$$Spillover_{j} = \frac{1}{M-1} \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{M} \frac{mean(|\hat{x}_{i0} - \hat{x}_{i|\Delta_{j}}|)}{\Delta_{i}}$$
(16)

where \hat{x}_{i0} is the estimate for variable *i* when no variables are perturbed, $\hat{x}_{i|\Delta}$, is the estimate of variable *i* when variable 55 *j* is perturbed by Δ_{i} and Δ_{i} is the perturbation amount used when variable *i* is itself perturbed.

[0053] Finally, the error metric is simply the root mean squared error of the difference between the actual value and its estimate divided by the standard deviation of the actual value, or equivalently the residual RMS divided by the actual value standard deviation:

5

10

15

$$Error = \frac{rms(x - \hat{x})}{\sigma_x} \equiv \frac{rms(residual)}{\sigma_x}$$
(17)

[0054] The equations listed above define the metrics for each variable in a model. In each case, a smaller value is better. The overall performance metrics for a model are calculated by averaging the results for each variable in each case.
[0055] Turning to one form of residual testing, a multivariate density estimation approach can be applied to the residual data. The approximated densities in the normal behavior of the data are used to determine the likelihood (in the form of a multivariate health index (MHI)) that a new data point is part of the normal behavior distribution. The density estimates are calculated using a non-parametric kernel estimator with a Gaussian kernel. The estimator is shown in the equation bellow. The resulting density function is essentially a mixture of *N* individual multivariate Gaussian functions each centered at x;:

 $\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{N(2\pi)^{d/2} h^d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i\|}{h^2}\right]$ (18)

20

where *N* is the number of training vectors, *h* is a bandwidth parameter, *d* is the dimensionality of the vectors, and $\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})$ is a scalar likelihood. Importantly, the X and \mathbf{X}_{i} here are *not* multivariate observations of physiological features, but are instead multivariate *residual* observations derived from the original observations by differencing with the estimates. Importantly also, the density "estimation" here is not the same as the estimation process described above for estimating physiological feature values based on measured values; the "estimate" here is empirically mapping out a probability distribution for residuals using the normal multivariate residual exemplars, as a Gaussian mixture model. This *estimated* distribution is then used to compute a *likelihood* that a new multivariate residual from an input observation of physiological features is a member of that distribution or not. The exemplars \mathbf{X}_{i} can be selected from regions of normal data residuals generated by SBM using test data that is deemed "normal" or representative of desired or stable physiological behavior. Before the density estimates are made, all residuals are scaled to have unit variance and zero mean, or at least are scaled to have unit variance. The means and standard deviations used for the scaling procedure are calculated from

known normal data residuals. The multivariate health index (MHI) in one form is a function of $\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})$ and is given by:

35

$$MHI(\mathbf{x}) = \log_{10}\left(\frac{1}{\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})}\right)$$
(19)

⁴⁰ Of course, the likelihood determined from equation 18 need not be converted as in equation 19 in order to be useful, and equation 19 is used primarily to invert the signal trend (so that higher equates to rising health risk). Tests may be applied directly to the result of equation 18.

[0056] A comparison of the efficacy of applying the multivariate density estimation approach to residuals is highlighted in FIGs. 7A-7B. Chart 705 (FIG. 7A) shows a multivariate density estimation similar to that described above except applied to raw physiological feature data (the actual values of heart rate, respiration rate, etc.); while chart 710 (FIG. 7B) shows the multivariate density estimation as described above applied to residuals generated from a kernel-based model (SBM). MHI results are shown for physiological data both unperturbed (normal) and with an artificially-induced perturbation (abnormal). The perturbation was introduced as a slow drift in a subset of ambulatory physiological features from the start of the data, with a maximum drift achieved at the end of the data. In both chart 705 and 710, the MHI

- 50 computed for "normal" unperturbed data is shown as a solid line, and the MHI computed for "abnormal" perturbed data is shown as a dotted line. A detection threshold (717, 720) was determined for each approach based on statistics for a test set of normal data, where the statistics were for raw data in the case of chart 705 and for residuals in the case of chart 710. A decision algorithm was further applied to the MHI to ascertain a persistent, reliable threshold exceedance alert, in this case x successive MHI threshold exceedances yields an alert decision. The decision can be latched until
- ⁵⁵ a series of *y* successive values for MHI are observed bellow the threshold, in which case the alert is removed. Alternatively, an alert can be latched when there have been *x* threshold exceedances in a window of *m* observations, and the alert removed when there have been *y* observations bellow the threshold in a window of *b* observations. In each case, the vertical line (730, 735) indicates the point at which a decision was made that the data are not from the normal behavior

distribution and hence indicate an abnormal condition. As can be seen, detection occurs about one-third of the way from the start of the simulated disturbance for the residual-driven MHI, whereas detection using raw data in combination with a multivariate density estimation does not occur until much later in the data. This is due to the combination of a model of normally removing normal variation, with the multivariate density estimation of likelihood of normalcy applied to

⁵ residuals. This residual-based MHI method has the novel advantages of providing substantially earlier detection of an incipient pattern of deviation in health, and providing a single index of patient deviation to summarize individual residuals for the multiple physiological features being monitored.

[0057] According to one approach, the system described herein can be deployed to provide predictive monitoring of patient health in an ambulatory, at-home environment, particularly for patients with chronic diseases that may deteriorate

- ¹⁰ unpredictably. Multiple physiological features are derived from one or more biosignals and parameters captured from a wearable or implanted device (or both), and transmitted to an analytics data center, where one or more servers are disposed to process the physiological features using empirical, kernel-based models. The models are preferably personalized to the data from the patient captured during periods when the patient is considered to be in normal or acceptably stable health, to provide a model of normal physiology for the patient. Monitored data is estimated using the personalized
- ¹⁵ model, and the monitored values are differenced with the estimated values of the physiological parameters to yield residuals. The residuals are then processed through one or more methods of analysis to yield alerts regarding the patient's health status. According to one technique, the residuals can individually be tested with rules, such as thresholds. These thresholds can further be tested for persistence. Patterns of residual tests can be recognized to yield even more specific health status information. According to another technique, the multivariate observation of residuals can be
- 20 examined for likelihood of belonging to a "normal" residual distribution using an empirical multivariate probability density estimation, and this likelihood may then be converted to a multivariate health index, typically as an inverse log value of the likelihood. The MHI provides an instant ranking of patient health status, and the MHI can be tested using a threshold, as well as persistence rules, to yield alerts regarding patient health status. All such analytics can be presented via a web-based or client-server-based user interface to medical practitioners, and in this way a large population of patients
- ²⁵ can be monitored together by medical staff with improved efficiency. All such monitored patients of a health care institution or practice group can be managed for early warning of deteriorating health at home, and the patients can be prioritized for specific follow-up based on health status. Patients with early indications of health deterioration can be contacted to verify compliance with medications, inquire about how the patient feels, and investigate recent patient behavior that may have exacerbated a chronic illness. Medical staff may advantageously avert a more costly health emergency for the
- patient with efficient interventions including instructing the patient to make adjustments to medications, comply with medications, or come in for an examination and preventative intervention.
 [0058] SBM can also be deployed with cross subject modeling, instead of an entirely personalized model. A model then comprises data from other human subjects. Due to the person to person variation in feature data it is necessary to scale each subject's data. A generic cross population model can be used as a temporary means for monitoring a human
- when no historical data are available for the individual as long as the individual's feature data are properly scaled. The scaling can be accomplished based on statistics calculated during a standardized set of activities when the monitoring device is first put on. The data acquired during the standard activities (which can comprise lying down, sitting, standing, walking and climbing stairs, for example) is typically scaled to a zero-mean, one-standard deviation range. The monitoring is not as sensitive as it would be for a personalized model but it at least provides a minimal level of health monitoring while waiting to acquire a suitable set of data to generate a personalized model
- ⁴⁰ while waiting to acquire a suitable set of data to generate a personalized model. [0059] Turning to FIG. 8, another approach obtains residuals from reference data representative of a known illness, malady or health deterioration, so that a multivariate probability density estimator can be determined for that health deterioration, in contrast to determining it for normal or stable health. Hence, one or more probability density estimators 810 can be created in this way (including one for normal data), and applied to multivariate residual observations 820
- ⁴⁵ from monitored data 830. Likelihoods that the monitored residual observation belongs to each of the distributions can be compared in parallel in a decisioning step 840, and not only can deviation from normal be detected, but the nature of the health deterioration can be categorized. Likelihoods can simply be displayed to medical staff, or the likeliest scenario or the set of scenarios with a sufficiently high likelihood can be indicated as the probable state(s) of the patient in 840. In another approach to decisioning 840, the likelihoods or MHI values for each of a plurality of maladies are
- ⁵⁰ normalized using test statistics generated from known examples of each such malady processed through model estimation and residual generation, so that they can be expressed in terms of the typical variance expected for residual vectors fitting each such category. Then the normalized values are compared to determine which category is in fact most likely represented by the current monitored data. Series of MHI or likelihood values for each malady category can also be processed heuristically to rank categories, for example with moving window averages or medians.
- ⁵⁵ **[0060]** According to another form, patients in a hospital are monitored with multivariate physiological parameters derived from sensors using conventional bedside monitors, ventilators, and/or wearable or implanted devices. Data is streamed via Ethernet network or WiFi to a central station / nursing station or to a hospital centralized data center, coupled to interfaces for medical staff real-time monitoring. Data is also streamed via Ethernet network or WiFi to analytics

server(s) for processing using empirical, kernel-based models as described herein. Estimates are made of the physiological features, and residuals are generated; models may be generic instead of personalized, since no personal data may be available for a patient from a period when that patient was in acceptable physiological health. In such a case, a model can comprise data from other humans collected in similar hospital conditions when the humans were in acceptable

- 5 health. Such a model can further be tailored to the monitored patient on the basis of major contributors to normal physiological variation, such as body mass, gender, age, and medical condition (e.g., similar cardiac ejection fraction or similar respiratory performance). Residuals are processed as described above to generate MHI and/or rules-based decisions. Patient health status for all monitored patients in the ward or hospital or ICU can be monitored by onsite medical staff or off-site medical staff to provide early warning of developing health issues, such as infection, pneumonia,
- 10 and sepsis.

[0061] With the advantage of early warning as provided by an embodiment of the invention, the health alerts of patients can be managed in a proactive manner, rather than being a crisis that must be immediately responded to. The user interface provides for several levels of alert management: Alerts can be dismissed (investigation by medical staff shows the alert to be anomalous); alerts can be confirmed and elevated (investigation by medical staff shows a definite health

- 15 issue is present that needs intervention); and alerts can be marked for further follow-up and observation (investigation shows close monitoring is warranted but immediate intervention is not required or advised). [0062] A system is provided for advanced warning of health problems, using a wearable sensing device for capturing rich physiological data streams from a human outside the hospital, in the daily routine of their home life, providing high visibility into a patient's physiological status outside the reach of the physician's office or the hospital ward. Automated
- 20 processing of this data using algorithms that remove the normal variation present in ambulatory data, to provide robust and early detection of anomalies indicative of incipient health issues is novel and inventive. The potential for this combination of device plus algorithm to revolutionize patient care is enormous, especially for the chronically ill patient population. This platform is exactly the kind of tool needed by physicians to improve patient outcomes, avoid unnecessary costs, and greatly extend the leverage of the medical workforce.
- 25 [0063] It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that modifications to the foregoing preferred embodiments may be made in various aspects and as set forth with particularity in the appended claims.

Claims

30

35

40

45

1. A computerized system for monitoring the health of a human, comprising:

means for obtaining (105) sensor data from a human;

means for generating (110) with a programmed microprocessor a plurality of features from said sensor data, characteristic of physiological health of said human; and

a computing platform configured by software to perform the steps of:

estimating (120) values for said features characteristic of normal human physiology using a multivariate model, based on the values of said generated plurality of features;

- differencing (125) the estimated values and the generated features to provide residuals for the features; determining (140) a likelihood that said residuals are representative of a pattern of normal residuals using a Gaussian mixture model based on a set of normal residual reference patterns; and
- applying (145) a test to said likelihood to render a decision whether the generated features are characteristic of normal physiological behavior to provide an early indication of deviation of the physiological health of said human from normal.
- 2. A computerized system according to claim 1, wherein said step of applying a test comprises deriving an index of said likelihood as a logarithm of the inverse of said likelihood, and comparing the index to a threshold.
- 50 3. A computerized system according to any of claims 1 or 2, wherein said Gaussian mixture model is of the form:

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{N(2\pi)^{d/2} h^d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i\|}{h^2}\right]$$

55

where N is the number of normal residual reference patterns, h is a bandwidth parameter, d is the dimensionality of the vectors, **X** is an observation of said features, **X**_i are said normal residual reference patterns and $\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})$ is a

scalar likelihood.

- 4. A computerized system according to claim 3, wherein said computing platform is further configured to, before said determining, scale the residuals for each monitored observation to have unit variance, using the means and standard deviations calculated from residuals for known normal data using said estimation model, and wherein said set of normal residual reference patterns are likewise scaled.
- 5. A computerized system according to claim 1, wherein said computing platform performs the further step of testing a series of said rendered decisions for persistence of decisions that the features are not characteristic of normal physiological behavior.
- 6. A computerized system according to claim 1, wherein said step of estimating values further comprises making a kernel-based comparison of a feature vector, comprising the values of said feature signals, to at least some of a library of exemplary vectors, each comprising values representative of said feature signals in a known health state, in order to generate said estimate as a linear combination of those exemplary vectors, weighted in relation to said comparisons.
- A computerized system according to claim 6, wherein said feature vector is compared to said exemplary vectors comprising said library in order to select a subset of said exemplary vectors to use in said kernel-based comparison for generating said estimate.
- **8.** A computerized system according to claim 6, wherein said estimate is generated as a linear combination of said exemplary vectors, weighted in relation to said comparisons according to:

25

5

10

15

20

$$\mathbf{x}_{est} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathbf{x}_{i} K(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{x}_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{L} K(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{x}_{i})}$$

30

where \mathbf{X}_{new} is said feature vector, \mathbf{X}_{i} are said exemplary vectors, \mathbf{X}_{est} is said estimate, and K is said kernel-based comparison.

9. A computerized system according to claim 6, wherein said estimate is generated as a linear combination of said exemplary vectors, weighted in relation to said comparisons according to:

$$\mathbf{x}_{est} = \frac{\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{D})^{-1} (\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{x}_{new})}{\sum (\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{D})^{-1} (\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{x}_{new})}$$

where X_{new} is said feature vector, **D** is a matrix of at least some of said exemplary vectors, X_{est} is said estimate, and \otimes is an operator for performing said kernel-based comparisons between matrices.

45

50

- **10.** A computerized system according to claim 1, wherein said means for obtaining sensor data comprises an implanted cardiac device.
- 11. A computerized system according to claim 1, wherein said means for obtaining sensor data comprises a cell phone disposed to receive wireless transmissions via extremely local radio protocol of measurements of sensors attached to the monitored human.
 - 12. A computerized system according to claim 1, wherein said means for obtaining sensor data comprises a ventilator.
- **13.** A computerized system according to claim 1, wherein said means for generating a plurality of features is a cell phone, and said cell phone transmits said features to said computing platform.
 - 14. A computerized system according to claim 1, wherein said multivariate model is personalized to data from said

human, captured during periods when said human is considered to be in normal or acceptably stable health, to provide a model of normal physiology.

5 Patentansprüche

- 1. Computerisiertes System zur Überwachung der Gesundheit eines Menschen umfassend:
- ein Mittel zum Erhalten (105) von Sensordaten von einem Menschen;
 ein Mittel zum Erzeugen (110) mit einem programmierten Mikroprozessor von mehreren Merkmalen anhand der Sensordaten, die charakteristisch für die physiologische Gesundheit des Menschen sind; und eine Rechenplattform, die durch Software konfiguriert ist, um folgende Schritte durchzuführen:
- Schätzen (120) von Werten für die Merkmale, die charakteristisch für eine normale menschliche Physiologie
 sind, unter Verwendung eines Multivariat-Modells basierend auf den Werten der erzeugten mehreren Merkmale;
 - Unterscheiden (125) der geschätzten Werte und der erzeugten Merkmale, um Restgrößen für die Merkmale bereitzustellen;
- Bestimmen (140) einer Wahrscheinlichkeit, dass die Restgrößen ein Muster von normalen Restgrößen
 darstellen unter Verwendung eines Gaußschen Mischverteilungsmodells basierend auf einer Gruppe von normalen Restgrößenbezugsmustern; und
 Anwenden (145) eines Tests auf die Wahrscheinlichkeit, um eine Entscheidung zu treffen, ob die erzeugten

Merkmale charakteristisch für ein normales physiologisches Verhalten sind, um eine frühzeitige Angabe einer Abweichung der physiologischen Gesundheit des Menschen von der normalen bereitzustellen.

- Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Schritt des Anwendens eines Tests das Ableiten eines Indexes der Wahrscheinlichkeit als einen Logarithmus der Umkehrfunktion der Wahrscheinlichkeit und das Vergleichen des Indexes mit einem Schwellenwert umfasst.
- Computerisiertes System nach einem der Ansprüche 1 oder 2, wobei das Gaußsche Mischverteilungsmodell folgende Form aufweist:

40

45

50

55

25

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{N(2\pi)^{d/2} h^d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\|x - x_i\|}{h^2}\right]$$

wobei **N** die Anzahl an normalen Restgrößenbezugsmustern ist, **h** ein Bandbreitenparameter ist, **d** die Dimensionalität der Vektoren ist, **x** eine Beobachtung der Merkmale ist, \mathbf{x}_i die normalen Restgrößenbezugsparameter sind und $\hat{f}(x)$ eine skalare Wahrscheinlichkeit ist.

- 4. Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 3, wobei die Rechenplattform ferner konfiguriert ist, um vor dem Bestimmen die Restgrößen für jede überwachte Beobachtung derart zu skalieren, dass sie eine Einheitsvarianz aufweisen, unter Verwendung der Mittelwerte und Standardabweichungen, die anhand von Restgrößen für bekannte normale Daten unter Verwendung des Schätzmodells berechnet werden, und wobei die Gruppe von normalen Restgrößenbezugsmustern ähnlich skaliert werden.
- 5. Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 1, wobei die Rechenplattform den weiteren Schritt des Testens einer Reihe der getroffenen Entscheidungen auf die Beständigkeit der Entscheidungen, dass die Merkmale nicht charakteristisch für ein normales physiologisches Verhalten sind, durchführt.
- 6. Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Schritt des Schätzens von Werten ferner das Durchführen eines kernelbasierten Vergleichs eines Merkmalsvektors umfassend die Werte der Merkmalssignale mit mindestens einer Bibliothek von beispielhaften Vektoren umfasst, welche jeweils Werte umfassen, die die Merkmalssignale in einem bekannten gesunden Zustand darstellen, um die Schätzung als eine lineare Kombination dieser beispielhaften Vektoren bezüglich den Vergleichen gewichtet zu erzeugen.
 - 7. Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 6, wobei der Merkmalsvektor mit den beispielhaften Vektoren umfassend

die Bibliothek verglichen wird, um eine Untergruppe der beispielhaften Vektoren auszuwählen zur Verwendung in dem kernelbasierten Vergleich zum Erzeugen der Schätzung.

Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 6, wobei die Schätzung als eine lineare Kombination der beispielhaften Vektoren bezüglich der Vergleiche gewichtet folgendermaßen erzeugt wird:

$$\mathbf{x}_{est} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{x}_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{x}_i)}$$

10

5

wobei \mathbf{x}_{new} der Merkmalsvektor ist, \mathbf{x}_i die beispielhaften Vektoren sind, \mathbf{x}_{est} die Schätzung ist und \mathbf{K} der kernelbasierte Veraleich ist.

9. Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 6, wobei die Schätzung als eine lineare Kombination der beispielhaften Vektoren folgendermaßen im Verhältnis zu den Vergleichen gewichtet erzeugt wird:

20

15

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{est} = \frac{\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{D}^T \otimes \boldsymbol{D})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{D}^T \otimes \boldsymbol{x}_{new})}{\sum (\boldsymbol{D}^T \otimes \boldsymbol{D})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{D}^T \otimes \boldsymbol{x}_{new})}$$

- 25 wobei xnew der Merkmalsvektor ist, D eine Matrix mindestens einiger der beispielhaften Vektoren ist, xest die Schätzung ist und \otimes ein Operator zum Durchführen der kernelbasierten Vergleiche zwischen Matrizen ist.
 - 10. Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 1, wobei das Mittel zum Erhalten von Sensordaten eine implantierte Kardiovorrichtung umfasst.
- 30
- 11. Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 1, wobei das Mittel zum Erhalten von Sensordaten ein Mobiltelefon umfasst, das angeordnet ist, um drahtlose Übertragungen über ein extrem lokales Funkprotokoll von Messungen von Sensoren, die an dem überwachten Mensch befestigt sind, zu erhalten.
- 35 12. Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 1, wobei das Mittel zum Erhalten von Sensordaten einen Ventilator umfasst.
 - 13. Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 1, wobei das Mittel zum Erzeugen von mehreren Merkmalen ein Mobiltelefon ist, und das Mobiltelefon die Merkmale zu der Rechenplattform überträgt.
- 40 14. Computerisiertes System nach Anspruch 1, wobei das Multivariat-Modell bezüglich Daten von dem Menschen personalisiert ist, die während Zeiträumen aufgenommen werden, wenn erachtet wird, dass der Mensch eine normale oder annehmbar stabile Gesundheit hat, um ein Modell einer normalen Physiologie bereitzustellen.

45 Revendications

1. Système informatisé pour surveiller la santé d'un être humain, comprenant :

des moyens pour obtenir (105) des données de capteur provenant d'un être humain ; 50 des moyens pour générer (110) à l'aide d'un microprocesseur programmé une pluralité de caractéristiques à partir desdites données de capteur, représentatives de la santé physiologique dudit être humain ; et une plate-forme de calcul configurée à l'aide d'un logiciel pour exécuter les étapes comprenant :

l'estimation (120) de valeurs pour lesdites caractéristiques représentatives de la physiologie humaine nor-55 male en utilisant un modèle multivarié, basé sur les valeurs de ladite pluralité de caractéristiques générée ; le calcul de différences(125) entre les valeurs estimées et les caractéristiques générées pour fournir des valeurs résiduelles pour les caractéristiques ;

la détermination (140) d'une probabilité que lesdites valeurs résiduelles soient représentatives d'un modèle

de valeurs résiduelles normales en utilisant un modèle de mélange de gaussiennes basé sur un ensemble de modèles de référence de valeurs résiduelles normales ; et

l'application (145) d'un test à ladite probabilité pour rendre une décision selon laquelle les caractéristiques générées sont représentatives d'un comportement physiologique normal ou non, pour fournir une indication précoce de la déviation de la santé physiologique dudit être humain par rapport à la normale.

2. Système informatisé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ladite étape d'application d'un test comprend la dérivation d'un indice de ladite probabilité sous la forme d'un logarithme de l'inverse de ladite probabilité, et la comparaison de l'indice à un seuil.

10

5

3. Système informatisé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 ou 2, dans lequel ledit modèle de mélange de gaussiennes a la forme :

15

20

25

30

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{N(2\pi)^{d/2}} h^d \sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i\|}{h^2}\right]$$

- où N est le nombre de modèles de référence de valeurs résiduelles normales, h est un paramètre de largeur de bande, d est la dimensionnalité des vecteurs, **X** est une observation desdites caractéristiques, **X**_i sont lesdits modèles de référence de valeurs résiduelles normales et $\dot{f}(x)$ une probabilité scalaire.
- 4. Système informatisé selon la revendication 3, dans lequel ladite plate-forme de calcul est en outre configurée pour, avant ladite détermination, étalonner les valeurs résiduelles de chaque observation surveillée pour avoir une variance unitaire, en utilisant les moyennes et les écarts-types calculés à partir de valeurs résiduelles pour des données normales connues en utilisant ledit modèle d'estimation, et dans lequel ledit ensemble de modèles de référence de valeurs résiduelles normales est étalonné de la même manière.
- 5. Système informatisé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ladite plate-forme de calcul exécute l'étape supplémentaire dans laquelle une série desdites décisions rendues est testée pour évaluer la persistance des décisions selon lesquelles les caractéristiques ne sont pas représentatives d'un comportement physiologique normal.
- 6. Système informatisé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ladite étape d'estimation de valeurs comprend en outre la mise en oeuvre d'une comparaison basée sur un noyau d'un vecteur de caractéristique, comprenant les valeurs desdits signaux de caractéristique, avec au moins une partie d'une banque de vecteurs exemplaires, comprenant chacun des valeurs représentatives desdits signaux de caractéristique dans un état de santé connu, afin de générer ladite estimation sous la forme d'une combinaison linéaire de ces vecteurs exemplaires, pondérée par rapport auxdites comparaisons.
- 40 7. Système informatisé selon la revendication 6, dans lequel ledit vecteur de caractéristique est comparé auxdits vecteurs exemplaires comprenant ladite banque afin de sélectionner un sous-ensemble desdits vecteurs exemplaires à utiliser dans ladite comparaison basée sur un noyau pour générer ladite estimation.
 - 8. Système informatisé selon la revendication 6, dans lequel ladite estimation est générée sous la forme d'une combinaison linéaire desdits vecteurs exemplaires, pondérée par rapport auxdites comparaisons selon :

50

55

45

$$\mathbf{x}_{est} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathbf{x}_{i} K(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{x}_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{L} K(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{x}_{i})}$$

où X_{new} est ledit vecteur de caractéristique, X_i sont lesdits vecteurs exemplaires, X_{est} est ladite estimation, et K est ladite comparaison basée sur un noyau.

9. Système informatisé selon la revendication 6, dans lequel ladite estimation est générée sous la forme d'une combinaison linéaire desdits vecteurs exemplaires, pondérée par rapport auxdites comparaisons selon :

$$\mathbf{x}_{est} = \frac{\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{D})^{-1} (\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{x}_{new})}{\sum (\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{D})^{-1} (\mathbf{D}^T \otimes \mathbf{x}_{new})}$$

- ⁵ Où X_{new} est ledit vecteur de caractéristique, **D** est une matrice d'au moins certains desdits vecteurs exemplaires, X_{est} est ladite estimation, et \otimes un opérateur pour effectuer lesdites comparaisons basées sur un noyau entre matrices.
 - **10.** Système informatisé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel lesdits moyens pour obtenir des données de capteur comprennent un dispositif cardiaque implanté.
- 10
- **11.** Système informatisé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel lesdits moyens pour obtenir des données de capteur comprennent un téléphone cellulaire disposé pour recevoir des transmissions sans fil via un protocole radio extrêmement localisé de mesures de capteurs fixés sur l'être humain surveillé.
- 15 **12.** Système informatisé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel lesdits moyens pour obtenir des données de capteur comprennent un ventilateur.
 - **13.** Système informatisé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel lesdits moyens pour générer une pluralité de caractéristiques sont un téléphone cellulaire, et ledit téléphone cellulaire transmet lesdites caractéristiques à ladite plateforme de calcul.
 - **14.** Système informatisé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ledit modèle à variables multiples est personnalisé en fonction des données provenant dudit être humain, captées pendant les périodes où ledit être humain est considéré comme étant en état de santé normal ou suffisamment stable, pour fournir un modèle de physiologie normale.

19

25

20

30

35

40

45

50

55

















REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- US 7403869 B2 [0006]
- US 7409320 B2 [0007]

• US 20070149862 A1 [0008]

patsnap

专利名称(译)	基于多元残差的人体健康监测健康	旨数	
公开(公告)号	EP2523625A1	公开(公告)日	2012-11-21
申请号	EP2011733194	申请日	2011-01-04
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	VENTURE器增益L L C		
申请(专利权)人(译)	VENTURE GAIN LLC		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	physiq Inc.		
[标]发明人	WEGERICH STEPHAN W		
发明人	WEGERICH, STEPHAN, W.		
IPC分类号	A61B19/00 A61B5/00 G06F19/00		
CPC分类号	A61B5/7264 A61B5/0205 A61B5/021 A61B5/024 A61B5/0809 A61B5/0816 A61B5/091 A61B5/14551 A61B5/412 A61B5/6898 A61B5/7275 A61B5/7278 A61B5/746 G06F19/00 G16H50/30 G16H50/50		
优先权	61/295072 2010-01-14 US		
其他公开文献	EP2523625B1 EP2523625A4		
外部链接	Espacenet		
摘要(译)			

对患者的门诊或院内监测提供早期预警和优先排序,实现主动干预和改 善医疗保健的成本和风险。通过经验模型估计多变量生理参数以消除正 常变化。使用多变量概率密度函数测试残差以提供用于优先考虑医疗努 力的多变量健康指数。