



(11) **EP 2 953 544 B1**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION**

(45) Date of publication and mention
of the grant of the patent:
08.05.2019 Bulletin 2019/19

(51) Int Cl.:
A61B 7/04 ^(2006.01) **A61B 7/00** ^(2006.01)
G06F 19/00 ^(2018.01) **A01K 25/00** ^(2006.01)

(21) Application number: **14749175.7**

(86) International application number:
PCT/US2014/013486

(22) Date of filing: **29.01.2014**

(87) International publication number:
WO 2014/123732 (14.08.2014 Gazette 2014/33)

(54) **SYSTEM FOR DETERMINING ANTIBIOTIC EFFECTIVENESS IN RESPIRATORY DISEASED
USING AUSCULTATION ANALYSIS**

SYSTEM ZUR BESTIMMUNG EINER ANTIBIOTIKAWIRKUNG AUF ATMUNGSERKRANKUNGEN
MITTELS EINER AUSKULTATIONSANALYSE

SYSTÈME POUR DÉTERMINER L'EFFICACITÉ D'ANTIBIOTIQUE DANS DES MALADIES
RESPIRATOIRES À L'AIDE D'UNE ANALYSE D'AUSCULTATION

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB
GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO
PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR**

- **TAYLOR, Garrett, W.**
Oakley, KS 67748 (US)
- **NOFFSINGER, Thomas, H.**
Benkelman, NE 69021 (US)

(30) Priority: **06.02.2013 US 201313760968**

(74) Representative: **HGF Limited**
1 City Walk
Leeds LS11 9DX (GB)

(43) Date of publication of application:
16.12.2015 Bulletin 2015/51

(73) Proprietors:

- **Intervet Inc.**
Madison, NJ 07940 (US)
- **Intervet International B.V.**
5831 AN Boxmeer (NL)

(56) References cited:
WO-A1-2013/006066 US-A1- 2006 198 533
US-A1- 2009 137 918 US-A1- 2010 160 809
US-A1- 2012 040 381 US-A1- 2012 115 808
US-B1- 6 287 254

(72) Inventors:

- **BRATTAIN, Kurt**
Chaska, MN 55319 (US)
- **GEISSLER, Randolph, K.**
Hudson, WI 54016 (US)
- **TAYLOR, Wade, A.**
Oakley, KS 67748 (US)

- **CHIUMELLO, D ET AL.: 'Mechanical ventilation
affects local and systemic cytokines in an animal
model of acute respiratory distress syndrome.'**
**AMERICAN JOURNAL OF RESPIRATORY AND
CRITICAL CARE MEDICINE vol. 160.1, 1999,**
pages 109 - 116, XP055282831

Note: Within nine months of the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent in the European Patent Bulletin, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to that patent, in accordance with the Implementing Regulations. Notice of opposition shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

EP 2 953 544 B1

Description**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

5 **[0001]** The present invention generally relates to non-invasive assessment of diseases for animals, and more particularly, to a system for determining effectiveness of an administered drug against, for example, bovine respiratory diseases using auscultation techniques. The present invention also relates to a system for determining antibiotic effectiveness in respiratory diseased animals using the auscultation analysis. The acoustic characteristics of recorded sounds are placed in a digital data format, and then are manipulated in one or more mathematical operations including an algorithm to generate a numerical lung score. The lung scores are compared to existing data that indicate the level of disease in the observed animal. Diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment recommendations can also be generated based upon the lung scores. To determine antibiotic effectiveness, a statistical analysis is made considering animal fatality rates and observed relationships between lung score categories. Embodiments are also provided for electronic digital stethoscopes having integral display units that provide a user an indication of the health of the animal being examined.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15 **[0002]** Cardiovascular diseases, respiratory diseases, and gastrointestinal diseases have been distinguished according to sounds auscultated from the body of a patient. Based upon measurements taken of the different sounds, medical practitioners have been able to diagnose diseases and proceed with treatments.

20 **[0003]** In order to make a precise diagnosis of an ailment based upon auscultated sounds, extensive empirical knowledge of various and diverse forms of auscultated sounds is necessary. Until recently, auscultation was more art than science since making a diagnosis was based mainly upon the trained ear of a caregiver and not based upon measured data from recorded sounds.

25 **[0004]** With the advent of digital/electronic stethoscopes, auscultated sounds can be recorded in digital form, and software programs can then manipulate the data in order to analyze characteristics of the data. From this analysis, more precise diagnoses can be made based upon objective criteria and not just upon the trained ear of the attending caregiver.

30 **[0005]** It is well known to measure auscultated sounds from humans in order to make diagnoses of perceived ailments. However, auscultation for animals such as cattle is used infrequently. There have been very few efforts made to gather data for auscultated bovine sounds for purposes of making conclusions as to the type of disease that may be occurring in bovine species.

35 **[0006]** Particularly in a feed yard where it is necessary for cattle to be maintained at an optimum state of health for the necessary weight gain to occur, it is critical that sick cattle be identified early for effective treatment and to contribute to bioscurity. The true state of health for cattle can be difficult to measure using traditional techniques such as observation of symptoms to include temperature, posture and visual signs (e.g. nasal discharge, depression, and abdominal fill.). Case definitions for Bovine Respiratory Disease have traditionally included an objective minimal rectal temperature and a subjective clinical score. Clinical trials indicate that objective lung scores provide stronger correlations than rectal temperatures to ultimate case fatality rates, retreatment rates, and treatment costs. Cattle are visually evaluated when they first arrive at the feed yard, and adrenalin associated with handling can often mask disease symptoms. Stethoscopic evaluation of bovine lung sounds can be used to evaluate the oxygen metabolism potential of cattle during various stages of arrival processing. However, because of the lack of current data in objectively categorizing bovine lung sounds, there is a need for developing an automated system that can assist a caregiver in assessing these lung sounds and making timely diagnoses.

40 **[0007]** Bovine respiratory disease is complex and is particularly difficult to treat and diagnose compared to respiratory diseases in humans. The thick musculature that surrounds the thorax of cattle, the heavy hide and possible layers of fat, and the breadth of the ribs complicates the use of a stethoscope to obtain sounds that can be analyzed for purposes of making a diagnosis.

45 **[0008]** Because of problems associated with effectively gathering auscultated sounds from cattle, and the general lack of knowledge as to how to analyze these sounds, the cattle industry has been slow in developing automated diagnostic processes that can effectively use auscultated data.

50 **[0009]** One patent reference that discusses the use of acoustics for detection of respiratory conditions is the U.S. Patent Number 6,443,907. This reference specifically discloses diagnostic techniques to enable detection of respiratory conditions within a patient's body. Data gathered from auscultation is compared to reference acoustic characteristics and/or predetermined threshold values to determine if an abnormal respiratory condition is present within the patient. The diagnostic technique includes the processing of acoustic data by calculating energy ratios using energy values within high and low frequency bands, signal time delays, and/or dominant frequencies; the calculated values are then compared to predetermined reference thresholds to generate outputs indicative of the respiratory condition within the patient.

[0010] The U.S. Patent Number 6,520,924 discloses an automatic diagnostic apparatus using a digital stethoscope. The diagnosis is determined based upon a comparison of recorded auscultated sounds versus standard data of auscultated sounds for cardiovascular, respiratory, and gastrointestinal diseases. Objective criteria are used to compare the collected auscultated sounds and the standard data to enable a medical practitioner to diagnose a particular disease.

[0011] US 2009/0137918 discloses a system and method for diagnosis of bovine respiratory diseases using auscultation techniques wherein the acoustic characteristics of a recorded spectrogram is compared with existing data enabling a caregiver to make a diagnosis for a diseased animal. Lung sounds are obtained by use of an electronic stethoscope, and the sounds are stored as digital data. Signal conditioning is used to place the data in a desired format and to remove undesirable noise associated with the recorded sounds. An algorithm is applied to data, and lung scores are calculated. The lung scores are then categorized into various levels of perceived pathology/disease within the animal based upon baseline data that categorizes the lung scores. From the lung scores, a caregiver may then associate a diagnosis, prognosis, and a recommended treatment. Analysis software generates the lung scores from the recorded sounds, and may also provide the user a visual display of presumptive diagnoses as well as recommended treatments.

[0012] WO 2013/006066 discloses a method of assessing the well-being of an animal. The method comprises obtaining information as to movement characteristics of the animal before applying medical treatment to the animal; obtaining information as to movement characteristics of the animal after applying medical treatment to the animal; comparing the movement characteristics of the animal before applying the medical treatment with the movement characteristics of the animal after applying the medical treatment and identifying differences between these; and assessing the differences and, based on these, determining whether the well-being of the animal is improving as a result of the treatment.

[0013] US 2010/0160809 discloses a system and method for managing a herd of animals possibly requiring medical treatment, such as cows in a feedlot. The animals are herded individually into a chute, where identification data is collected from a tag, and core temperature data is collected using a special non-contacting core temperature sensor. The animal is tagged with a color-coded tag representing a range of temperatures into which that animal's temperature falls. The animal is then delivered to a pen corresponding to that range of temperatures, where appropriate medicinal treatment is automatically dosed and applied to the animal.

[0014] Although auscultation has been well developed for human treatment, there is clearly a need for an automated system that can diagnose bovine respiratory diseases.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0015] Viewed from a first aspect, there is provided an electronic health system for determining effectiveness of an administered drug using auscultation analysis, as set forth in claim 1 of the appended claims.

[0016] Embodiments of the present invention relate particularly to respiratory diseases of bovine species. Stethoscopic evaluation of bovine lung sounds is used to gather data on the sounds. Collection of lung sounds is preferably obtained by a digital/electronic stethoscope that is capable of expressing sounds in the form of a spectrogram. Collected digital data from the stethoscope is manipulated by computer software that allows real time analysis of the spectrogram and the diagnosis of an ailment based upon numerical lung scores that generally categorize the health of the animal. The lung scores are compared with threshold levels that generally describe the health of the animal and can be further interpreted to correspond to a certain level of disease in the animal. This comparison may also be used to generate one or more recommended treatments. The assigning of numerical lung scores to evaluated cattle is an efficient predictor of respiratory problems.

[0017] Through extensive data gathering, it has been found that auscultated sounds from bovine species that fall within particular frequency ranges provide an indication of respiratory disease. Assuming that the stethoscope is placed at the proper location to collect auscultated sounds, collected sounds falling within these frequencies are converted through a series of mathematical operations including one or more algorithms to produce the numerical lung scores. These lung scores then correspond to various levels of respiratory disease and, accordingly, diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment can then be pursued based upon the specific lung scores obtained.

[0018] More specifically, it has been determined through testing that auscultated sounds in a range between 500 - 900 Hz can be used to generate the numerical lung scores and therefore indicate various levels of respiratory disease.

[0019] The auscultated sounds are collected from bovine species by use of a digital stethoscope that may be placed approximately three inches above the right elbow of the animal, thereby placing the stethoscope over the right apical lobe. Sounds can also be gathered on the left side approximately three inches above the left elbow, thereby placing the stethoscope over the cardiac lobe. Once the sounds have been gathered and recorded by the digital stethoscope, the data is downloaded to a computing device. The recorded sound is preferably loaded as a .wav file. If another file format is used, in accordance with the present invention, the software is adapted to convert to the .wav format for processing. A .wav file is an industry standard waveform audio format that is used for storing audio on devices such as personal computers. This file is a variant of the RIFF bit-stream format method for storing data in groups, and is presently the main format used on Windows systems for raw audio data. The data recorded from the sound is stored in an array in

its raw or basic format. A short-time Fourier transform (STFT) is performed on the raw data with a selected window size of approximately 512 data points and an approximate 50% overlap. The window size refers to the amount of data that each Fourier transform will cover. Each window is overlapped with approximately 50% of the previous window to help improve frequency resolution. Because a Fourier transform functions only with an infinite stationary signal, the dynamic signals recorded have to be separated into many small pieces so that each piece can represent a stationary value at that time. The window size selected has an effect on how accurate of a frequency representation the transform outputs, and a window size of approximately 512 data points has been shown to provide the requisite accuracy for purposes of generating lung scores in accordance with the present invention. For example, sounds sampled at 4000 Hz by a particular type of digital stethoscope could contain 8192 raw data points for every second of recorded sound. The STFT will take the first 512 of these data points and operate on them. The second sweep, because of the overlap, will start at the 256th data point and progress to the 767th data point. This combination of window size and overlap has been shown to provide a good trade off between frequency resolution and time resolution.

[0020] Through testing, it has been found that the specific windowing function may include a Hamming function. As understood by those skilled in the art, a Hamming function zeroes the data outside of a specified interval. Windowing functions are used in short time Fourier transforms (STFT) to help combat the problem of spectral leakage. The Hamming function has been shown to help create better frequency resolution, such that the frequencies contained in the recorded sound can be more accurately represented.

[0021] The resulting data from each Fourier transform is plotted on a graph to form data points for a spectrogram. In accordance with the present invention, the plotted data creates a spectrogram that is a visual representation of the recorded sounds in the frequency domain where the plotted axes are time and frequency. Amplitudes of frequencies between about 500 - 900 Hz are the most important in terms of differentiating between varying categories of sounds corresponding to various levels of respiratory disease. The data is then separated into ten primary sets or bands, namely, amplitudes between 500 - 540 Hz, 540 - 580 Hz, 580 - 620 Hz, 620 - 660 Hz, 660 - 700 Hz, 700 - 740 Hz, 740 - 780 Hz, 780 - 820 Hz, 820 - 860 Hz, 860 - 900 Hz.

[0022] Each frequency band is trimmed to remove the first and last portion of the recorded sound. The trimming function can be achieved through the use of a user selection screen in a computer software program that generates a spectrogram of the recorded sound. The user can manually trim the applicable first and last portion of the recorded sound by viewing the recorded sound in the spectrogram and using the prescribed software function to remove the desired portions of the recorded sound. The user also evaluates the recorded sound as a whole in order that only the pertinent sections of each recorded sound are selected for analysis, thereby ensuring that any unnecessary data is not included. For example, with the use of some stethoscopes, when the stethoscope is first placed on an animal, a significant popping sound may be recorded. This popping sound is easily removable by the user deleting or removing the portion of the spectrogram that corresponds to the popping sound in the user selection screen. The resulting frequencies obtained may be referred to as trimmed frequencies. Each of the ten trimmed frequency bands is then fed to a finite impulse response (FIR) filter, such as a 125 tap FIR filter with identical coefficients. For purposes of this calculation, auscultated sounds over a period of three full respirations of the animal are adequate for effective scoring. In order to account for differences in the respiration rates of animals and any noise that may be present, it has been found that recording sounds over an 8 second period of time is adequate. This time frame however can be modified to account for any unusual circumstances at the time of auscultation.

[0023] The numerical results of applying each FIR filter is then used to formulate a calculated numerical lung score that is compared to established baseline data for establishing a presumptive diagnosis of disease severity.

[0024] The formula or equation for establishing lung scores can therefore be expressed as follows where the X values are the numerical result of applying the FIR filter in the stated frequency range:

$$x_1 = \text{result of } 500 - 540 \text{ Hz FIR filter; } x_2 = \text{result of } 540 - 580 \text{ Hz FIR filter;}$$

$$x_3 = \text{result of } 580 - 620 \text{ Hz FIR filter; } x_4 = \text{result of } 620 - 660 \text{ Hz FIR filter;}$$

$$x_5 = \text{result of } 660 - 700 \text{ Hz FIR filter; } x_6 = \text{result of } 700 - 740 \text{ Hz FIR filter;}$$

$$x_7 = \text{result of } 740 - 780 \text{ Hz FIR filter; } x_8 = \text{result of } 780 - 820 \text{ Hz FIR filter;}$$

x_8 = result of 780 – 820 Hz FIR filter; x_9 = result of 820 – 860 Hz FIR filter;

x_{10} = result of 860 – 900 Hz FIR filter;

$$\text{score} = 0.205x_1 + 0.075x_2 + 0.02x_3 + 0.2x_4 + 0.35x_5 + 0.02x_6 + 0.02x_7 + 0.09x_8 \\ + 0.01x_9 + 0.01x_{10}$$

[0025] The coefficients in the lung score equation were determined by gathering data on a large number of sounds, and comparing the sounds to determine if a numerical relationship could be established that correlated the results of applying the FIR filter to sounds in the various frequency ranges with a presumptive diagnosis. The coefficients were established in such a way that the lung scores could be calculated in an increasing order from healthiest (smallest) to sickest (largest), and such that the lung score categories could be easily divided to correspond to various discrete diagnoses. From this exhaustive data gathering and mathematical development exercise, the lung score equation was derived.

[0026] Once a calculated lung score is obtained, it is compared to baseline data in the form of threshold values that generally correspond to bovine respiratory conditions. These threshold values have been established as a result of a number of tests in which the threshold values consistently show a direct relationship with the state of health of the animal being evaluated. The threshold values can be expressed in terms of a Scaled Lung Score between 1 and 9. These Scaled Lung Scores may be easier for the caregiver to record and report as opposed to the actual calculated lung scores. As listed below, a bovine respiratory condition is indicated as a function of a range of calculated lung scores and a corresponding Scaled Lung Score. Thus, the range of calculated lung scores as they correspond to respiratory conditions and the Scaled Lung Scores are as follows:

- a. Scaled Lung Score 1 (Low Normal) = calculated lung score between 0 -74.5
- b. Scaled Lung Score 2 (High Normal) = calculated lung score between 74.5 -149
- c. Scaled Lung Score 3 (Low Mild acute) = calculated lung score between 150 - 165
- d. Scaled Lung Score 4 (High Mild acute) = calculated lung score between 165 - 180
- e. Scaled Lung Score 5 (Low Severe acute) = calculated lung score between 181 - 250.5
- f. Scaled Lung Score 6 (High Severe acute) = calculated lung score between 250.5 - 320
- g. Scaled Lung Score 7 (Low Chronic) = calculated lung score between 320 - 400
- h. Scaled Lung Score 8 (Median Chronic) = calculated lung score between 400 - 500
- i. Scaled Lung Score 9 (High Chronic) = calculated lung score between 500 and above

[0027] Calculated lung scores that fall close to or above these threshold levels of the Scaled Lung Scores indicate presumptive diagnosis of the corresponding conditions. For example, a calculated lung score of 175 would indicate a diagnosis of a high mild acute respiratory condition (Scaled Lung Score 4) and approaching a severe acute condition (Scaled Lung Score 5). A calculated lung score of 425 would indicate a median chronic condition (Scaled Lung Score 8), and one that represents disease of longer duration accompanied by some irreversible lung consolidation. Although the calculated lung scores are provided in distinct ranges, it shall be understood that calculated lung scores that fall close to the end of one range and the beginning of the next range may be worthy of further analysis by the caregiver to ensure the assignment of the lung score is consistent with other symptoms exhibited by the animal. Thus, the general ranges are an excellent indicators of lung conditions, but some lung scores may be worthy of additional analysis.

[0028] Additional filtering techniques may be used to improve analysis of the recorded sounds. Three additional filters that can be used to eliminate interfering sounds include a heartbeat reduction filter, an adaptive bandstop filter, and a pop/crackle filter. The heartbeat filter is based on an adaptive threshold piece wise interpolation technique that is used to eliminate the noise associated with the heartbeat and that can otherwise interfere with recorded lung sounds. The adaptive bandstop filter is based on the same technique as the heartbeat filter, but is instead focused on eliminating any interference noise emitted at a constant frequency throughout the recorded sound, such as the noise generated by a cattle chute. The pop/crackle filter is used to eliminate any remaining pops or crackles associated with stethoscope movement that remain on the user selection screen.

[0029] In accordance with basic functioning of the software of the present invention, a user can select a particular file that corresponds to recorded sound data for a particular animal taken at a particular time. This file can include other identifying information such as the location where the sound was recorded, how it was recorded (e.g., chute side and type of stethoscope used). Once the user has selected the particular file, a spectrogram of the sound along with the

score for that sound is shown on a user interface. The spectrogram may include the use of various colors that indicate the amplitudes of the frequencies recorded. The numerical values of the lung scores can each correspond to one or more diagnoses taken from a database of diagnoses, a database of recommended treatment(s). Accordingly, the user interface may also display the diagnoses, recommended treatments, and prognoses.

The recommended treatments and prognoses will be generated from the calculated lung scores and other factors such as age, weight, days on feed, projected market date, season, origin history, risk category, and rectal temperature.

[0030] Also, the spectrograms assist a caregiver in further analyzing the particular pathology associated with the animal since there may be other indications within the spectrogram that assist the caregiver in making a diagnosis. For example, comparing the amplitudes of the recorded sounds during inhalation and exhalation can also be an indicator as to a particular respiratory condition.

[0031] With respect to a preferred device for capturing auscultated sounds from the bovine species, a preferred device would include a stethoscope incorporated within a chest piece that communicates either wired or wirelessly with a portable LCD touch screen that displays the spectrogram/waveform of the recorded sound. The portable LCD touch screen could be, for example, a personal digital assistant (PDA) that contains the necessary software to generate a screen display with the spectrogram of the recorded sounds. As discussed below, it is contemplated within the present invention that the user has the option of filtering extraneous data from the recorded wave forms so that the wave forms reflect accurate data corresponding to the actual sound emitted from the animal.

[0032] In another embodiment of the invention, an electronic digital stethoscope is provided with an integral display that enables a user to view the lung score directly on the device or to view some other visual indication of the state of the animal's health. In one aspect of this embodiment, it is contemplated that the stethoscope has a wireless capability to communicate wirelessly with a remote computer. The computer receives a digitized lung sound from the stethoscope. A selected algorithm is applied to this digitized data on the computer, and a lung score or some other tangible output is produced that provides an indication of the animal's health. This output is then sent wirelessly back to the stethoscope for display for the user. In another aspect of this embodiment, it is contemplated that the digital stethoscope itself may incorporate a microprocessor, associated memory, and software or firmware that is capable of generating the lung score or some other output indicative of the health of the animal. Thus, the sounds recorded by the stethoscope are manipulated by the microprocessor to generate the lung score output or another output indicating the animal health, and a remote computer is not necessary.

[0033] In lieu of generating a lung score, other indications or outputs that can be generated for the user may include a message or report that summarizes the perceived health of the animal as judged by the algorithm(s) applied to the recorded lung sounds. For example, a message can be produced on the integral display of the device that lists the health condition of the animal (e.g., mild acute, acute, etc.), along with a recommendation for treatment such as a dosage of medication. It is also contemplated that the health history of the animal can be considered with the generated lung score/recommendation so that if a medication is recommended, it takes into consideration prior medications received, if any, or other conditions of the animal that may prevent or limit the animal from being prescribed medications at that time. Thus, each individual animal would be first identified by the animal's tag, and the recorded sounds would be added to a data file on the remote computer and/or the integral microprocessor memory. After generation of the lung score or health output, the message generated for the user would first take into consideration other recorded factors such as the health history of the animal which may affect the recommended treatment.

[0034] In another embodiment of the present invention, a system is provided in which a number of other field devices are capable of communicating with the stethoscope and with the remote computing device for gathering extensive data regarding the animal and for providing predictive health observations to a caregiver. For example, other field devices that could be associated with the sound data recorded by the stethoscope include weigh scales, temperature probes, RFID readers, and other diagnostic equipment. In this system, it is contemplated that wireless communication takes place between each of the field devices and a designated remote computer. Once the electronic digital stethoscope is activated for obtaining lung sounds from an animal, the stethoscope queries or searches for other field devices that have been used to record information about the animal being examined. If there is a field device(s) present that has been used to obtain other information on the animal, data from each of these field devices and the stethoscope are sent wirelessly to the remote computer. This comprehensive and integrated data can therefore be recorded together for immediate use by the user in which the remote computer or other selected display devices such as personal digital assistant can be used to display the integrated data to include a lung score, a health report, or some other tangible indications of the health of the animal.

[0035] In yet another embodiment of the present invention, a wireless audio digital recording unit is provided that has the same functionality as the digital stethoscopes described above, but this recording unit provides a convenient means to record sounds through the use of a multi channel microphone in the form of a curved paddle shaped device. More specifically, the recording unit includes a conforming curve shaped recording paddle that is shaped to generally match the curvature of the chest area of the particular animal in which the device is to be used. The recording paddle can be secured to a pole extension that is held by the user enabling the user to be positioned at a further distance from the

animal as compared to use of a traditional stethoscope. In the case of livestock held for examination in a cattle chute or some other confined space, caregivers with traditional stethoscopes are required to reach into the chute to place the stethoscope against the animal. It is well known that movement of the animal within the cage can seriously injure the caregiver who may have an appendage that gets trapped within the cage.

[0036] The system can be used for determining the effectiveness of antibiotics administered to animals based upon observed relationships between lung score categories. A comparison can be made between sample populations of animals that have received various types or classifications of antibiotics, and this data is then compared to lung score categories observed for each of the animals that have received the antibiotics. A statistical analysis is conducted on the data to confirm statistically that case fatality rates are rising between lung score categories in the depicted range from 1 to 4. If the lung score categories fail to demonstrate statistical evidence of rising fatality rates, a general conclusion can be made that the particular antibiotic administered is not effective, whereas a reduced fatality rate associated with lower lung scores indicates a level of antibiotic effectiveness. As a result, caregivers can better manage the administration of antibiotics in order to reduce animal fatality rates, and to provide a substantially improved antibiotic administration program in which the selection and rotation of antibiotics maximizes animal health, and reduces costs.

[0037] The system can be used for determining effectiveness of an administered drug using auscultation analysis by:

(i) conducting auscultations to record auscultated sounds from a group of animals, the auscultations including generating lung categories corresponding to a range of health conditions, and each auscultation conducted generating a lung category indicating a categorized health condition for each animal at the time of auscultation; (ii) recording a type of drug administered to the group of animals; (iii) recording fatalities for the group of animals over a designated time period; (iv) conducting a statistical analysis to determine whether there is a statistical difference in case fatality rates between lung score categories; and (v) analyzing the statistical analysis to determine a relationship between fatality rates as a function of lung scores to determine effectiveness of the drug.

[0038] The system can be used for determining effectiveness of an administered drug using auscultation analysis by: (i) conducting auscultations to record auscultated sounds from a group of animals, the auscultations including generating lung categories corresponding to a range of health conditions, and each auscultation conducted generating a lung category indicating a categorized health condition for each animal at the time of auscultation; (ii) recording a type of drug administered to the group of animals; (iii) recording fatalities for the group of animals over a designated time period; (iv) determining whether there is a difference in case fatality rates between lung score categories; and (v) determining a slope of a predicted probability from said first determining step, wherein a positive slope indicates drug effectiveness, and wherein a zero or near zero slope indicates drug ineffectiveness.

[0039] The system can be used for determining effectiveness of an administered drug using auscultation analysis by: (i) conducting auscultations to record auscultated sounds from a group of animals, the auscultations including generating lung categories corresponding to a range of health conditions, and each auscultation conducted generating a lung category indicating a categorized health condition for each animal at the time of auscultation; (ii) recording a type of drug administered to the group of animals; (iii) recording fatalities for the group of animals over a designated time period; (iv) determining whether there is a difference in case fatality rates between lung score categories; and (v) determining a slope of a predicted probability from said first determining step, wherein a positive slope indicates drug effectiveness, and wherein a zero or near zero slope indicates drug ineffectiveness.

[0040] Although embodiments of the invention are directed to auscultation analysis for bovine species, the invention is equally applicable to other animal species to include, without limitation, swine, sheep, horses, dogs and cats. Algorithms can be generated for each species to determine threshold values that correspond to the health status of the animal.

[0041] Various other features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from a review of the following detailed description, taken in conjunction with the drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0042]

Figure 1 is a schematic view of the system of the present invention;

Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of a bovine specie showing a preferred location where auscultated sounds are gathered, such as by an electronic stethoscope;

Figure 3 is an example spectrogram showing normal lung sounds for bovine specie;

Figure 4 is another spectrogram illustrating bovine lung sounds, categorized as mild acute;

Figure 5 is another spectrogram illustrating bovine lung sounds, categorized as severe acute;

Figure 6 is another spectrogram illustrating bovine lung sounds, categorized as chronic; and

Figure 7 is an example user interface screen showing a spectrogram, the calculated lung score corresponding to

the spectrogram, a diagnosis, and one or more recommended treatments.

Figure 8 is another example user interface screen showing the spectrogram of Figure 7, wherein a user has trimmed frequencies that correspond to noise or other interfering frequencies not related to the targeted auscultated sounds of the animal; and

Figures 9A and 9B illustrate a flow diagram of a dynamic treatment matrix that provides a recommended treatment based upon consideration of a number of factors to include lung scores.

Figure 10 is a plan view of another embodiment of the present invention, namely, an electronic digital stethoscope with an integral display;

Figure 11 is an enlarged plan view of the integral display of the device of Figure 10;

Figure 12 is a system diagram for yet another embodiment of the present invention that provides interconnectivity between various field devices and the digital stethoscope in order to gather a wide range of data simultaneously enabling comprehensive information to be made available for immediate use by a caregiver;

Figure 13 is a fragmentary top perspective view of a wireless audio digital recording unit in accordance with another embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 14 is a reverse perspective view of the device shown in Figure 13;

Figure 15 illustrates use of the device of Figure 13, for example, to obtain lung sounds from an animal in a livestock chute;

Figure 16 is a graph illustrating another aspect of the invention, namely, a relationship between lung score categories and case fatality rates for purposes of determining effectiveness of administered antibiotics;

Figure 17 is a table of data for case fatality rates, and said data being an example for use in a statistical analysis for validating statistical differences in case fatality rates between lung score categories;

Figure 18 is an example of a statistical analysis using a logistic regression for assessing and validating the statistical differences;

Figure 19 is another example of a statistical analysis using a logistic regression for assessing and validating the statistical differences;

Figure 20 is a graph illustrating predicted probabilities from the logistic regression analysis for fatality rates among lung score categories for the example of Figure 18; and

Figure 21 is a graph illustrating predicted probabilities from the logistic regression analysis for fatality rates among lung score categories for the example of Figure 19.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0043] Referring to Figure 1, the system 10 of the present invention is illustrated. An electronic stethoscope 12 is used to gather lung sounds 14 from the animal. The stethoscope 12 detects the sounds, and the sounds are then downloaded in digital form to a computing device 16. The computing device 16 can take a number of forms, such as a standalone personal computer, a portable computing device such as a personal digital assistant (PDA). The computing device 16 includes a conventional microprocessor for manipulation of computer-coded instructions in the form of the analysis software 20. One or more databases 22 accessible by the computing device stores the digital sounds. A user interface such as a monitor 24 allows the user to view the gathered data, to include a spectrogram that may be generated by the analysis software 20 indicative of various attributes of the recorded sound to include frequencies, amplitudes, and other attributes that are recorded over time.

[0044] The auscultated lung sounds 14 are obtained from the animal in accordance with placement of the stethoscope at designated locations on the animal. Referring now to Figure 2, a bovine 30 is illustrated with the lungs 32 located at a central region of the body. In this figure, the apical lobe 34 is the preferred location where the sensing device of the stethoscope is placed. As shown, the apical lobe 34 is covered partially by the fourth rib 36. The circle 38 illustrates the preferred location where the stethoscope should be placed, which is approximately three inches above the right elbow 39. With respect to placement of the digital stethoscope, the area 38 has been shown to be an optimum area for data gathering. The Bovine species possesses an extra lobe in their lungs compared to other animals such as humans, referred to as the right apical lobe ventilated by the most anterior accessory tracheal bronchi, making the apical lobe most susceptible to acute aerogenous pneumonia. If auscultated sounds are to be gathered from the left side of the animal, then the preferred location for placement of the stethoscope is approximately the same, i.e., three inches above the left elbow that results in placement of the stethoscope over the cardiac lobe. However on the left side, positioning the stethoscope between the fourth and fifth ribs may provide a better position for gathering the sound. Given that bovines stand on all fours, respiratory disease is typically aerogenous in origin and tends to concentrate first in the apical lobe, progresses to the left cardiac lobe, and then ventrally to the additional lung field. Once the stethoscope takes the recorded sound, this data is then transferred to the computer 16 in accordance with known data transfer techniques. Preferably, the recorded sound taken by the stethoscope is a .wav file. Once the data is loaded and stored in the database 22, the analysis software 20 performs certain manipulations of the data in order to generate a number corresponding to a

calculated lung score 70 as discussed below.

[0045] In accordance with the present invention as mentioned in the Summary, an algorithm is applied to the data within the .wav file in the form of a short-time Fourier transform that is performed on the raw data with a window size of approximately 512 data points and an approximate overlap of 50%. A Hamming function can be used as the windowing function. As discussed below with respect to Figures 3-7, the resulting data from each transform is plotted to form data points for a spectrogram that may be viewed by the user.

[0046] From various investigations, it has been determined that amplitudes of frequencies between 500 - 900 Hz represent those data points that can be numerically manipulated within an algorithm to indicate various levels of disease within an animal. As mentioned above, the data can be split into ten basic sets or bands, namely, amplitudes from 500 - 540 Hz, 540 - 580 Hz, 580 - 620 Hz, 620 - 660 Hz, 660 - 700 Hz, 700 - 740 Hz, 740 - 780 Hz, 780 - 820 Hz, 820 - 860 Hz, and 860 - 900 Hz. Calculations are made to then determine the calculated lung scores 70. The resulting scores are compared to established baseline data 74 that indicate some level of disease within the animal. As also mentioned in the Summary, Scaled Lung Scores can be used that correspond to ranges of the calculated lung scores to assign diagnoses for the level of disease within the animal. Recommended treatments may then be established based on the diagnoses. The diagnoses and treatments may also be stored in the database 22 wherein the diagnoses may be listings of particular lung ailments, and the treatments may include descriptions of various medications to be administered to the sick animal.

[0047] A perfectly healthy animal will ideally have little or no sound generated within the targeted frequency range and, therefore, a calculated score of 0 or a value much less than 75 would be calculated. Variation of lung sounds in normal cattle does occur and these variations are subject to a number of factors to include biological variation, digestive function, and immune status. Accordingly, it is also contemplated that the specific lung scores assigned to the various diagnoses can be shifted to account for any systemic variations that may occur in a group of animals. For calculated lung scores of approximately 150, the diagnosis will be low mild acute (Scaled Lung Score 3), indicating the presence of edema and exudates accompanied by reduced airflow through still functional tissue. These changes are very dynamic and have the potential to quickly become more severe in the absence of therapy and conversely, the animal's condition could dramatically improve in the presence of appropriate therapy. For calculated lung scores that occur between 0 and 149, there is considerable discretion by the caregiver to determine whether the animal has respiratory disease of any concern. Other factors may be analyzed, to include whether the animal has other signs of disease such as a temperature, depression, nasal discharge, etc. For calculated lung scores that reach 181, again through testing, it has been shown that these animals certainly have a level of respiratory disease that should be treated. Accordingly, at 181, the severe acute diagnosis is made which further indicates severe inflammatory responses including edema, effusion, and early consolidation in airways and alveolar spaces that is drastically reducing the efficiency of respiration. These cases deserve aggressive therapy, supportive care, and are at greater risk to require further therapy. For calculated lung scores that may fall between 150 and 181, the caregiver has a certain amount of discretion in determining the actual disease in the animal, and further evaluation of the animal can take place to confirm the nature of the disease. For calculated scores that reach 320, a chronic diagnosis can be made and some amount of nonfunctional lung tissue is typically involved in irreversible consolidation, coagulative necrosis, and possible abscess formation. For calculated lung scores falling between 181 and 320, again the caregiver has certain discretion in determining the actual nature of the respiratory disease occurring within the animal. For calculated scores above 320, it has been shown through testing that these animals have suffered some degree of irreversible loss of respiratory function that will decrease performance potential. Therapies depend on the percentage of lung involved, and the therapies are aimed at salvaging normal tissue and reducing abscess formation. Optimum treatment response and prudent antibiotic use depends on matching lung pathology associated with particular lung scores with pharmacokinetics of antibiotics and ancillary drugs generated by a dynamic data base.

[0048] Now referring to Figure 3, an example spectrogram 40 is illustrated which corresponds to a spectrogram that may be viewed by the user on the monitor 24 as a result of the analysis software 20 generating the spectrogram based upon data gathered from various observations. In this Figure, the spectrogram 40 includes data plotted as a function of the frequency of the sounds 42 over a period of time 44. More specifically, the frequencies are plotted in increments of 250 Hz, and sound is plotted over seconds. As shown in this Figure, the data points 46 show that there is only one occurrence of a sound that is above 500 Hz, therefore indicating a generally healthy animal. In this example, after application of the mathematical operations/algorithms, the diagnosis would in fact be normal. At the .80-second data point there is a single spike 47 that is greater than 500 Hz; however, this particular data point may be attributed to noise, such as background noise or even perhaps the heartbeat of the animal. Since this one data point is not repetitive over time, this data point can be ignored. In any event, even when this single data point is included in the data manipulated by the mathematical operations/algorithms, the lung score would still be near zero, therefore indicating very little lung pathology. The trimming function described above can remove much of the irrelevant background or environmental noise, such as pops or clicks generated from the stethoscope. A heart beat filter can reduce any existing heart beat noise, and constant noise at a particular frequency can also be removed using the noise filter. As mentioned, it is preferable to apply selected filters to eliminate as much noise as possible, such as background noise created by a

heartbeat. This spectrogram may also be illustrated in color where volumes of the plotted frequencies correspond to particular colors.

[0049] Referring to Figure 4, another spectrogram 40 is illustrated in which the amplitudes of the frequencies include a few data points 48 that fall between 500 and 900 Hz. After application of the mathematical operations/algorithms, this spectrogram is exemplary of one that could indicate a mild acute diagnosis. Since a caregiver does not have to make a diagnosis by merely looking at the spectrogram, the degree of subjectivity in making the diagnosis is greatly reduced therefore resulting in much more accurate diagnoses based on recorded data.

[0050] Referring to Figure 5, the recorded sound shown in the spectrogram 40 is an example of one resulting in a severe acute diagnosis after application of the mathematical operations/algorithms. As shown, a number of additional data points 50 in this spectrogram fall between 500 and 900 Hz as compared to the data points 48 in the spectrogram of Figure 4.

[0051] Referring to Figure 6, yet another spectrogram 40 is shown illustrating a situation in which a chronic diagnosis can be made as reflected in the marked increase in the amplitude of the upper frequencies. As shown, there are many data points 54 that occur above 500 Hz that for each breath of the animal. After application of the mathematical operations/algorithms, this spectrogram indeed would result in a lung score corresponding to the chronic diagnosis.

[0052] As mentioned, in order to provide the most reliable sets of data to include the capability of visually displaying data in the form of spectrograms, it may be necessary to apply certain filters to the gathered data to eliminate various sources of noise. As mentioned, filtering techniques may be used to improve analytical data. These filters may include a heartbeat reduction filter, a pop/crackle filter, and a noise filter. All three filters will be based on an adaptive threshold piecewise interpolation technique. The heart beat filter will be focused on detecting periodic high amplitudes in the 0 - 250 Hz frequency range. The pop/crackle filter will be focused on periodic extremely high amplitudes in the 500 -2000 Hz range. The noise filter will be focused on continuous high amplitudes in the 500 - 1000 Hz range. Whenever a section is detected by any of the filters, it is removed. The missing data is filled in by a linear interpolation. Unlike the collection of human sounds that may require a large bank of microphones to collect sound, assuming the electronic stethoscope is properly placed; diagnosis and treatments with the present invention can be accurately predicted by use of a single stethoscope. The Fourier transform brings the collected data into the frequency domain, thereby allowing the analysis software to determine which frequencies are contained in the sound and at which volume those frequencies exist. In general, the louder the sound at the frequencies of interest (500 - 900 Hz), the higher the lung score for the animal.

[0053] While the data obtained in the present invention can be an accurate predictor of the health of bovine species, the technique described herein would provide no useful diagnosis for humans. Human respiratory disease is typically far less severe than that of bovines, and the particular volumes and frequencies in humans would be much smaller over a long period of time. Human respiratory diseases are often signified by specific types of wheezes and crackles that have very specific lengths, volumes and frequency levels, none of which correspond to a similar diagnosis for bovine species.

[0054] Figure 7 is an example user interface screen 100 that includes a spectrogram 102, along with a corresponding display of the calculated lung score 104, a diagnosis 106, and a recommended treatment 108. In lieu of the calculated lung score, the Scaled Lung Score could be displayed on the screen. As mentioned above, the lung score may correlate to a diagnosis as well as one or more recommended treatments.

[0055] Figure 8 is another example user interface screen 101 that includes the spectrogram 102 of Figure 7, a Scaled Lung Score 105, a diagnosis 106, and the recommended treatment 108. This screen 101 also shows those portions 111 of the spectrogram that the user has highlighted for removal as data that is not accurate in terms of the actual lung sounds. The portions 111 to be removed are noise or other interfering frequencies not related to the actual auscultated sounds of the animal. These interfering frequencies are identified as peaks in the spectrogram with amplitudes that are clearly out of range as compared to the remaining portions of the spectrogram. As mentioned, these interfering frequencies can be attributed to factors such as noise from the stethoscope, the heartbeat of the animal, etc. Once these areas have been trimmed, the user may again view the modified spectrogram to ensure the data appears accurate.

[0056] Other factors may also be considered when generating automatic diagnosis and treatments, such as other symptoms of the animal being analyzed. Therefore, it is also contemplated with the present invention that the automatic diagnoses and treatments can be further modified by analyzing other data such as the rectal temperature, projected market date, and risk category.

[0057] Referring to Figures 9A and 9B, a flowchart is provided for determining an appropriate treatment based upon a combination of these factors. The flowchart of Figures 9A and 9B may also be referred to as a dynamic treatment matrix that takes into consideration the various factors to determine an appropriate treatment. It shall be understood that in accordance with embodiments of the present invention, the only requirement for determining a recommended treatment is the determination of a lung score. The rest of the factors included within the dynamic treatment matrix are optional, but may provide a caregiver with additional treatment options if the other factors combine in a manner that may suggest an additional or perhaps a modified treatment.

[0058] In the recommended treatments within the matrix, the current pharmaceuticals are assigned a set of attributes

that match designated lung scores. For example, one particular drug could work well on mild acute lung scores. Given that drugs change quite frequently, the current available drugs are stored in a database that is continually updated, ensuring that each drug is assigned the appropriate characteristics or case definition as set forth in the determination of the lung scores. Initially, the treatment recommended derives primarily from the lung score. In order to further consider the best match of the drug to be prescribed, the pharmaceuticals can also be assigned a set of attributes that match secondary considerations, such as whether the drug has shown good results for cattle having high rectal temperatures or good results for low risk cattle.

[0059] It is also contemplated with the present invention that historical data can be maintained for past treatment recommendations based upon the lung scores or other factors considered at the time. The historical analysis will include an evaluation of how successful treatment was, and the rate of treatment success can then be balanced against the treatment provided to alter or shift a recommended treatment.

[0060] The recommended treatments in Figures 9A and 9B are recommended administrations of various drug categories. The categories are defined as follows: Category 1 are low cost, broad spectrum antibiotics; Category 2 are low cost, broad spectrum antibiotics with a slightly broader spectrum capability; Category 3 are broad spectrum antibiotics aimed at log growth phase; Category 4 are broad spectrum antibiotics with withdrawal times less than forty days; Category 5 are broad spectrum antibiotics aimed at log growth phase with the addition of RNA synthesis inhibitors with an affinity for lung tissue; Category 6 are state-of-the-art, broadest spectrum antibiotics; and Category 7 are broadest spectrum antibiotics with highest affinity for consolidated lung tissue.

[0061] With respect to the risk levels recited as factors in Figure 9A and 9B, the following definitions apply: 1. High risk cattle are those that are any of the following: freshly weaned, co-mingled (purchased one or two at a time from many herds), auction market cattle (i.e. sold at a livestock yard), or an absence of vaccination history and 2. Low risk cattle are those cattle that do not meet any of the criteria for high risk.

[0062] Referring now to the flowchart beginning at Figure 9A, at Block 200, the lung score is determined. At Block 202, if the Scaled Lung Score is 1, then at Block 204 the next determination is whether the temperature of the animal is below 104°F. The rectal temperature is used as the baseline temperature for this flowchart. If the temperature is less than 104°F, then at Block 208, the recommendation is no treatment. If the temperature is greater than 104°F, then at Block 212, the next determination is whether the projected market date is less than 40 days. If the projected market date is less than 40 days, then the treatment recommended at Block 214 is a Category 4 product. If the projected market date is not less than 40 days, then the recommended treatment at Block 215 is administration of the Category 1 product.

[0063] Referring to Block 216, if the Scaled Lung Score is 2, the next determination at Block 218 is whether the temperature is less than 104°F. If the temperature is less than 104°F, then the recommended treatment at Block 222 is no treatment. If the temperature is not known at Block 218, then the recommended treatment at Block 224 is administration of a Category 1 product. If the temperature is not less than 104°F, then the next determination is the projected market date at Block 228. If the projected market date is less than 40 days, then the recommended treatment is administration of a Category 4 product at Block 230. If the projected market date is not less than 40 days, then the recommended treatment is a Category 1 product at Block 232.

[0064] Referring to Block 234, if the Scaled Lung Score is 3, the next determination is whether the projected market date is less than 40 days at Block 236. If the projected market date is less than 40 days, then the recommended treatment is a Category 4 product at Block 240. If the projected market date is not less than 40 days, then the determination is made if the temperature is less than 105°F at Block 244. If the temperature is less than 105°F, or if the temperature is not known, then the recommended treatment is administration of a Category 2 product at Block 246. If the temperature is not less than 105°F, then the recommended treatment at Block 248 is administration of a Category 3 product.

[0065] Referring to Block 250, if the Scaled Lung Score is 4, then the next determination is whether the projected market date is less than 40 days at Block 252. If the projected market date is less than 40 days, then the recommended treatment is administration of a Category 4 product at Block 254. If the projected market date is not less than 40 days, then at Block 258 a determination is made whether the temperature is less than 105°F. If the temperature is less than 105°F, then the recommended treatment is administration of a Category 2 product at Block 260. If the user does not know the rectal temperature, then the recommended treatment at Block 262 is administration of a Category 5 product at Block 262. If the temperature is not less than 105°F, then the next determination is made at Block 266 whether the animal is categorized as low risk. If the animal falls within the low risk category, then the recommended treatment is administration of a Category 2 product at Block 268. If the risk category is not low, then the recommended treatment is administration of a Category 5 product at Block 269.

[0066] Referring to Block 270, if the Scaled Lung Score is a 5 or 6, then the determination at Block 272 is whether the projected market date is less than 40 days. If the projected market date is less than 40 days, then the recommended treatment at Block 276 is administration of a Category 4 product. If the projected market date is not less than 40 days, then the recommended treatment at Block 278 is the administration of a Category 6 product.

[0067] Referring to Block 280, if the Scaled Lung Score is a 7, 8 or 9, then the determination at Block 282 is whether the projected market date is less than 40 days. If the projected market date is less than 40 days, then the recommended

treatment is administration of a Category 4 product at Block 286. If the projected market date is not less than 40 days, then the next determination at Block 290 is whether the temperature is less than 104°F. If the temperature is less than 104°F or if the temperature is unknown, then the recommended treatment is administration of a Category 2 product at Block 292. If the temperature is not less than 104°F, then the next determination is whether the animal is low risk at Block 296. If the risk factor is low, then the recommended treatment at Block 298 is administration of a Category 2 product. If the risk factor is not low, then the recommended treatment is administration of a Category 7 product at Block 300.

[0068] Figure 10 shows another preferred embodiment of the present invention, namely, a digital stethoscope 310 with an integrated recording and display unit 324. The stethoscope 310 may include a standard construction as shown including a chest piece 312 and ear pieces 314. Sounds are detected by the chest piece 312 and are transferred to the integrated recording and display unit 324. A communication cord 316 interconnects the chest piece to the unit 324. Listening extensions 318 interconnect the ear pieces to the unit 324. Optionally, additional support can be provided to the extensions 318 by support sleeves 320 and a support bridge 322. One example of a construction for a digital electronic stethoscope that can be used with the present invention includes a line of commercially available electronic stethoscopes sold and manufactured by 3M® known as the Litmann® electronic stethoscopes. These devices may be modified to incorporate the special functionality of the present invention to include the integrated unit 324.

[0069] Referring to the unit 324, it includes a housing 326 which houses the electronic components of the stethoscope. Mounted on one side of the housing 326 is a display panel 327. On the display panel are a number of features to include an on/off button 328, an on/off light indicator 330, volume control buttons 332, a record button 334 and a record indication light 336. In order to power up the stethoscope, the user depresses the on/off button 328, and the on/off light indicator 330 will illuminate when the device is powered. The device 310 may be powered by batteries and/or by a conventional AC power source in which the device 310 may have a detachable power cord (not shown) for selectively powering the unit with the AC power source.

[0070] When the user wishes to record a sound, the user places the chest piece 312 at the desired location on the animal, and the user then depresses the record button 334 to initiate recording of sounds. The record indicator light 336 will illuminate to indicate to the user that the device is recording and/or that the sounds have been successfully recorded and have been transmitted to a remote computer that will manipulate the digital sound data for producing a tangible user output. The user depresses the record button 334 again in order to terminate the recording and to establish a recorded sound record for manipulation by the software/firmware to generate the lung score or other health indication output. Alternatively, the device can be set to record for a pre-determined time period requiring the use to only press the record button once.

[0071] Also located on the display panel 327 is a health status indicator in the form of a plurality of health indicator lights 338. These indicator lights may represent a lung score, or may represent some other indication as to the health of the animal. As best seen in Figure 11, in one embodiment of the present invention, the health indicator lights 338 are numbered 1-5. The illumination of one of the lights or a group of lights indicate a lung score or some other health status for the animal. For example, light number one, if illuminated, could indicate a normal condition for the animal. Light number two, if illuminated, could indicate a mild, acute condition. Light number three, if illuminated, could indicate a moderate acute condition. Light number four, if illuminated, could indicate a severe acute condition, and light number five, if illuminated, could indicate a chronic condition.

[0072] If it is desired to record lung sounds again, the user would simply depress the record button again and the system software automatically creates another data record. If the user wishes to cancel a recording or to otherwise delete a recording, the user can be provided this option on a user dialogue display (not shown) that can also be incorporated on the display panel 327. A user dialogue display is explained below with respect to the embodiment of Figure 13.

[0073] In another aspect of the invention, it is also contemplated that the system software could incorporate controls such that recorded lung sounds were not processed unless the recorded sounds met pre-designated criteria to ensure the recorded sounds did not have excess environmental noise. Although filters can be used to separate and remove noise, it is advantageous for the recorded sound to be as "clean" as possible so that there are enough data points in the selected frequencies to ensure the algorithm(s) can be applied without appreciable output errors. Therefore, the user display may also incorporate one or more additional indicator lights, or may provide a particular light pattern or color scheme for the indicator lights 338 indicating that a "clean" lung sound can be recorded based on a screening of the lung sound as it is initially recorded. This screening of the recorded lung sound as it is first recorded can also be referred to as a "test" sound. In addition to environmental noise, poor sound recordation can be attributed to misplacement of the device such that the amplitude of sound recorded is not adequate for processing. Pre-determined parameters can be developed and used in the software for expected frequency ranges and amplitudes for a typical "clean" sound.

[0074] In addition to a numbering sequence for indicator lights 338, other types of visual indicators could be provided to indicate the health of the animal, such as additional lights, or a text message on a user/dialogue display in which a condition of the animal would appear in an explanatory message with the detected condition.

[0075] One can appreciate the advantages of having the status of the animal displayed directly on the digital stethoscope. The user avoids having to view another device in order to obtain the lung score/health status of the animal. The

user can conduct repeated sound gathering operations in order to confirm consistency among the outputs provided by the indicator lights/dialogue displays over a short time period. Thus, a user can quickly gather data sets and can immediately visually confirm consistency among the data sets directly on the stethoscopic device.

[0076] In terms of how the indicator lights are illuminated, processing of the recorded lung sound data can be done either by a remote computing device in which the stethoscope communicates wirelessly with the remote computing device, or the digital stethoscope itself may have an integral processor having the capability to process the lung sound data and to generate lung scores or other outputs indicating the health of the animal based upon the auscultation analysis.

[0077] Referring to Figure 12, in another embodiment of the invention, a system is provided for gathering data on animals in which the digital stethoscope 310 is one of the field devices used within the system. More specifically, Figure 12 illustrates a number of field devices that communicate wirelessly with a remote computer 368, and the data may then be recorded and manipulated to generate desired outputs to a user. The system contemplates a number of different field devices to include an RFID reader 350 for identifying and tracking the animal being examined, a scale head 352 that records the weight of an animal on an associated scale (not shown), a diagnostic device 354 that may take other measurements or observations of the animal, and a temperature probe 356 for recording the temperature of the animal. Each of the field devices have a wireless capability, and may therefore communicate wirelessly with the remote computer 368. Accordingly, each of the field devices are illustrated with a wireless adaptor 360, and the field devices can be considered communication endpoints. The remote computing device 368 may include standard components to include the computer/processor 372, a user display 370 and input devices 374 such as a keyboard and mouse. The remote computing device could also be a server. One or more gateway devices 362 such as wireless access points or switches can be used to ensure full wireless coverage of the area in which the field devices are located. From the gateway device(s) 362, the data recorded by the field devices is transmitted through a communications network 366 such as the Internet, an intranet, a LAN, etc. Once the data is received by the remote computer 368, manipulation of the digitized sound data takes place in order to generate outputs in the form of visual displays, reports, or others for the user. The data from the other field devices may also be considered in the algorithm(s) to supplement reporting and may also be used to generate a recommended treatment in which data from the other field devices is used in yet another group of algorithms or formulas relating to the generation of recommended treatments.

[0078] The outputs generated regarding a diagnosis and treatment are communicated to selected user(s) back through the communications network 366. These outputs can be sent back to the user(s) of the field devices, or to other users who may have, for example, personal digital assistants 364. Therefore, it is contemplated with the Figure 12 that comprehensive data may be transmitted simultaneously to the remote computer 368, data manipulations take place and then tangible outputs are made available for immediate use by a user. In one aspect of this embodiment, once the digital stethoscope 310 is activated, the digital stethoscope may query the presence of other field devices that may have recorded data on the same animal being examined. This query can then trigger a wireless command, either from the digital stethoscope or from the remote computer 368, for the field devices to commence transmitting selected data for the animal being examined. Therefore, from a review of Figure 12 it is apparent that for a wireless communication system, a user can be provided a tremendous amount of valuable information regarding the animal being treated.

[0079] In yet another embodiment of the present invention, referring to Figures 13 and 14, another type of sound gathering device is illustrated. More specifically, Figures 13 and 14 illustrate a wireless audio digital recording unit 400 that is capable of obtaining lung sounds, and then transmitting the information wirelessly to the remote computer 368, or the device 400 may have its own microprocessor, memory, software/firmware, and database(s) for manipulation of the data recorded to generate a tangible output for the user.

[0080] More specifically, the unit 400 includes a paddle 402 that houses the sensors (not shown) for recording sounds. The paddle 402, as shown, may have a curvature in order that the paddle may be conveniently placed at a targeted location on the animal to best capture sounds. A peripheral pad or protective member 404 is also illustrated to protect the paddle 402 and to assist the user in holding the paddle on the animal. The paddle is rotatably mounted to an extension pole 412. As shown, the rotatable connection can be achieved by a transverse mounting rod 406 secured to a base member 407. The distal end of the pole 412 may include a yoke 408 that is attached to the mounting rod 406 by pin 410. Thus, the paddle 402 may be rotatable in a first axis about the pin 410, and rotatable about the mounting rod 406 in another axis oriented orthogonal to the first axis. Referring to Figure 14, sensor openings 414 are provided on the lower surface of the paddle 402 enabling the sensors (not shown) to record sounds. A sensor may include one or more multi channel microphones incorporated therein for detecting and transmitting auscultated sounds to the microprocessor.

[0081] In another aspect of the invention as shown in Figure 13, it is also contemplated that that device 400 may optionally have its own integral electronic control and display unit 420. This unit 420 may include various control buttons 426, similar to those on the device of Figure 10. Additionally, the unit 420 may include a display panel 422 with one or more user interface displays/dialogue displays 424. These displays are capable of displaying to the user the particular health status of the animal as the data has been manipulated through one or more algorithms in the unit 420 that has its own integral microprocessor, memory, software/firmware, and database(s). Additionally, the Figure 13 illustrates a number of display lights 428 which may also be used to indicate the health status of the animal, and the function of these

lights may be in accordance with what is described with respect to the display lights 338 for the embodiment of Figure 11.

[0082] Referring to Figure 15, a user U places the device 400 at the desired location on the animal A for recording lung sounds. As shown, the user U is able to record the lung sounds without having to place the hands or arms within the cage C. Therefore, this provides a much safer manner for user to obtain sounds from the animal. The device 400 may also have a wireless capability in order to transmit and receive signals from a remote computer as described above with respect to the embodiments of Figures 10-12. Therefore, the device 400 can also be an additional field device within the system of Figure 12.

[0083] There are a number of advantages associated with the embodiments illustrated in Figures 10-14. One distinct advantage provided is the capability for a user to visually observe the health status of an animal in real time at the location where data is gathered, without having to later view another diagnostic device and perhaps at another location. Additionally, in accordance with the system of Figure 12, comprehensive data can be obtained regarding the health status of an animal for generating detailed reports, or to otherwise analyze recorded data for purposes of diagnosing the health of the animal and for generating treatment options.

[0084] In another embodiment of the invention, the system may be used for determining the effectiveness of antibiotics administered to animals based upon observed relationships between case fatality rates and lung score categories. As background, disease management of feedlot animals with respiratory disease has largely been a subjective process of evaluating the status of an animal, considering observed clinical signs and symptoms, and then applying antibiotics through treatment regimens that only consider the potentially broadest factors in prescribing the amount, duration, and number of antibiotic administrations. Presently, mortality rates are tracked for many feedlots; however, it has been a subjective analysis as to determining when a particular antibiotic becomes ineffective, and therefore should be replaced with a new antibiotic. For example, a significant rise in mortality rates might prompt feedlot caregivers to obtain tissue/fluid cultures from dead animals which can confirm the antibiotics effectiveness, and therefore trigger a change in the antibiotic class prescription regimen. However, as can be appreciated, there is no risk stratification in this example process, so all animals are viewed as the same; simply a pulled respiratory animal that has died with no other relevant data to assist in detailing the relationship between the death and treatment administered.

[0085] By incorporating the auscultation analysis of the present invention with the generated lung scores, these scores provide an objective respiratory disease status of the animals when entering feedlot, and therefore, the lung scores provide an accurate risk stratification based on level of severity of the respiratory condition. Through testing, it is been determined that there is a relationship between observed lung scores and case fatality rates. More specifically, animals with less severe respiratory illnesses were found to have lower fatality rates than more severe respiratory illness animals, the underlying assumption being that an effective antibiotic should work well against an infecting organism during the period in which the animal is diseased to some point of severity; however, the antibiotic becomes less effective for animals who are more severely diseased. Accordingly, it was further observed that for those animals that were severely diseased, the antibiotics administered across all classes of antibiotics appeared less effective because the mortality rates were more similar.

[0086] Lung score data obtained "chute side" is compared to case fatality rates, and a relationship is generated between animal illness severity and antibiotic performance. Ultimately, this provides detection when an antibiotic is failing or underperforming, and therefore provides an early detection prompt for when antibiotic administration should be changed to a more effective drug class. This relationship between lung scores and fatality rates is validated through a statistical analysis, in which a statistical difference is determined by comparing case fatality rates between lung score categories. Since the lung scores provide reliable indications of health, the scores provide overall validity, objectivity, and reproducibility for an ongoing antibiotic program. Further, this analysis is less dependent on overall feedlot mortality rates, and therefore, can trigger an earlier review of antibiotic effectiveness with less animal loss. Case fatality rates viewed in the context of particular lung scores and validated through a statistical analysis provides an ability to discern between suspicious deaths (respiratory disease) and routine deaths (death by other natural causes).

[0087] As an initial step, data is obtained from a feedlot or other location in which a population of animals are being held. In the case of a feedlot, many feedlots have animal hospitals that record animal vital signs and stored the data in an electronic health system software. This hospital data is supplemented with corresponding lung score data to specifically identify each animal in terms of vital signs, lung scores, and the history of administered antibiotics. The data is updated with each animal's progress, and the final outcome of either surviving or dying from the respiratory illness is recorded. Once this raw data has been obtained, predicted case fatality rates as a function of lung score categories can be generated.

[0088] Referring to Figure 16, a graph 500 is provided illustrating case fatality rates as a function of lung score categories for various classes of antibiotics. More specifically, the figure provides sample data taken for samples or populations of animals that have received a particular class of antibiotics each, and a comparison is provided between fatality rates 504 and lung scores 502. Four classifications or classes of antibiotics are graphed, namely, Class A 506, Class B 508, Class C 510, and Class D 512. As shown, Class A has the lowest fatality rate at the measured lung score of 1, with a rising fatality rate through a measured lung score of 4. Conversely, antibiotic class D shows a significantly higher fatality rate at the measured lung score of 1, with only a slightly higher fatality rate at the measured lung score 4. The data from

this graph is recorded for purposes of conducting a statistical analysis, as discussed below. The lines drawn on the graph 500 indicate a potential relationship between lung scores and fatality rates; however, as can be appreciated by reviewing the data points for each of the antibiotic classes, the lines are drawn as general averages or means for a group of class data points, and without a statistical analysis, it cannot be confirmed with certainty whether there is a statistical differences in case fatality rates between different lung score categories. For example, a sloping line is drawn for Class C 510, but it can be seen that the data points 514 for this class do not necessarily provide a recognizable linear relationship between the data points. The data points for lung scores for 2 and 3 are higher than the data for lung score 1; however, the data point for lung score 4 is less than the data point for both lung scores 2 and 3. Therefore, while the line is drawn for Class C, there is no clear relationship that can be obtained simply by reviewing the lines drawn as averages or means of the collective data points.

[0089] Referring to Figure 17, this data table 520 provides information as to how case fatality rates are calculated. A case fatality rate equals the number of animal deaths in a designated lung score group divided by the total number of members in the same lung score group. As shown in this table, the data represents data gathered during a first treatment auscultation, with a lung scoring scale in groupings from 1 to 5, 1 being least severe, and 5 being most severe. The case fatality column provides case fatality rates for each corresponding lung score, and an overall average case fatality rate for all of the animals in the study.

[0090] Using the case fatality rates, a logistic regression with a binary logic fitted to predict death rates within lung score categories can be used to assess if there is a statistical difference in case fatality rates between lung score categories. In one example, the particular logistic regression analysis selected may utilize a Wald test probability for a zero beta coefficient, as well as use of a maximum likelihood estimate parameter Wald Chi-Square probability to define acceptance and rejection regions of probability. The lung score categories are weighted by how many members fall into a given category. Odds ratio estimates can be evaluated at a 95% confidence interval for determining a relative strength of association between lung score category and change in case fatality rates.

[0091] Using this type of statistical analysis, Examples A of Figure 18 and Example B of Figure 19 provide example statistical data calculated in accordance with the analysis technique. The statistical terms and data values provided in the Examples A and B reflect information that can be obtained with the selected analysis technique as facilitated by statistical analysis software (SAS). It should be understood that the Examples A and B are simply exemplary calculations of a regression analysis technique, and are provided to show how a relationship between lung scores and fatality rates can be analyzed to evaluate antibiotic effectiveness. For Example A of Figure 18, the data used corresponds to antibiotic Class A 506 of Fig. 16. For Example B of Figure 19, the data used corresponds to antibiotic Class D 512 of Figure 16.

[0092] Using the predicted probabilities from the aforementioned statistical data, such as from the Examples A and B, it can be concluded that if a slope of a performance curve is likely to be zero when plotting a graph of fatality rate probabilities vs. lung scores, then the effectiveness of the antibiotic in question is deemed ineffective because case fatality rates do not support the pattern of an effective antibiotic agent. More specifically, less severely diseased animals at time of treatment are no less likely to die than more severely diseased animals where severity is determined by lung score. However, if the slope of a performance curve is found not to be zero, then case fatality rates are lower for less severely diseased animals which provide evidence that the antibiotic is effective.

[0093] Referring to 20 and 21, these figures represent predicted probabilities for fatality rates plotted as a function of the lung scores. More specifically, Fig. 20 provided a graph 526 that corresponds to the data from Example A, and Fig. 21 provides a graph 528 that corresponds to the data from Example B. The predicted probabilities for fatality rates are indicated by the solid lines 530, and are obtained through the above described regression analysis. By the statistical analysis conducted for Example A, it is seen that there is a general rise in the probability of death as the lung score increases, whereas in Example B, there is no discernible distinction between probabilities of death between lung scores, and therefore the slope of the line is zero or near zero. Therefore, it can be generally concluded that a predicted probability line having a positive slope indicates some level of effectiveness for the antibiotic, while a predicted probability line having a zero or near zero slope indicates some level of ineffectiveness for the antibiotic. With additional data analysis, it is also contemplated that the predicted probability lines can be used to more specifically define the effectiveness of a particular antibiotic by the numerical values of the slope of the lines. Ideally, there may be a desired maximum predicted probability for a case fatality in a particular range of lung scores and therefore, the optimum numerical slope could be one which has a positive slope, yet still remains below the highest threshold level for the higher lung scores of the range. Through further data analysis, each class of drugs could be characterized as to their effectiveness considering such parameters.

[0094] Also in accordance with the invention, it is contemplated that the numerical data illustrated in the Figs. 20 and 21 could be used to build logical tables describing when a particular antibiotic should be stopped, and when another antibiotic should commence for a particular population of monitored animals. Further, these logical tables could then be used to automatically prompt a caregiver as to when a conclusion has been made that the existing antibiotic regimen is no longer deemed effective, and should be changed. In addition to factors solely related to the general health of the animals and their corresponding fatality rates, cost factors could also be integrated in determining the content and timing

of the prompt. The logical tables and automatic prompts can be provided within a computer software application in which algorithms are developed for purposes of triggering prompts regarding recommendations for changes to an existing antibiotic regimen. Yet further, while example lung scores are provided for purposes of evaluating example classes of antibiotics, it should be understood that this can also be done with other ranges of lung scores to evaluate particular types of antibiotics; some antibiotics may require either a larger or smaller range lung score comparison in order to evaluate their effectiveness.

[0095] Without using a confirmatory statistical analysis, a graph generated according to Fig. 16 as a general comparison between lung score categories and fatality rates by interpolation of data points may not by itself provide the necessary statistical confidence that there is a predictable relationship between the two measured parameters. For example, while some samples of animal data for some antibiotics may be interpolated into a single line, other samples of animal data receiving a particular antibiotic may have data points on the graph that are not capable of being easily interpolated as a single line, such as illustrated in Fig. 16 for the data points 514 for antibiotic Class C 510. Because the data points do not generally follow a linear pattern for antibiotic Class C, it is not possible to determine with confidence whether the line represents any particular linear relationship between a lung score and a fatality rate. The statistical analysis therefore provides statistical confidence that there is a relationship between lung scores and case fatality rates, and this relationship can be provided visually, such as shown in the predicted probability graphs of Figs. 20 and 21.

[0096] In this way, antibiotic effectiveness can be quantitatively determined, and therefore a more effective and cost reducing antibiotic regimen can be provided. With the supplemented information obtained by lung scores, a new relationship is therefore established between lung scores and case fatality rates for determining antibiotic effectiveness.

[0097] Though the present invention has been set forth with respect to various preferred embodiments, it shall be understood that various other changes and modifications can be made to the invention in accordance with the scope of the claims appended hereto.

Claims

1. An electronic health system (10) for determining effectiveness of an administered drug using auscultation analysis, said electronic health system (10) comprising:

electronic stethoscope means (12) for conducting auscultations on a group of animals (30); and processing means (16) configured to record and analyse auscultated sounds to generate lung scores corresponding to a range of health conditions, each auscultation conducted generating a lung score indicating a category of a categorized health condition for each animal (30) at the time of auscultation; said processing means (16) being further configured to:

record a type of drug administered to the group of animals (30);
record fatalities for the group of animals (30) over a designated time period;
and **characterized in that** said processing means (16) is further configured to:

determine whether there is a difference in case fatality rates for different lung scores; and
determine effectiveness of the drug based on recognizable differences between the case fatality rates for different lung scores.

2. The system (10) as claimed in claim 1, wherein the processing means (16) is configured to determine whether there is a difference in case fatality rates between lung scores by way of an arithmetic analysis.

3. The system (10) as claimed in claim 2, wherein the arithmetic analysis includes a statistical analysis.

4. The system (10) as claimed in claim 2, wherein the processing means (16) is configured to determine a slope (506, 508, 510, 512) of a predicted probability, wherein a positive slope indicates drug effectiveness.

5. The system (10) as claimed in claim 2, wherein the processing means (16) is further configured to determine a slope (506, 508, 510, 512) of a predicted probability, wherein a zero or near zero slope indicates drug ineffectiveness.

6. The system (10) as claimed in claim 1, wherein the lung scores are numerical lung scores.

7. The system (10) as claimed in claim 3, wherein the processing means (16) is configured to determine effectiveness of the drug by analyzing the statistical analysis to determine a relationship between fatality rates as a function of

lung scores.

8. The system as claimed in claim 7, wherein the processing means (16) is configured to generate a graphical comparison (500) of fatality rates versus lung score, said comparison including a predicted probability line (506,508,510,512).

Patentansprüche

1. Elektronisches Gesundheitssystem (10) zur Bestimmung der Wirksamkeit eines verabreichten Arzneimittels unter Verwendung von Auskultationsanalyse, wobei das elektronische Gesundheitssystem (10) folgendes umfasst:

ein elektronisches Stethoskopmittel (12) für die Durchführung von Auskultationen an einer Gruppe von Tieren (30); und

ein Verarbeitungsmittel (16), das so gestaltet ist, dass es auskultierte Töne aufzeichnet und analysiert, um Lungenwerte zu erzeugen, die einem Bereich von Gesundheitszuständen entsprechen, wobei jede vorgenommene Auskultation einen Lungenwert erzeugt, der eine Kategorie eines kategorisierten Gesundheitszustands für jedes Tier (30) zum Zeitpunkt der Auskultation anzeigt;

wobei das Verarbeitungsmittel (16) ferner für folgende Zwecke gestaltet ist:

Aufzeichnen einer der Gruppe von Tieren (30) verabreichten Arzneimittelart;

Aufzeichnen von Letalitäten für die Gruppe von Tieren (30) über einen bestimmten Zeitraum; und **dadurch gekennzeichnet, dass** das Verarbeitungsmittel (16) ferner für folgende Zwecke gestaltet ist:

Bestimmen, ob es für unterschiedliche Lungenwerte einen Unterschied bei den Letalitätszahlen gibt; und

Bestimmen der Wirksamkeit des Arzneimittels anhand erkennbarer Unterschiede zwischen den Letalitätszahlen für verschiedene Lungenwerte.

2. System (10) nach Anspruch 1, wobei das Verarbeitungsmittel (16) so gestaltet ist, dass es durch arithmetische Analyse bestimmt, ob zwischen Lungenwerten ein Unterschied der Letalitätszahlen existiert.

3. System (10) nach Anspruch 2, wobei die arithmetische Analyse eine statistische Analyse beinhaltet.

4. System (10) nach Anspruch 2, wobei das Verarbeitungsmittel (16) so gestaltet ist, dass es eine Steigung (506, 508, 510, 512) einer prognostizierten Wahrscheinlichkeit bestimmt, wobei eine positive Steigung eine Arzneimittelwirksamkeit anzeigt.

5. System (10) nach Anspruch 2, wobei das Verarbeitungsmittel (16) ferner so gestaltet ist, dass es eine Steigung (506, 508, 510, 512) einer prognostizierten Wahrscheinlichkeit bestimmt, wobei eine Steigung von Null oder nahe Null eine Arzneimittelunwirksamkeit anzeigt.

6. System (10) nach Anspruch 1, wobei die Lungenwerte numerische Lungenwerte sind.

7. System (10) nach Anspruch 3, wobei das Verarbeitungsmittel (16) so gestaltet ist, dass es die Wirksamkeit des Arzneimittels durch Analyse der statistischen Analyse bestimmt, um ein Verhältnis zwischen den Letalitätszahlen als eine Funktion der Lungenwerte zu bestimmen.

8. System nach Anspruch 7, wobei das Verarbeitungsmittel (16) so gestaltet ist, dass es einen grafischen Vergleich (500) zwischen den Letalitätszahlen und dem Lungenwert erzeugt, wobei der Vergleich eine Linie der prognostizierten Wahrscheinlichkeit (506, 508, 510, 512) aufweist.

Revendications

1. Système de santé électronique (10) destiné à déterminer l'efficacité d'un médicament administré en utilisant une analyse d'auscultation, ledit système de santé électronique (10) comprenant :

un moyen stéthoscope électronique (12) pour effectuer des auscultations sur un groupe d'animaux (30) ; et
un moyen de traitement (16) conçu pour enregistrer et analyser des sons auscultés afin de générer des scores
pulmonaires correspondant à une gamme d'états de santé, chaque auscultation effectuée générant un score
pulmonaire indiquant une catégorie d'un état de santé catégorisé pour chaque animal (30) au moment de
l'auscultation ;
ledit moyen de traitement (16) étant en outre conçu pour :

enregistrer un type de médicament administré au groupe d'animaux (30) ;
enregistrer les décès pour le groupe d'animaux (30) au cours d'une période donnée ;

et **caractérisé en ce que** ledit moyen de traitement (16) est en outre conçu pour :

déterminer s'il existe une différence dans les taux de létalité pour différents scores pulmonaires ; et
déterminer l'efficacité du médicament en fonction de différences reconnaissables entre les taux de létalité
pour différents scores pulmonaires.

2. Système (10) selon la revendication 1, le moyen de traitement (16) étant conçu pour déterminer, au moyen d'une
analyse arithmétique, s'il existe une différence dans les taux de létalité par cas entre les scores pulmonaires.

3. Système (10) selon la revendication 2, l'analyse arithmétique comprenant une analyse statistique.


4. Système (10) selon la revendication 2, le moyen de traitement (16) étant conçu pour déterminer une pente (506,
508, 510, 512) d'une probabilité prédite, une pente positive indiquant l'efficacité du médicament.

5. Système (10) selon la revendication 2, le moyen de traitement (16) étant en outre conçu pour déterminer une pente
(506, 508, 510, 512) d'une probabilité prédite, une pente nulle ou presque nulle indiquant une inefficacité du médi-
cament.

6. Système (10) selon la revendication 1, les scores pulmonaires étant des scores pulmonaires numériques.

7. Système (10) selon la revendication 3, le moyen de traitement (16) étant conçu pour déterminer l'efficacité du
médicament en analysant l'analyse statistique pour déterminer une relation entre les taux de létalité en fonction des
scores pulmonaires.

8. Système selon la revendication 7, le moyen de traitement (16) étant conçu pour générer une comparaison graphique
(500) des taux de létalité par rapport au score pulmonaire, ladite comparaison comprenant une ligne de probabilité
prévue (506, 508, 510, 512).











FIG. 2







100

FIG.7






Fig. 8

**Fig. 9A**






FIG. 10




FIG.11




FIG.12





FIG. 14






FIG.15




FIG.16

520

FIRST TREATMENT AUSCULTATION			
LUNG SCORE	# OF ANIMALS	# DEAD	CASE FATALITY
1	198	2	1.01%
2	256	12	4.69%
3	76	10	13.16%
4	28	3	10.71%
5	19	4	21.05%
	577	31	5.37%

FIG.17

EXAMPLE A

MODEL FIT STATISTICS		
CRITERION	INTERCEPT ONLY	INTERCEPT AND COVARIATES
AIC	220.826	209.398
SC	225.198	218.141
-2 LOG L	218.826	205.398

R-SQUARE	0.0227	MAX-RESCALED R-SQUARE	0.0727
----------	--------	-----------------------	--------

TESTING GLOBAL NULL HYPOTHESIS: BETA=0			
TEST	CHI-SQUARE	DF	Pr>ChiSq
LIKELIHOOD RATIO	13.4279	1	0.002
SCORE	15.0710	1	0.001
WALD	13.8728	1	0.002

ANALYSIS OF MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATES					
PARAMETER	DF	ESTIMATE	STANDARD ERROR	WALD CHI-SQUARE	Pr>ChiSq
INTERCEPT	1	-4.7523	0.5601	72.0019	<.0001
LUNGSCORE	1	0.7896	0.2120	13.8728	0.0002

PARAMETER ESTIMATES AND WALD CONFIDENCE INTERVALS			
PARAMETER	ESTIMATE	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS	
INTERCEPT	-4.7523	-5.8500	-3.6546
LUNGSCORE	0.7896	0.3741	1.2052

ODDS RATIO ESTIMATES AND PROFILE-LIKELIHOOD CONFIDENCE INTERVALS				
EFFECT	UNIT	ESTIMATE	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS	
LUNGSCORE	1.0000	2.203	1.452	3.350

FIG.18

EXAMPLE B

MODEL FIT STATISTICS		
CRITERION	INTERCEPT ONLY	INTERCEPT AND COVARIATES
AIC	2251.302	2253.272
SC	2256.989	2264.646
-2 LOG L	2249.302	2249.272

R-SQUARE	0.0000	MAX-RESCALED R-SQUARE	0.0000
----------	--------	-----------------------	--------

TESTING GLOBAL NULL HYPOTHESIS: BETA=0			
TEST	CHI-SQUARE	DF	Pr>ChiSq
LIKELIHOOD RATIO	0.0304	1	0.8615
SCORE	0.0305	1	0.8615
WALD	0.0305	1	0.8613

ANALYSIS OF MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATES					
PARAMETER	DF	ESTIMATE	STANDARD ERROR	WALD CHI-SQUARE	Pr>ChiSq
INTERCEPT	1	-1.3404	0.1487	81.2423	<.0001
LUNGSCORE	1	0.0113	0.0646	0.0305	0.8613

PARAMETER ESTIMATES AND WALD CONFIDENCE INTERVALS			
PARAMETER	ESTIMATE	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS	
INTERCEPT	-1.3404	-1.6318	-1.0489
LUNGSCORE	0.0113	-0.1154	0.1380

ODDS RATIO ESTIMATES AND PROFILE-LIKELIHOOD CONFIDENCE INTERVALS				
EFFECT	UNIT	ESTIMATE	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS	
LUNGSCORE	1.0000	1.011	0.890	1.147

FIG.19




FIG.20




FIG.21

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- US 6443907 B [0009]
- US 6520924 B [0010]
- US 20090137918 A [0011]
- WO 2013006066 A [0012]
- US 20100160809 A [0013]

专利名称(译)	使用听诊分析确定呼吸道疾病的抗生素有效性的系统和方法		
公开(公告)号	EP2953544A4	公开(公告)日	2016-11-16
申请号	EP2014749175	申请日	2014-01-29
[标]申请(专利权)人(译)	PIERSON精密听诊		
申请(专利权)人(译)	PIERSON精密听诊		
当前申请(专利权)人(译)	盖斯勒COMPANIES LLC		
[标]发明人	BRATTAIN KURT GEISLER RANDOLPH K TAYLOR WADE A TAYLOR GARRETT W NOFFSINGER THOMAS H		
发明人	BRATTAIN, KURT GEISLER, RANDOLPH, K. TAYLOR, WADE, A. TAYLOR, GARRETT, W. NOFFSINGER, THOMAS, H.		
IPC分类号	A61B7/04 A61B5/00 A61B5/06 A61B5/01 A61B7/00 A01K25/00 G06F19/00		
CPC分类号	A61B5/4848 A01K29/00 A61B5/01 A61B5/08 A61B5/7257 A61B7/003 A61B7/04 A61B2503/40 G06F19/3456		
代理机构(译)	HGF LIMITED		
优先权	13/760968 2013-02-06 US		
其他公开文献	EP2953544B1 EP2953544A1		
外部链接	Espacenet		

摘要(译)

提供了一种使用听诊技术诊断动物呼吸道疾病的系统和方法。记录动物肺音并将其存储为数字化数据。算法应用于数据，产生指示动物健康的输出。另一种方法基于观察到的从听诊获得的肺部评分类别之间的关系来确定施用于动物的抗生素的有效性。对接受不同抗生素分类的动物样本群体进行比较，并将该数据与接受抗生素的每只动物观察到的肺部分数进行比较。进行统计分析以确认肺部评分类别之间病例死亡率的统计学差异。在一系列肺部评分类别中死亡率的显著差异表明所施用的特定抗生素无效，而与较低肺部评分相关的降低的死亡率表明抗生素有效性水平。